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Chapter one provides a survey of the wide variety of unconventional phenol derivatives that are now available for use in traditional cross-coupling reactions. Emphasis is given to carbon-carbon ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ) bond forming reactions with a brief discussion of other transformations. Chapters two and three are a discussion of our work in the field of nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of phenol derivatives. The use of aryl pivalates, sulfamates, carbamates, and carbonates in the nickel-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling is described, along with synthetic applications utilizing these phenol derivatives. A computational and experimental mechanistic study for the cross-coupling of aryl sulfamates and carbamates is also reported.

Chapters four and five detail our efforts in the total synthesis of the welwitindolinone natural products. The enantiospecific total syntheses of $(-)$ - $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate and ( - - $-N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile, as well as their respective $\mathrm{C} 3-$
hydroxylated analogs are reported. The synthetic routes feature an aryne cyclization to rapidly construct the [4.3.1]-bicyclic core of these molecules, as well as a late-stage intramolecular nitrene insertion to functionalize a bridgehead carbon. The strategic use of a deuterium kinetic isotope effect to improve the efficiency of the nitrene insertion is also discussed. A computational prediction for the stereochemical configuration at C 3 of the hydroxylated welwitindolinones is presented, which was subsequently confirmed by experimental studies.
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## CHAPTER ONE

# Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Unconventional Phenol Derivatives 

In part, adapted from: Brad M. Rosen, Kyle W. Quasdorf, Daniella A. Wilson, Na Zhang, Ana-Maria Resmerita, Neil K. Garg, and Virgil Percec

Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1346-1416.

### 1.1 Abstract

The number of methods for the Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling of unconventional phenol derivatives has grown dramatically in recent years. This chapter provides a survey of the wide variety of unconventional phenol derivatives that are now available for use in traditional crosscoupling reactions. The particular focus is Ni-catalyzed cross-couplings involving carbonoxygen ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ ) bonds in new carbon-carbon $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})$ bond forming reactions.

### 1.2 Introduction

Transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have emerged as one of the most powerful methods for constructing carbon-carbon ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ) and carbon-heteroatom $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X})$ bonds. ${ }^{1}$ Whereas methodologies for the cross-coupling of aryl halides have significantly improved in the past decade, ${ }^{1,2}$ less progress has been made toward the coupling of the corresponding phenol derivatives. ${ }^{1}$ Given that phenols are cheap and readily available, and that oxygenation can be used to direct the installation of functional groups on an aromatic ring, practical methods that allow for the cross-coupling of phenol derivatives are extremely attractive.

Figure 1.1 depicts a number of phenol derivatives that can now be utilized in nickelcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Aryl ethers, which are quite atom economical are some of the most attractive coupling partners. However, their limited reactivity in the more functional group tolerant coupling reactions (i.e., Suzuki-Miyaura couplings) and limited directing group ability dampens their synthetic utility. Vinyl phosphate esters have proven to be efficient coupling partners; however, the extension of such methodologies to the coupling of aryl phosphate esters has remained limited. The coupling of tosylates and mesylates to forge $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds generally proceeds in good yields with a wide substrate scope, although their relavtive instability and poor directing group ability restricts their us in multistep synthesis. Esters, carbamates, and sulfamates, as will be described in Chapter 2 and 3, have emerged as powerful partners in many nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions in recent years. Their superior directing group ability in ortho-metalation reactions ${ }^{3,4,5}$ and pronounced stability, including low reactivity toward Pd catalysis, makes them very effective substrates for the synthesis of polysubstituted aromatic compounds.




esters

carbamates

sulfamates

Figure 1.1. Unconventional phenol derivatives in Ni-catalyzed cross-couplings

Several comprehensive reviews have been written in recent years devoted to nickelcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions involving aryl C-O bonds. ${ }^{6,7}$ This chapter will discuss only a
portion of the material covered in the reviews, with emphasis on the formation of carbon-carbon bonds.

### 1.3 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Ethers

### 1.3.1 Kumada Couplings

Aryl and vinyl ethers, although typically consider "inert", were actually some of the first substrates to be utilized in Ni-catalyzed Kumada couplings by Wenkert in 1979. ${ }^{8}$ In this seminal contribution, vinyl methyl ethers were shown to undergo Kumada couplings with methyl and phenyl Grignard reagents in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (Scheme 1.1). The coupling of the corresponding aryl methyl ethers could also be achieved, although the scope was limited to the use of phenyl Grignard reagents. In subsequent studies, Wenkert et al. further explored the Kumada coupling of enol and aryl ethers paying particular attention to the stereochemistry associated with the ring-opening of dihydropyrans and dihydrofurans. ${ }^{9}$

## Scheme 1.1




In 2004, Dankwardt significantly expanded the scope of aryl ether cross-couplings by switching ligands to either $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{PhPCy}_{2}$ and shifting to ethereal solvents (Scheme 1.2). ${ }^{10}$

Using this modified protocol, a range of simple aryl ethers, including a tetramethylsilane (TMS) ether, were converted to biaryl products in good to excellent yield. The reaction also proved to be tolerant of free hydroxyl groups, as well as number of heterocyclic compounds, including imidazole and indole containing substrates.

## Scheme 1.2



Shi's group has recently discovered conditions that allow for the cross-coupling of aryl ethers with methyl Grignard reagents, thus enabling the formation of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}-\mathrm{sp}^{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds (Scheme 1.3). ${ }^{11}$ Fused aromatics, such as a variety of ethers derived from 2-naphthol coupled smoothly, while non-fused substrates coupled less effectively. Indeed, in a competition experiment it was shown that a methyl aryl ether of a fused aromatic could be coupled with excellent selectivity in the presence a methyl ether derived from a non-fused aromatic.

## Scheme 1.3


$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (92\% yield)
$R=t-B u(88 \%$ yield)
R = MOM (69\% yield)
R = TMS ( $95 \%$ yield)

(90\% yield)

Shi's group has also extended the reaction scope to allow for the coupling of benzylic ethers, thus offering a unique Ni-catalyzed method for the formation of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}-\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds (Scheme 1.4). ${ }^{12}$ A number of ethers including methyl, $t$-butyl, phenyl, and trimethylsilyl all proved to be competent coupling partners at ambient temperatures by using the dppf ligand. Also demonstrated was the sequential and selective cross-coupling of a benzylic ether followed by an aryl ether controlled by the choice of Ni-catalyst.

## Scheme 1.4



Utilizing a number of novel Ni-complexes Wang has reported conditions for the coupling of a variety of aryl and alkenyl ethers with aryl Grignard reagents (Scheme 1.5). ${ }^{13}$ Reactions of naphthol derived substrates typically proceeded at ambient temperature, while the use of simple phenyl and alkenyl ethers or sterically hindered Grignard reagents required the use of elevated temperatures.

## Scheme 1.5



Other classes of aryl and vinyl ethers have also found use as electrophiles in Ni-catalyzed Kumada couplings. In 1980, Kumada and co-workers described the cross-coupings of silyl enon ethers with Grignard reagents using a various nickel complexes as catalysts. ${ }^{14}$ Later, Johnstone and McClean observed that Ni-catalyzed Kumada coupling of aryl 5-1-phenyltetrazolyl ethers proceeded smoothly with the use of both alkyl and aryl Grignard reagents. ${ }^{15}$ Recently the Shi group has also reported the Kumada coupling of silyl ethers using $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (Scheme 1.6). ${ }^{16}$ The reaction proceeded in excellent yield on naphthyl derived substrates, and also worked on phenyl and vinyl substrates although in diminished yield.

## Scheme 1.6


( $47 \%$ yield)

### 1.3.2 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

In 2008, Chatani and co-workers demonstrated the first Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl ethers. ${ }^{17}$ By using a $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ catalyst system, methyl ethers were cross-coupled with aryl boronic esters to give biaryl products. The transformation proceeded most efficiently with fused aromatic substrates, as well as electron-deficient phenol derivatives (Scheme 1.7). The scope of the reaction was later expanded to facilitate the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of vinyl methyl ethers. ${ }^{18}$

## Scheme 1.7




Recently, the Doyle laboratory has shown that a number of activated ether substrates participate in Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura couplings. Styrenyl epoxides were found to undergo Suzuki-Miyaura coupling in the presence of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} /$ BrettPhos catalyst system (Scheme 1.8). ${ }^{19}$ Coupling occurs with good yield and regioselectivity, and both electron-rich and deficient boronic acids could be used in this transformation.

## Scheme 1.8



Doyle has also reported the coupling of quinoline or isoquinoline derived $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-acetals, ${ }^{20}$ along with the chromene acetals ${ }^{21}$ using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-acetal couplings were shown to occur with excellent regioselectivity and the transformation could achieve moderate entanioselectivity with the use of a chiral ligand. The conditions for the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-acetal SuzukiMiyaura couplings were also found to facilitate the coupling of chromene derived acetals. A wide scope of boronic acids, included those containing heterocyclic components, furnished coupled products in good yield (Scheme 1.9).

## Scheme 1.9






### 1.4 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl Phosphates

### 1.4.1 Kumada Couplings

One of the earliest reports of the Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling of phenolic derivatives was published in 1981. ${ }^{22}$ In this seminal study, Kumada and co-workers demonstrated that vinyl phosphates could be coupled with trimethylsilylmethyl magnesium halides in the presence of a $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ catalyst. For example, a vinyl phosphate readily prepared from cyclohexanone was converted to the corresponding allylsilane in $81 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.10). The methodology was also tolerant of acyclic vinyl phosphate substrates. Since Kumada and co-workers' report, Claesson and co-workers ${ }^{23}$ and Bäckvall and co-workers ${ }^{24}$ have expanded the scope of this methodology to include the cross-coupling of dienyl phosphates with aryl and alkyl Grignard
reagents. Nicolaou et al. have also demonstrated the nickel-catalyzed Kumada coupling of a lactam-derived ketene aminal phosphate with trimethylsilylmethyl magnesium chloride. ${ }^{25}$

Scheme 1.10


Kumada and co-workers' conditions for vinyl phosphate coupling also proved amenable to the corresponding reactions of aryl phosphates. ${ }^{26}$ As shown in Scheme 1.11, a naphthyl phosphate underwent Ni-catalyzed Kumada coupling to deliver arylated products. Both aryl and alkyl Grignard reagents could be utilized in this methodology.

Scheme 1.11


Nakamura and co-workers have recently reported the Kumada coupling of aryl phosphates using a versatile hydroxyphosphine ligand (Scheme 1.12). ${ }^{27}$ Even electron-rich substrates and ortho-substituted derivatives could be used with this methodology. On the basis of
experimental and computational studies, the authors attribute the high catalytic activity to an in situ formed bimetallic species derived from the nickel precatalyst, the hydroxyphosphine ligand, and the Grignard reagent.

## Scheme 1.12


(100\% yield)

The Kumada coupling of aryl and vinyl phosphates has been used in drug discovery (Scheme 1.13). Tsukuba Research Laboratories carried out the nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of an aryl phosphate with ethylmagnesium chloride to deliver the alkylated product in $81 \%$ yield. ${ }^{28}$ In turn, the alkylated product served as a precursor to a series of compounds, that were found to inhibit the generation of the interleukin-1 (IL-1) cytokine. Subsequently, scientists at Abbott Laboratories reported the conversion of a vinylphosphate to an allylsilane using nickel catalysis. ${ }^{29}$ The allylsilane was elaborated to the depicted homoallylic alcohol, en route to a class of hydroxyethylene dipeptide isosteres.

## Scheme 1.13



Nickel-catalyzed Kumada couplings of phosphate derivatives have also been utilized in natural product total synthesis (Schemes 1.14 and 1.15). In their synthesis of the diterpene fuscol, Yamada and co-workers reported the coupling of a vinyl phosphate substrate with methyl magnesium iodide using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ as a catalyst. ${ }^{30}$ The transformation proceeded readily at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, thus providing an efficient means to install the C13 methyl group of the natural product. In 2003, Lu and co-workers demonstrated the use of an aryl phosphate ester in natural product synthesis (Scheme 1.15). ${ }^{31}$ The aryl phosphate was converted to the corresponding alkylated product under nickel-catalyzed Kumada conditions. This intermediate could be used to access both cryptotanshinone and tanshinone IIA, natural products that were being examined for their ability to inhibit cdc 25 protein phosphatases. ${ }^{32}$

## Scheme 1.14



Scheme 1.15

tanshinone IIA

### 1.4.2 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

In contrast to the nickel-catalyzed Kumada coupling of phosphate esters, reports of the analogous Suzuki-Miyaura coupling are relatively scarce. The first nickel-catalyzed SuzukiMiyaura coupling of a vinyl phosphate was described by Nan and Yang in 1999. ${ }^{33}$ It was shown that cyclohexenylphosphate underwent smooth cross-coupling with a variety of arylboronic acids to deliver arylated products in good yield (Scheme 1.16). In all cases, the requisite $\mathrm{Ni}^{0}$ catalyst was generated by mixing $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$ with $n$-butyllithium prior to the cross-coupling reaction.

## Scheme 1.16




Skrydstrup and co-workers later expanded the scope of the vinyl phosphate SuzukiMiyaura coupling. ${ }^{34,35}$ The nickel-catalyzed coupling of vinyl phosphates with aryl boronic acids proceeds most efficiently with the $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligand to deliver a variety of 1,1 -disubstituted alkenes (Scheme 1.17). The scope of the transformation was found to be broad with respect to the vinyl phosphate substituents, as a number of functionalized aromatics could be used, in addition to heterocycles and alkyl substituents. Considerable variation in the aryl boronic acid fragment was also tolerated.

## Scheme 1.17




In 2011 Zhao and Cheng reported the first Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl phosphates. ${ }^{36}$ Utilizing $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ as a $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precatalyst, both fused and phenyl derivied phosphates coupled in good yield (Scheme 1.18). The substrate scope was also quite broad with respect to the boronic acid component.

Scheme 1.18
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Han and co-workers have also recently achieved the cross-coupling of a related electrophilic species, namely, the aryl phosphoramide group. ${ }^{37}$ In this study, aryl "BOP" coupling partners were readily derived from phenols and bis(2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)phosphinic chloride (Scheme 1.19). Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of various substrates afforded biaryl products in high yield. Of note, naphthyl derivatives and heterocyclic substrates derived from 3- and 4hydoxypyridine could also be cross-coupled efficiently using this methodology.


### 1.4.3 Negishi Couplings

The nickel-catalyzed Negishi coupling of vinyl phosphates has been reported as a tool to synthesize a number of substituted coumarins. ${ }^{38}$ Upon examining several Pd and Ni catalyst, Wu and Yang found that $1 \% \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (dppe) enabled the desired cross-coupling, which proceeded at ambient temperatures (Scheme 1.20). A range of organozinc reagents could be utilized, including aryl-, heteroaryl-, vinyl-, and alkylzinc species, to deliver cross-coupled products in synthetically useful yields.

## Scheme 1.20



### 1.5 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl Mesylates and Tosylates

### 1.5.1 Kumada Couplings

Although aryl halide and triflate electrophiles were known to be effective in Kumada cross-coupling, aryl mesylates were generally regarded as inactive. In 1995, Percec et al. demonstrated the efficient nickel-catalyzed coupling of aryl mesylates with Grignard reagents to produce biaryl products in good yields (Scheme 1.21). ${ }^{39}$ Recently Wu and co-workers have also disclosed conditions for the coupling of aryl tosylates and mesylates with organoindium reagents. ${ }^{40}$

## Scheme 1.21



### 1.5.2 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

In a seminal 1995 report, Percec et al. reported the first nickel-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl mesylates. ${ }^{41}$ Utilizing $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$ as a $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precatalyst that undergoes in situ reduction by zinc powder to $\mathrm{Ni}^{0}$, aryl mesylates could be coupled to produce biaryl products in moderate yields (Scheme 1.22). The choice of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as base was also an important factor in the success of the reaction.



Realizing the use of external reducing agents is often undesirable, a number of groups have explored conditions to promote the nickel-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling without the need to add external reducing agents. The first report of such reactions came from Kobayashi and co-workers using lithium aryl ${ }^{42}$ or alkenyl ${ }^{43}$ organoborates as coupling partners. In 2001, Zim and Monterio expanded the use of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ as an efficient external reducing agent free catalyst for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl tosylates (Scheme 1.23). ${ }^{44}$ Using this catalyst system, simple phenyl tosylates coupled in excellent yields. In subsequent work by Hu and $\mathrm{Tang} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ catalyst system was shown promote the coupling of aryl tosylates at ambient temperatures. ${ }^{45}$

Scheme 1.23


In 2004, Percec et al. reported the use of the $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (dppe)/dppe catalyst system for the coupling aryl mesylates and tosylates. ${ }^{46}$ While this system proved sufficient for electrondeficient substrates, a mixed ligand catalyst system of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppe}) / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ proved to be high yielding across both electron-rich and deficient substrates. Recently Percec and co-workers have also reported a comprehensive study comparing many $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ electrophiles in nickel-catlayzed Suzuki-Miyaura couplings. ${ }^{47}$

The use of a $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$-aryl complex as a catalyst in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling for aryl tosylates has been describe by Yang and co-workers. ${ }^{48}$ High yields were obtained for both electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl tosylates, with high functional group tolerance except for aldehydes and nitro-bearing substrates (Scheme 1.24). Percec et al. have also employed $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ aryl complexes in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of neopentylglycolboronates with aryl mesylates to afford biaryl products in good yields. ${ }^{49}$

## Scheme 1.24






### 1.5.3 Heck Couplings

In 2012, Skrydstrup and co-workers reported the first example for a Heck coupling of an aryl tosylate.$^{50}$ Using a $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} /$ dppf catalyst system, aryl sulfonates were found to undergo Heck coupling with alkyl enol ethers to afford after hydrolysis, aryl ketones in moderate yield (Scheme 1.25).

Scheme 1.25


### 1.6 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl Esters

### 1.6.1 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

In 2008, the Garg and Shi laboratories simultaneously reported the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of esters derived from phenols and naphthols. ${ }^{51,52,53}$ The two methodologies operate under similar conditions, although Garg's method utilizes aryl boronic acid coupling partners, whereas Shi's technology uses aryl boroxines. In Garg's studies, it was found that aryl pivalates were optimal substrates for the coupling with boronic acid (Scheme 1.26). Naphthyl, phenyl, and heterocyclic pivalates could be utilized in this methodology. The scope with respect to the aryl boronic acid component was also shown to be fairly broad. It should be noted that the precatalyst used, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2},{ }^{54,55}$ is commercially available, air-stable, and bench top friendly. ${ }^{56}$ Moreover,
a tandem acylation / cross-coupling variation of this transformation was developed, which allowed for the one-pot conversion of 1-naphthol to a biaryl product. ${ }^{51}$

## Scheme 1.26



As mentioned above, Shi's protocol for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of phenolic esters utilized aryl boroxines instead of aryl boronic acids. ${ }^{52}$ Under optimal conditions, with 0.88 equiv of water, acetate, pivalate, and benzoate ester derivatives could be cross-coupled with aryl boroxines (Scheme 1.27). Nonfused aromatic substrates were also tolerated, provided that pivalate esters were used as the substrates (Scheme 1.28). A computational mechanistic study on the nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl esters is available, which suggests that transmetalation is likely the rate-determining step in these processes. ${ }^{57}$ Recently, Molander and Beaumard have reported that, in addition to boronic acids and boroximes, aryl and heteroaryl potassium trifluoroborate salts were effective partners for $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{PCy}_{3} \mathrm{HBF}_{4}$-catalyzed crosscoupling of aryl pivalates. ${ }^{58}$

## Scheme 1.27



Scheme 1.28


The Garg and Shi laboratories have independently demonstrated the utility of aryl ester cross-couplings through sequential cross-coupling sequences. In Shi and co-workers' example, ${ }^{52}$ Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of a readily available aryl pivalate furnished the biaryl product in 75\% yield (Scheme 1.29). Subsequent Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, hydrolysis, and acylation provided a new aryl pivalate substrate in $62 \%$ yield over 3 steps. Finally, Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of the aryl pivalate gave rise to a triaryl product.

## Scheme 1.29



Garg and co-workers' example showcased the directing ability of aryl pivalates, in addition to the low reactivity of these substrates toward $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ (Scheme 1.30). ${ }^{51}$ A naphthyl pivalate underwent regioselective para-bromination. Exposure of the resulting bromopivalate to Pdcatalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling conditions with an indolylboronic ester led to selective coupling of the aryl bromide. Of note, the robust pivalate group remained intact, despite the harsh basic conditions used (i.e., aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Next, the aryl pivalate underwent Suzuki-Miyaura coupling under nickel-catalyzed conditions to afford a triaryl product in $88 \%$ yield.

## Scheme 1.30



The scope of the nickel-catalyzed ester coupling extends beyond aryl systems, as demonstrated by the cross-coupling of vinyl acetates and pivalates. For example, the pivalate derived from $\alpha$-tetralone was cross-coupled in $79 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.31). Although most examples of vinyl acetate and pivalate coupling involve styrenyl systems, an estrone-derived vinyl acetate has also been coupled under Shi's Suzuki-Miyaura conditions. ${ }^{59}$ Interestingly, in this latter example, the aryl acetate moiety in the substrate underwent hydrolysis rather than cross-coupling.

## Scheme 1.31



The Shi group has also reported the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of $\alpha$-pivaloxyl ketones using $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ as an effective $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precatalyst, thus allowing for the construction $\mathrm{sp}^{2}-\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-$ C bonds. ${ }^{60}$ A wide variety of benzylic $\alpha$-pivaloxyl ketones proved to be viable partners in the coupling reaction, as did a $t$-butyl $\alpha$-pivaloxyl ketone (Scheme 1.32). Also of note was the coupling of an $\alpha$-pivaloxyl ketone in the presence of an aryl pivalate. Either aryl boronic acids or aryl boroxines could be used in this transformation.

Scheme 1.32
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### 1.6.2 Negishi Couplings

The scope of pivalate cross-coupling has been extended by the Shi group to include the Negishi coupling of 2-naphthol derivatives and electron-deficient aryl pivalates (Scheme 1.33).. ${ }^{61}$ For example, 2-naphthylpivalate could be cross-coupled with a range of aryl zinc reagents to furnish 2-aryl naphthalenes The corresponding arylation of styrenyl vinyl pivalates was also demonstrated.

## Scheme 1.33



### 1.6.3 Heck Couplings

Watson and co-workers have recently described the first Heck coupling of aryl pivalates. ${ }^{62}$ Coupling of 2-naphthylpivalate with various styrenyl derivatives provided products in excellent yield (Scheme 1.34). The scope included phenyl and heterocyclic pivalates. Of note, of the many phenol derivates examined (i.e. OTs, $\mathrm{OMs}, \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), aryl pivalates proved to be the optimal substrate for this transformation under the developed reaction conditions.

## Scheme 1.34
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### 1.6.4 C-H Azole Couplings

The nickel-catalyzed coupling of azoles with phenol derivatives has been reported by the Itami laboratory. ${ }^{63}$ A number of phenol derivatives, including triflates, sulfamates, and carbamates could be used; however, in most instances the coupling was performed with aryl pivalates. Variation in the both the azole and aryl pivalate were well tolerated in the reaction (Scheme 1.35). Interestingly, ligands commonly employed in nickel-catalyzed reactions involving aryl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds were completely ineffective in this transformation and 1,2bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane (dcype) was found to be the ligand of choice.

## Scheme 1.35






### 1.6.5 Palladium-Catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

Although aryl esters are typically thought to be inert toward palladium catalysis, a report from Li and co-workers at Boehringer-Ingelheim pharmaceuticals describes the palladiumcatalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of quinoline-derived benzoates (Scheme 1.36). ${ }^{64} \mathrm{~A}$ wide variety of benzoate esters derived from 4-hydroxyquinoline underwent coupling with a range of aryl boronic acids in excellent yield. Of note, is the transformation proceeds in the absence of base.

Scheme 1.36


### 1.7 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl Sulfamates

### 1.7.1 Kumada Couplings

Snieckus and co-workers have shown that the $O$-sulfamate moiety is an effective group for directed ortho-metalation (DoM) and use in nickel-catalyzed Kumada couplings. In an early report, ${ }^{65}$ the Snieckus laboratory found that the Ni-NHC complex, NiClCpIMes, was an extraordinarily efficient catalyst for the cross-coupling of aryl sulfamates with aryl Grignard
reagents. As shown in Scheme 1.37, this allowed for the construction of biaryl products, including ortho-substituted biaryls, in good to excellent yield.

Scheme 1.37


Wehn and Du Bois have reported the nickel-catalyzed Kumada coupling of cyclic sulfamate derivatives. ${ }^{66}$ In this methodology the cyclic sulfamates were constructed via a rhodium-catalyzed nitrene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction, and then subjected to conditions for the nickel-catalyzed Kumada coupling. This allowed for the construction of functionalized aromatics starting from simple ortho-substituted phenols. Variation in the nitrogen substituent, and Grignard reagent was well tolerated (Scheme 1.38).

## Scheme 1.38




### 1.7.2 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

Garg recently reported the first successful Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl sulfamates (Scheme 1.39). ${ }^{67}$ Naphthyl, nonfused aromatic, heterocyclic, and vinyl sulfamates were converted to biaryl products in good yields. The coupling of sterically hindered of orthosubstituted sulfamates could be overcome at elevated temperatures. This Ni-catalyzed crosscoupling of sulfamates was applied to the synthesis of the anti-inflammatory drug flurbiprofen, highlighting the ability of the sulfamate to serve as a powerful directing group and as a competent coupling partner (Scheme 1.40).

Scheme 1.39


## Scheme 1.40




Subsequently, Garg and Snieckus extended the scope of aryl sulfamate Suzuki-Miyaura couplings to allow for the coupling of a wider variety of heterocyclic substrates (Scheme 1.41). ${ }^{68}$ It was also demonstrated that an aryl sulfamate could be coupled in the presence of an aryl carbamates with high selectivity (Scheme 1.42). Computational and experimental mechanistic studies provided evidence that transmetalation is likely the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle, in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl sulfamate.

Scheme 1.41



## Scheme 1.42



The Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl sulfamates and carbamates has also been explored by Kappe and co-workers using microwave conditions. ${ }^{69}$ The substrate scope and yields using the microwave conditions proved comparable to those found using conventional thermal conditions (Scheme 1.43). It was found that reaction times were very quick, usually on the order of 10 minutes, and that the reaction could be scaled up to a 375 mmol scale without an appreciable loss in yield.

## Scheme 1.43



### 1.8 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl Carbamates and Carbonates

### 1.8.1 Kumada Couplings

Although typically considered inert substrates, aryl and vinyl carbamates participate in cross-coupling reactions. The earliest examples of carbamate couplings were described by Kocienski and Dixon in 1989. ${ }^{70}$ The authors found that a vinyl carbamate substrate could be coupled with alkyl Grignard reagents in the presence of $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precatalysts (Scheme 1.44). Methylation and butylation delivered the corresponding olefins with retention of alkene stereochemistry. In the latter case, the $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (dppe) complex was found to suppress undesired reduction of the substrate that had been observed when using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$. Betzer and co-workers have reported similar results using vinyl, aryl, and alkyl Grignard reagents, where the identity of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\text {II }}$ precatalyst was found to influence product distribution (i.e., desired cross-coupling versus reduction or homocoupling). ${ }^{71}$

Scheme 1.44

(94\% yield)
(70\% yield)

Snieckus and co-workers have demonstrated that aryl carbamates undergo Kumada coupling with aryl and alkyl Grignard reagents under conditions similar to those utilized for vinyl carbamate coupling. ${ }^{72}$ The transformation is tolerant of fused aromatic carbamate substrates, in addition to nonfused aromatic derivatives and heterocycles (Scheme 1.45). Of note, the authors found that ortho-substituted aryl carbamates are easily accessible through directedmetalation chemistry ${ }^{5}$ and, more recently, via Pd -mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization. ${ }^{4}$

## Scheme 1.45



Snieckus and co-workers' methodology has proven quite robust and scalable, as demonstrated in a high-yielding synthesis of 2,7-dimethylnaphthalene beginning from 2,7dihydroxynaphthalene, which was carried out on a 200 mmol scale. ${ }^{73}$ Recently, Nakamura and co-workers have utilized a hydroxyphosphine ligand to achieve aryl carbamate Kumada couplings. ${ }^{27}$

### 1.8.2 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

The Garg and Snieckus laboratories simultaneously reported the nickel-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl carbamates. ${ }^{67,68,74,}$ Garg's method allows for the cross-coupling of aryl carbamates with aryl boronic acids to deliver biaryls (Scheme 1.46). Fused aromatic substrates provided the highest yields, whereas nonfused aromatics typically afforded products in ca. $50 \%$ yield. The optimal reaction conditions also facilitated the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of naphthyl carbonates. As described by Kappe, microwave conditions that proved successful for
the coupling of aryl sulfamates also proved applicable to the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl carbamates. ${ }^{69}$

## Scheme 1.46



Snieckus' variant of the aryl carbamate Suzuki-Miyaura coupling possesses a wide substrate scope.$^{68}$ By using a mixture of triaryl boroxine and aryl boronic acid (10:1 ratio) at 150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, naphthyl substrates, nonfused aromatics, and heterocyclic substrates could be used in the methodology (Scheme 1.47). Most strikingly, several ortho-substituted aromatics could be crosscoupled in synthetically useful yields. This tactic, prefaced by the introduction or orthosubstituents using directed metalation, provided access to several polysubstituted aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds. More recently, Shi and co-workers have disclosed an alternative protocol for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl carbamates using triaryl boroxines and 1 equiv of water. ${ }^{75}$ The modified protocol functioned at lower temperatures (i.e., $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), provided biaryls in high yields ranging from $62-95 \%$, and proved amenable to the corresponding crosscoupling of vinyl carbamate substrates.

## Scheme 1.47



Recently Kuwano and Shimizu have reported an improved protocol for the coupling of aryl carbonates. ${ }^{76}$ Imperative to the success of the reaction is the use of the $1,1^{\prime}$ bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ferrocene (DCyPF) ligand. Under optimized reaction conditions, aryl carbonates were coupled to afford biaryl products in good yield (Scheme 1.48).

## Scheme 1.48



### 1.8.3 Negishi Couplings

In 2012, Fu and co-workers reported the first nickel-catalyzed Negishi coupling of propargylic carbonates. ${ }^{77}$ It was shown that racemic propargylic carbonates could be coupled with a wide scope of aryl zinc reagents to afford products in good yield and excellent $e e$ (Scheme 1.49). This methodology is particularly noteworthy given its ability to construct $\mathrm{sp}^{2}-\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in an asymmetric fashion utilizing an unconventional coupling partner.

## Scheme 1.49



### 1.9 Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Phenols

### 1.9.1 Kumada Couplings

Shi and co-workers recently described the unprecedented cross-coupling of 2-naphthol derivatives. ${ }^{78}$ In this transformation, 2-naphthol derivatives were treated sequentially with methyl magnesium bromide and an aryl Grignard reagent in the presence of $\mathrm{NiF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to deliver arylated products (Scheme 1.50). Although the reaction only proceeds with 2-naphthol derivatives, the scope with respect to substituents on the naphthol is quite broad, as is the range with respect to the aryl Grignard reagents. Cross-coupled products were obtained in $67-92 \%$
yield depending on the nature of the substrate. Several phenoxide substrates were tested, and it was found that bulky substituents decreased the rate of the reaction, thus resulting in lower yields.
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### 1.9.2 Suzuki-Miyaura Couplings

Shi has also extended the scope of phenol couplings to include the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. ${ }^{79}$ As seen in earlier examples involving Kumada couplings, the best yields were obtained using 2-naphthol (Scheme 1.51). However, in the case of the Suzuki-Miyaura couplings the substrate scope was expanded to include other polycyclic phenols. Attempts to couple simple phenol derivatives proved difficult and afforded the desired biaryl products in low yield.


### 1.10 Non Carbon-Carbon Bond Forming Reactions

### 1.10.1 Amination Reactions

Chatani and co-workers have discovered conditions that enable the amination of methyl ethers using nickel catalysis. The amination of 2-methoxynaphthalene proceeds readily using $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ and the N -heterocyclic carbene ligand IPr in toluene at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 1.52$) .{ }^{80} \mathrm{Cyclic}$ amines of varying sizes were tolerated, as were acyclic amines and substrates possessing additional heteroatoms. Phenolic methyl ethers could also be used in the amination reaction, albeit with lower yields (ca. 40\%).

## Scheme 1.52



The amination of aryl phosphates using nickel-catalysis was reported by Huang and Yang in 2011 (Scheme 1.53). ${ }^{81}$ The methodology relies on the use a $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$-aryl complex as a precatalyst that is reduced in situ to the active $\mathrm{Ni}^{0}$ catalyst. This in conjunction with the $N$-heterocyclic carbene ligand IPr, allows for the coupling of aryl phosphates in good yield. Both phenyl and fused aromatic phosphates were competent coupling partners, and the scope with respect to amine was also broad.

## Scheme 1.53
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In 2000, Bolm et al. reported the first nickel-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond forming reaction of aryl tosylates with $N$-aryl sulfoximines (Scheme 1.54 ). $.^{82} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{BINAP}$ catalyst system was found to be effective for promoting the desired transformation.

## Scheme 1.54




In 2008, Yang and co-workers extended the amination of aryl tosylates to include secondary amines and aniline derivatives (Scheme 1.55 )..$^{83}$ Using $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$-aryl complexes and $\mathrm{NaO} t$ Bu as base, a number of aryl tosylates could be coupled to deliver aminated products in good yield.

## Scheme 1.55



In addition to serving as tools for carbon-carbon bond formation, aryl pivalates have been utilized to access carbon-nitrogen bonds using methodology disclosed by Chatani and coworkers (Scheme 1.56). ${ }^{84}$ The use of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}$ and the N -heterocyclic carbene ligand IPr facilitiated the desired transformation. The scope of the methodology was broad with respect to both the pivalate and amine coupling partners.

## Scheme 1.56



The first nickel-catalyzed amination of sulfamates was reported by Garg and co-workers (Scheme 1.57). It was shown that aryl sulfamates, including many ortho-substituted compounds, would undergo amination with both cyclic and acyclic amines, as well as aniline derivatives, using a $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{SIPr}$ catalyst system. ${ }^{85}$ Showcasing the unique ability of the sulfamate for the construction of polysubstituted aromatics, a concise synthesis of the antibacterial drug linezolid was also demonstrated (Scheme 1.58).


Scheme 1.58


Ackermann and co-workers have also explored the amination of aryl sulfamates (Scheme 1.59). ${ }^{86}$ In contrast to many other nickel-catalyzed aminations, this method relies on the use of dppf as a ligand instead of a $N$-heterocyclic carbene. Nonetheless, the substrate scope is
comparable with aniline derivatives and both cyclic and acyclic amines undergoing efficient coupling.

Scheme 1.59
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The first carbamate amination was a single example reported in Chatani and co-workers' study of aryl pivalate amination. ${ }^{84}$ Garg later disclosed a general method for aryl carbamate amination. ${ }^{87}$ It was found that conditions that promoted the amination of aryl sulfamates could also be applied to the amination of aryl carbamates. Reactions had a wide substrate scope with respect to both the aryl carbamate and amine coupling partners (Scheme 1.60). A computational study was also conducted, which suggested that reductive elimination was the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle.


### 1.10.2 Deoxygenation Reactions

Sasaki and co-workers reported the first conditions for the deoxygenation of aryl mesylates. ${ }^{88}$ Conditions using a $\mathrm{NiBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} / \mathrm{dppb}$ catalyst system in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DMF}$ afforded reduced products in good yields (Scheme 1.61). Reductions can also be expanded to include alkyl mesylates as described by Alonso and Yus with the use of a $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \bullet 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Li}$-DTBB catalyst system. ${ }^{89}$

## Scheme 1.61




Aryl tosylates also undergo deoxygenation reactions as describe by Kogan. ${ }^{90}$ Using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, or $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in cases where other functional groups were sensitive to reduction, aryl tosylates could be reduced in excellent yield (Scheme 1.62).

## Scheme 1.62



Lipshutz et al. have tested their heterogeneous $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst in the reduction of aryl mesylates and tosylates. ${ }^{91}$ The $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst was prepared using $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \bullet \mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ as reducing agent and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as a ligand. Microwave irradiation decreased the reaction time considerably (ca. 45 minutes) and in some case provide quantitative reduction (Scheme 1.63). This mild reaction showed very good tolerance to other sensitive functional groups such as esters, amides, and ketones.

## Scheme 1.63




In a recent report, Álvarez-Bercedo and Martin ${ }^{92}$ found that aryl methyl ethers could be reduced to the corresponding arenes by using catalytic $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} / \mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ in the presence of stoichiometric tetramethyldisiloxane (TMDSO) as a hydride source (Scheme 1.64). This technique can be broadly applied to substituted arenes, naphthalenes, biaryls, or heterobiaryls and provides a useful approach toward removing methoxy groups from a substrate after their use as ortho-directing groups has been fulfilled.

Scheme 1.64
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Chatani and co-workers have also reported the nickel-catalyzed reduction of aryl methyl ethers, and have also applied the methodology to the reduction of aryl pivalate esters as (Scheme
1.65). ${ }^{93}$ Both fused aromatic and phenyl methyl ethers could be reduced to the corresponding arene in good yields. Aryl pivalates proved to be excellent substrates as, affording good yields of the desired product across a wide variety of substrates.

## Scheme 1.65




### 1.10.3 Borylation Reactions

The first example for the borylation of an aryl mesylate was reported by Percec, although the transformation proceeded in only $8 \%$ yield. ${ }^{94}$ In subsequent efforts, Percec and co-workers have developed an improved procedure utilizing a mixed catalyst system of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppp}) / \mathrm{dppf}$ for the neopentylglycolborylation of aryl mesylates and tosylates to deliver aryl boronic esters in moderate yields. In the case of ortho-substituted compounds, yields were generally diminshed (Scheme 1.66). ${ }^{95}$

## Scheme 1.66




The neopentylglycolborylation of aryl carbamates has recently been reported by Shi and co-workers (Scheme 1.67). ${ }^{96}$ Carbamates derived from both fused and phenyl substrates provided borylated products in good yields. The use of a diboron reagent in this transformation also prevented the need to add an external reducing agent for the reduction of the $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precatalyst to the active $\mathrm{Ni}^{0}$ catalyst.

Scheme 1.67


### 1.10.4 Phosphonylation Reactions

The Zhang laboratory has shown that the nickel-catalyzed phosphonylation of aryl tosylates and mesylates affords aryl phosphine oxides in moderate to good yields (Scheme 1.68). ${ }^{97}$ Among the catalyst systems tested, the $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) / \mathrm{dppf}$ catalyst system was uniquely effective for the transformation.

## Scheme 1.68



### 1.11 Conclusion

As discussed above, the use of nickel in transition metal-catalyzed reactions has become an extremely popular and rapidly expanding field of research. In large part this is due to the unique ability of nickel to activate unconventional $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ electrophiles for use in cross-coupling reactions. Often times, these $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ electrophiles are more readily available than the corresponding organic halides, and may have improved stability to a variety of reaction conditions. For instance the low reactivity of aryl pivalates, carbamates, and sulfamates toward Pd catalysis provides the opportunity for sequential site selective couplings. Several of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ electrophiles also serve as powerful directing groups for the synthesis of complex polysubstituted aromatic compounds. It is
expected that the use of nickel in transition metal catalysis will continue to grow in the coming years as new, practical, and innovative methods are discovered.
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## CHAPTER TWO

# Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl Pivalates with Boronic Acids 

Kyle W. Quasdorf, Xia Tian, and Neil K. Garg.
J. Am. Chem.Soc. 2008, 130, 14422-14223.

### 2.1 Abstract

The first cross-coupling of acylated phenol derivatives has been achieved. In the presence of an air-stable $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complex, readily accessible aryl pivalates participate in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with arylboronic acids. The process is tolerant of considerable variation in each of the cross-coupling components. In addition, a one-pot acylation/crosscoupling sequence has been developed. The potential to utilize an aryl pivalate as a directing group has also been demonstrated, along with the ability to sequentially cross-couple an aryl bromide followed by an aryl pivalate, using palladium and nickel catalysis, respectively.

### 2.2 Introduction

Transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have emerged as one of the most powerful methods for constructing carbon-carbon ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ) and carbon-heteroatom ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ ) bonds. ${ }^{1}$ Whereas methodologies for the cross-coupling of aryl halides have significantly improved in the past decade, ${ }^{1,2}$ less progress has been made toward the coupling of the corresponding phenol derivatives. ${ }^{1}$ Given that phenols are cheap and readily available, and that oxygenation can be used to direct the installation of functional groups on an aromatic ring, practical methods that allow for the cross-coupling of phenol derivatives are extremely attractive.

Of the known methods for cross-coupling phenol derivatives, ${ }^{1,3,4,5}$ there are no examples that utilize simple $O$-acylated phenols. ${ }^{6}$ Such a process would be of great value, given that $O$ acylated phenols are: a) exceedingly simple to prepare, b) among the most affordable phenol derivatives available, ${ }^{7}$ c) stable to a variety of reaction conditions, and d) able to direct the installation of other functional groups onto an aromatic ring. Furthermore, this cross-coupling would presumably begin by the selective oxidative addition of a metal into the aryl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond of the $O$-acylated phenol (Figure 2.1), a transformation that has never been achieved. ${ }^{8}$ In this Communication, we describe the first cross-coupling reactions of $O$-acylated phenol derivatives, involving the Ni-catalyzed reaction of aryl pivalates.


Figure 2.1. Cross-coupling of $O$-acylated phenol derivatives.

Of the vast array of cross-coupling reactions known, Suzuki-Miyaura couplings were chosen as the starting point for our studies because of the numerous advantages that pertain to using boronic acids (i.e., low toxicity, wide availability, stability to water and air, and high functional group tolerance). ${ }^{1,9}$ Several challenges were apparent from the outset of our endeavors. First, the $O$-acylated phenol substrates we intended to employ could be prone to hydrolysis under typical Suzuki-Miyaura conditions involving strong base. Thus, robust pivalate esters $\left(-\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)$ were selected as the acylated phenol derivatives of choice. In addition, we postulated that the activation energy for oxidative addition between a transition metal and the
aryl C-O bond of an acylated phenol derivative would be fairly high. Since fused aromatic systems are generally activated toward oxidative addition, ${ }^{1 a, 4}$ a naphthol derivative was first examined.

### 2.3 Substrate Scope With Respect to the Pivalate Component

An extensive survey of various reaction parameters (e.g., choice of metal, ${ }^{10}$ ligand, ${ }^{11}$ solvent, base, additives, and temperature) led to the identification of a catalyst system that facilitates the desired cross-coupling. Under optimal conditions (i.e., $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv) in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), coupling of naphthyl pivalate $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ and phenylboronic acid (2.2a) ${ }^{12}$ afforded biaryl product 2.3a in $92 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.1). The $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ precatalyst ${ }^{13}$ of choice is readily available ${ }^{14}$ and also shows marked stability to air. Therefore, all reactions are routinely carried out on the bench-top rather than in a glovebox, circumventing a common limitation of related $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ processes. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}, 4}$

Scheme 2.1


Table 2.1. Cross-couplings of various aryl pivalates with arylboronic acids 2.2a or 2.2b. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | Ar-OPiv | $(\mathrm{HO})_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Ar}$ | product | yield $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1




2



73\%

3

2.2a


83\%

4

$2.2 b$

$65 \%^{\text {c }}$
5


$2.2 b$

$79 \%^{\mathrm{c}}$

6

2.2a

$58 \%^{\mathrm{c}}$

7

2.2a


82\%

8

2.2b

$79 \%^{\text {d }}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(7.2$ equiv), toluene ( 0.3 M ), 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yields. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(9$ equiv), toluene ( 0.3 M ), $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ ( 2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene ( 0.3 M ), $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

The scope of this methodology was first examined by varying the aryl pivalate component (Table 2.1). Cross-coupling of $p$-methoxyphenylboronic acid (2.2b) with the pivalate derivative of 2-naphthol proceeded in $92 \%$ yield (entry 1). In addition, the reaction proved tolerant of an electron-withdrawing group $\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$, entry 2$)$ and an electron-donating group ( OMe, entry 3) on the naphthyl ring. The corresponding reactions of non-fused aryl pivalates proved more challenging. Nonetheless, employing additional equivalents of boronic acid and increasing catalyst loading to $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, significantly improved the yields of cross-coupled products. For instance, $p$ - and $o$-tolyl pivalates afforded products in $65 \%$ and $79 \%$ yields (entries 4 and 5), respectively. A non-fused aromatic substrate bearing a $p$-methoxy substituent also participated in the cross-coupling reaction (entry 6). Finally, a substrate derived from $N$-methyl-2-hydroxycarbazole underwent smooth cross-coupling (entry 7), as did a vinyl pivalate derived from tetralone (entry 8).

### 2.4 Substrate Scope With Respect to the Arylboronic Acid Component

We have also found that a range of arylboronic acids participate in the Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling of naphthyl pivalate 2.1 (Table 2.2). For instance, cross-coupling of electron-rich boronic acid 2.2b, bearing a p-methoxy substituent, furnished biaryl adduct $\mathbf{2 . 3} \mathbf{3}$ in $95 \%$ yield (entry 1). Electron-deficient boronic acid 2.2c can also be utilitized in the desired cross-coupling reaction (entry 2). Finally, Me-substitution is tolerated at the $p, m$, and $o$-positions as demonstrated by the coupling of substrates $\mathbf{2 . 2 d} \mathbf{- f}$, respectively (entries 3-5), although the $o$ substituted substrate (entry 5) requires elevated temperatures and proceeds in modest yield.

Table 2.2. Cross-coupling of pivalate 2.1 with various arylboronic acids. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
entry
${ }^{a}$ Conditions: Pivalate 2.1 (1 equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 4.5 equiv), toluene ( 0.3 M ), 24 h . ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Isolated yields.

### 2.5 One Pot Acylation / Cross-Coupling and Orthogonal Cross-Coupling Reactions

Figure 2.2 highlights two unprecedented and powerful variations of the cross-coupling methods described herein. As pivalylation protocols typically proceed quantitatively and with minimal byproduct formation, we hypothesized that a one-pot acylation/cross-coupling sequence of phenol derivatives could be possible. Gratifyingly, our efforts to achieve the one-pot conversion of 1-naphthol (2.4) to biaryl adduct 2.3b were successful, affording the desired product in $86 \%$ yield. Next, to demonstrate the directing ability of aryl pivalates, ${ }^{15}$ naphthyl pivalate $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ was selectively brominated at C 4 to afford bromopivalate $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ in $84 \%$ yield. ${ }^{16}$ Postulating that the pivalate functional group of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ would not be reactive toward $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$, we next attempted to carry out orthogonal cross-coupling reactions of the bromide and pivalate groups. In the first cross-coupling, treatment of substrate 2.5 with indolylboronic ester $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ under Pdcatalysis led to the selective reaction of the aryl bromide to afford biaryl product 2.7, with the robust pivalate group remaining intact, despite the harsh basic conditions employed (i.e., aqueous
$\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Next, aryl pivalate 2.7 underwent smooth cross-coupling under our nickelcatalyzed conditions to afford triaryl product $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ in $88 \%$ yield.

Pivalate Directing Group Ability \& Orthogonal Cross-Coupling Reactions


Figure 2.2. One-pot acylation/cross-coupling sequence and orthogonal cross-coupling reactions.

### 2.6 Conclusion

In summary, we have discovered the first cross-coupling reactions of $O$-acylated phenol derivatives. The method described relies on the use of a readily available, air-stable $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complex to facilitate the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl pivalates. In addition, a one-pot acylation/cross-coupling sequence has been developed. Moreover, the potential to utilize an aryl pivalate as a directing group has been demonstrated, along with the ability to sequentially crosscouple an aryl bromide followed by an aryl pivalate. Studies aimed at probing mechanistic aspects of these findings are currently underway.

### 2.7 Experimental Section

### 2.7.1 Materials and Methods

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen using anhydrous solvents (either freshly distilled or passed through activated alumina columns). All commercially obtained reagents were used as received. $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (anhydrous) and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ were obtained from Strem Chemicals. Finely powdered anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was obtained from Acros (note: non-powdered $K_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Strem Chemicals, or Pfaltz \& Bauer was not effective). Boronic acids were obtained from either Oakwood Products (2.2b-2.2f), Sigma-Aldrich (2.2a), or TCI (2.2a, 2.2b). Reaction temperatures were controlled using an IKAmag temperature modulator, and unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed at room temperature (rt, approximately $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with EMD gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates ( 0.25 mm ) and visualized using a combination of UV, anisaldehyde, ceric ammonium molybdate, and potassium permanganate staining. EMD silica gel 60 (particle size $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for flash column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers (at 500 MHz ) and are reported relative to deuterated solvent signals. Data for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity, coupling constant $(\mathrm{Hz})$ and integration. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Spectrometers (at 125 MHz ). Data for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 100 spectrometer and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Melting points are uncorrected and were obtained on a Laboratory Devices Mel-Temp II instrument. High resolution mass spectra were obtained from the UC Irvine Mass Spectrometry Facility.

### 2.7.2 Experimental Procedures

## A. Synthesis of Aryl Pivalates



Representative Procedure (naphthyl pivalate 2.1 is used as an example). To a solution of 1naphthol (2.4) (6.32 g, 43.9 mmol , 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $7.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and DMAP ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 4.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). Pivaloyl chloride ( $6.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was then added dropwise over 5 min . After stirring for 4 h the reaction was quenched with a solution of aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Following evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ Hexanes:EtOAc) to yield $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ as a yellow oil ( $8.84 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.90-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.5,0.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 177.2,147.1,134.9,128.2,127.2$, 126.6, 126.5, 126.0, 125.6, 121.2, 118.1, 39.7, 27.6; IR (film): 2976, 2937, 1742, 1388, $1225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$
${ }^{1}$; HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 251.1048$; found, 251.1046.


Pivalate 2.9 (Table 2.1, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography (6:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 2.9 as a white solid ( $92 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.68$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.89-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data for $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ match those previously reported. ${ }^{17}$


Pivalate 2.10 (Table 2.1, entry 2). Purification by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 2.10 as a white solid ( $93 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.6$ (1:1 Hexanes:Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 176.8,166.8,150.5,135.9,130.7,130.6,130.2,127.6,127.0,125.7,121.9,118.2$, $52.0,39.0,27.0$; IR (film): $2965,1749,1711,1474,1286 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; m.p. $103-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}, 309.1103$; found, 309.1106.


Pivalate 2.11 (Table 2.1, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography (3:1 Benzene:Hexanes) afforded 2.11 as a white solid ( $98 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.5$ (2:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.51 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 177.6,153.4$, 140.4, 127.9, 127.1, 126.4, 125.8, 122.6, 121.0, 117.7, 103.1, 55.9, 39.6, 27.6; IR (film): 2978, 2956, 1746, 1583, 1390, $1264 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; m.p. 67-69 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}, 281.1154$; found, 281.1152.


Pivalate 2.12 (Table 2.1, entry 4). Purification by flash chromatography (9:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 2.12 as a white solid ( $89 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.7$ (4:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{18}$


Pivalate 2.13 (Table 2.1, entry 5). Purification by flash chromatography (19:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 2.13 as a colorless oil ( $64 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.6$ (4:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.23-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H). Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{19}$

2.14

Pivalate 2.14 (Table 2.1, entry 6). Purification by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 2.14 as a colorless oil (99\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.3$ (4:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}(125$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.6,157.3,144.8,122.4,114.6,55.8,39.2,27.3 ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$, 231.0997; found, 231.0993. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{20}$


Carbazole substrate 2.17 (Table 2.1, entry 7). To a mixture of 2-hydroxycarbazole (2.15) (1.00 $\mathrm{g}, 5.45 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and triethylamine ( $0.830 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added pivaloyl chloride ( $0.700 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) dropwise over 1 min . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 h , the resulting heterogeneous mixture was filtered to remove the triethylammonium salt and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography $(10 \% \rightarrow 20 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes) to afford pivalate 2.16 as a white powder ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.56$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=7,7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.9,149.4,140.0$, $139.8,125.4,122.8,121.0,120.6,120.0,119.5,113.0,110.6,103.7,39.1,27.2$; IR (film): 3405,

2985, 2870, 1732, $1444 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; m.p. 205-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 290.1157$; found, 290.1150.

To a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 3.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in DMF ( 5 mL ) and DMSO $(0.67 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of pivalate $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}(789 \mathrm{mg}, 2.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in DMF ( 1.67 mL ) dropwise over 5 min . After stirring for 30 min , MeI ( $0.221 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added and the cooling bath was removed. After an additional 30 min of stirring, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 15 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) to give pivalate $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ as a white powder ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.54$ (1:1 Hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5,8.2,1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=2,1 \mathrm{H})$, $\left.7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(125MHz,CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 177.5,149.7, ~$ $141.44,141.38,125.4,122.4,120.7,120.5,120.1,119.1,112.6,108.4,101.7,39.1,29.1,27.2 ;$ IR (film): 2986, 2956, 1750, 1598, 1466, $1451 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; m.p. $135-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 304.1313$; found, 304.1308.


Vinyl pivalate 2.19 (Table 2.1, entry 8). A $60 \%$ suspension of KH in mineral oil was added to a round bottom flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The suspension was then washed with pentane ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to give dry $\mathrm{KH}\left(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2\right.$ equiv) as a grey powder. The flask was then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath and DME ( 30 mL ) was added. A solution of $\alpha$-tetralone ( $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 )}$ ( $1.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$
equiv) in DME ( 6 mL ) was then added dropwise to the suspension of KH in DME over 5 min . The reaction was then stirred for 30 min , warmed to rt , then stirred for an additional 30 min . The flask was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of pivaloyl chloride $(2.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.67$ equiv) in DME ( 6 mL ) was added dropwise. The ice bath was then removed and the reaction was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The resulting mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and slowly quenched with a solution of aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Ether ( 25 mL ) was then added and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) to yield pivalate $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ as a yellow oil ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.43$ ( $9: 1$ Hexanes: EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.23-7.14$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.0,145.9,136.6,130.9,128.0,127.7,126.5,120.7$, 115.2, 39.4, 27.7, 27.5, 22.2; IR (film): 2973, 2936, 1750, 1479, $1181 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 253.1204$; found, 253.1205.

## B. Preparation of $\mathbf{N i C l}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$


$\left.\mathbf{N i C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{P C y}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 2 . 2 0}\right)$. This catalyst was prepared following the procedures previously described in the literature. ${ }^{21}$ In a glove box, two separate flasks were charged with $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 19.4$ mmol, 1 equiv) and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}(14.2 \mathrm{~g}, 50.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.62$ equiv), respectively. The flasks were removed from the glove box, placed under a positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and degassed EtOH (sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 15 min ) was added to each flask ( 50 mL each). The solution of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ was heated
(approximately $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) until a yellow homogeneous solution was obtained, which was then transferred via cannula into the solution of $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ in EtOH , with stirring. The resulting purple heterogeneous mixture was gently refluxed for 1 h and then allowed to cool to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solid that formed was collected by filtration, washed sequentially with ice cold EtOH ( 50 mL ) and ice cold $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried under reduced pressure for 12 h , to afford $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{2 . 2 0})$ as a reddish-purple powder ( $10.20 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ yield). This complex could be stored in a scintillation vial on the bench-top, protected from light, for several months without loss of purity or catalytic activity. m.p. $227-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ decomp.; literature m.p. $227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## C. Cross-Coupling Reactions



## Representative Procedure (coupling of naphthyl pivalate 2.1 and phenylboronic acid 2.2a is

 used as an example). A 1-dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(418 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv, obtained from Acros) and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Phenylboronic acid 2.2a (134 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and pivalate substrate 1 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.439 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added. The vial was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Toluene ( 1.46 mL ) was added and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction vessel was cooled to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then transferred to a round bottom flask containing$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Silica gel ( 3 mL ) was added and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a free-flowing powder. This powder was then dry-loaded onto a silica gel column ( $2.5 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and purified by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) to yield 88 mg of a mixture ( 14.5 to 1 ) of biaryl product 2.3a ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield) and biphenyl. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.39$ (Hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.44$ (m, 9H). Spectral data match authentic sample obtained from Alfa Aesar.

Any modifications of the conditions shown in this representative procedure are specified in the following schemes, which depict all Table 2.1 and Table 2.2 cross-coupling reactions.


Biaryl 2.21 (Table 2.1, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded 2.21 as a white solid ( $92 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.28$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{23}$


Biaryl 2.22 (Table 2.1, entry 2). Purification by flash chromatography ( $10 \% \rightarrow 15 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ ) afforded $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ as a white solid ( $73 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.5$ (2:1 Hexanes:Et $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.2,140.9,140.5,135.7,131.6$, $130.8,129.8,128.9,128.3,127.8,127.4,127.3,126.3,125.6,125.5,52.2 ;$ IR (film): 2947, 2846, 1709, 1436, 1292, $1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; m.p. 170-172 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 285.0891$; found, 285.0893.


Biaryl 2.23 (Table 2.1, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes $)$ afforded $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ as a colorless oil ( $83 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.64\left(2: 1\right.$ Hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 155.1,141.1$, $132.9,132.7,130.5,128.4,127.1,126.7,125.94,125.85,125.3,122.4,103.6,55.7$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{24}$


Biaryl 2.24 (Table 2.1, entry 4). Purification by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) afforded $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ as a white solid (65\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.65$ (2:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{25}$


Biaryl 2.25 (Table 2.1, entry 5). Purification by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) afforded $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ as a colorless oil (79\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.68\left(2: 1\right.$ Hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.34-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{26}$


Biaryl 2.26 (Table 2.1, entry 6). Purification by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) afforded 2.26 as a white solid ( $58 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.59$ (2:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.57-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ (d, $J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{27}$


Biaryl 2.27 (Table 2.1, entry 7). Purification by flash chromatography (5\% $\rightarrow 15 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) afforded 2.27 as a white solid ( $82 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.71$ (2:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{28}$


Biaryl 2.28 (Table 2.1, entry 8). Purification by flash chromatography (1:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded 2.28 as a white solid (79\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.6$ (1:1 Hexanes:Benzene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$, $2 H), 2.44-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data matches those previously reported. ${ }^{29}$


Biaryl 2.3b (Table 2.2, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded 2.3b as a white solid (95\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.4$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.48-7.39 (m, 4H), $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{30}$


Biaryl 2.3c (Table 2.2, entry 2). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded a mixture (16.7 to 1) of biaryl product $\mathbf{2 . 3} \mathbf{c}$ (77\% yield) and 4,4'bis(trifluoromethyl)biphenyl. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.72$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{31}$


Biaryl 2.3d (Table 2.2, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) afforded a mixture (28.6 to 1 ) of biaryl product 2.3d ( $91 \%$ yield) and 4,4'-dimethylbiphenyl. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.77 (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{32}$


Biaryl 2.3e (Table 2.2, entry 4). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) afforded a mixture (38.4 to 1 ) of biaryl product $\mathbf{2 . 3 e}$ ( $99 \%$ yield) and 3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.78 (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.94$ (t, $J=8.0,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.88 (d, $J=8.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-$ $7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{33}$


Biaryl 2.3f (Table 2.2, entry 5). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) afforded a mixture ( 25.6 to 1 ) of biaryl product $\mathbf{2 . 3 f}$ ( $58 \%$ yield) and 2, $2^{\prime}$-dimethylbiphenyl. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.80(9: 1$ Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.60-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.54-7.48 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.36 (m, 4H), $7.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Spectral data match those previously reported. ${ }^{18}$

## D. One-Pot Acylation/Cross-Coupling Sequence



Procedure for one-pot conversion of $\mathbf{2 . 4} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2 . 3 b}$. A 1 -dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(0.511 \mathrm{~g}, 2.41 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.5 equiv, obtained from Acros) and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 1naphthol (2.4) ( $63.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.439 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and toluene ( 1.5 mL ) were added, followed by pivaloyl chloride ( $62 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.505 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15$ equiv). The vial was purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , then cooled to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The vial was opened, then boronic acid $\mathbf{2 . 2 b}(167 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) and $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv) were added sequentially. The vial was then purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 5 min then sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction vessel was cooled to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then transferred to a round bottom flask containing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Silica gel ( 3 mL ) was added and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a free-flowing powder. This powder was then dry-loaded onto a silica gel column and purified by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) to yield biaryl product 2.3b as a white solid (88 mg, $86 \%$ yield).

## E. Pivalate Directing Ability \& Orthogonal Cross-Coupling Reactions



Bromide 2.5. To a solution of pivalate ester 2.1 ( $1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 7.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in glacial acetic acid ( 8 mL ) was added NBS ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 8.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.06$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction was then heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , then cooled to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ether ( 50 mL ) was added and the mixture was washed sequentially with water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) to give bromide 2.5 as a white solid ( $1.99 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.38$ (4:1 Hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.0,146.8,133.0,129.5,128.4,128.0,127.8$, 127.4, 121.7, 119.7, 118.7, 39.7, 27.5; IR (film): 2980, 2959, 1747, $1120 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; m.p. $102-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 329.0153$; found, 329.0149.


Boronic Ester 2.6. To a solution of indole ${ }^{34} 2.29$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added NBS ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in one portion. The mixture was stirred for 15 min , then diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( 25 mL ) and the combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) to afford bromoindole 2.30 ( $630 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield), which was used immediately in the subsequent transformation.

To a solution of bromoindole 2.30 ( $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 5.72 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in THF ( 57 mL ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $t$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(8.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) dropwise down the side of the flask over 5 min . After stirring the reaction mixture for $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathbf{2 . 3 1}(2.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was added dropwise over 1 min . The reaction was stirred for 2 h , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and allowed to warm to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was diluted with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \%$ to $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ Hexanes) to afford boronic ester 2.6 as a yellow oil ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.62 (2:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,2 \mathrm{H}),-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 137.5,137.2$, $132.8,122.6,122.2,120.8,110.0,82.8,75.9,65.8,24.8,17.6,15.2,-1.5$; IR (film): 2977, 2953,

1614, 1537, 1143, $1093 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BNO}_{3} \mathrm{SiNa}, 396.2146$; found, 396.2141 .


Indole Pivalate 2.7. A 20 ml scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(2.07$ $\mathrm{g}, 9.78 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6 equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min ), and toluene ( 5 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred until all solids had dissolved. To the resulting biphasic mixture, bromide 2.5 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63$, mmol, 1 equiv) and boronic ester $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ ( $912 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added, followed by $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(188 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv $)$. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-coated screw-cap and heated at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . After cooling to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ to $30 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexanes) to give indolyl pivalate 2.7 as a white foam (712 $\mathrm{mg}, 90 \%) . \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.67$ (1:2 Hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,7.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,8.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 177.1,146.0,136.5,133.5,130.6,128.8,127.4,127.3,127.2,126.8,126.2,126.1$, $122.5,121.1,120.4,120.3,117.6,115.7,110.0,75.6,65.8,39.4,27.4,17.7,-1.46$; IR (film):

2955, 1751, 1460, 1218, $1013 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SiNa}$, 496.2284; found, 496.2277.


Indole 2.8. A 1-dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(322 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$, 7.2 equiv), celite ( 100 mg , to prevent clumping), and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Phenylboronic acid 2.2a ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.844 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0106 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and pivalate substrate 2.7 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.211 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added. The vial was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Toluene ( 0.8 mL ) was added and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 1 h , then heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction vessel was cooled to rt and then transferred to a round bottom flask containing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Silica gel ( 3 mL ) was added and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a free-flowing powder. This powder was then dry-loaded onto a silica gel column ( $2.5 \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and purified by flash chromatography ( $10 \%$ to $20 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :Hexanes) to yield product 2.8 as a white solid ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.59$ (2:1 Hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=8,2 \mathrm{H}),-0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 140.9,139.3,136.6,132.7,132.2,132.0,130.1,128.9,128.2,127.4$,
$127.23,127.15,126.8,126.7,126.3,125.8,125.5,122.5,120.5,120.4,116.3,110.2,75.7,65.9$, 17.8, -1.4; IR (film): 3053, 2951, 1464, 1246, $1073 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NOSiNa}, 472.2073$; found, 472.2073.
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Figure A1.2 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.1.


Figure A1. $3{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.1.
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Figure Al. 6 Infrared spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$.


Figure $\mathrm{Al} .7{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.10.



Figure A1.9 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.11.


Figure A1.10 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$.






Figure A1.14 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.14.


Figure A1.15 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.14.



Figure A1.17 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.16.


Figure Al.18 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.16.



Figure A1.20 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.17.
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Figure Al.21 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.17.



Figure Al.23 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.19.


Figure Al. $24{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$.






Figure A1.28 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.22.


Figure A1.29 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.22.




Figure A1.31 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.23.


Figure A1.32 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.23.


Figure A1.33 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.24.








Figure A1.40 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.3d.


Figure A1.41 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.3e.




Figure Al.44 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.5.


Figure A1.45 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{2 . 5}$.



Figure Al.47 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.6.


Figure A1.48 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.6.




Figure Al.49 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of compound $\mathbf{2 . 7}$.


Figure A1.50 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.7.


Figure Al.51 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 2.7.



Figure A1.53 Infrared spectrum of compound 2.8.


Figure A1.54 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{2 . 8}$.
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### 3.1 Abstract

The first Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions of the synthetically versatile $O$-aryl carbamate and $O$-sulfamate groups is described. The transformations utilize the inexpensive, bench-stable catalyst $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ to furnish biaryls in good to excellent yields. A broad scope for this methodology has been demonstrated. Substrates with electron-donating and electronwithdrawing groups (EDGs, EWGs) are tolerated, in addition to those that possess ortho substitutents. Furthermore, heteroaryl substrates may be employed as coupling partners. A computational study providing the full catalytic cycles for these cross-coupling reactions is described. The oxidative additions with carbamates and sulfamates occur via a five-centered transition state, resulting in the exclusive cleavage of the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. Water is found to stabilize the Ni -carbamate catalyst resting state, and thus provides rationalization of the relative decreased rate of coupling of carbamates. Several synthetic applications are presented to showcase the utility of the methodology in the synthesis of polysubstituted aromatic compounds of natural product and bioactive molecule interest.

### 3.2 Introduction

Transition metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions provide a powerful means to assemble carbon-carbon ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ) and carbon-heteroatom ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ ) bonds. ${ }^{1}$ Although halides are most commonly employed as the electrophilic partner, ${ }^{1,2}$ phenolic derivatives (Figure 3.1), or 'pseudohalides', offer a valuable alternative given that phenols are typically inexpensive and readily available materials. ${ }^{3}$ Cross-couplings of aryl sulfonates have been most widely studied and a range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond forming reactions are now established. ${ }^{1,4,5}$ Recent studies have focused on the development of less common phenol-based electrophiles, ${ }^{6}$ such as ethers, ${ }^{7}$ esters, ${ }^{8}$ carbamates, ${ }^{9}$ and sulfamates ${ }^{9 b, 10}$ since they are commonly more robust, typically unreactive toward Pd-catalysis, and show synthetic advantage for the regioselective construction of aromatics by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and directed ortho metalation (DoM) chemistry. ${ }^{11,12,13,14}$
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Figure 3.1. Phenol-based cross-coupling partners.

Inspired by the reasons outlined above, our laboratories have pursued the development of cross-coupling reactions involving phenol-derived carbamates and sulfamates (Scheme 3.1). Previous studies have demonstrated the utility of these reaction partners in nickel-catalyzed Kumada couplings. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{e}, 10}$ However, the corresponding Suzuki-Miyaura couplings of these substrates have remained elusive, despite the numerous benefits of organoboronate coupling methodologies. Such advantages include the low toxicity, wide availability, and pronounced stability of organoboronates, in addition to their broad functional group tolerance. ${ }^{1,15}$ In this article, we report a) the development of the Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions of aryl $O$-carbamates and $O$-sulfamates, b) the broad scope of these transformations, which includes the cross-coupling of heterocyclic substrates, c) computational studies that elucidate the complete catalytic cycle of these couplings, and d) a variety of synthetic applications, including DoM - linked tactics and a concise synthesis of the anti-inflammatory drug flurbiprofen. ${ }^{16}$

## Scheme 3.1



### 3.3 Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl O-Carbamates

A key challenge in achieving the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl carbamates lies in activating the fairly inert aryl carbon-oxygen bond of these substrates. A similar obstacle had been overcome in our previously reported Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl pivalates. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{aa}}$ Encouraged by our prior success, we explored $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$-promoted conditions to effect the desired Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl carbamates (Table 3.1). Of note, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is readily available, considerably stable to air and water, and can be used on the bench-top rather than in a glovebox. ${ }^{17,18,19}$ Initial studies were directed toward the coupling of fused-aromatic systems, which are typically superior substrates in Ni-catalyzed couplings of phenolic derivatives. ${ }^{7,8,9}$ Unfortunately, applying our optimal conditions for pivalate coupling (i.e., $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv) in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to a 1-naphthyl carbamate substrate led only to trace amounts of cross-coupled product. By raising the temperature to 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, however, the desired biaryl was obtained in $51 \%$ yield (entry 1). Further optimization ultimately established more forcing conditions that delivered the targeted product in $86 \%$ yield (entry 2 ).

Additional carbamate substrates were examined under our Ni -catalyzed reaction conditions (Table 3.1). ${ }^{20}$ 2-Naphthyl carbamates gave products in lower yields (entries 3 and 4). The reaction proved tolerant of an EWG $\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$, entry 4) and an EDG ( -OMe , entry 5) on the naphthyl ring. The corresponding reactions of aryl carbamates proved more challenging. Nonetheless, carbamates derived from phenol and p-methoxyphenol were converted to the corresponding cross-coupled products in $52 \%$ and $41 \%$ yield, respectively (entries 6 and 7 ).

Table 3.1. Cross-coupling of aryl carbamates with arylboronic acids ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OR} \quad+$$\begin{gathered} \text { 3.1a, } X=O M e \\ 3.2 a, X=H \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | Ar-OR | $(\mathrm{HO})_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Ar}$ | product | yield ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1^{b} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | —oc(0 | 3.1 a |  | 51\% 86\% |
| 3 |  | 3.1 a |  | 47\% |
| 4 |  | 3.2a |  | 54\% |
| 5 |  | 3.2a |  | 77\% |
| 6 | $\Varangle \mathrm{Oc}(0$ | 3.1a |  | 52\% |
| 7 |  | 3.2a |  | 41\% |

[^0]Further studies were undertaken to uncover higher yielding and more generally useful reaction conditions. The $N, N$-diethyl carbamate of 2-naphthol was subjected to $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ with variations in temperature, solvent, ligand additive, and organoboron species (Table 3.2). When employing $o$-xylenes at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cross-coupling with boroxine $\mathbf{3 . 3 b}$ proceeded sluggishly but nevertheless furnished the desired biaryl in $61 \%$ yield (entry 1). Mixtures of boronic acids and
boroxines were also examined. Using a 1:1 mixture of 3.3a:3.3b, the desired biaryl was obtained in only $26 \%$ yield (entry 2). These results, coupled with the observation that 3.3a liberates excessive water in organic solvents, led us to hypothesize that, although some water is necessary to generate the catalytically active boronate species, excessive water can be detrimental to the carbamate cross-coupling reaction. ${ }^{21}$ Furthermore, Shi had previously reported the critical role of water in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of aryl pivalate esters. ${ }^{8 b}$ By using a $1: 10$ ratio of 3.3a:3.3b,${ }^{22}$ and thereby minimizing the water content, a quantitative yield of cross-coupled product was obtained (entry 3). Conducting the reaction at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with toluene as solvent and $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ni}$ catalyst, gave a lower yield of the biaryl adduct (entry 4). ${ }^{23}$

Table 3.2. Optimization studies for naphthyl 2-O-carbamate coupling

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Yield by GC/MS analysis (yield of isolated product). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All reactions were run for 20 h with the exception of entry 4 , which was run for $5 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{10} \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$.

Having found optimal and reproducible conditions, we turned our attention to defining the scope and functional group tolerance of the carbamate cross-coupling reaction (Table 3.3). ${ }^{20}$ Substrates derived from 2-naphthol, 1-naphthol, and phenol underwent smooth coupling (entries
$1-3$ ). Furthermore, although a substrate bearing the electron-withdrawing fluoro substituent was tolerated (entry 4), coupling in the presence of a cyano derivative was less fruitful (entry 5). The latter result can be explained by competitive cross-coupling at the cyano group, a transformation reported recently by Shi. ${ }^{24}$ Finally, an electron-rich substrate gave only low yields of product (entry 6).

Table 3.3. Cross-coupling of aryl carbamates under improved conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(

[^1]Several ortho-substituted aryl carbamates were tested in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (Table 3.4). Substrates of this type can be readily synthesized by $\mathrm{DoM}^{12}$ or transition metalcatalyzed C-H functionalization. ${ }^{14 b-e}$ Substrates with $o$-benzyl, -alkenyl, and -phenyl groups were all tolerated (entries 1-3). Coupling of the $o$-methoxy substrate proceeded in modest yield (entry 4), whereas coupling of a 2,4-dimethylated substrate was unsuccessful (entry 5). In view of the coupling of other ortho-substituted systems to afford good to excellent yields of products (entries $1-3$ ), rationalization of these results based on steric effects is premature.

Table 3.4. Cross-coupling of ortho-substituted aryl $O$-carbamates ${ }^{\text {a }}$
entry
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PCy}_{3} \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 3.2$ (2.5 equiv), ratio of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}=10: 1$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (5 equiv). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yield by GC/MS analysis (yield of isolated product).

As shown in Table 3.5, the carbamate cross-coupling methodology is also applicable to heterocyclic substrates. Thus, the 3-pyridyl-carbamate was efficiently cross-coupled with a variety of organoboron species (entries 1-5). In addition, a quinoline-derived substrate was tolerated (entry 6) and a carbazole-containing substrate underwent conversion to the desired biaryl under our optimal reaction conditions albeit in modest yield (entry 7).

Table 3.5. Cross-coupling of heterocyclic aryl $O$-carbamates and scope of aryl boronates ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(

[^2]
### 3.4 Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl $\boldsymbol{O}$-Sulfamates

Concurrent with the above studies on aryl carbamates, aryl sulfamates were targeted as substrates for the Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions. Although initial efforts to effect this transformation with dppp as ligand gave initial encouragement, ${ }^{10 a}$ employing the tricyclohexylphosphine ligand led to improved results, ultimately rendering aryl sulfamates superior Suzuki-Miyaura coupling partners to the corresponding carbamates (Table 3.6). ${ }^{20}$ Naphthyl substrates were smoothly converted to biaryl products, ${ }^{25}$ even in the presence of an EWG or EDG (entries 1-3). Most strikingly, the reaction proceeded comparably well when operating on aryl derivatives (entries 4-9). Methyl and the electron-withdrawing $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituents are tolerated (entries 5-7). Substrates bearing electron-rich methoxy or amino substituents also afforded very good yields of coupled products (entries 8 and 9). Moreover, an enone sulfamate participated in the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction (entry 10).

Table 3.6. Cross-coupling of aryl sulfamates ${ }^{\text {a }}$


[^3]In view of the availability of many ortho-substituted aryl sulfamates by DoM chemistry, such derivatives were also evaluated in the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction (Table 3.7). ${ }^{20,26}$ The transformation was found to be tolerant of an ortho-cresol derived substrate, in addition to the sterically burdened sulfamate prepared from 2,6-dimethylphenol (entries 1 and 2). Furthermore, substrates bearing ortho-trimethylsilyl, -phenyl, and -methoxy substituents underwent cross-coupling to give the corresponding products in excellent yields (entries 3-5). Interestingly, a substrate possessing a bulky ortho-t-butylketone substitutent could also be utilized in this methodology (entry 6).

Table 3.7. Cross-coupling of ortho-substituted aryl sulfamates ${ }^{\text {a }}$

entry
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(2.5\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yields of isolated products. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 7.2 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

Although the DoM chemistry of aryl sulfamates was initially reported using $N, N$-diethyl substrates, ${ }^{10 a}$ the corresponding $N, N$-dimethyl aryl sulfamates were found to undergo metalation under the identical reported reaction conditions. Scheme 3.2 highlights syntheses of substrates 3.8-3.11 beginning from phenyl sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 6}$, which, in turn, is easily prepared from phenol and commercially available dimethylsulfamoyl chloride ${ }^{27}$ in quantitative yield. Compounds $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ and
3.10 were obtained by lithiation of phenyl sulfamate 3.6, followed by quenching with TMSCl and PivCl , respectively. Similarly, the boronate 3.7 was derived by quenching the intermediate lithio species with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, followed by treatment with pinacol. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ Boronate $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ served as the common precursor to substituted sulfamates $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ and 3.11. Whereas methoxysulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ was prepared by a straightforward oxidation ${ }^{28} /$ methylation sequence, ortho-phenyl sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ was accessed by a Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling. It is notable that the sulfamate remains undisturbed under the Pd-mediated reaction conditions.

## Scheme 3.2



The scope of the sulfamate cross-coupling reaction was also found to be broad with respect to the boronic acid component (Table 3.8). A methyl substituent was tolerated at the para, meta, and ortho positions (entries 1-3), as was a 4-methoxymethyl group (entry 4). Crosscoupling of a boronic acid bearing the electron-donating methoxy group proceeded in $95 \%$ yield
(entry 5). Finally, electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl, fluoro, and acetyl substituents were compatible with the sulfamate coupling methodology (entries 6-8).

Table 3.8. Scope of boronic acid in the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl $O$-sulfamates ${ }^{\text {a }}$


[^4]
### 3.5 Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions of Heterocyclic $\boldsymbol{O}$-Sulfamates

Given the importance of heterocycles in medicinal agents, we probed the use of heterocyclic partners in the sulfamate Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling process. ${ }^{29}$ As shown in Table 3.9, a variety of heterocyclic aryl sulfamates were suitable for this methodology, although more forcing reaction conditions were often required to achieve synthetically useful yields. Coupling of a dihydrobenzofuran-derived substrate afforded the desired biaryl in $88 \%$ yield (entry 1). Similar success was observed in the coupling of nitrogen-containing heteroaryl sulfamates (entries 2-6). In addition to indole and carbazole (entries 2 and 3), the pyridine and quinoline heterocycles, each possessing basic amine functionality, were also tolerated (entries 46).

Table 3.9. Cross-coupling of heterocyclic aryl $O$-sulfamates with phenyl boronic acid ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, 3.2a (2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yields of isolated products. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%)$, 3.2a (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(7.2\right.$ equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

The scope of the sulfamate cross-coupling reaction with respect to heteroaryl boronic acids is summarized in Table 3.10. Benzofuran- and furan-containing substrates underwent smooth cross-coupling under our standard reaction conditions (entries 1 and 2). Furthermore, a sulfur-containing heterocyclic boronic acid could be employed (entry 3). A pyridine 3-boronic acid derivative was also tolerated in our Suzuki-Miyaura coupling methodology (entry 4).

Table 3.10. Cross-coupling of 1-naphthyl- $O$-sulfamates with heterocyclic aryl boronic acids ${ }^{a}$


[^5]As an additional important test of the sulfamate coupling methodology, we attempted a Suzuki-Miyaura reaction wherein both coupling partners were heterocyclic substrates (Scheme 3.3). ${ }^{29}$ We were delighted to find that the desired cross-coupling between quinoline-derived sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ and pyridinyl boronic acid 3.13a proceeded smoothly to furnish biaryl $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ in $97 \%$ yield. This result underscores the critical tolerance of the sulfamate cross-coupling process to basic nitrogen substituents.

Scheme 3.3


### 3.6 Mechanistic Studies

Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings have been studied computationally by various groups. ${ }^{30,31}$ The three key steps in the catalytic cycle, oxidative addition, ${ }^{32}$ transmetallation, ${ }^{31}$ and reductive elimination, ${ }^{33}$ have been studied carefully for reactions involving a variety of substrates. The mechanism of Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura crosscoupling with aryl acetates has been recently investigated theoretically by Li et al. ${ }^{34}$ Here we report the first theoretical study of the catalytic cycles of the Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling with $O$-carbamates and $O$-sulfamates using density functional theory (DFT). The selectivities between couplings with the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{O}$ bond and the O -carbonyl/sulfonyl bond and the effects of water on the reactivities are also described.

Figures 3.2 and 3.3 depict the catalytic cycles for the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl carbamates and sulfamates, respectively, as determined by DFT calculations. The geometries of important transition structures are shown in Figure 3.4 for the coupling of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethyl phenyl carbamate and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl phenyl sulfamate with phenyl boronic acid. The $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligand used in the experiments was also used in the calculations. Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed using B3LYP ${ }^{35}$ and a mixed basis set employing LANL2DZ for metal and $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for other atoms. Conformational searches of the $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligand were performed. The initial geometry of $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ was taken from the crystal structure of
$\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) 2_{2}{ }^{36}$ Several rotamers of the $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligands in the Ni complexes were tested as the initial geometry in the optimizations. Energies reported are Gibbs free energies in solution, which involve zero-point vibrational energy corrections, thermal corrections to Gibbs free energy at 298 K , and solvation free energy corrections computed by singlet point $\mathrm{CPCM}^{37}$ calculations on gas-phase optimized geometries (toluene was used as solvent). The molecular cavities were built up using the United Atom Topological Model (UAHF). Vibrational frequencies were calculated for all optimized structures to confirm the nature of the stationary points. All calculations were performed using Gaussian $03 .{ }^{38}$


Figure 3.2. Gibbs free energy profile of Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of phenyl $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl O -carbamate $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ with phenylboronic acid. $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ was used as ligand in the calculations. For clarity, the cyclohexyl groups on the ligand are not shown.

The oxidative addition of aryl carbamates may occur via several different pathways: the Ni may be mono- or bis-ligated; the oxidative addition may occur at the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond or the $\mathrm{O}-$ carbonyl bond of the carbamates. Previous theoretical studies suggested that the oxidative addition of aryl halides to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysts involves formation of an $\eta^{2} \operatorname{LPd}(\operatorname{ArX})$ pre-reaction complex. ${ }^{32}$ The $\eta^{2} \operatorname{LPd}(\mathrm{ArX})$ complex may be generated through ligand dissociation from $\mathrm{PdL}_{2}$ followed by coordination with aryl halide or through a concerted or stepwise associative displacement pathway. ${ }^{39}$ Recent density functional calculations by Li et al. suggested that the oxidative addition of phenylacetates in Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura couplings also involves an $\eta^{2} \mathrm{LNi}(\mathrm{ArX})$ pre-reaction complex. ${ }^{8 c}$ Upon dissociation of a $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ ligand, the Ni catalyst coordinates with the substrate to generate an $\eta^{2}$ complex $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$, which is slightly less stable than $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{40} \mathrm{Li}$ et al. suggested that the oxidative addition of phenylacetates occurs via a threecentered transition state, and the weaker PhO -carbonyl bond is more reactive compared to the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{We}$ investigated the possible pathways in the oxidative additions with phenyl carbamates (Figures 3.2 and 3.4) and found that the preferred pathway involves oxidative addition at the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond via a five-centered transition state (TS17, Figures 3.2 and 3.4) in which Ni is mono-ligated and coordinated with the carbonyl oxygen. ${ }^{41,42}$ The corresponding three-centered transition state (TS30) that uses a single oxygen of the carboxylate to bridge requires $7.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher activation energy. In contrast to previous theoretical studies by Li et al., the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in carbamates is more reactive in oxidative addition than the PhO -carbonyl bond, although the former is a stronger bond in terms of bond dissociation energies. ${ }^{43}$ Oxidative addition at the O-carbonyl bond can only occur via a three-centered transition state (TS31) and requires $3.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher energy than the oxidative addition at the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond (TS17). Thus, the oxidative addition occurs exclusively at the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond due to a favorable five-centered
transition state. The carbonyl group is acting as a directing group to activate the $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the oxidative addition. It is conceivable that such activating effects by adjacent oxygenation is also present in oxidative additions with carbonates, sulfonates, and sulfamates, etc.

A stable phenyl $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$-carbamate complex $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ is formed after the oxidative addition (Figure 3.2). The carbamate is $\kappa_{2}$-coordinated with Ni. Subsequent ligand exchange of the carbamate complex 3.18 with phenylboronate leads to phenyl $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ boronate complex $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$, which is $5.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ less stable than the Ni-carbamate complex. The detailed mechanism of this ligand exchange step has been suggested to be stepwise. ${ }^{15,44}$ Previous theoretical studies suggested that the ligand exchange does not have large barrier. ${ }^{8 c, 31 b, g}$ Thus, we assume the transformation from $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ is facile.

The following transmetallation (TS21) is the rate-determining step of the catalytic cycle, and requires an activation energy of $30.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the catalyst resting complex 3.18. The transmetallation transition state (TS21) is consistant with the four-center transition state proposed in previous theoretical studies of Pd- and Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura couplings. ${ }^{31,8 \mathrm{c}}$ The two aryl groups are cis to each other in the transmetallation transition state. The trans transition state is $3.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ less stable, presumably due to greater steric repulsions between the ligand and the aryl groups. Subsequent reductive elimination of the diphenyl $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ complex (3.23 $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{T S} 24)$ is facile, requiring only a $2.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ activation energy.


Figure 3.3. Gibbs free energy profile of the Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl phenyl O -sulfamate with phenylboronic acid. $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ was used as ligand in the calculations. For clarity, the cyclohexyl groups on the ligand are not shown.

Similarly, the oxidative addition of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl phenyl sulfamate occurs via a monoligated five-membered transition state (TS27). ${ }^{41}$ Three-center transition states TS32 and TS33 are both much higher in energy (Figure 3.4). The activation barrier of oxidative additions of sulfamate 3.6 is $10.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to the $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ complex, which is $3.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than that of the oxidative addition of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl phenyl carbamate 3.15. The higher reactivity of the sulfamate in oxidative addition is due to the weaker $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in sulfamate than the corresponding $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the carbamate group. Nonetheless, the oxidative addition with aryl carbamates and aryl sulfamates are both predicted to be very facile. The differences of
their reactivities are attributed to the different activation barriers in the rate-determining transmetalation step. After the oxidative addition of the carbamate, a stable phenyl $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ carbamate complex $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ is formed. Subsequent ligand exchange with phenyl boronate requires $5.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ energy to form the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ boronate complex $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$. In contrast, since sulfamate is a better leaving group, the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ boronate complex $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ is formed spontaneously from the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ sulfamate complex 3.28. The activation barrier of the rate-determining transmetalation step for the cross-coupling with the sulfamate $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=24.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ is much lower than that for the corresponding carbamate $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=30.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$. The subsequent steps after transmetalation are identical for the coupling reactions for carbamates and sulfamates.

five-centered TS cleave Ar-O bond $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}{ }^{\ddagger}=13.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{gas}} \ddagger=16.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {gas }}^{\text {gas }}{ }^{\text {f }}=14.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

five-centered TS cleave Ar-O bond $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}{ }^{\ddagger}=10.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{gas}}^{\text {sol }}{ }^{\ddagger}=14.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gas}}^{\text {gas }}{ }^{5}=13.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

TS32
three-centered TS
cleave Ar-O bond cleave Ar-O bond

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{sol}} \ddagger=23.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{gas}}^{\text {sol }}=25.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{gas}^{+4}}^{\mathrm{gas}_{\mathrm{gas}}}=22.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.4. Transition state structures of Ni-catalyzed oxidative additions of (a) $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl phenyl O -carbamate and (b) $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl phenyl O -sulfamate. $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ was used as ligand in the calculations. For clarity, the cyclohexyl groups on the ligand are not shown.

To support the computational finding that transmetallation is the rate-determining step in the cross-coupling reactions described above, a series of experiments were carried out. Sulfamate 3.34 was independently subjected to reactions with boronic acids 3.1a, 3.2a, and 3.4a in the presence of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in toluene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 3.5). In each case, reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis using hexamethylbenzene as internal standard. The relative rate of cross-coupling was found to be dependent on the individual boronic acid employed, with a direct correlation between electron-richness of the boronic acid and reaction rate (i.e., relative rate of conversion: 3.1a>3.2a>3.4a). ${ }^{45}$ These findings are consistent with a rate-determing transmetalation step for the sulfamate cross-coupling process. ${ }^{46}$

3.34
$+$


3.1a, $X=O M e$
3.4a, $X=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$
 toluene $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
3.35a-c
Relative Rate of Conversion $3.1 a>3.2 a>3.4 a$

Figure 3.5. Qualitative relative rates of cross-coupling depending on boronic acid.

Similar to the reports by Shi in related Ni-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings, ${ }^{8 b}$ we have observed that water can play a critical role in the success or failure of a coupling reaction (vida supra). To better understand these experimental findings, we examined the role of water computationally. In the coupling with phenyl carbamate, water can coordinate with Ni and stabilize the catalyst resting state, the Ni-carbamate complex 3.18. A six-membered cyclic $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$-water-carbamate complex $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ is formed and is $1.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ (Figure 3.2). ${ }^{47}$ Coordination with water increases the barrier of transmetalation to $31.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(\mathbf{3 . 1 9} \rightarrow$

TS21), and thus decreases the reactivity of the coupling for the carbamate. In the coupling with phenyl sulfamate, the catalyst resting state is the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$-boronate complex $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$. Upon coordination with a water molecule, a similar six-membered cyclic complex $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ is formed in equilibrium. However, $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ is $7.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ less stable than 3.20. This suggests that coordination with water does not affect the barrier of transmetalation in the coupling reaction of the sulfamate. This agrees with the experimental observation that Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings of aryl sulfamates are less sensitive to water than couplings of aryl carbamates.

In contrast to the high reactivity of the Ni catalyst in oxidative addition with carbamates and sulfamates, Pd catalysts are much less reactive in the oxidative addition step. Oxidative addition of phenyl $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylcarbamate with Pd also prefers a five-membered mono-ligated transition state. The activation barrier is $42.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to the $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ complex, much higher than that of the corresponding Ni catalyst. Similarly, oxidative addition of phenyl $N, N$-dimethylsulfamate also requires a very high activation barrier of $39.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These observations are in agreement with previous mechanistic and theoretical studies that the oxidative addition step to $\operatorname{Ni}(0)$ is more facile than that to $\operatorname{Pd}(0) .{ }^{32,40}$ Therefore, due to the extremely high activation barriers for oxidative addition, Pd catalysts are not effective in couplings with carbamates and sulfamates.

### 3.7 Synthetic Applications

The scope and limitations of our sulfamate and carbamate coupling methodologies were further examined by way of a variety of synthetic applications. In each of the studies undertaken, the synthetically useful capability of carbamates and sulfamates to function as directed metalation groups (DMGs) and Suzuki-Miyaura coupling partners was exploited. These studies
showcase the utility of our methodology in the synthesis of polysubstituted aromatic compounds, with relevance to natural product and bioactive molecule synthesis.

Scheme 3.4 depicts a concise synthesis of 5-phenyl- 2 H -chromene $\mathbf{3 . 3 8}$ beginning from bis(carbamate) precursor 3.36. Thus, in a one-pot procedure involving sequential treatment with $t$-BuLi, 3-methylbut-2-enal, and AcOH , compound 3.36 was converted into $2 H$-chromene carbamate 3.37. ${ }^{48,49}$ Subsequent Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling provided biaryl 3.38 in $56 \%$ yield. Biaryl 3.38 possesses a heterocyclic framework of bioactivity ${ }^{50}$ and natural product interest. ${ }^{51}$

## Scheme 3.4




In another application, the unique heterotriaryl $\mathbf{3 . 4 3}$ was constructed using carbamate DoM and cross-coupling methodology (Scheme 3.5). ortho-Methoxy carbamate $\mathbf{3 . 3 9}$ was first transformed into boronic acid $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ in $88 \%$ yield using a standard lithiation/borylation protocol. Subsequent Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling with iodobenzofuran $\mathbf{3 . 4 1}$ delivered arylated product 3.42 without disturbance of the aryl carbamate under these conditions. ${ }^{52}$ The
subsequent Ni-catalyzed carbamate cross-coupling with phenyl boronic acid provided the targeted heterotriaryl 3.43. ${ }^{53}$

Scheme 3.5



An illustration of the DoM / cross-coupling protocol beginning from a heteroaryl carbamate is presented in Scheme 3.6. Lithiation / borylation of $\mathbf{3 . 4 4}$ afforded the boropinacolate 3.45, which was subjected to standard Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling conditions with bromide 3.46 using Pd catalysis to furnish heterobiaryl $3.47 .{ }^{54}$ Heteroaryl carbamate $\mathbf{3 . 4 7}$ was found to be an excellent substrate for the Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling using our standard conditions, to afford the heterotriaryl product $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ in $91 \%$ yield. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ represents a class of pyridines with nonidentical diaryl substitution for which only two synthetic methods are available. ${ }^{55}$

Scheme 3.6


As an application to bioactive molecule synthesis, the anti-inflammatory drug flurbiprofen ${ }^{56}$ was prepared using sulfamate methodology (Scheme 3.7). Boronic acid 3.49, derived from $o$-lithiation / borylation of $N, N$-dimethyl phenyl sulfamate, was fluorinated using the conditions described by Furuya and Ritter ${ }^{57}$ to provide fluorosulfamate 3.50. Alternative routes to generate $\mathbf{3 . 5 0}$ by direct lithiation/fluorination of $N, N$-dimethyl phenyl sulfamate were unsuccessful despite numerous attempts. ${ }^{58}$ Nonetheless, para-selective electrophilic iodination of 3.50 furnished 3.51 in $64 \%$ yield. With the aryl sulfamate being inert to Pd catalysis, we carried out a site-selective enolate coupling to install the necessary propionate side chain. Whereas enolate coupling of aryl iodide $\mathbf{3 . 5 1}$ under Buchwald's Pd-based conditions was feasible, ${ }^{59}$ higher yields of $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ were obtained using a Ni-catalyzed variant. ${ }^{60}$ With the sulfamate remaining undisturbed, exposure of $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ to our Ni -catalyzed conditions facilitated the key sulfamate crosscoupling and delivered the biaryl 3.53. Acid-mediated hydrolysis furnished flurbiprofen (3.54) in $84 \%$ yield over the two steps. It should be emphasized that the aryl fluoride of $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ was chemically inert under our Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling conditions. ${ }^{61}$

## Scheme 3.7



We have observed that aryl carbamates and sulfamates are unreactive toward $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysis (vida supra) and related processes. ${ }^{62}$ This feature allows the sequential cross-coupling of an aryl halide, followed by either an aryl sulfamate or carbamate coupling process (see Scheme 3.7). To further probe related issues of orthogonality, we questioned if it would be possible to couple aryl sulfamates in the presence of aryl carbamates. Although aryl sulfamates generally provide higher yields of cross-coupled products compared to aryl carbamates, the relative reactivity of these substrates had not been determined previously. As shown in Figure 3.6, an equimolar mixture of phenyl carbamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$ and phenyl sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ was treated with an excess of boronic acid 3.3a under Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling conditions. Although significant selectivity was observed at elevated temperatures, complete selectivity for sulfamate coupling was readily achieved at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with hexamethylbenzene as internal standard. ${ }^{45}$ The selectivity for sulfamate coupling is attributed to the lower oxidative addition barrier than that in the corresponding step for carbamates (see above computational studies). Analogous experiments were conducted on the naphthyl-based
substrates, carbamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ and sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$, and a high selectivity for naphthyl sulfamate over carbamate cross-coupling was observed at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{45} \mathrm{We}$ expect that these observations will be of synthetic value.



Figure 3.6. Intermolecular competition experiments of aryl sulfamates and aryl carbamates.

### 3.8 Conclusion

In summary, we have discovered the first Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions of the synthetically versatile $O$-aryl carbamate and $O$-sulfamate groups. The transformations utilize the inexpensive, bench-stable catalyst $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}$ to deliver biaryls in good to excellent yields. The methodology is tolerant of substrates bearing electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups, in addition to those that possess ortho substitutents and heterocyclic frameworks. Furthermore, a computational study has revealed the full catalytic cycles for these cross-coupling
reactions, thus shedding light on various mechanistic details, rationalizing sulfamate over carbamate higher reactivity, and indicating the role of water in the transition state. As demonstrated by the given synthetic applications, the methodology provides an efficient means to access polysubstituted aromatic compounds, with relevance to both natural product and bioactive molecule synthesis. The orthogonal use of the sulfamate or carbamate reactivities, in combination with directed ortho metalation (DoM) and other aryl $O$-based cross-coupling reactions in arene and heteroarene synthesis, may be anticipated.

### 3.9 Experimental Section

### 3.9.1 Materials and Methods

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen using anhydrous solvents (either freshly distilled or passed through activated alumina columns). All commercially obtained reagents were used as received. $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (anhydrous) and $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ were obtained from Strem Chemicals. Finely powdered anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was obtained from Acros. ${ }^{63}$ Boronic acids were obtained from Oakwood Products, Inc., Frontier Scientific, Inc. and TCI. Reaction temperatures were controlled using an IKAmag temperature modulator, and unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed at room temperature (rt, approximately $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with EMD gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates $(0.25 \mathrm{~mm})$ and visualized using a combination of UV, anisaldehyde, ceric ammonium molybdate, iodine, and potassium permanganate staining. EMD silica gel 60 (particle size $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for flash column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers (at 500 MHz ) and are reported relative to deuterated solvent signals. Data for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz) and integration. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Spectrometers (at 125 MHz ). Data for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 100 spectrometer and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Melting points are uncorrected and were obtained on a Laboratory Devices Mel-Temp II instrument. High resolution mass spectra were obtained from the UC Irvine Mass Spectrometry Facility.

### 3.9.2 Experimental Procedures

Note: Supporting information for aryl carbamates (synthesis and cross-couplings) and synthetic aplications have previously been reported. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$

## A. Synthesis of Aryl Sulfamate Substrates


3.60

(98\% yield)

3.57

Representative Procedure (sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$ is used as an example). A round bottom flask was charged with $\mathrm{NaH}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 15.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, $60 \%$ dispersion in oil). Then a solution of 1naphthol (3.60) ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 12.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) in DME ( 32 mL ) was added dropwise via cannula to the NaH . A solution of dimethylsulfamoyl chloride ( $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv) in DME ( 10 mL ) was then added dropwise via cannula to the reaction vessel. The reaction was allowed to stir for 17 h , and then quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed successively with a solution of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were then washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) to yield 1-naphthylsulfamate 3.57 as a white solid ( $2.97 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.29 (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 146.0,134.7,127.8,127.0,126.7,126.7,126.6,125.3,121.4,117.7,38.8 ;$

IR (film): 3065, 2944, 195, 1456, $1357 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}$, 274.0514; found, 274.0511.

Note: Supporting information for the synthesis of the aryl sulfamates shown in Tables 3.6 and 3.7 have previously been reported. ${ }^{9 b}$


Sulfamate 3.61 (Table 3.9, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography (5:1 Benzene: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 3.61 as a light yellow oil ( $66 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.70$ (5:1 Benzene: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,7.51 \mathrm{H}), 3.06$ (s, 2H), $2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 150.4,134.3,130.1,123.5$, 122.7, 120.4, 88.6, 43.2, 38.8, 28.4; IR (film): 2927, 1617, 1478, 1372, 1172, $1009 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS$\operatorname{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}$, 294.0776; found, 294.0779.


Sulfamate 3.62 (Table 3.9, entry 2). Supporting information for the synthesis of sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 6 2}$ has previously been reported. ${ }^{9 b}$


Sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 6 3}$ (Table 3.9, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.63 as a white solid (78\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.70$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.07-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(125$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 148.5,141.6,141.2,125.8,122.1,121.3,210.8,120.2,119.4,112.6,108.6$, 102.1, 38.7, 29.2; IR (film): 2935, 1599, 1452, 1359, $1179 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}, 327.0779$; found, 327.0774.


Sulfamate 3.65 (Table 3.9, entry 4). To a solution of 2-hydroxypyridine (3.64) (2.00 g, 21.05 mmol, 1 equiv) in pyridine ( 21.1 mL ) was added dimethylsulfamoyl chloride ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.26$ mmol, 1.2 equiv) dropwise via syringe. The resulting orange solution was heated to $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir for 22 h . After cooling to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 1 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{x}$ $60 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by EtOAc ( $1 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were then washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $3: 2$ Hexanes:EtOAc) to yield $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$ as a yellow oil ( $67 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.29$ (2:1 Hexanes: EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.26-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-$
$7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 157.1,147.9,140.0,122.1,115.0,38.3$; IR (film): 2941, 1591, 1430, 1375, $1162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS$\operatorname{ESI}(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}$, 225.0310; found, 225.0305.

3.12

Sulfamate 3.66 (Table 3.9, entry 5). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 3.12 as a white solid ( $84 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.50$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$, $2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 150.7,147.9,146.5$, $135.9,131.6,128.4,124.2,121.8,118.7,38.7$; IR (film): $2979,1498,1174,1113,791 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}$, 275.0446; found, 275.0470.

3.66

Sulfamate (Table 3.9, entry 6). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.66 as a white solid (78\% yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.44$ (2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 159.6,145.7$, $136.0,127.8,126.1,125.3,122.7,122.6,38.9,25.4$; IR (film): 2922, $14261369,1167,1069 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$
${ }^{1}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[M+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}$, 289.0623; found, 289.0624.

## B. Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl Sulfamates

Note: Supporting information for the cross-coupling of the aryl sulfamates shown in Tables 3.6 and 3.7 have previously been reported. ${ }^{9 b}$


Representative Procedure (coupling of naphthyl sulfamate 3.57, Table 3.6, entry 1) is used as an example). Biaryl 3.67. A 1-dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (382 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv, obtained from Acros) and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Boronic acid 3.1a (152 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(13.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and sulfamate substrate 3.57 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Toluene ( 1.5 mL ) was added and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction vessel was cooled to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then transferred to a round bottom flask containing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Silica gel ( 3 mL ) was added and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a free-flowing powder. This powder was then dry-loaded onto a silica gel column ( $4.5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and purified by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes: Benzene) to yield biaryl product 3.67 ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield) as a colorless solid. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.57-7.48 (m, 2H), 7.48-7.39 (m, 4H), $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{64}$

Any modifications of the conditions shown in this representative procedure are specified in the following schemes, which depict all of the results shown in Table 3.8-3.10 and Scheme 3.3.


Biaryl 3.68 (Table 3.8, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) afforded a mixture ( 30.8 to 1 ) of biaryl product 3.68 ( $68 \%$ yield) and 4,4'-dimethylbiphenyl. $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.74 (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{65}$


Biaryl 3.59 (Table 3.8, entry 2). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) afforded a mixture (15.8 to 1) of biaryl product 3.59 (77\% yield) and 3,3'-dimethylbiphenyl. $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.66 (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{66}$


Biaryl 3.70 (Table 3.8, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ Hexanes) afforded a mixture (51.8 to 1 ) of biaryl product 3.70 ( $74 \%$ yield) and 2,2'-dimethylbiphenyl. $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.66 (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{67}$


Biaryl 3.72 (Table 3.8, entry 4). Purification by flash chromatography (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.72 as a clear oil ( $80 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.58$ ( $9: 1$ Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35-7.25 (m, 5H), $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 140.0$, $139.8,137.5,133.8,131.7,129.7,127.6,127.4,127.4,127.2,127.2,126.7,125.9,125.7,125.4$, 125.1, 73.8, 57.3; IR (film): 2923, 1592, 1504, 1395, $1096 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS-ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ONH}_{4}, 266.1545$; found, 266.1550 .


Biaryl 3.67 (Table 3.8, entry 5). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded 3.67 as a white solid ( $95 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene). Spectral data match those reported above.


Biaryl 3.73 (Table 3.8, entry 6). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded a mixture ( 25.0 to 1) of biaryl product $\mathbf{3 . 7 3}(86 \%$ yield $)$ and $4,4^{\prime}$ ' bis(trifluoromethyl)biphenyl. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.76$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{68}$


Biaryl 3.75 (Table 3.8, entry 7). Purification by flash chromatography (20:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.75 as a white solid ( $93 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.61$ ( $9: 1$ Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.48-7.42(m, 3H), $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}) 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 139.3,136.8(\mathrm{~d}), 134.0,131.8,131.8,131.7,128.5,128.0,127.2,126.3,126.0,125.9,125.5$, $115.4,115.3$; IR (film): $3043,1604,1503,1395,1219,1157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$
calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{FNH}_{4}, 240.1189$; found, 240.1198. All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{69}$


Biaryl 3.77 (Table 3.8, entry 8). Purification by flash chromatography (9:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.77 as a white solid ( $62 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.52$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,8.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 8.3, 2H), 7.57-7.50(m, 2H), 7.49-7.41 (m, 2H), $2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 197.7,145.7,138.9,135.9,133.7,131.1,130.2,128.3,128.3,128.3,126.8,126.3,125.9,125.5$, 125.2, 26.6; IR (film): $3054,1682,1605,1503,1403,1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ONH}_{4}, 264.1388$; found, 264.1394. All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{70}$


Biaryl 3.78 (Table 3.9, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography (1:1 Benzene:Hexanes) afforded 3.78 as a light yellow oil ( $88 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.52$ (1:1 Benzene:Hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd} J=7.2$, $0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 156.3$, 137.7, 128.4, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.0, 124.3, 123.4, 120.0, 86.5, 43.0, 28.4; IR (film): 2972,

1597, 1459, 1425, $1139 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}, 225.1279$; found, 225.1274 .


Biaryl 3.79 (Table 3.9, entry 2). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded 3.79 as a white solid ( $75 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.42$ (2:1 Hexanes:Benzene). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{71}$


Biaryl 3.80 (Table 3.9, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.80 as a white solid ( $89 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.74$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{72}$


Biaryl 3.81 (Table 3.9, entry 4). Purification by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.81 as a white solid ( $72 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.52$ (2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{73}$


Biaryl 3.82 (Table 3.9, entry 5). Purification by flash chromatography (1:1 Hexanes:Benzene) afforded $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}$ as a white solid ( $89 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{74}$


Biaryl 3.83 (Table 3.9, entry 6). Purification by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.83 as a white solid ( $74 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.62$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 158.5,145.3$, 139.7, 139.5, 136.0, 130.9, 130.1, 127.6, 127.1, 126.9, 126.7, 125.2, 121.6, 25.5; IR (film): $3050,1612,1600,1496,1326,1238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}$, 220.1126; found, 220.1126.


Biaryl 3.86 (Table 3.10, entry 1). Purification by flash chromatography (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.86 as a yellow oil ( $67 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.58$ (4:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \mathrm{d} 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H})$,
7.61-7.53 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.25 (m, 2H), $7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): d $156.3,156.0,154.8,131.7,129.2,127.9,127.3,125.7,125.4,125.2,123.9$, $122.8,122.4,120.8,120.7,111.1,104.7,103.4,55.6$; IR (film): 2934, 1584, 1448, 1246, 1080 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 275.1072; found, 275.1078.


Biaryl 3.88 (Table 3.10, entry 2). Purification by flash chromatography (100\% Hexanes) afforded 3.88 as a white solid ( $79 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.62$ ( $100 \%$ Hexanes). All spectral data are consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{75}$


Biaryl 3.90 (Table 3.10, entry 3). Purification by flash chromatography (2:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.90 as a yellow oil ( $81 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.55$ (1:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.35-8.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0, J=1.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85,(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (125MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.0,141.2,132.6,129.7,127.3,126.8,126.6,125.6,125.5,125.1,125.0$, $122.9,122.2,103.3,55.5$; IR (film): 2933, 1578, 1458, 1232, $1101 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{OS}, 241.0687$; found, 241.0693.


Biaryl 3.91 (Table 3.10, entry 4). Purification by flash chromatography (10:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 3.91 as a white solid ( $80 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.70$ ( $10: 1$ Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0,1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 161.6,146.3,140.1,134.9,133.5,131.7,128.2$, $128.2,127.4,125.9,125.8,125.7,125.3,123.5,116.6,53.4$; IR (film): 2945, 1578, 1459, 1362, $1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}, 236.1075$; found, 236.1082.


Biaryl 3.14. Purification by flash chromatography (10:1 Hexanes:EtOAc) afforded $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ as a white solid ( $97 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.70$ (10:1 Hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.85$ (dd, $J=4.0,1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.3,1.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{q}, J=4.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,5.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(125$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta 160.8,150.4,147.5,146.1,138.7,136.0,135.0,130.8,128.9,128.0,127.7$, 123.6, 121.2, 117.1, 53.5; IR (film): 3046, 2945, 1578, 1461, 1403, $1018 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}$, 237.1028; found, 237.1025.

## C. Mechanistic and Competition Experiments



Influence of boronic acid on the reaction rate. To determine the influence of boronic acid identity on reaction rate, sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 3 4}$ was allowed to react independently with boronic acids 3.1a, 3.2a, and 3.4a. To monitor progress over time, in each case, five reactions were setup simultaneously under identical reaction conditions. These reactions were removed from heat at varying time points ( $15 \mathrm{~min}, 45 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \mathrm{~min}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and the percentage conversions were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with hexamethylbenzene as internal standard. The results shown below indicate that the relative rate of cross-coupling is dependent on the identity of the boronic acid, with a direct correlation between electron-richness of the boronic acid and reaction rate (i.e., rate of conversion: $\mathbf{3 . 1} \mathbf{a}>\mathbf{3 . 2 a}>3.4 a$ ).


Representative procedure (coupling of sulfamate 3.34 with boronic acid 3.2a is used as an example). A 1-dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(419 \mathrm{mg}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5$ equiv, obtained from Acros) and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, and then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Boronic acid 3.2a ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10$ mmol, 2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0219 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and the sulfamate substrate 3.34 ( $94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.439$ mmol, 1 equiv) were added. The vial was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. 1.5 mL of a $4.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of hexamethylbenzene in toluene was added and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the desired time indicated above. The reaction vessel was then immediately opened and the contents transferred to a test tube containing $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to dissolve and transfer residual solids to the test tube. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $E t_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. A sample ( 1.5 mL ) was taken, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; the resulting solution was subjected to filtration through a cotton plug and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.


Sulfamate-selective coupling using aryl substrates. Experiments were carried out to effect the selective cross-coupling of aryl sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ without effecting reaction of aryl carbamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 5}$. To monitor progress over time, five reactions were setup simultaneously under identical reaction
conditions. These reactions were removed from heat at varying time points ( $15 \mathrm{~min}, 45 \mathrm{~min}, 90$ $\mathrm{min}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and the percentage conversions were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with hexamethylbenzene internal standard. The results shown below indicate that selective sulfamate coupling was readily achieved at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reaction temperature.


Procedure: A 1-dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(380 \mathrm{mg}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 9$ equiv, obtained from Acros) and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Boronic acid 3.3a ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(13.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were added. The vial was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. A solution containing sulfamate 3.6 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), carbamate 3.55 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and hexamethylbenzene ( $4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 15$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) in toluene ( 1.5 mL ) was added and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the desired time indicated above. The reaction vessel was then immediately opened and the contents transferred to a test tube containing $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to dissolve and transfer residual solids to the test tube. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined
organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. A sample ( 1.5 mL ) was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.


3.57
(1 equiv)


Sulfamate-selective coupling using fused aromatic substrates. Experiments were carried out to effect the selective cross-coupling of aryl sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}$ without disturbing aryl carbamate 3.56. To monitor progress over time, two reactions were setup simultaneously under identical reaction conditions. These reactions were stopped at varying time points ( 65 min and 5 h ) and the percentage conversions were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with hexamethylbenzene internal standard. The results shown below indicate that selective sulfamate coupling was readily achieved at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reaction temperature.


Procedure: A 1-dram vial was charged with anhydrous powdered $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 9$ equiv, obtained from Acros) and a magnetic stir bar. The vial and contents were flame-dried under reduced pressure, then allowed to cool under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Boronic acid 3.58a ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.5 equiv), $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(6.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were added. The vial was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. A solution containing sulfamate $\mathbf{3 . 5 7}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), carbamate 3.56 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), and hexamethylbenzene ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 15 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in toluene $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The heterogeneous mixture was allowed to stir at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the desired time indicated above. The reaction vessel was then cooled, immediately opened and the contents transferred to a test tube containing $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ). Ethyl acetate ( 1 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to dissolve and transfer residual solids to the test tube. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. A sample ( 1.5 mL ) was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
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Figure A2.2 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.57.


Figure A2.3 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.57.



Figure A2.5 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.61.


Figure A2.6 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.61.






Figure A2.9 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.63.


Figure A2.10 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.63.



Figure A2.12 Infrared spectrum of compound $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$.


Figure A2.13 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$.



Figure A2.15 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.12.


Figure A2.16 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.12.





Figure A2.18 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.66.


Figure A2.19 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.66.

$6 \varepsilon \mathcal{G}^{\circ}\llcorner$
$006^{\circ} \varepsilon$




Figure A2.22 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$


Figure A2.23 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.70.





Figure A2.25 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.72.


Figure A2.26 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 7 2}$.

Figure A2.27 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.73.
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Figure A2.28 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 7 5}$.


Figure A2.29 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.75.


Figure A2.30 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.75.

Figure A2.31 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.77.


Figure A2.32 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.77.


Figure A2.33 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.77.
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Figure A2.34 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.78.


Figure A2.35 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.78.


Figure A2.36 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.78.




Figure A2.38 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$.






Figure A2.41 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 8 3}$.


Figure A2.42 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.83.


Figure A2.43 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.83.


Figure A2.44 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 8 6}$.


Figure A2.45 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.86.


Figure A2.46 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.86.




Figure A2.47 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 . 8 8}$.




Figure A2.49 Infrared spectrum of compound $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$.


Figure $A 2.50{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.90.




Figure A2.52 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.91.


Figure A2.53 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.91.




Figure A2.55 Infrared spectrum of compound 3.14.


Figure A2.56 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 3.14.

## CHAPTER FOUR

# Total Synthesis of (-)-N-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate 

Alexander D. Huters, Kyle W. Quasdorf, Evan D. Styduhar, and Neil K. Garg.<br>J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15797-15799.

### 4.1 Abstract

We report the first total synthesis of (-)-N-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate. Our route features a number of key transformations, including an indolyne cyclization to assemble the [4.3.1]-bicyclic scaffold, as well as a late-stage intramolecular nitrene insertion to functionalize the C 11 bridgehead carbon en route to the natural product.

### 4.2 Introduction

The welwitindolinones are a unique class of natural products isolated from the blue-green algae Hapalosiphon welwitschii and Westiella intricata. ${ }^{1}$ Ten welwitindolinones have been identified to date, nine of which possess [4.3.1]-bicyclic cores (e.g., 4.1-4.3, Figure 4.1). ${ }^{2}$ Although compact in size, each of these natural products contains a dense array of functionality that has plagued synthetic efforts for nearly two decades. To date, more than ten laboratories have reported progress toward the bicyclic welwitindolinones. ${ }^{3,4}$ Whereas these exhaustive efforts have resulted in several elegant methods for bicycle generation, completion of these targets has remained a formidable challenge. In fact, the only total synthesis of a [4.3.1]-bicyclic welwitindolinone was recently achieved by Rawal and co-workers, with their breakthrough synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-4.3 in 2011. ${ }^{5}$


N-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (4.1)

welwitindolinone B isothiocyanate (4.2)


N-methylwelwitindolinone
D isonitrile (4.3)

Figure 4.1. [4.3.1]-Bicyclic welwitindolinones 4.1-4.3.

With the aim of synthesizing alkaloids 4.1-4.3 and other family members, we selected 4.1 as our initial synthetic target. Of note, welwitindolinone 4.1 was uniquely found to reverse P -glycoprotein-mediated multiple drug resistance (MDR) to a variety of anti-cancer drugs in human cancer cell lines, and is therefore a promising lead for the treatment of drug resistant tumors. ${ }^{6}$ The densely functionalized bicyclic framework of 4.1 presents numerous synthetic challenges, including a 3,4-disubstituted oxindole, a heavily substituted cyclohexyl ring, and a bridgehead isothiocyanate substituent at C 11 . In this communication, we report the first total synthesis of (-)-N-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (4.1).

### 4.3 Retrosynthetic Analysis of (-)- $N$-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate

Retrosynthetically, it was envisioned that 4.1 would be derived from bicycle 4.4 through late-stage functionalization of the C11 bridgehead position (Scheme 4.1). In turn, intermediate 4.4 would arise from indole precursor 4.5 by introduction of the vinyl chloride and oxindole moieties. In the key complexity generating step, the [4.3.1]-bicycle would be fashioned through intramolecular addition of an enolate onto an in situ-generated "indolyne" species (see transition structure 4.6). ${ }^{7}$ The use of an indolyne intermediate ${ }^{8,9}$ was considered advantageous as the high reactivity of the aryne would permit the assembly of the congested $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 11$ bond linkage, where
a tertiary center would be introduced adjacent to the C12 quaternary stereocenter. Of note, the indolyne would be inherently electrophilic, representing an uncommon umpolung of the indole's typical reactivity. Bromoindole 4.7 was thought to be a suitable precursor to the desired indolyne via the classic dehydrohalogenation method for aryne generation. Finally, cyclohexyl derivative 4.8 and indole 4.9 were identified as suitable starting fragments.

## Scheme 4.1




### 4.4 Construction of the [4.3.1]-Bicyclic Framework

Our synthesis commenced with the concise preparation of the key [4.3.1]-bicycle (Scheme 4.2). (S)-Carvone (4.10) was elaborated to enone 4.11 using the robust five step procedure reported by Natsume in the enantiomeric series. ${ }^{10}$ Subsequent pivalate cleavage, followed by $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-promoted addition of bromoindole 4.9, ${ }^{11}$ furnished adduct $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ in $54 \%$ yield over two steps. ${ }^{12}$ TBS-protection of $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ provided silylether $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}$, which in turn was employed in the critical indolyne cyclization. To our delight, treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}$ with $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ and $t$ - BuOH in THF
at ambient temperatures ${ }^{3 p, 13}$ led to indolyne adducts $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 1 5}$ in a combined $46 \%$ yield (2.5 : 1 ratio). ${ }^{14,15}$ Although $O$-arylated product 4.15 was observed, ${ }^{16}$ the major product $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ possesses the desired [4.3.1]-bicyclic framework of the natural product and is available in gram quantities. ${ }^{17}$ Moreover, it was believed that bicycle 4.14 was suitably functionalized to allow for the ultimate completion of the natural product synthesis.

## Scheme 4.2





### 4.5 Introduction of the Vinyl Chloride and Oxindole Moieties

Having assembled the bicyclic framework of the natural product, we focused efforts on introduction of the vinyl chloride and oxindole moieties (Scheme 4.3). Desilylation of 4.14,
followed by Dess-Martin oxidation, smoothly furnished diketone 4.16. Subsequently, a sequence involving triflation and Pd-catalyzed stannylation provided vinyl stannane 4.17. ${ }^{18}$ Exposure of 4.17 to $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ in dioxane afforded vinyl chloride $4.18 .{ }^{19}$ To arrive at the necessary oxindole, a two-step procedure involving sequential C 2 bromination and hydrolysis was employed to deliver late-stage intermediate 4.4. ${ }^{7}$

## Scheme 4.3




### 4.6 Completion of (-)-N-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate

With intermediate 4.4 lacking only the isothiocyanate substituent, we turned our attention to functionalization of the sterically congested C11 bridgehead position. ${ }^{20}$ Unfortunately, attempts to substitute C 11 through intermolecular processes were unsuccessful. ${ }^{21}$ As a workaround, we postulated that an intramolecular nitrene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion might be more fruitful. ${ }^{22,23}$ Ketone reduction of $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ proceeded efficiently using $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlH}$ to furnish a secondary alcohol intermediate as a single diastereomer (Scheme 4.4). Subsequent carbamoylation
furnished 4.19, ${ }^{23}$ the key substrate for the critical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction. The cyclization of carbamate 4.19 was attempted using a variety of reaction conditions that had previously been used to construct 5-membered oxazolidinones fused to cyclohexyl rings. ${ }^{24}$ Although use of Rh catalysis furnished ketone 4.4 rather than the desired product $\mathbf{4 . 2 0},{ }^{25} \mathrm{Ag}$ catalysis ${ }^{24 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}}$ was found to be more effective. Upon treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 1 9}$ with AgOTf , bathophenanthroline, and $\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at elevated temperatures, the desired nitrene insertion took place to deliver oxazolidinone 4.20 as the major product. Ketone 4.4 was also recovered, and could be recycled through our synthetic route. Nonetheless, hydrolysis of $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ followed by IBX oxidation generated the penultimate intermediate 4.21. With aminoketone 4.21 in hand, final introduction of the isothiocyanate ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~m}, 26}$ furnished 4.1. Spectral data for synthetic 4.1 was identical in all respects to that reported for the natural product. ${ }^{\text {1a,27 }}$

## Scheme 4.4





### 4.7 Conclusion

In summary, we have achieved the first total synthesis of (-)- N -methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (4.1). Our enantiospecific route proceeds in 17 steps from known carvone derivative 4.11 and features a number of key transformations, including: (a) an indolyne cyclization to assemble the [4.3.1]-bicycle, (b) late-stage introduction of the vinyl chloride and oxindole moieties, and (c) a nitrene insertion reaction to functionalize the sterically congested C11 bridgehead position. Our synthesis of (-)-(4.1) validates the use of indolynes as intermediates in complex molecule synthesis and provides a promising entryway to the other [4.3.1]-bicyclic welwitindolinones.

### 4.8 Experimental Section

### 4.8.1 Materials and Methods

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen using anhydrous solvents (either freshly distilled or passed through activated alumina columns). All commercially available reagents were used as received unless otherwise specified. (S)-Carvone was obtained from Aldrich. 5-bromoindole was obtained from Biosynth. $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ was obtained from Alfa Aesar. Comins' reagent was obtained from Aldrich. Hexamethylditin was obtained from Aldrich. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium(0) was obtained from Strem. Anhydrous $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ was obtained from Aldrich. Trichloroacetyl isocyanate was obtained from Aldrich. AgOTf was obtained from Strem. Bathophenanthroline was obtained from Alfa Aesar. $O, O-\mathrm{di}(2$-pyridinyl) thiocarbonate was obtained from Aldrich. 2-Iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) and Dess-Martin periodinane were prepared from known literature procedures. ${ }^{28,29} t$ BuOH was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and stored in a Schlenk tube prior to use. 1,4-dioxane was distilled from Na /benzophenone prior to use. 1,2-dichloroethane was distilled from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and stored in a Schlenk tube over $4 \AA$ A molecular sieves prior to use. Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed at room temperature (rt, approximately $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with EMD gel 60 F254 pre-coated plates ( 0.25 mm ) and visualized using a combination of UV, anisaldehyde, iodine, and potassium permanganate staining. Silicycle silica gel 60 (particle size $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for flash column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers ( 500 MHz ). Data for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), multiplicity, coupling constant $(\mathrm{Hz})$, integration and are referenced to the residual solvent peak $7.26 \mathrm{ppm}^{30}$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 5.32 ppm for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift (at 125 MHz ) and are referenced to the
residual solvent peak $77.16 \mathrm{ppm}^{30}$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 53.84 for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 100 spectrometer and are reported in terms of frequency absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Optical rotations were measured with a Rudolf Autopol IV Automatic Polarimeter. Uncorrected melting points were measured with a Mel-Temp II melting point apparatus and a Fluke 50S thermocouple. High resolution mass spectra were obtained from the UC Irvine Mass Spectrometry Facility.

### 4.8.2 Experimental Procedures



Enone 4.11. Enone 4.11 was prepared using Natsume's procedure (originally performed in the enantiomeric series). ${ }^{31}$ A flask was charged with $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(196 \mathrm{mg}, 0.956 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) followed by the addition of THF ( 90 mL ). The resulting suspension was cooled to $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the vinyl magnesium bromide solution ( 1.0 M in THF, $28.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added via syringe pump at a rate of $44.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{hr}$. Once the addition was complete, a solution of $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}^{31}$ ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 9.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 90 mL ) was added via syringe pump at a rate of $86.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{hr}$. After the addition of $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ was complete, the reaction was allowed to stir for 10 minutes and then quenched with a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction vessel was then removed from the $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath, diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a solution of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until all solids had dissolved. The resulting biphasic mixture was
transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $3: 1$ hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford enone $4.11(2.58 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ yield) as a light yellow oil. Enone 4.11: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.48$ (3:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.76$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,10.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-$ $2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 201.3,177.9,146.0,142.8,127.4$, 114.7, 74.1, 49.2, 43.0, 39.2, 31.1, 27.2, 23.3, 22.6, 22.3; IR (film): 2975, 1720, 1679, 1480, 1280, 1215, $1157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}, 301.1780$; found 301.1776; $[\alpha]^{24.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+41.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

4.11
$\xrightarrow[\text { 2. } \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}]{\text { 1. } \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$

(54\% yield, 2 steps)


Indole 4.12. To a flask containing a solution of enone $4.11(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 3.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(77.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 9.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in one portion. The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and then allowed to stir at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature and transferred to a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (40 $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x} 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude residue was used in the subsequent reaction without further purification.

To a flask containing the crude residue from the previous step was added 5 -bromo- N methylindole ${ }^{32}(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 5.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), followed by $\mathrm{MeOH}(7.82 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature until the mixture became homogeneous, and then iodine ( $198 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) was added in one portion. The flask was flushed the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and allowed to stir at room temperature. After 19 h , the reaction was quenched with a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $2: 1: 1$ hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford indole $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ ( $823 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield, over two steps) as a white solid. Indole 4.12: mp: $71{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.43$ (2:1:1 hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 7.85,(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $1.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,10.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7,1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,5.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.9,2.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 210.5,144.1,136.9,127.9$, $127.7,124.1,123.8,123.6,114.7,112.0,111.4,72.8,50.2,48.7,47.3,36.6,33.10,33.08,27.7$, 24.6, 22.9; IR (film): 3463, 2966, 1703, 1479, $1214 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{BrNa}, 426.1045$; found 426.1044; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24.8}+76.2^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Silyl Ether 4.13. To a solution of indole 4.12 ( $3.84 \mathrm{~g}, 9.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 47.5 mL ) was added imidazole ( $3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 47.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv), DMAP ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 9.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), tetrabutylammonium iodide $(3.51 \mathrm{~g}, 9.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) , and $\operatorname{TBSCl}(4.30 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), all as solids in one portion. The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and then allowed to stir at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature and transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc ( 75 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc (4 x 75 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with brine ( 2 x 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $1: 1$ hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford silyl ether $\mathbf{4 . 1 3}$ (4.43 g, 90\% yield) as a white solid. Silyl ether 4.13: mp: $117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.68$ (1:1 hexanes:Et $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,11.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.56 (br. s, 1H), $3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,5.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,0.9,1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H) , $-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 211.0,143.7,136.5,127.7$, $127.3,124.0,123.71,123.66,114.7,112.1,110.9,73.3,50.4,48.4,48.1,36.0,33.3,32.9,26.5$, 26.1, 25.6, 24.1, 18.2, -4.7, -5.1; IR (film): 2953, 2926, 2858, 1708, 1477, 1361, 1256, 1218,
$1073 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{BrSiNa}, 540.1909$; found 540.1903; $[\alpha]^{22.7}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+72.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Bicycle 4.14. Inside of the glovebox, a flask was charged with $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}(2.13 \mathrm{~g}, 54.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.5$ equiv). The flask was then sealed and removed from the glovebox. THF ( 30.0 mL ) was then added, followed by $t$ - BuOH ( $1.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv). The resulting suspension was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred vigorously for 1 h . The reaction was cooled to room temperature and a solution of silyl ether $4.13(2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 5.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in THF ( 22.0 mL ) was added. After stirring at room temperature, the reaction was quenched via the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ until no more gas evolution was observed. The reaction was then transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc ( 40 mL ) and a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $100 \%$ benzene) to afford bicycle 4.14 ( $749 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ yield) as a light yellow oil and $O$-arylated product 4.15 ( $288 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ yield) as a clear oil. Bicycle 4.14: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.56(100 \%$ benzene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,5.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.5,5.0,1.8$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.5,8.3,2.8), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),-0.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}),-0.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 212.4,145.0,137.4,126.3,126.2,125.2$, $122.6,122.5,121.1,113.0,108.1,69.1,69.0,60.0,49.8,35.8,35.7,33.0,32.1,28.2,25.9,18.0$, 16.8, -4.3, -4.8; IR (film): 2956, 2926, 1705, 1472, 1256, $1092 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SiNa}, 460.2648$; found 460.2650; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{24 .}+101.8^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.



Alcohol 4.23. A flask was charged with bicycle $4.14(848 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) followed by the addition of THF ( 20 mL ). A solution of TBAF (1.0 M in THF, $5.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was then added and the flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and allowed to stir at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature and transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and a solution of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{4}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (2:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford $\mathbf{4 . 2 3}$ ( $605 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ yield) as a white solid. 4.23: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (2:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.6,3.0,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.2,5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.2,8.5,2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


Diketone 4.16. A flask was charged with 4.23 ( $601 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 781 $\mathrm{mg}, 9.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(37 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the resulting suspension was added the Dess-Martin periodinane reagent ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in one portion. The flask was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature. After 90 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with a solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred until both layers were no longer cloudy. The mixture was then transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc ( 50 mL ) then extracted with EtOAc (3x50 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (2:1:1 hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to afford diketone 4.16 ( 600 mg , quant. yield) as a white solid. Diketone 4.16: mp: $194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.48$ (2:1:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.4,10.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-$ $2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 209.9$, $209.4,139.3,137.8,127.1,123.8,123.5,122.8,121.1,120.2,114.8,108.9,68.9,58.5,56.3,40.2$, 37.4, 33.6, 33.1, 28.2, 22.2; IR (film): 2976, 2922, 1714, 1706, 1541, 1418, $1234 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMSESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, 344.1627$; found 344.1624; $[\alpha]^{25.1}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+165.8^{\circ}(c=$ $1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).


Vinyl Triflate 4.24. Inside of the glovebox, a flask was charged with solid KHMDS ( 327 mg , $1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The flask was then sealed and removed from the glovebox. THF ( 7.0 mL ) was added and the resulting solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of diketone $\mathbf{4 . 1 6}(440 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 7.0 mL ) was then added dropwise. Upon completion of the addition, the reaction was allowed to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , and was then warmed to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 additional hour. The reaction vessel was then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of Comins' reagent ( $590 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise. After stirring at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min , the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir for an additional 15 min . The reaction was then quenched by the addition of a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $2: 1: 1$ hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford vinyl triflate 4.24 $\left(555 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%\right.$ yield) as a light yellow oil. Vinyl triflate 4.24: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.64$ (2:1:1 hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.


Vinyl Stannane 4.17. In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(59$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{LiCl}(258 \mathrm{mg}, 6.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 24$ equiv), and hexamethylditin ( $254 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.8$ equiv). A separate 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with $4.24(116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.256$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), followed by the addition of 1,4 -dioxane ( 3.8 mL ) which had been taken through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use. The resulting solution was then added to the vial containing the palladium catalyst, sealed, taken outside of the glovebox, and allowed to stir at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a plug of silica gel topped with Celite. The filter cake was then washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (5:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford vinyl stannane 4.17 ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ yield) as a white solid. Vinyl stannane 4.17: mp: $158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.34$ (5:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.3.2, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Sn}}=72.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,10.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.7,1.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{app} . \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-$ $0.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Sn}}=52.7,9 \mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 211.9,149.9,145.4,137.6,135.5$, $125.6,125.5,124.5,122.9,122.0,120.5,112.2,107.8,68.6,61.8,53.2,37.1,34.4,33.0,28.5$, 25.7, -7.5; IR (film): 2973, 2919, 2875, 1703, 1454, 1420, 1371, $1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NOSnNa}, 492.1330$; found 492.1327; $[\alpha]^{25.2}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+46.6^{\circ}(c=1.000$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).


Vinyl Chloride 4.18. A 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with vinyl stannane 4.17 ( 100 mg , $0.214 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and then transferred to the glovebox. Dioxane ( 4.27 mL ) was added and to the resulting solution was added $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.470 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) in one portion. The vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 23 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and was then warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction was diluted with brine ( 5 mL ) and the resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc (10 mL) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3x20 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (benzene eluent) to afford vinyl chloride 4.18 ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield) as a white solid. Vinyl chloride 4.18: mp: $83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.27$ (5:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 208.8,142.2,138.8,137.7,125.9,124.31,124.29,124.2,123.7,121.4,120.8,113.8,108.5$, 68.6, 61.6, 51.9, 37.1, 34.0, 33.0, 28.3, 23.9; IR (film): 2970, 1716, 1450, 1418, 1368, 1255, $1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NOClNa}$, 362.1288; found 362.1283; $[\alpha]^{22.8}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+62.8^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Oxindole 4.4. To a solution of vinyl chloride 4.18 ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(2.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\operatorname{NBS}(24.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction vial was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and allowed to stir at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 25 min , solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(46 \mathrm{mg})$ was added in one portion. The reaction was removed from the $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath, and allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 min . The resulting suspension was filtered through a plug of silica gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ eluent, 10 mL ). Evaporation under reduced pressure provided the crude brominated product, which was used in the subsequent step without further purification.

To the crude product was added absolute ethanol $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated aqueous HCl $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After heating to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h , the the reaction was cooled to room temperature and transferred to a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the funnel was added solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until no more gas evolution was observed. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (2:1:1 hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{O}\right)$ to afford oxindole $4.4(42.8 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield) as a white solid. Oxindole 4.4: mp: $193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.40$ (2:1:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.73 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 204.7, 175.4, 144.7, 141.4, 140.3, 130.5, 128.6,
$127.1,124.6,123.9,115.4,107.3,68.8,63.8,52.0,51.9,41.7,26.4,25.8,25.6,21.4$; IR (film): 2966, 2922, 1700, 1609, 1595, $1465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}, 378.1237$; found 378.1248; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23.4}-132.8^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Alcohol 4.25. To a solution of oxindole $4.4\left(43.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 4.00 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $145 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) dropwise. After stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , an additional portion of $i$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) was added. After stirring at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , a third portion of $i-\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $24 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) was added and the mixture was allowed to stir at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . At this time, a final portion of $i$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{AlH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $48 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.4$ equiv) was added. After 30 min , the reaction was quenched at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and Rochelle's salt ( 1 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , transferred to a separatory with EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and a solution of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (1:1:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to afford alcohol 4.25 ( $37.2 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield) as a white solid. Alcohol 4.25: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.12$ (2:1:1 hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
$17.4,0.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,0.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.55($ app. $\mathrm{t}, J=4.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.7,5.4,1.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).



Carbamate 4.19. To a solution of $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$ ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.218 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added trichloroacetyl isocyanate ( $27 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in a dropwise manner. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , and then at room temperature for 20 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. To the resulting residue was added $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.5$ equiv $)$ in one portion. The reaction was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and left to stir at room temperature for 90 min . The reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 3 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting biphasic mixture was transferred to a test tube with EtOAc ( 2 mL ) and brine ( 2 mL ). After extracting with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) , the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford carbamate 4.19 (90 mg , quant. yield) as a white solid. Carbamate 4.19: mp: $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.41$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,4.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.3,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=4.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,5.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(125$
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 176.3,155.9,144.3,141.2,141.0,136.9,127.7,127.3,126.4,125.7,114.7$, $106.6,72.7,55.9,52.6,50.6,49.0,38.7,28.0,26.3,26.2,22.7$; IR (film): 3497, 3341, 2936, 1730, 1698, 1609, 1470, 1341, $1066 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{ClNa}, 423.1451$; found 423.1459; $[\alpha]^{23.0}-166.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

4.19


Oxazolidinone 4.20. A 20 mL scintillation vial containing $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and a separate 20 mL scintillation vial charged with bathophenanthroline ( $24.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0750 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) were transferred into the glovebox. $\operatorname{AgOTf}(19.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0750 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv $)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(4.30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the vial containing the bathophenanthroline, and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir at room temperature for 20 min . Next, a third 20 mL scintillation vial containing carbamate 4.19 ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(96.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was transferred into the glovebox and the $\mathrm{AgOTf} / \mathrm{bathophenanthroline} \mathrm{suspension} \mathrm{was}$ added to this vial. The vial was then sealed, removed from the glovebox, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 50 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (2:1 benzene:EtOAc) to afford oxazolidinone $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ (18 mg, 33\% yield) as a white solid and recovered oxindole $4.4(12 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ yield) as a white solid. Oxazolidinone $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ : mp: $329{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (2:1 benzene:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.55$ (br. s, 1 H ), 7.15
$(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,5.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 174.9,159.2,144.2,141.2,140.6$, $136.8,128.2,126.0,125.5,124.0,116.4,107.4,81.3,69.9,54.2,52.1,49.6,38.7,27.4,26.4$, 22.0, 20.2; IR (film): $3270,1755,1686,1612,1460,1202,1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{ClNa}, 421.1295$; found 421.1289; $[\alpha]^{25.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-109.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Aminoketone 4.21. A Schlenk tube was charged with oxazolidinone 4.20 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0376 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.188 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv). The reaction vessel was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ five times. A 2:1 mixture of 1,4-dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ that had been taken through seven freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use was then added and the Schlenk tube. The vessel was sealed, and then transferred to the glovebox where the reaction was allowed to stir at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h , the Schlenk tube was removed from the glovebox and the contents were transferred to a test tube with EtOAc $(6 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3x4mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was used directly in the subsequent reaction.

To the crude residue was added DMSO $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0413 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). The resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 min . IBX ( 53 mg ,
0.188 mmol, 5 equiv) was then added in one portion, and the vial was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After stirring at room temperature for 20 h , the reaction mixture transferred with EtOAc ( 3 mL ) to a test tube containing a solution of aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL}$, concentration of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 5 x 3 mL ) and the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford aminoketone 4.21 ( $6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ yield, over two steps) as an amorphous solid. Aminoketone 4.21: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.42$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.6$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ (s, 3H), $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 207.4,174.7,144.1,140.6$, $138.9,135.2,128.2,124.2,123.8,123.7,116.2,107.7,71.8,62.8,56.7,53.8,40.0,26.4,25.9$, 21.6, 20.6; IR (film): 2973, 1709, 1698, 1609, 1583, $1457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 371.1526$; found 371.1516; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23.8}-70.2^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.



(-)- $N$-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate (4.1). To solution of aminoketone 4.21 (5.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.0135 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane ( $540 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added DMAP ( $0.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0067$ mmol, 0.5 equiv) and $O, O-\operatorname{di}(2-$ pyridinyl) thiocarbonate ( $15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction vial was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and then allowed to stir at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 14 h , the
reaction was cooled to room temperature and then passed over a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 20 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography ( $9: 1$ benzene:EtOAc) to afford (-)-4.1 (4.3 mg, 77\% yield) as an amorphous solid. ( - )- $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (4.1): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.81$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,10.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-$ $5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 196.3,174.1,144.5,140.7,138.7,137.2,130.1,128.6$, $124.7,123.3,122.5,117.7,108.5,83.8,61.7,57.0,53.1,40.8,26.4,25.7,22.2,21.4$; IR (film): 2970, 2932, 2041, 1712, 1609, 1460, $1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SClNa}, 435.0910$; found $435.0899 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23.6}-223.9^{\circ}\left(c=0.77, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{33}$
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## Spectra Relevant to Chapter Four:

## Total Synthesis of (-)-N-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate
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Figure A3.2 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.11.


Figure A3.3 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.11.


Figure $A 3.4^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.12.


Figure A3.5 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.12.


Figure $A 3.6{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.12.



Figure A3.8 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.13.



Figure $\mathrm{A} 3.9{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.13.



Figure A3.11 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.14.


Figure A3.12 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$.




Figure A3.15 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.16.


Figure A3.16 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{4 . 1 6}$.




Figure A3.19 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.17.


Figure $\mathrm{A} 3.20{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.17 .



Figure A3.22 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.18.


Figure A3.23 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.18.



Figure A3.25 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.4.


Figure $A 3.26{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.4.




Figure A3.29 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.19.


Figure A3.30 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.19.



Figure A3.32 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.20.


Figure A3.33 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.20.



Figure A3.35 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.21.


Figure $\mathrm{A} 3.36{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.21 .



Figure A3.38 Infrared spectrum of compound 4.1.


Figure $\mathrm{A} 3.39{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 4.1.
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### 5.1 Abstract

We report the total synthesis of $(-)$ - $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile, in addition to the total syntheses of the 3-hydroxylated welwitindolinones. Our routes to these elusive natural products feature the strategic use of a deuterium kinetic isotope effect to improve the efficiency of a late-stage nitrene insertion reaction. We also provide a computational prediction for the stereochemical configuration at C3 of the hydroxylated welwitindolinones, which was confirmed by experimental studies.

### 5.2 Introduction

Since their isolation reports in 1994 and 1999, ${ }^{1}$ the welwitindolinone natural products have captivated synthetic chemists worldwide. ${ }^{2}$ To date, nine welwitindolinones with [4.3.1]bicyclic frameworks have been discovered (e.g., 5.1-5.5, Figure 5.1), some of which show promising activity for the treatment of drug resistant cancer cells. ${ }^{3}$ The dense array of functional groups that decorate the compact structure of these targets has taunted chemists for nearly two decades. More than fifteen laboratories have reported progress toward these intriguing natural products, resulting in many elegant approaches to the bicyclic core. ${ }^{4,5}$ The strategies used by our laboratory and Rawal's, respectively, have recently facilitated the first two syntheses of these
elusive natural products. ${ }^{6,7}$ However, syntheses of several challenging members of the welwitindolinone family of natural products have not been reported. ${ }^{8}$

In this communication, we report the total syntheses of three natural products in the welwitindolinone C series: $(-)-\mathbf{5 . 2},(-)-\mathbf{5 . 3}$ and (-)-5.4. The latter two of these targets represent the so-called "oxidized welwitindolinones", whose configuration at C 3 had not been unambiguously defined. We also describe the strategic manipulation of a kinetic isotope effect to improve the efficiency of a challenging $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation / nitrene-insertion reaction, which takes place late-stage in the total syntheses to forge a critical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond.


N-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (5.1); $R=-N C S$

N-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.2); $R=-N C$


C3-hydroxy-Nmethylwelwitindolinone C
isothiocyanate (5.3); $R=-N C S$

C3-hydroxy-Nmethylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.4); R = -NC


N-methylwelwitindolinone D isonitrile (5.5)

Figure 5.1. Welwitindolinones 5.1-5.5.

### 5.3 Previous Total Synthesis of (-)-N-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate

A summary of our recent total synthesis of (-)-5.1 ${ }^{7}$ is shown in Scheme 5.1. Known carvone derivative $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ was elaborated to bromoindole $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ over three synthetic steps. Subsequent treatment of 5.7 with $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ and $t$-BuOH in THF facilitated an indolyne cyclization to afford 5.8, which possesses the desired [4.3.1]-bicycle. Bicycle 5.8 was elaborated to ketone 5.9, which lacked only the isothiocyanate functional group. Thus, ketone $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ was readily converted to
carbamate 5.10a the substrate for a critical nitrene $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction. ${ }^{9,10,11}$ We were delighted to find that the desired $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization took place to afford 5.11a upon exposure of substrate 5.10a to the Ag-promoted conditions described by He. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}}$ Insertion product 5.11a could be elaborated to the elusive natural product (-)-5.1 over three additional transformations.

## Scheme 5.1





### 5.4 Optimization of Nitrene Insertion

In order to facilitate syntheses of the remaining natural products in the welwitindolinone C series, we sought to first improve the efficiency of the late-stage nitrene insertion reaction (i.e.,
5.10a $\rightarrow$ 5.11a, Scheme 5.1 , which had proceeded in a modest $33 \%$ yield. It was noted that a major byproduct of the insertion step was ketone 5.9, which presumably formed through the undesired insertion of the intermediate nitrene species into the $\mathrm{C} 10 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{We}$ hypothesized that replacing the problematic hydrogen with deuterium would subdue the undesired insertion process, thereby favoring the desired functionalization event. ${ }^{13}$ The deuterated substrate $\mathbf{5 . 1 0 b}$ was readily prepared by a sequence involving reduction of ketone $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ with super deuteride, followed by carbamoylation (Figure 5.2). We were delighted to find that exposure of this substrate to our optimal reaction conditions for nitrene insertion furnished the desired product $\mathbf{5 . 1 1 b}$ in $60 \%$ yield, while the formation of ketone $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ was diminished. The strategic use of a deuterium kinetic isotope effect in total synthesis is rare, ${ }^{14}$ and the present study marks the first use of this approach to facilitate a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization event en route to natural products.

5.9

(quantitative yield, 2 steps)




Figure 5.2. Nitrene insertion of substrates 5.10a and 5.10b.

### 5.5 Syntheses of $N$-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate and $N$ Methylwelwitindolinone Isonitrile

With improved access to a C11 N -functionalized product, we explored elaboration of
5.11b to several welwitindolinone natural products. Hydrolysis of the carbamate, followed by Dess-Martin oxidation, proceeded smoothly to furnish aminoketone $\mathbf{5 . 1 2}$ (Scheme 5.2). Subsequent elaboration of $\mathbf{5 . 1 2}$ delivered $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (-)-5.1 as we have shown previously. ${ }^{6}$ Subsequently, exposure of this natural product to Rawal's desulfurization conditions provided (-)- $N$-methylwelwitindolinone isonitrile (5.2) as the major product. ${ }^{6}$ Unfortunately, purification of the crude natural product proved difficult. ${ }^{15}$ As a workaround, aminoketone $\mathbf{5 . 1 2}$ was subjected to sequential formylation ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~s}}$ and dehydration ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~m}}$ to afford the desired natural product (-)-5.2 in $88 \%$ yield. ${ }^{16}$ Spectral data for synthetic (-)-5.2 was in accord with that provided for natural (-)-5.2 in the isolation report. ${ }^{\text {1a }}$

## Scheme 5.2



### 5.6 Syntheses of the C3-hydroxylated Welwitindolinones

We next pursued total syntheses of the C3-hydroxylated welwitindolinones, the two oxidized welwitindolinones that had not been synthesized previously. Furthermore, the stereochemical configuration of these natural products at C3 had not been rigorously established spectroscopically, but rather, had been assigned based on analogy to the non-hydroxylated welwitindolinone natural products. ${ }^{17}$ In our first attempts toward these natural products, aminoketone 5.12 was treated with various bases, with the reaction vessels being under standard atmospheric conditions to allow for air-oxidation. Although the corresponding C3 oxidized product was formed and could be manipulated further, low yields and irreproducibility hampered our efforts. However, direct oxidation of the non-hydroxylated natural products was found to be a more fruitful strategy (Figure 5.3). It should be noted that related aerobic oxidations of oxindoles have been reported, ${ }^{18}$ including an impressive example in the context of the welwitindolinones. ${ }^{19}$ Treatment of (-)-N-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.2) with NaH in the presence of air, provided (-)-3-hydroxy- $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.4). Similarly, oxidation of (-)- N -methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (5.1) delivered (-)-3-hydroxy- $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.3). Both oxidations occurred selectively to furnish single diastereomers of hydroxylated products, while leaving the sensitive C11 functional groups undisturbed.

(-)-5.2

(-)-5.1

(47\% yield)

(48\% yield)

Figure 5.3. Total synthesis of oxidized welwitindolinones 5.3 and 5.4.

### 5.7 Computational and Experimental Studies to Establish the Stereochemistry of the C3hydroxylated Welwitindolinones

For each of the natural products synthesized, our synthetic samples matched the natural materials by spectroscopic means. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}, 20}$ However, for the hydroxylated welwitindolinones, the C3 stereochemistry remained to be unambiguously established. Since computational predictions for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts have proven valuable in elucidating stereochemical configurations of natural products, ${ }^{21,22}$ we calculated the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts for the C3 epimers of welwitindolinones $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ (Figure 5.4). ${ }^{23}$ In both cases, the computed chemical shifts for the $\mathrm{C} 3(S)$ diastereomer matched the experimental data better than did the computed shifts for the $\mathrm{C} 3(R)$ diastereomer. For example, although computed ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts for $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ and epi-5.4 deviated from the experimental shifts by similar amounts (mean absolute deviations (MADs) of 2.13 and 2.69 ppm , with largest outliers off by 5.59 and 5.34 ppm for $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ and epi-5.4, respectively), computed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ shifts for $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ matched the experimental values much more closely than did computed shifts for epi-5.4 (MADs of 0.08 ( 0.05 without the OH proton included) and
$0.36 \mathrm{ppm}(0.34$ without the OH proton included), with largest $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ outliers off by 0.13 and 0.79 ppm for 5.4 and epi-5.4, respectively). Similar results were obtained for 5.3. ${ }^{23}$ We therefore propose that the stereochemical configuration at C3 is $S$ in $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ and 5.4, in accord with the hypothesis made by the isolation chemists. ${ }^{1}$


(3S configuration)


epi-5.3
(3R configuration)

epi-5.4
(3R configuration)
computational predictions for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ shifts match experimental data for $3 S$ configuration

Figure 5.4. Structures of $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{5 . 4}$, in addition to C 3 epimers, and summary of computational findings.

To provide evidence for this stereochemical assignment, (-)-3-hydroxy- $N$ methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (5.3) was treated with LiHMDS and dimethylsulfate (Scheme 5.3). Despite the severely hindered nature of the tertiary alcohol, methylation proceeded to provide ether 5.14. 2D-NOESY experiments of $\mathbf{5 . 1 4}$ showed correlations between the methoxy protons and the protons of the vinyl group at C 12 , thus supporting the proposed $\mathrm{C} 3(S)$ configuration. ${ }^{24}$ This result further validates the promising use of computational chemistry to establish stereochemical assignments on complex molecules. ${ }^{21,22}$

## Scheme 5.3



### 5.8 Conclusion

In summary, we have completed the total syntheses of several elusive welwitindolinone natural products. Our routes to these natural products feature the strategic use of a deuterium kinetic isotope effect to improve the efficiency of a late-stage nitrene insertion reaction. We also provide a computational prediction for the stereochemical configuration at C 3 of the hydroxylated welwitindolinones $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. This prediction was confirmed by experimental studies. Our findings are expected to facilitate the total syntheses of other welwitindolinone natural products, while demonstrating the utility of computational chemistry in elucidating stereochemical assignments and the strategic manipulation of kinetic isotope effects in total synthesis.

### 5.9 Experimental Section

### 5.9.1 Materials and Methods

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen using anhydrous solvents (either freshly distilled or passed through activated alumina columns). All commercially available reagents were used as received unless otherwise specified. ( $S$ )-carvone was obtained from Aldrich. 5-bromoindole was obtained from Biosynth. $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ was obtained from Alfa Aesar. Comins' reagent was obtained from Aldrich. Hexamethylditin was obtained from Aldrich. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) was obtained from Strem. Anhydrous $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ was obtained from Aldrich. Trichloroacetyl isocyanate was obtained from Aldrich. $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BD}$ ("super deuteride") was obtained from Aldrich. AgOTf was obtained from Strem. Bathophenanthroline was obtained from Alfa Aesar. O,O-di(2-pyridinyl) thiocarbonate was obtained from Aldrich. 2-Iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) and Dess-Martin periodinane were prepared from known literature procedures. ${ }^{25,26} t$ - BuOH was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and stored in a Schlenk tube prior to use. 1,4-dioxane was distilled from $\mathrm{Na} /$ benzophenone prior to use. 1,2-dichloroethane was distilled from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and stored in a Schlenk tube over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves prior to use. Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed at room temperature (rt, approximately $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted with EMD gel 60 F 254 pre-coated plates $(0.25 \mathrm{~mm})$ and visualized using a combination of UV, anisaldehyde, iodine, and potassium permanganate staining. Silicycle silica gel 60 (particle size $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for flash column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers ( 500 MHz ). Data for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift $(\delta \mathrm{ppm})$, multiplicity, coupling constant $(\mathrm{Hz})$, integration and are referenced to the residual solvent peak 7.26 ppm for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 5.32 ppm for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Data for ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are
reported as follow: chemical shift ( $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$, at 77 MHz ), multiplicity, coupling constant, integration and are referenced to the residual solvent peak 7.26 ppm for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \cdot{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift (at 125 MHz ) and are referenced to the residual solvent peak 77.16 ppm for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 53.84$ for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and 128.06 for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 100 spectrometer and are reported in terms of frequency absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Optical rotations were measured with a Rudolf Autopol IV Automatic Polarimeter. Uncorrected melting points were measured with a Mel-Temp II melting point apparatus and a Fluke 50S thermocouple. High resolution mass spectra were obtained from the UC Irvine Mass Spectrometry Facility.

### 5.9.2 Experimental Procedures



Alcohol 5.15. To a solution of ketone $\mathbf{5 . 9}^{\boldsymbol{7}}(367 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 34.0 mL ) at -$-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BD}$ ("super deuteride", 1.0 M in THF, $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) in a dropwise manner. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min the reaction was warmed to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for an additional 1 h . The reaction was then quenched with the addition of $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warmed to room temperature. The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(50 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic layers were combined, dried over
$\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $1: 1: 1$ hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to afford alcohol $5.15(370 \mathrm{mg}$, quant. yield) as a white solid. Alcohol 5.15: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.12$ (2:1:1 hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $7.17(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.8,7.7,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,0.91 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,0.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,0.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

5.15

5.10b

Carbamate 5.10b. To a solution of $\mathbf{5 . 1 5}(370 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(21.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added trichloroacetyl isocyanate ( $129 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in a dropwise manner. The resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , and then at room temperature for an additional 20 min . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. To the resulting residue was added $\mathrm{MeOH}(21.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(784 \mathrm{mg}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.5$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and left to stir at room temperature for 3.5 h . The reaction was quenched with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ) and the resulting biphasic mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. After extracting with $\mathrm{EtOAc}\left(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford carbamate 5.10b ( 416 mg , quant. yield) as a white solid. Carbamate
5.10b: mp: $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.41$ ( $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.13$ (dd, $J=$ $7.8,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3$, $10.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,0.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $77 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.48$ (br. s, 1D); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 21 of 22 observed, $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $176.3,155.9,144.3,141.2,141.0,136.9,127.7,127.3,126.4,125.7,114.7,106.6,55.8,52.6$, 50.6, 49.0, 38.7, 28.0, 26.3, 26.2, 22.7; IR (film): 3493, 3351, 2929, 2875, 1723, 1698, 1609, 1469, 1375, $1084 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{DN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{ClNa}, 424.1514$; found 424.1504; $[\alpha]^{24.2}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-151.0^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.


Oxazolidinone 5.11b. A 20 mL scintillation vial containing $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and two separate 20 mL scintillation vials each charged with bathophenanthroline ( $40.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) were transferred into the glovebox. $\operatorname{AgOTf}\left(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(7.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to each vial containing the bathophenanthroline, and the resulting suspensions were stirred at room temperature for 20 min . Next, two additional 20 mL scintillation vials each containing carbamate 5.10b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.249 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.498$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) were transferred into the glovebox and a AgOTf/bathophenanthroline suspension was added to each of these vials. The vials were then sealed, removed from the glovebox, and the resulting mixtures were allowed to stir at $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reactions were
cooled to room temperature and combined then filtered through a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 50 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 benzene:EtOAc) to afford oxazolidinone 5.11b ( 59.3 mg , $60 \%$ yield) as a white solid and recovered ketone 5.9 ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ yield) as a white solid. Oxazolidinone 5.11b: mp: $329{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ ( $2: 1$ benzene:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.53 (br. s, 1H), 7.15 (ddd, $J=8.3,7.6,0.71 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (77 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.02$ (br. s, 1D); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 174.9,159.2,144.2,141.1,140.6,136.8,128.2,125.9,125.5,123.9,116.4,107.4,80.9$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}}=21.9\right), 69.8,54.2,52.1,49.4,38.6,27.3,26.4,22.0,20.2$; IR (film): 3280, 2997, 1757, 1707, 1610, 1460, $1346 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{DN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{ClNa}$, 422.1358; found 422.1357; $[\alpha]^{25.2}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-147.6^{\circ}\left(c=1.000, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.


Aminoketone 5.12. A Schlenk tube was charged with oxazolidinone 5.11b ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.250 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv). The reaction vessel was then evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ five times. A 2:1 mixture of 1,4-dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ that had been taken through seven freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use was then added to the Schlenk tube. The vessel was sealed, and the reaction vessel was heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 14 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature, and the contents were transferred to a test tube with

EtOAc ( 6 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( 3 mL ). The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was used directly in the subsequent reaction.

To the crude residue was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA $(4.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.0413 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 min . Dess-Martin periodinane ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was then added in one portion, and the vial was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After stirring at room temperature for 17 h , the reaction was diluted with a $1: 1$ mixture of saturated aqueous solutions of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred until both layers were no longer cloudy. The resulting mixture was transferred to a test tube with EtOAc (2 mL). After extracting with EtOAc (4 x 2 mL ), the organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $4: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) to afford aminoketone 5.12 ( $12.3 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, over two steps) as an amorphous solid. Aminoketone 5.12: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.42$ ( $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.9,1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.71 (br. s, 2H), $1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 207.4$, $174.7,144.1,140.6,138.9,135.2,128.2,124.2,123.8,123.7,116.2,107.7,71.8,62.8,56.7,53.8$, 40.0, 26.4, 25.9, 21.6, 20.6; IR (film): 2973, 1709, 1698, 1609, 1583, $1457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 371.1526$; found 371.1516; $[\alpha]^{23.8}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-70.2^{\circ}(c=1.000$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

(-)- $N$-Methylwelwitindolinone Isonitrile (5.2). A 1-dram vial was charged with $96 \%$ formic $\operatorname{acid}(0.100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic anhydride $(0.100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction vessel was cooled to room temperature and $68 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the $96 \%$ formic acid/acetic anhydride mixture was added to a solution of aminoketone 5.12 ( $7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0203 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in THF $(450 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes, and then warmed to room temperature. After stirring for an additional 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was then transferred to a test tube containing EtOAc ( 1 mL ) and a saturated solution of aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 3 mL ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was used directly in the subsequent reaction.

To the crude residue was added THF ( 1 mL ) and benzene ( 1 mL ), followed by the addition of Burgess reagent ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0406 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv). The vial was flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . The reaction was then filtered through a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 20 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by prep TLC (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford ( - ) $\mathbf{- 5 . 2}$ ( 7.8 mg , quant. yield) as an amorphous solid. (-)- N -Methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.2). Spectral data for synthetic 5.2 was consistent with literature reports ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}: \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.60$ ( $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.33(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5,7.7,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,0.9,1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 193.4,173.9,163.5,144.5$, $138.3,136.2,128.7,127.7,124.6,123.3,122.8,118.3,108.8,81.9,61.6,55.6,53.2,40.7,26.4$, 25.6, 22.6, 21.3; IR (film): 2969, 2141, 1735, 1711, 1609, 1587, $1460,1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS-ESI $(m / z)[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}, 403.1189$; found 403.1178; $[\alpha]^{24.2}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-90.4^{\circ}(c=0.25$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{27}$


(-)-3-Hydroxy- $N$-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isonitrile (5.4). To a solution of (-)-5.2 (7.8 mg, $0.0205 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 1.1 mL ) was added NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 4.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) in one portion. The vial was sealed under ambient atomospheric conditions and allowed to star at room temperature. After 2.5 h , the reaction was filtered through a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 20 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by prep TLC (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford (-)-5.4 (3.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ yield) as an amorphous solid. (-)-3-Hydroxy- $N$-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (5.4). Spectral data for synthetic 5.4 was consistent with literature reports ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.46$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 7.44$ (dd, $\left.J=8.2,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,10.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.2,0.81 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,0.81 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 192.6,173.2,166.5,145.1,137.4$, $132.8,130.3,128.8,126.4,126.00,125.98,117.8,109.2,82.3,80.2,60.6,55.4,42.3,25.6,22.6$,
22.0, 20.8; IR (film): 3395, 2973, 2922, 2142, 1723, 1610, 1587, $1459 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{ClNa}, 419.1138$; found 419.1137; $[\alpha]^{23.1}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-90.0^{\circ}(c=0.4$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{27}$

(-)-5.1

(-)-3-Hydroxy- $N$-Methylwelwitindolinone C Isothiocyanate (5.3). A 1-dram vial was charged with (-)-5.1 ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0058 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and then sealed under ambient atmospheric conditions. THF ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was then added, followed by the dropwise addition of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of an 11 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of LiHMDS in THF. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h , and then another $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the LiHMDS solution was added. After an additional 90 minutes, another $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the LiHMDS solution was added and the reaction was stirred for an additional 14 h . The reaction was then filtered through a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 20 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by prep TLC (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc) to afford (-)-5.3 (1.2 mg, 48\% yield) as an amorphous solid. (-)-3-hydroxy- $N$ methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (5.3) ${ }^{20}$ : $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.46$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 7.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.9$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta 196.3$, 173.7, 145.5, 140.7, 138.0, 133.8, 130.7, 130.6, 126.2, 126.1, 125.9, 117.9, 109.7, 84.5, 80.6, 61.1, 57.1, 42.9, 26.6, 22.9, 21.7, 21.2; IR (film): 3399, 2966, 2929, 2044, 1721, 1610, 1585,
$1457 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SClNa}, 451.0859$; found 451.0860 ; $[\alpha]^{25.2}{ }_{D}-206.0^{\circ}\left(c=1.00, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .{ }^{27}$


Methyl Ether 5.14. To a stirred solution of (-)-5.3 ( $2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0054 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DME (200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of LiHMDS in DME. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Dimethylsulfate ( $10.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.107 \mathrm{mmol}, 20$ equiv) was added and the reaction was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction was cooled to room temperature and filtered through a plug of silica gel (EtOAc eluent, 20 mL ). The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was purified by prep TLC (2:1:1 hexanes: $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to afford $\mathbf{5 . 1 4}$ ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ yield) as an amorphous solid. Methyl Ether 5.14: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.58$ (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.41$ (dd, $J=8.5,7.9,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,0.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5$, $10.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70$ (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), $0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 196.3,172.0,145.7,140.7$, $137.9,132.3,131.1,130.7,126.7,126.1,122.1,117.7,108.6,85.2,84.4,61.1,56.6,51.3,43.7$, 26.1, 22.9, 21.62, 21.55; IR (film): 2916, 2050, 1725, 1607, 1583, $1455 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SClNa}, 465.1016$; found 465.1028; $[\alpha]^{25.2}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-118.7^{\circ}(c=0.15$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).

### 5.9.3 Computational Data

## Computed NMR Chemical Shift Data

Table 5.1. Comparison of Experimental and Computed NMR Chemical Shifts for Structure 5.4.

|  | NMR Ch | mical Shifts | pm) | Nucleus <br> $\#^{\text {a }}$ | H NMR Chemical Shifts (ppm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nucleus <br> $\#^{a}$ | Expt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Computed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Original | Computed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ C3 epimer |  | Expt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Computed ${ }^{c}$ Original | Computed ${ }^{c}$ C3 epimer |
| $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ | 26.60 | 24.75 | 24.68 | $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ | 3.15 | 3.02 | 3.00 |
| 2 | 173.60 | 171.48 | 172.62 | OH | 2.65 | 2.20 | 1.96 |
| 3 | 80.60 | 80.47 | 80.08 | 5 | 7.33 | 7.20 | 7.37 |
| 4 | 128.40 | 129.46 | 132.98 | 6 | 7.44 | 7.39 | 7.36 |
| 5 | 126.20 | 124.05 | 123.51 | 7 | 6.89 | 6.82 | 6.78 |
| 6 | 130.80 | 128.65 | 128.49 | 14 | 6.40 | 6.40 | 5.83 |
| 7 | 110.00 | 108.52 | 108.75 | 15 | 3.18 | 3.22 | 3.09 |
| 8 | 145.50 | 144.64 | 143.77 | 17 | 1.71 | 1.66 | 0.94 |
| 9 | 126.40 | 126.15 | 127.18 | 18 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 1.60 |
| 10 | 193.60 | 196.02 | 198.73 | 19 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.36 |
| 11 | 82.00 | 82.13 | 77.56 | 20 | 5.49 | 5.48 | 6.25 |
| 12 | 55.50 | 60.92 | 60.84 | 21 E | 5.34 | 5.36 | 5.65 |
| 13 | 133.30 | 140.06 | 142.36 | 21 Z | 5.40 | 5.40 | 5.58 |
| 14 | 126.00 | 128.32 | 127.63 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 61.00 | 61.60 | 59.56 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 42.80 | 48.39 | 47.55 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 22.80 | 19.42 | 21.41 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 21.20 | 21.49 | 21.51 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 22.10 | 20.56 | 20.48 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 137.10 | 139.50 | 140.61 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 118.40 | 118.25 | 120.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 164.30 | 168.17 | 166.42 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CMAD $^{\text {d }}$ | 2.13 | 2.69 |  | CMAD $^{\text {d }}$ | 0.08 | 0.36 |

${ }^{a}$ See page $316 .{ }^{b}$ Data taken from isolation report; see reference 1 b . ${ }^{c}$ Conformationally averaged values - see page 317. Largest outliers are indicated in red. Note that higher than average errors are expected for the carbon atom bearing a chlorine atom (C13) - due to heavy-atom effects, and for the hydroxyl proton - due to concentration-dependent hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{20}{ }^{d} \mathrm{CMAD}=$ corrected mean absolute deviation and is computed as ${ }_{-1}^{1} \sum_{\left.\right|_{m o n}-\delta_{\text {cop }}}$ where $\delta_{\text {comp }}$ refers to the scaled computed chemical shifts.

Note: For the C3 epimer structure, a modest improvement in the match to experimental data is found if the C17 and C18 methyl protons are switched in their experimental assignments
$(\mathrm{CMAD}=0.26 \mathrm{ppm})$. This amount of improvement is not sufficient to change our overall conclusion.

Table 5.2. Comparison of Experimental and Computed NMR Chemical Shifts for Structure 5.3.

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Chemical Shifts (ppm) |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Chemical Shifts (ppm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nucleus $\#^{a}$ | Expt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Computed ${ }^{c}$ Original | Computed ${ }^{c}$ C3 epimer | Nucleus $\#^{a}$ | Expt. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Computed ${ }^{c}$ Original | Computed ${ }^{c}$ C3 epimer |
| $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ | 26.60 | 24.89 | 24.57 | $N \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 3.14 | 3.02 | 3.01 |
| 2 | 173.70 | 172.44 | 173.12 | OH | not obsv. |  |  |
| 3 | 80.60 | 80.37 | 79.50 | 5 | 7.25 | 7.14 | 7.38 |
| 4 | 130.60 | 132.41 | 132.88 | 6 | 7.41 | 7.30 | 7.26 |
| 5 | 126.20 | 124.24 | 123.93 | 7 | 6.87 | 6.83 | 6.73 |
| 6 | 130.70 | 128.78 | 127.83 | 14 | 6.40 | 6.34 | 5.87 |
| 7 | 109.70 | 108.20 | 108.22 | 15 | 3.21 | 3.20 | 3.29 |
| 8 | 145.50 | 144.63 | 144.49 | 17 | 1.71 | 1.62 | 0.96 |
| 9 | 125.90 | 125.36 | 126.44 | 18 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 1.61 |
| 10 | 196.30 | 198.52 | 201.21 | 19 | 1.50 | 1.49 | 1.38 |
| 11 | 84.50 | 86.70 | 82.20 | 20 | 5.48 | 5.42 | 6.30 |
| 12 |  |  |  | $21 E$ | 5.33- | 5.25 | 5.56 |
|  | 57.10 | 61.87 | 60.36 |  | 5.29 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 21 Z | 5.33- | 5.30 | 5.42 |
|  | 133.80 | 140.56 | 143.45 |  | 5.29 |  |  |
| 14 | 126.10 | 126.98 | 127.83 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 61.10 | 60.63 | 59.72 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 42.90 | 48.15 | 47.78 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 22.90 | 19.33 | 21.88 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 21.70 | 21.38 | 21.69 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 21.70 | 19.95 | 20.29 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 138.00 | 141.57 | 142.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 117.90 | 116.31 | 118.28 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 140.60 | 144.85 | 146.31 |  |  |  |  |
|  | CMAD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.25 | 2.50 |  | CMAD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.06 | 0.33 |

${ }^{a}$ See page 316). ${ }^{b}$ Data obtained from Prof Philip Williams (University of Hawaii); see ref 20.
${ }^{c}$ Lowest energy conformation - see page 317). Largest outliers are indicated in red. Note that higher than average errors are expected for the carbon atom bearing a chlorine atom (C13) - due to heavy-atom effects, and for the hydroxyl proton - due to concentration-dependent hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{20 d} \mathrm{CMAD}=$ corrected mean absolute deviation and is computed as $\left.\frac{1}{n} \sum_{n}^{n} \right\rvert\, \sigma_{\text {cump }}-\delta_{\alpha_{\text {op }} \mid}$ where $\delta_{\text {comp }}$ refers to the scaled computed chemical shifts. Where the experimental value is a range, the mean value is used.

Atom \#'s used in Tables $5.1 \& 5.2$, taken from reference 1b.


## Methods

## General

Calculations (geometry optimization, frequency, and NMR chemical shift) were performed on C3-hydroxyl-N-methylwelwitindolinone C isonitrile (structure 5.4) and its C 3 epimer, as well as C3-hydroxyl-N-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (structure 5.3) and its C 3 epimer.

Calculations were performed with GAUSSIAN09. ${ }^{28}$ Geometries were optimized in the gas-phase using the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) ${ }^{29}$ level of theory. Frequency calculations (at 298.15 K ) at the same level of theory were used to confirm the nature of all stationary points as minima and also provided values for computed free energies. NMR single point calculations (GIAO) ${ }^{30}$ were performed on these geometries at the mPW1PW91/6-311+G(d,p) ${ }^{31}$ level of theory in an implicit chloroform solvent continuum ( $\mathrm{SMD}^{32}$ method).

## Conformational Analysis

For structure 5.4 and its C3 epimer, nine candidate conformers (three conformations of the vinyl group and three conformations of the hydroxyl group) for each epimer were subjected to geometry optimization. This resulted in four unique conformers for structure $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ and six unique conformers of its C3 epimer. For both epimers, Boltzmann-weighted averaging of the computed chemical shifts based on the relative computed free energies at 298.15 K of each conformer was performed, using the equation below to determine relative populations.

$$
\frac{P_{i}}{P_{j}}=e^{\frac{-\left(E_{i}-E_{j}\right)}{R T}} \begin{aligned}
& P_{i}=\text { population of conformer } i \text { relative to lowest energy conformer } j \\
& E_{i}, E_{j}=\text { computed free energies }\left(\text { in } \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol}^{2}\right) \\
& R=\text { molar gas constant }\left(8.314510 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right) \\
& \\
& \mathrm{T}=298.15 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

The relative populations were then converted to Boltzmann-weighting factors by means of a set of linear equations.

Although only one conformer of the ring system seemed to be likely, both epimers of structure 5.4 were subjected to a conformational search (in Spartan'10). ${ }^{33}$ As expected, only a single conformation of the ring system was found in each case.

For the isothiocyanate structure 5.3, the major contributing (lowest energy) conformer of isonitrile structure 5.4 was converted into the corresponding isothiocyanate, and subjected to geometry optimization, followed by frequency and NMR chemical shift calculations (for both epimers).

## Empirical Scaling of Computed NMR Chemical Shifts

Computed chemical shifts are commonly scaled empirically in order to remove systematic error that results from a variety of sources. The scaling factors themselves are generally determined by comparison of computed NMR data with known experimental chemical shifts for large databases of molecules. These factors (slope and intercept from a best fit line) are specific for each level of theory used computationally. We have generated numerous such scaling factors for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts utilizing a database originally compiled by Rablen and co-workers and have made them available on our web site at http://cheshirenmr.info.

One of our preferred methods for obtaining high quality computed chemical shifts at reasonable costs is to use mPW1PW91/6-311+G(2d,p) NMR calculations (with the SMD chloroform continuum model) on B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) geometries. After scaling, this method produces average errors (CMAD's) of 0.11-0.15 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 1.8-2.5 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ on diverse sets of small organic molecules. Details and numerous references on linear regression methods applied to computed chemical shifts can be found in our review paper. ${ }^{22}$

The specific scaling factors used in this study are given below and are applied to the computed NMR isotropic shielding constants by way of the equation shown.
$\delta=$ computed chemical shift relative to TMS
$\begin{aligned} & \sigma=\text { computed isotropic shielding constant } \\ & m=\text { slope }, \quad b=\text { intercept }\end{aligned} \quad \delta=\frac{b-\sigma}{-m}$

## DP4 Probability Analysis

For further support of our assignment to the $\mathrm{C} 3(S)$ diastereomer for isonitrile structure $\mathbf{5 . 4}$, we utilized the DP4 probability analysis of Smith and Goodman. ${ }^{34}$ When both possible epimers were compared to the experimental data, the analysis suggested a $67.5 \%$ probability of $\mathrm{C} 3(S)$ being correct based on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ data, a $100 \%$ probability based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ data, and a $100 \%$ probability based on both sets of data.

## Energies, coordinates, and NMR isotropic shielding constants

Structure 5.4, conformer 1
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.99519 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.380511 | 0.751109 | -0.617354 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.108729 | -0.579788 | -0.477610 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.576958 | -0.739243 | -0.229973 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.100593 | 1.342374 | 0.224316 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.166355 | 2.747156 | 0.083118 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.926998 | 3.509133 | -0.308650 |
| 7 | 6 | -2.164939 | 2.908981 | -0.547955 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.224961 | 1.528726 | -0.433403 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.115549 | 0.717867 | -0.099250 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.911574 | -0.488942 | 1.794213 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.361546 | 0.651230 | 0.832091 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.441035 | 0.080430 | -0.232322 |
| 13 | 6 | 1.991257 | -1.302366 | -0.688458 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.114541 | -2.103441 | -0.085843 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.340831 | -1.738622 | 1.154370 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.226129 | -1.694004 | 0.949197 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.694972 | -3.141817 | 0.675179 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.918249 | -1.203489 | 2.239070 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.813867 | -0.103077 | 0.460532 |
| 20 | 6 | 2.502368 | 1.034876 | -1.415368 |
| 21 | 6 | 3.497088 | 1.873522 | -1.710270 |
| 22 | 7 | 2.033204 | 1.616907 | 1.637432 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.586836 | 2.357422 | 2.363512 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.681175 | 1.269742 | -0.999762 |
| 25 | 8 | -3.902935 | -1.495419 | -0.652588 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.090993 | -1.225047 | -1.496027 |
| 27 | 8 | 1.040237 | -0.387499 | 2.994192 |
| 28 | 17 | 2.810643 | -1.908346 | -2.135113 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.094865 | 3.254364 | 0.304017 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.819288 | 4.585337 | -0.400957 |
| 31 | 1 | -3.037307 | 3.497044 | -0.810138 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.936332 | -3.087914 | -0.503238 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.500607 | -2.520825 | 1.907413 |
| 34 | 1 | -2.776929 | -3.181623 | 0.543748 |
| 35 | 1 | -1.426114 | -3.773261 | 1.528812 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.231478 | -3.576643 | -0.214547 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.578758 | -1.786674 | 3.099890 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.002030 | -1.330487 | 2.154846 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.711653 | -0.151953 | 2.456298 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.498705 | -0.599373 | -0.230537 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.714525 | -0.727653 | 1.352535 |
| 42 | 1 | 4.252712 | 0.847527 | 0.762572 |
| 43 | 1 | 1.626966 | 1.010046 | -2.060573 |
| 44 | 1 | 3.429437 | 2.518753 | -2.581112 |
| 45 | 1 | 4.403556 | 1.951460 | -1.119072 |
| 46 | 1 | -5.361472 | 0.421841 | -1.084425 |
| 47 | 1 | -4.622074 | 1.785227 | -1.964582 |
| 48 | 1 | -5.056951 | 1.965669 | -0.242570 |
| 49 | 1 | -1.689279 | -1.931402 | -1.787016 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 5.3824 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.9179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 101.9705 | 30 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7070 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 49.7929 | 31 | H | Isotropic = | 24.3199 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic | 55.7165 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 24.8577 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic | 51.0288 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.2880 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic = | 72.1794 | 34 | H | Isotropic = | 29.1701 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic = | 34.1558 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.8685 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic | 53.9450 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 30.0279 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic | -20.0573 | 37 | H | Isotropic | 30.5775 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic | 100.0258 | 38 | H | Isotropic | 30.8627 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic = | 122.5598 | 39 | H | Isotropic = | 31.4457 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 39.6653 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 30.0039 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 51.8523 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6930 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic = | 121.7132 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.6167 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic = | 135.6107 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 25.8003 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic | 166.2994 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 25.9664 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 164.0007 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 25.8850 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 165.0069 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5588 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 39.3145 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9537 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 62.5941 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9597 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 9.4942 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.4283 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.3779 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, conformer 2
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.992378 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.389910 | 0.411641 | -0.584188 |
| 2 | 6 | -2.965521 | -0.872239 | -0.398840 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.427194 | -0.846062 | -0.141642 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.012876 | 1.426251 | 0.172806 |
| 5 | 6 | -0.088399 | 2.823398 | -0.011922 |
| 6 | 6 | -1.268385 | 3.441804 | -0.404375 |
| 7 | 6 | -2.431329 | 2.695800 | -0.605917 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.329062 | 1.322773 | -0.445527 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.129801 | 0.657371 | -0.101630 |
| 10 | 6 | 1.030557 | -0.187507 | 1.832779 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.356655 | 0.894958 | 0.758969 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.436090 | 0.330558 | -0.322514 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.169786 | -1.147259 | -0.573941 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.396904 | -1.962253 | 0.142545 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.567402 | -1.538793 | 1.325806 |
| 16 | 6 | -0.991904 | -1.665159 | 1.111119 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.311230 | -3.169442 | 0.949863 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.738553 | -1.147243 | 2.358981 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.869594 | 0.421973 | 0.274214 |
| 20 | 6 | 2.371154 | 1.204721 | -1.566937 |
| 21 | 6 | 1.672684 | 0.966313 | -2.677686 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.980856 | 1.972917 | 1.454311 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.499432 | 2.806413 | 2.101395 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.742986 | 0.763434 | -0.974618 |
| 25 | 8 | -3.645808 | -1.880969 | -0.539796 |
| 26 | 8 | -0.879667 | -1.372703 | -1.364425 |
| 27 | 8 | 1.169300 | 0.037695 | 3.014619 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.113201 | -1.882915 | -1.883336 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.776650 | 3.444181 | 0.173272 |
| 30 | 1 | -1.286911 | 4.519781 | -0.530763 |
| 31 | 1 | -3.369095 | 3.170462 | -0.871934 |
| 32 | 1 | 1.366618 | -3.013474 | -0.122288 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.786676 | -2.220689 | 2.157072 |
| 34 | 1 | -2.383033 | -3.328798 | 0.826617 |
| 35 | 1 | -0.982069 | -3.705397 | 1.846601 |
| 36 | 1 | -0.803240 | -3.618029 | 0.092046 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.359121 | -1.638557 | 3.259701 |
| 38 | 1 | -2.806525 | -1.374337 | 2.280869 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.625833 | -0.069313 | 2.502672 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.565784 | -0.076892 | -0.403155 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.926828 | -0.068257 | 1.250262 |
| 42 | 1 | 4.188723 | 1.458815 | 0.387579 |
| 43 | 1 | 2.951041 | 2.123283 | -1.491520 |
| 44 | 1 | 1.689639 | 1.674541 | -3.500899 |
| 45 | 1 | 1.066322 | 0.074939 | -2.805571 |
| 46 | 1 | -5.322154 | -0.159042 | -1.024872 |
| 47 | 1 | -4.746801 | 1.248298 | -1.956963 |
| 48 | 1 | -5.193416 | 1.438110 | -0.239220 |
| 49 | 1 | -1.404343 | -2.153019 | -1.604425 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 4.9313 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.8898 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 101.8846 | 30 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7819 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 47.7504 | 31 | H | Isotropic = | 24.3539 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 56.1850 | 32 | H | Isotropic = | 24.8360 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 50.5833 | 33 | H | Isotropic = | 28.3687 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic = | 72.3931 | 34 | H | Isotropic = | 29.2774 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 34.0780 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.8967 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic | 54.5485 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 29.9107 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -19.4205 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6386 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 98.4760 | 38 | H | Isotropic = | 30.9444 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic = | 120.3660 | 39 | H | Isotropic = | 31.4424 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 39.7763 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7032 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 48.9210 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6575 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic = | 121.5678 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.9034 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic = | 135.6714 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 25.7159 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic = | 166.5375 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 26.1295 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 164.1755 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 26.4239 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 158.0736 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5589 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 40.9847 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9945 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 54.8881 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9520 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 7.6178 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.4036 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic | 160.4337 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, conformer 3
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.993819 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.368932 | 0.825648 | -0.629677 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.157544 | -0.516396 | -0.430269 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.615809 | -0.722439 | -0.255060 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.110041 | 1.315147 | 0.269538 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.216937 | 2.720030 | 0.145044 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.846054 | 3.511664 | -0.269219 |
| 7 | 6 | -2.092278 | 2.944669 | -0.546407 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.196843 | 1.566140 | -0.436883 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.116419 | 0.723442 | -0.077750 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.893998 | -0.610775 | 1.760484 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.349943 | 0.587841 | 0.876648 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.449760 | 0.069134 | -0.194197 |
| 13 | 6 | 1.964466 | -1.253081 | -0.780820 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.076899 | -2.090895 | -0.235152 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.318438 | -1.813115 | 1.038195 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.251673 | -1.752414 | 0.852265 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.730562 | -3.171703 | 0.473467 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.918724 | -1.347152 | 2.184506 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.784465 | -0.234372 | 0.531732 |
| 20 | 6 | 2.603352 | 1.114144 | -1.288207 |
| 21 | 6 | 3.654511 | 1.911392 | -1.484484 |
| 22 | 7 | 2.010776 | 1.505779 | 1.742841 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.556233 | 2.206743 | 2.513309 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.666021 | 1.390904 | -0.949739 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.017924 | -1.377950 | -0.464930 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.278846 | -1.185915 | -1.578005 |
| 27 | 8 | 1.025223 | -0.591597 | 2.963826 |
| 28 | 17 | 2.785009 | -1.759528 | -2.265224 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.151272 | 3.201081 | 0.398496 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.709502 | 4.585596 | -0.349512 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.941161 | 3.557803 | -0.827679 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.888501 | -3.043511 | -0.719605 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.487428 | -2.651677 | 1.725197 |
| 34 | 1 | -2.816004 | -3.189593 | 0.373942 |
| 35 | 1 | -1.437135 | -3.875270 | 1.260605 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.313172 | -3.510827 | -0.476299 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.562267 | -1.983655 | 2.999523 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.002208 | -1.472190 | 2.108315 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.710453 | -0.310705 | 2.465781 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.481365 | -0.696440 | -0.171052 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.625627 | -0.926805 | 1.362777 |
| 42 | 1 | 4.242145 | 0.670104 | 0.931887 |
| 43 | 1 | 1.750170 | 1.203559 | -1.957080 |
| 44 | 1 | 3.652028 | 2.630787 | -2.297749 |
| 45 | 1 | 4.544204 | 1.883991 | -0.864411 |
| 46 | 1 | -5.377827 | 0.566846 | -1.006027 |
| 47 | 1 | -4.632832 | 1.908513 | -1.914460 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.985630 | 2.095613 | -0.174126 |
| 49 | 1 | -0.322909 | -1.100994 | -1.701002 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 8.2332 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.9698 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic | 100.9151 | 30 | H | Isotropic | 23.7605 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 52.2624 | 31 | H | Isotropic | 24.4222 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 56.4224 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 24.6004 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 51.0441 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.2420 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.3600 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 28.9353 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 34.2295 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.8612 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 52.2202 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 29.6794 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -19.6241 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.4989 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 100.3225 | 38 | H | Isotropic = | 30.7159 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic | 122.0071 | 39 | H | Isotropic | 31.5247 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 36.0314 | 40 | H | Isotropic | 30.1151 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic | 50.0042 | 41 | H | Isotropic | 30.6694 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 121.3573 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.6456 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 135.2910 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 25.8986 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic | 165.0367 | 44 | H | Isotropic | 25.7683 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic | 163.3592 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 25.7723 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 166.0095 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5553 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 40.3261 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9550 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 61.0648 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0701 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 9.3674 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.2466 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.7578 |  |  |  |  |



## Structure 5.4, conformer 4

Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.990807 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.383740 | 0.566778 | -0.610315 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.053866 | -0.749632 | -0.404647 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.502026 | -0.816780 | -0.214802 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.041698 | 1.369611 | 0.272369 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.019676 | 2.777398 | 0.141515 |
| 6 | 6 | -1.111888 | 3.469606 | -0.267287 |
| 7 | 6 | -2.302822 | 2.791942 | -0.538165 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.281883 | 1.410361 | -0.422782 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.130076 | 0.669650 | -0.061469 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.985067 | -0.440706 | 1.799880 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.344407 | 0.756735 | 0.872524 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.471665 | 0.293020 | -0.205474 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.119896 | -1.097394 | -0.718871 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.302069 | -1.977261 | -0.128904 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.502608 | -1.706429 | 1.120501 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.064392 | -1.781722 | 0.924539 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.419350 | -3.245934 | 0.582480 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.770669 | -1.396033 | 2.241871 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.848229 | 0.151181 | 0.507979 |
| 20 | 6 | 2.589793 | 1.375056 | -1.266159 |
| 21 | 6 | 2.063455 | 1.389910 | -2.491065 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.949458 | 1.746286 | 1.702698 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.450688 | 2.501879 | 2.451465 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.725876 | 1.012629 | -0.932908 |
| 25 | 8 | -3.833050 | -1.685569 | -0.439157 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.108252 | -1.282675 | -1.518983 |
| 27 | 8 | 1.118726 | -0.370258 | 3.001027 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.064853 | -1.681170 | -2.100933 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.906429 | 3.344477 | 0.387287 |
| 30 | 1 | -1.072432 | 4.551192 | -0.351229 |
| 31 | 1 | -3.204506 | 3.324865 | -0.818126 |
| 32 | 1 | 1.228235 | -2.977031 | -0.544828 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.731191 | -2.503770 | 1.838738 |
| 34 | 1 | -2.499142 | -3.360044 | 0.484947 |
| 35 | 1 | -1.066253 | -3.902404 | 1.385728 |
| 36 | 1 | -0.973398 | -3.569377 | -0.359684 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.371713 | -1.981726 | 3.075163 |
| 38 | 1 | -2.840486 | -1.607855 | 2.163737 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.645868 | -0.339626 | 2.496583 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.568505 | -0.279372 | -0.191013 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.779438 | -0.504005 | 1.381016 |
| 42 | 1 | 4.225967 | 1.121416 | 0.832729 |
| 43 | 1 | 3.160923 | 2.240385 | -0.934546 |
| 44 | 1 | 2.211380 | 2.246374 | -3.141755 |
| 45 | 1 | 1.480996 | 0.570431 | -2.899529 |
| 46 | 1 | -5.360630 | 0.127838 | -0.989069 |
| 47 | 1 | -4.738278 | 1.530177 | -1.898183 |
| 48 | 1 | -5.108798 | 1.686745 | -0.158694 |
| 49 | 1 | -0.151893 | -1.164749 | -1.608721 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 8.2386 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.9665 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 100.8098 | 30 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7824 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 50.6591 | 31 | H | Isotropic = | 24.4111 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 55.8937 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 24.4481 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic | 51.4584 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.2770 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.3683 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 28.9619 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic = | 34.4162 | 35 | H | Isotropic = | 30.8492 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 52.3402 | 36 | H | Isotropic = | 29.6213 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -19.4601 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.5030 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 99.3093 | 38 | H | Isotropic = | 30.7587 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic | 119.5882 | 39 | H | Isotropic = | 31.5047 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 36.6404 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7433 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 46.8267 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.5658 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 121.3607 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.8611 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 135.3283 | 43 | H | Isotropic | 25.4891 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic | 165.1747 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 26.0009 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic | 163.5269 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 26.6548 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic | 159.0525 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5470 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 42.1685 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9975 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 56.4925 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0701 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 8.0406 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0085 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.7613 |  |  |  |  |



## Structure 5.4, C3 epimer, conformer 1

Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.990153 \mathrm{H}$

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.288099 | 1.175668 | -0.492135 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.342285 | -0.126122 | -0.051393 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.919172 | -0.517146 | 0.467896 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.216887 | 1.116132 | 0.417828 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.574596 | 2.469902 | 0.244971 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.328608 | 3.404260 | -0.250180 |
| 7 | 6 | -1.640543 | 3.038434 | -0.570087 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.007208 | 1.721176 | -0.330716 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.109312 | 0.751089 | 0.163076 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.677127 | -1.267257 | 1.184698 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.289584 | 0.140867 | 0.966776 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.575046 | -0.092689 | 0.000328 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.049458 | -0.793428 | -1.248061 |
| 14 | 6 | 0.990422 | -1.602758 | -1.280469 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.181495 | -1.977392 | -0.064002 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.406789 | -1.847788 | -0.199949 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.804230 | -1.873909 | -1.691333 |
| 18 | 6 | -2.052391 | -3.058982 | 0.505371 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.567136 | -1.054323 | 0.705381 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.251073 | 1.220955 | -0.359613 |
| 21 | 6 | 4.341876 | 1.730577 | 0.216459 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.754250 | 0.642592 | 2.213633 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.123883 | 1.053143 | 3.252223 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.450401 | 1.908166 | -0.958184 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.337098 | -0.828475 | -0.041046 |
| 26 | 8 | -2.162345 | -0.633914 | 1.873909 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.582003 | -1.758320 | 2.292595 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.012929 | -0.604441 | -2.717114 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.565825 | 2.796251 | 0.528119 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.012579 | 4.435246 | -0.376291 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.349097 | 3.766197 | -0.949937 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.745583 | -2.103865 | -2.209250 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.362853 | -3.043980 | 0.123176 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.454774 | -0.989199 | -2.233915 |
| 35 | 1 | -2.890367 | -1.935564 | -1.783427 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.388636 | -2.758513 | -2.183704 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.801792 | -3.972569 | -0.046034 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.138164 | -2.948786 | 0.529867 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.696158 | -3.173178 | 1.531216 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.421744 | -1.229297 | 0.047779 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.103579 | -2.019656 | 0.922715 |
| 42 | 1 | 3.929574 | -0.637874 | 1.646851 |
| 43 | 1 | 2.788680 | 1.770696 | -1.173443 |
| 44 | 1 | 4.746011 | 2.680106 | -0.121828 |
| 45 | 1 | 4.868284 | 1.245979 | 1.031658 |
| 46 | 1 | -4.286205 | 2.289523 | -1.971632 |
| 47 | 1 | -5.294088 | 1.217278 | -0.961382 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.673133 | 2.747444 | -0.289889 |
| 49 | 1 | -1.356329 | -0.880342 | 2.350000 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 8.1779 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7702 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic | 101.0505 | 30 | H | Isotropic | 23.7763 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic | 47.8541 | 31 | H | Isotropic | 24.4329 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 57.3462 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 25.3772 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 51.2848 | 33 | H | Isotropic = | 28.2214 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic = | 71.9486 | 34 | H | Isotropic = | 31.0741 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 35.1191 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.3962 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic | 50.6689 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 30.6682 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -31.7935 | 37 | H | Isotropic | 30.6696 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 103.2573 | 38 | H | Isotropic | 29.3534 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic | 123.3461 | 39 | H | Isotropic | 29.7256 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic | 36.3752 | 40 | H | Isotropic | 30.2138 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 53.0628 | 41 | H | Isotropic | 30.9754 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 124.3429 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.6424 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 136.0421 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 24.9394 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic | 162.8294 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 25.6098 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic | 161.6207 | 45 | H | Isotropic | 25.7081 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 164.8084 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0151 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 39.1842 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 27.6030 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 59.8987 | 48 | H | Isotropic | 29.0021 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 10.1194 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7586 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.7944 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, C3 epimer, conformer 2
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.984941 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.278393 | 1.117972 | -0.533461 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.310383 | -0.209469 | -0.178852 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.902906 | -0.587507 | 0.389296 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.185832 | 1.105916 | 0.535168 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.498441 | 2.480029 | 0.471956 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.414085 | 3.416622 | -0.001700 |
| 7 | 6 | -1.694216 | 3.031209 | -0.411083 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.023780 | 1.689516 | -0.276956 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.117140 | 0.717749 | 0.196082 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.678948 | -1.295351 | 1.201769 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.266480 | 0.132206 | 1.075058 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.607114 | -0.025916 | 0.162271 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.176542 | -0.689437 | -1.139566 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.130719 | -1.511176 | -1.253244 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.261511 | -1.951592 | -0.102602 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.317902 | -1.862164 | -0.319460 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.631250 | -1.813554 | -1.830465 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.963050 | -3.129295 | 0.280033 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.588562 | -1.007686 | 0.872083 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.341014 | 1.300907 | 0.055371 |
| 21 | 6 | 3.389177 | 2.161408 | -0.962656 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.668367 | 0.584181 | 2.365535 |
| 23 | 6 | 1.977950 | 0.945099 | 3.441586 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.440003 | 1.843416 | -1.011636 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.279727 | -0.942319 | -0.263731 |
| 26 | 8 | -2.211929 | -0.787911 | 1.773533 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.548325 | -1.842008 | 2.279464 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.272230 | -0.583978 | -2.525662 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.463443 | 2.821562 | 0.813100 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.129282 | 4.463551 | -0.041017 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.410581 | 3.759099 | -0.775830 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.969213 | -2.010491 | -2.201256 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.466678 | -3.020853 | 0.041535 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.277141 | -0.890238 | -2.301119 |
| 35 | 1 | -2.708330 | -1.897056 | -1.988036 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.163913 | -2.657356 | -2.347271 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.653516 | -4.002908 | -0.305300 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.051393 | -3.051544 | 0.248445 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.661113 | -3.290452 | 1.316941 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.477093 | -1.126810 | 0.246868 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.145988 | -1.995540 | 1.023409 |
| 42 | 1 | 3.899830 | -0.615425 | 1.842892 |
| 43 | 1 | 3.899484 | 1.542858 | 0.957834 |
| 44 | 1 | 3.981398 | 3.068289 | -0.877126 |
| 45 | 1 | 2.857633 | 2.015263 | -1.895536 |
| 46 | 1 | -4.242538 | 2.286829 | -1.993526 |
| 47 | 1 | -5.261236 | 1.130562 | -1.092827 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.718029 | 2.636531 | -0.308601 |
| 49 | 1 | -1.420214 | -1.020993 | 2.279312 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 8.5407 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 100.7337 | 30 | H | Isotropic | 23.8762 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 48.2975 | 31 | H | Isotropic = | 24.4155 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 56.1226 | 32 | H | Isotropic = | 25.3406 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 52.0942 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.1967 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.0936 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 31.0889 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 35.5088 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.4055 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 50.9200 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 30.6679 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -31.9216 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.7191 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic | 103.7739 | 38 | H | Isotropic | 29.3263 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic | 120.9632 | 39 | H | Isotropic | 29.6950 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic | 38.4030 | 40 | H | Isotropic | 29.8207 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 50.6647 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.8758 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic = | 123.5190 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.8531 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 137.1848 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 25.2441 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic = | 162.7858 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 25.4090 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 161.7333 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 26.1240 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 159.8194 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0313 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic | 43.5803 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5970 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 52.4288 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0234 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 9.4675 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7756 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.9283 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, C3 epimer, conformer 3
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.993218 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.304913 | 1.158080 | -0.473247 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.343767 | -0.124220 | 0.012860 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.900808 | -0.526113 | 0.438143 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.202583 | 1.098414 | 0.441505 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.549857 | 2.458531 | 0.300853 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.349169 | 3.394542 | -0.201661 |
| 7 | 6 | -1.654658 | 3.030875 | -0.552499 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.016782 | 1.709150 | -0.335573 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.114512 | 0.741754 | 0.146499 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.712752 | -1.342329 | 1.145440 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.262646 | 0.108362 | 0.982553 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.560517 | -0.074405 | 0.005008 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.038092 | -0.733226 | -1.267192 |
| 14 | 6 | 0.977493 | -1.539015 | -1.335178 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.165346 | -1.970739 | -0.137086 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.410666 | -1.832481 | -0.278582 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.827944 | -1.804664 | -1.763284 |
| 18 | 6 | -2.052035 | -3.069296 | 0.389982 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.578225 | -1.040036 | 0.666839 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.217110 | 1.261431 | -0.309193 |
| 21 | 6 | 4.289669 | 1.774829 | 0.297376 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.719682 | 0.579075 | 2.241375 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.085755 | 0.958664 | 3.292619 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.488163 | 1.905444 | -0.858646 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.346060 | -0.811932 | 0.151027 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.913560 | -0.658144 | 1.862321 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.831333 | -1.960728 | 2.176980 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.004922 | -0.490953 | -2.728440 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.529805 | 2.790146 | 0.614743 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.036243 | 4.429139 | -0.304160 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.360881 | 3.764169 | -0.926083 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.737318 | -2.002744 | -2.285010 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.350153 | -3.045699 | -0.012173 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.474030 | -0.906708 | -2.279741 |
| 35 | 1 | -2.916945 | -1.848538 | -1.846404 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.430496 | -2.676692 | -2.291910 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.805258 | -3.964359 | -0.191192 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.141320 | -2.978058 | 0.419119 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.671232 | -3.222910 | 1.403675 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.445008 | -1.142476 | 0.009787 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.150534 | -2.030982 | 0.826388 |
| 42 | 1 | 3.914830 | -0.662151 | 1.634015 |
| 43 | 1 | 2.757918 | 1.825543 | -1.114875 |
| 44 | 1 | 4.680780 | 2.740848 | -0.008234 |
| 45 | 1 | 4.811897 | 1.277404 | 1.107423 |
| 46 | 1 | -4.380090 | 2.298264 | -1.874694 |
| 47 | 1 | -5.337383 | 1.222389 | -0.820208 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.662884 | 2.738110 | -0.167863 |
| 49 | 1 | -2.611489 | -1.284980 | 2.106659 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 4.5082 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7408 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 102.2206 | 30 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7433 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 46.4347 | 31 | H | Isotropic = | 24.3823 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic | 56.3911 | 32 | H | Isotropic = | 25.4292 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic | 51.1940 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.4365 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 71.9627 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 31.0058 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic = | 35.0494 | 35 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6109 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 52.6692 | 36 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6921 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic | -22.5454 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6963 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic | 104.8751 | 38 | H | Isotropic | 29.5775 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic = | 122.4370 | 39 | H | Isotropic = | 29.9092 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 36.5446 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 30.3197 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic | 52.0920 | 41 | H | Isotropic | 30.9179 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 123.7915 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7019 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 136.4725 | 43 | H | Isotropic | 24.9580 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic = | 164.0214 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 25.6285 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 164.0273 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 25.6935 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic | 164.9704 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9284 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 38.3761 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 27.6248 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 60.1109 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0147 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 11.2619 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.5616 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.4922 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, C3 epimer, conformer 4
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.987825 \mathrm{H}$

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.296992 | 1.098062 | -0.519742 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.317437 | -0.210481 | -0.111600 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.884018 | -0.593435 | 0.359311 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.167951 | 1.087122 | 0.557247 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.468669 | 2.464755 | 0.525766 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.438472 | 3.403086 | 0.042077 |
| 7 | 6 | -1.709896 | 3.021859 | -0.399926 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.034115 | 1.677458 | -0.287498 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.123219 | 0.709965 | 0.176459 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.714456 | -1.368430 | 1.162040 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.234122 | 0.098595 | 1.090693 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.587794 | -0.006527 | 0.172366 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.166070 | -0.632158 | -1.150719 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.121171 | -1.449679 | -1.302106 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.245808 | -1.942975 | -0.174963 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.320668 | -1.840181 | -0.402156 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.650628 | -1.730027 | -1.905135 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.963149 | -3.132190 | 0.150963 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.600765 | -0.983010 | 0.844450 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.295345 | 1.337588 | 0.109250 |
| 21 | 6 | 3.360863 | 2.218882 | -0.890052 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.625594 | 0.519045 | 2.392415 |
| 23 | 6 | 1.929422 | 0.848788 | 3.479734 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.483964 | 1.831598 | -0.919345 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.301992 | -0.935598 | -0.063345 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.957268 | -0.806376 | 1.772259 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.808081 | -2.034886 | 2.165788 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.272247 | -0.483221 | -2.526924 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.419198 | 2.810462 | 0.901120 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.157996 | 4.451844 | 0.025838 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.423665 | 3.754808 | -0.759696 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.969832 | -1.914653 | -2.269756 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.455063 | -3.017670 | -0.091631 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.288388 | -0.794356 | -2.343013 |
| 35 | 1 | -2.731464 | -1.790928 | -2.056824 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.202105 | -2.559204 | -2.460985 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.657828 | -3.982723 | -0.468039 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.054361 | -3.070632 | 0.122706 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.636281 | -3.339575 | 1.173934 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.498186 | -1.032525 | 0.222334 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.198684 | -1.992566 | 0.946629 |
| 42 | 1 | 3.887046 | -0.623190 | 1.835406 |
| 43 | 1 | 3.824146 | 1.570334 | 1.031876 |
| 44 | 1 | 3.936363 | 3.132432 | -0.768057 |
| 45 | 1 | 2.859783 | 2.084733 | -1.841219 |
| 46 | 1 | -4.339782 | 2.288596 | -1.903624 |
| 47 | 1 | -5.310886 | 1.122067 | -0.964380 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.720173 | 2.615082 | -0.190315 |
| 49 | 1 | -2.645567 | -1.466065 | 1.947961 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 4.8033 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7027 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 101.9481 | 30 | H | Isotropic = | 23.8476 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 47.3036 | 31 | H | Isotropic = | 24.3863 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 54.9469 | 32 | H | Isotropic = | 25.4145 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 52.1010 | 33 | H | Isotropic = | 28.4066 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.2651 | 34 | H | Isotropic = | 31.0133 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 35.5006 | 35 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6263 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 52.9277 | 36 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6721 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -22.4746 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6941 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 105.2378 | 38 | H | Isotropic = | 29.5224 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic = | 119.2896 | 39 | H | Isotropic = | 29.8903 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic | 38.7276 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 29.9140 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic | 49.9270 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.7893 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 122.8680 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.8884 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic = | 137.0406 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 25.2216 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic | 163.9270 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 25.4410 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 164.2834 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 26.1526 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 160.3357 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9489 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 42.8063 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 27.6230 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 53.4203 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0286 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 10.6569 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.5085 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.6551 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, C3 epimer, conformer 5
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.991068 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.316210 | 1.144844 | -0.470852 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.353000 | -0.145626 | 0.016414 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.900274 | -0.530040 | 0.456442 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.195691 | 1.110009 | 0.426253 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.535916 | 2.470473 | 0.269947 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.371177 | 3.397256 | -0.235857 |
| 7 | 6 | -1.677562 | 3.024701 | -0.573098 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.033824 | 1.702602 | -0.342798 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.122774 | 0.741996 | 0.142090 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.725498 | -1.322317 | 1.175416 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.263098 | 0.131843 | 0.975234 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.556738 | -0.062327 | -0.003870 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.036001 | -0.767570 | -1.251864 |
| 14 | 6 | 0.981869 | -1.583705 | -1.293192 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.165831 | -1.980456 | -0.085158 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.411863 | -1.842012 | -0.237032 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.816382 | -1.832304 | -1.725165 |
| 18 | 6 | -2.065012 | -3.064028 | 0.447132 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.592983 | -0.991378 | 0.680623 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.190944 | 1.272471 | -0.365900 |
| 21 | 6 | 4.266338 | 1.816945 | 0.207755 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.721041 | 0.632824 | 2.223792 |
| 23 | 6 | 2.081051 | 1.038479 | 3.267421 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.496318 | 1.870426 | -0.902808 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.354671 | -0.833775 | 0.108351 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.897460 | -0.778516 | 1.865497 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.880065 | -1.916681 | 2.215989 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.001830 | -0.566105 | -2.720418 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.517588 | 2.808976 | 0.570713 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.063227 | 4.431928 | -0.351967 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.388990 | 3.750671 | -0.951099 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.747195 | -2.079790 | -2.227923 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.342733 | -3.052577 | 0.068531 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.453253 | -0.945486 | -2.255049 |
| 35 | 1 | -2.904431 | -1.874170 | -1.816303 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.419353 | -2.715718 | -2.234178 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.807241 | -3.967991 | -0.116408 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.151829 | -2.961174 | 0.463288 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.713413 | -3.182768 | 1.473244 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.452775 | -1.107859 | 0.016800 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.178446 | -1.980092 | 0.881730 |
| 42 | 1 | 3.937337 | -0.574397 | 1.628771 |
| 43 | 1 | 2.712322 | 1.806697 | -1.180794 |
| 44 | 1 | 4.640389 | 2.777977 | -0.133001 |
| 45 | 1 | 4.808607 | 1.349899 | 1.022736 |
| 46 | 1 | -4.369044 | 2.238269 | -1.926233 |
| 47 | 1 | -5.338560 | 1.178550 | -0.866659 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.697542 | 2.719456 | -0.239400 |
| 49 | 1 | -1.981566 | 0.058863 | 2.343130 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 5.1952 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.6966 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 102.1608 | 30 | H | Isotropic | 23.7777 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 46.0600 | 31 | H | Isotropic | 24.4347 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 56.4614 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 25.3922 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 50.9654 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.3243 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.0633 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 31.0289 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 35.4876 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.5817 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 52.2471 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 30.7290 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -21.8691 | 37 | H | Isotropic | 30.7986 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 105.0030 | 38 | H | Isotropic = | 29.4384 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic = | 122.2357 | 39 | H | Isotropic | 29.6777 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 36.8419 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 30.2849 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 51.7461 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.8969 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 123.6310 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7062 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 136.1821 | 43 | H | Isotropic | 24.9935 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic | 163.9169 | 44 | H | Isotropic | 25.6372 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 163.1060 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 25.7188 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 165.0023 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9835 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic | 38.3576 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5879 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 60.6279 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0337 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 11.3981 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 30.5600 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.7619 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.4, C3 epimer, conformer 6
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-1645.986160 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | -3.312624 | 1.070087 | -0.517719 |
| 2 | 6 | -3.323492 | -0.246966 | -0.110163 |
| 3 | 6 | -1.880062 | -0.604648 | 0.379318 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.156962 | 1.103937 | 0.542283 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.443307 | 2.484536 | 0.495961 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.476925 | 3.408969 | 0.009569 |
| 7 | 6 | -1.747298 | 3.010784 | -0.419833 |
| 8 | 6 | -2.058333 | 1.663388 | -0.294924 |
| 9 | 6 | -1.133600 | 0.707541 | 0.171626 |
| 10 | 6 | 0.735897 | -1.340861 | 1.196557 |
| 11 | 6 | 1.236535 | 0.133516 | 1.082426 |
| 12 | 6 | 2.584691 | 0.023322 | 0.157121 |
| 13 | 6 | 2.167810 | -0.661675 | -1.137978 |
| 14 | 6 | 1.133465 | -1.497661 | -1.257865 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.255063 | -1.954172 | -0.117195 |
| 16 | 6 | -1.312905 | -1.858185 | -0.354434 |
| 17 | 6 | -1.630140 | -1.772956 | -1.861439 |
| 18 | 6 | -1.962419 | -3.137148 | 0.220416 |
| 19 | 6 | 3.624972 | -0.902505 | 0.857785 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.258600 | 1.380226 | 0.032286 |
| 21 | 6 | 3.287376 | 2.220559 | -1.003236 |
| 22 | 7 | 1.631285 | 0.589556 | 2.372781 |
| 23 | 6 | 1.930996 | 0.948564 | 3.451996 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.497128 | 1.778340 | -0.965471 |
| 25 | 8 | -4.301112 | -0.975325 | -0.109797 |
| 26 | 8 | -1.933180 | -0.927604 | 1.772579 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.869596 | -1.978865 | 2.213930 |
| 28 | 17 | 3.274935 | -0.554405 | -2.518122 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.393378 | 2.843624 | 0.859876 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.206985 | 4.460228 | -0.019166 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.470221 | 3.732707 | -0.783612 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.991383 | -2.002287 | -2.206844 |
| 33 | 1 | 0.458766 | -3.026367 | -0.000361 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.261198 | -0.847576 | -2.316215 |
| 35 | 1 | -2.709337 | -1.836217 | -2.020688 |
| 36 | 1 | -1.179506 | -2.614846 | -2.395392 |
| 37 | 1 | -1.640594 | -3.999269 | -0.374910 |
| 38 | 1 | -3.051135 | -3.069846 | 0.176867 |
| 39 | 1 | -1.667942 | -3.302582 | 1.258096 |
| 40 | 1 | 4.515211 | -0.960810 | 0.226305 |
| 41 | 1 | 3.244144 | -1.914013 | 1.008749 |
| 42 | 1 | 3.916936 | -0.494254 | 1.828047 |
| 43 | 1 | 3.794607 | 1.662499 | 0.936979 |
| 44 | 1 | 3.840698 | 3.152754 | -0.929232 |
| 45 | 1 | 2.777150 | 2.032186 | -1.940362 |
| 46 | 1 | -4.335374 | 2.210442 | -1.958528 |
| 47 | 1 | -5.313299 | 1.056398 | -1.011084 |
| 48 | 1 | -4.762161 | 2.579062 | -0.265378 |
| 49 | 1 | -2.081707 | -0.121526 | 2.286962 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 5.6260 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7169 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 101.7692 | 30 | H | Isotropic | 23.8617 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 46.8953 | 31 | H | Isotropic | 24.4447 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 54.4966 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 25.3600 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic | 51.9572 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.2891 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.3771 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 31.0062 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic = | 36.0630 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.6048 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 52.3668 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 30.7046 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -21.6655 | 37 | H | Isotropic | 30.8278 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic | 105.1827 | 38 | H | Isotropic | 29.3924 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic | 119.2166 | 39 | H | Isotropic | 29.6543 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 39.0046 | 40 | H | Isotropic | 29.9060 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic | 49.4286 | 41 | H | Isotropic | 30.7720 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic | 122.6971 | 42 | H | Isotropic | 29.9302 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 136.9722 | 43 | H | Isotropic | 25.2462 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic = | 163.8095 | 44 | H | Isotropic | 25.4644 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 163.3482 | 45 | H | Isotropic | 26.2006 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 160.5311 | 46 | H | Isotropic | 28.9944 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 43.0063 | 47 | H | Isotropic | 27.5786 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 53.1354 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0435 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 10.8252 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 30.5209 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.9110 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.3
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-2044.228627 \mathrm{H}$

| Center | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Number | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | 3.491312 | -1.137705 | -0.667141 |
| 2 | 6 | 3.468337 | -0.007255 | 0.098748 |
| 3 | 6 | 1.986878 | 0.464263 | 0.228362 |
| 4 | 6 | -0.133580 | -0.961406 | -0.663930 |
| 5 | 6 | -0.448307 | -2.047557 | -1.512488 |
| 6 | 6 | 0.528610 | -2.836392 | -2.106567 |
| 7 | 6 | 1.883265 | -2.602645 | -1.856977 |
| 8 | 6 | 2.193617 | -1.523155 | -1.044414 |
| 9 | 6 | 1.225434 | -0.665468 | -0.473704 |
| 10 | 6 | -0.926198 | 0.041919 | 1.521845 |
| 11 | 6 | -1.341403 | -0.285430 | 0.058195 |
| 12 | 6 | -1.939853 | 1.036289 | -0.661648 |
| 13 | 6 | -1.153515 | 2.259394 | -0.206882 |
| 14 | 6 | -0.324087 | 2.348248 | 0.831109 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.033732 | 1.196340 | 1.733996 |
| 16 | 6 | 1.559826 | 0.785272 | 1.692658 |
| 17 | 6 | 2.368264 | 1.966387 | 2.277266 |
| 18 | 6 | 1.797448 | -0.449467 | 2.587994 |
| 19 | 6 | -3.404688 | 1.245858 | -0.205723 |
| 20 | 6 | -1.813324 | 0.867272 | -2.169007 |
| 21 | 6 | -2.805218 | 0.640241 | -3.031626 |
| 22 | 7 | -2.400780 | -1.249285 | 0.099800 |
| 23 | 6 | -3.026178 | -1.987924 | 0.812450 |
| 24 | 6 | 4.713110 | -1.784422 | -1.109825 |
| 25 | 8 | 4.449019 | 0.604419 | 0.503796 |
| 26 | 8 | 1.928088 | 1.619649 | -0.628398 |
| 27 | 8 | -1.377734 | -0.588383 | 2.453942 |
| 28 | 17 | -1.455073 | 3.726927 | -1.150965 |
| 29 | 1 | -1.488757 | -2.280390 | -1.692551 |
| 30 | 1 | 0.230614 | -3.658003 | -2.750493 |
| 31 | 1 | 2.653856 | -3.237184 | -2.280203 |
| 32 | 1 | 0.129295 | 3.307096 | 1.055940 |
| 33 | 1 | -0.141596 | 1.501619 | 2.773437 |
| 34 | 1 | 3.432782 | 1.731081 | 2.308767 |
| 35 | 1 | 2.026890 | 2.166259 | 3.298657 |
| 36 | 1 | 2.244315 | 2.887411 | 1.701496 |
| 37 | 1 | 1.399713 | -0.274079 | 3.591868 |
| 38 | 1 | 2.870180 | -0.644828 | 2.683311 |
| 39 | 1 | 1.317164 | -1.351718 | 2.199932 |
| 40 | 1 | -3.775657 | 2.193237 | -0.602938 |
| 41 | 1 | -3.467205 | 1.285730 | 0.885515 |
| 42 | 1 | -4.056144 | 0.443475 | -0.552501 |
| 43 | 1 | -0.795340 | 0.940058 | -2.545759 |
| 44 | 1 | -2.595628 | 0.529276 | -4.091361 |
| 45 | 1 | -3.845373 | 0.563052 | -2.732652 |
| 46 | 1 | 5.550706 | -1.247887 | -0.663214 |
| 47 | 1 | 4.797453 | -1.744513 | -2.201407 |
| 48 | 1 | 4.734444 | -2.830131 | -0.786015 |
| 49 | 1 | 2.712081 | 2.160658 | -0.443242 |
| 50 | 16 | -3.967455 | -3.023543 | 1.559218 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 4.8855 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.9984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 101.8740 | 30 | H | Isotropic = | 23.8156 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 47.0518 | 31 | H | Isotropic | 24.3353 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 55.6586 | 32 | H | Isotropic | 24.8723 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic = | 50.8762 | 33 | H | Isotropic = | 28.3058 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic = | 72.5542 | 34 | H | Isotropic = | 29.2384 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 34.1863 | 35 | H | Isotropic = | 30.8878 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 54.4800 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 29.9737 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -22.5835 | 37 | H | Isotropic = | 30.5709 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic = | 95.1988 | 38 | H | Isotropic = | 30.8818 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic = | 121.3605 | 39 | H | Isotropic = | 31.3575 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic = | 38.4718 | 40 | H | Isotropic = | 30.0976 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 52.7774 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.6923 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic = | 122.6604 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.7414 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 135.8042 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 25.8781 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic = | 166.1601 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 26.0590 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 164.0081 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 26.0025 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 165.5144 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5723 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic = | 37.4105 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9626 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 64.0130 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9522 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 33.9484 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.4462 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.3097 |  |  |  |  |



Structure 5.3, C3 epimer
Sum of electronic and thermal free energies $=-2044.226582 \mathrm{H}$

| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | 3.508897 | 0.034700 | 1.185185 |
| 2 | 6 | 3.461453 | 0.331733 | -0.153051 |
| 3 | 6 | 1.966000 | 0.401803 | -0.582116 |
| 4 | 6 | -0.111304 | 0.205516 | 1.063527 |
| 5 | 6 | -0.435091 | 0.191248 | 2.437306 |
| 6 | 6 | 0.537608 | 0.016818 | 3.417095 |
| 7 | 6 | 1.890944 | -0.110910 | 3.081768 |
| 8 | 6 | 2.214182 | -0.013667 | 1.736157 |
| 9 | 6 | 1.242227 | 0.135284 | 0.728037 |
| 10 | 6 | -0.725084 | 0.459204 | -1.433944 |
| 11 | 6 | -1.250533 | 0.387511 | 0.031499 |
| 12 | 6 | -2.314605 | -0.848701 | 0.005622 |
| 13 | 6 | -1.550717 | -2.067344 | -0.500202 |
| 14 | 6 | -0.494633 | -2.028799 | -1.313731 |
| 15 | 6 | 0.069515 | -0.759401 | -1.906174 |
| 16 | 6 | 1.641233 | -0.566342 | -1.772568 |
| 17 | 6 | 2.342801 | -1.921963 | -1.550555 |
| 18 | 6 | 2.151556 | 0.042890 | -3.098071 |
| 19 | 6 | -3.443991 | -0.532057 | -1.008790 |
| 20 | 6 | -2.897771 | -1.117065 | 1.385510 |
| 21 | 6 | -4.077035 | -0.690080 | 1.842611 |
| 22 | 7 | -1.968062 | 1.577656 | 0.363280 |
| 23 | 6 | -2.174575 | 2.717022 | 0.037974 |
| 24 | 6 | 4.738606 | 0.001779 | 1.955649 |
| 25 | 8 | 4.422504 | 0.567687 | -0.872661 |
| 26 | 8 | 1.697331 | 1.770336 | -0.903737 |
| 27 | 8 | -1.030153 | 1.365191 | -2.175190 |
| 28 | 17 | -2.213061 | -3.648178 | -0.059791 |
| 29 | 1 | -1.461894 | 0.344490 | 2.738410 |
| 30 | 1 | 0.241807 | -0.000457 | 4.461714 |
| 31 | 1 | 2.651105 | -0.228044 | 3.846218 |
| 32 | 1 | -0.072017 | -2.964162 | -1.662136 |
| 33 | 1 | -0.123922 | -0.810457 | -2.985629 |
| 34 | 1 | 2.085865 | -2.370219 | -0.585513 |
| 35 | 1 | 3.427262 | -1.794094 | -1.598822 |
| 36 | 1 | 2.068332 | -2.632093 | -2.336814 |
| 37 | 1 | 2.038672 | -0.692049 | -3.902375 |
| 38 | 1 | 3.211259 | 0.303132 | -3.027751 |
| 39 | 1 | 1.576691 | 0.928942 | -3.382477 |
| 40 | 1 | -4.158028 | -1.358859 | -1.016138 |
| 41 | 1 | -3.055202 | -0.406748 | -2.020645 |
| 42 | 1 | -3.973162 | 0.383702 | -0.737975 |
| 43 | 1 | -2.282292 | -1.729326 | 2.037431 |
| 44 | 1 | -4.399704 | -0.946336 | 2.847548 |
| 45 | 1 | -4.757634 | -0.080157 | 1.258455 |
| 46 | 1 | 4.831705 | -0.949218 | 2.489822 |
| 47 | 1 | 5.568994 | 0.110459 | 1.257192 |
| 48 | 1 | 4.765071 | 0.824457 | 2.679261 |
| 49 | 1 | 2.335551 | 2.059062 | -1.573813 |
| 50 | 16 | -2.567777 | 4.231745 | -0.213587 |


| 2 | C | Isotropic = | 4.1786 | 29 | H | Isotropic = | 23.7346 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | C | Isotropic = | 102.7844 | 30 | H | Isotropic | 23.8586 |
| 4 | C | Isotropic = | 46.5561 | 31 | H | Isotropic | 24.4441 |
| 5 | C | Isotropic = | 55.9890 | 32 | H | Isotropic = | 25.3803 |
| 6 | C | Isotropic | 51.8771 | 33 | H | Isotropic | 28.1997 |
| 7 | C | Isotropic | 72.5350 | 34 | H | Isotropic | 30.9148 |
| 8 | C | Isotropic | 34.3350 | 35 | H | Isotropic | 30.6643 |
| 9 | C | Isotropic = | 53.3429 | 36 | H | Isotropic | 30.6711 |
| 10 | C | Isotropic = | -25.4087 | 37 | H | Isotropic | 30.7396 |
| 11 | C | Isotropic | 99.9395 | 38 | H | Isotropic | 29.5377 |
| 12 | C | Isotropic | 122.9421 | 39 | H | Isotropic | 29.8480 |
| 13 | C | Isotropic | 35.4253 | 40 | H | Isotropic | 30.2733 |
| 14 | C | Isotropic = | 51.8841 | 41 | H | Isotropic = | 30.9084 |
| 15 | C | Isotropic = | 123.6216 | 42 | H | Isotropic = | 29.6995 |
| 16 | C | Isotropic | 136.1963 | 43 | H | Isotropic = | 24.9076 |
| 17 | C | Isotropic = | 163.4768 | 44 | H | Isotropic = | 25.7231 |
| 18 | C | Isotropic = | 163.6791 | 45 | H | Isotropic = | 25.8722 |
| 19 | C | Isotropic = | 165.1487 | 46 | H | Isotropic = | 28.9125 |
| 20 | C | Isotropic | 36.7707 | 47 | H | Isotropic = | 27.5861 |
| 21 | C | Isotropic = | 61.9335 | 48 | H | Isotropic = | 29.0421 |
| 23 | C | Isotropic = | 32.4181 | 49 | H | Isotropic = | 29.5902 |
| 24 | C | Isotropic = | 160.6404 |  |  |  |  |
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Figure A4.2 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.9.


Figure A4.3 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.9.

Figure $A 4.4{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.15.


Figure A4.6 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(77 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of compound $\mathbf{5 . 1 0 b}$.


Figure A4.7 Infrared spectrum of compound $\mathbf{5 . 1 0 b}$.


Figure $A 4.8{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{5 . 1 0 b}$.



Figure A4.10 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $77 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.11b.


Figure A4.11 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.11b.


Figure A4.12 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{5 . 1 1 b}$.



Figure A4.14 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.12.


Figure $\mathrm{A} 4.15{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.12.



Figure A4.17 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.2.
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Figure $A 4.18{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.2.



Figure A4.20 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.4.


Figure A4.21 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) of compound 5.4.



Figure A4.23 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.3.
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Figure A4.24 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of compound 5.3.

Figure A4.25 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.14.


Figure A4.26 Infrared spectrum of compound 5.14.


Figure $\mathrm{A} 4.27{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 5.14.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (7.2 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene ( 0.3 M ), $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Yields of isolated products.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PCy}_{3} \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}$ (2.5 equiv), ratio of $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}=10: 1$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 5 equiv). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Yield by GC/MS analysis (yield of isolated product).

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PCy}_{3} \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}$ ( 2.5 equiv), ratio of $\mathrm{Ar}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}=10: 1$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 5 equiv). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yield by GC/MS analysis (yield of isolated product).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(2.5\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yields of isolated products.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(2.5\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yields of isolated products. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (4 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 7.2 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(2.5\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene ( 0.3 M), $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h .

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \operatorname{HetArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (2.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4.5 equiv), toluene $(0.3 \mathrm{M}), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Yields of isolated products.

