

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Increased difference between slow and forced vital capacity is associated with reduced exercise tolerance in COPD patients

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8q53t5wz>

Journal

BMC Pulmonary Medicine, 14(1)

ISSN

1471-2466

Authors

Yuan, Wei
He, Xin
Xu, Qiu-Fen
et al.

Publication Date

2014-12-01

DOI

10.1186/1471-2466-14-16

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Increased difference between slow and forced vital capacity is associated with reduced exercise tolerance in COPD patients

Wei Yuan¹, Xin He¹, Qiu-Fen Xu¹, Hao-Yan Wang^{1*} and Richard Casaburi²

Abstract

Background: A higher slow vital capacity (VC) compared with forced vital capacity (FVC) indicates small airway collapse and air trapping. We hypothesized that a larger difference between VC and FVC (VC-FVC) would predict impaired exercise capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Methods: Pulmonary function and incremental cardiopulmonary exercise responses were assessed in 97 COPD patients. Patients were then divided into two groups: one in which VC > FVC (n = 77) and the other in which VC ≤ FVC (n = 20).

Results: Patients with VC > FVC had lower FEV₁ and peak oxygen uptake (VO₂/kg) compared with patients with VC ≤ FVC. There was a significant inverse correlation for the entire group between VC-FVC and peak VO₂/kg (r = -0.404; p < 0.001). There was also a direct correlation between FEV₁% pred and peak VO₂/kg (r = 0.418; p < 0.001). The results of the multivariate regression analysis with peak VO₂/kg as the dependent variable showed that VC-FVC, FEV₁(% pred) and age were all significant independent predictors of peak VO₂/kg. The model explained 35.9% of the peak VO₂/kg variance.

Conclusions: The difference between VC and FVC, easily measured by spirometry, can be used not only as an index of severity of airflow limitation, but also to predict exercise performance in COPD patients.

Keywords: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Spirometry, Exercise

Backgrounds

Persistent and progressive airflow limitation is a main characteristic of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [1]. As a result, patients with COPD experience dyspnea and impaired exercise capacity that progress over time. The disease severity has usually been graded based on the degree of airflow limitation, as measured by a reduced forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁). However, there is only a weak correlation between FEV₁, symptoms and impairment of a patient's health-related quality of life [1]. The long-term rate of deterioration in exercise capacity in patients with COPD was found to be more rapid than the rate of decline in FEV₁. The change in peak oxygen uptake (VO₂) over time was only weakly correlated with the change in FEV₁ [2]. On the other hand, measurements of lung volumes, such

as the inspiratory capacity (IC), correlate better with patient functional capabilities than do measurements of FEV₁ [3-5].

IC has been widely used to study pulmonary hyperinflation because it can be simply measured by spirometry. A low resting IC reflects pulmonary hyperinflation, which is defined as an abnormally increased lung volume at the end of tidal expiration and is present in moderate to severe COPD due to expiratory flow limitation and destructive changes of emphysema. Serial IC measurements have been used to track dynamic hyperinflation (DH) during exercise, which occurs when ventilatory demand increases, leaving less time for expiration and resulting in air trapping within the lungs [6-8]. Several studies have shown that reduction in resting IC or dynamic IC constrains tidal volume expansion during exercise and contributes importantly to reduced exercise performance in patients with COPD [6,7,9,10].

Vital capacity, simply measured by spirometry, is a lung volume measurement that can be measured as slow vital

* Correspondence: haoyanw@126.com

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 95 Yong An Road, Xichen District, Beijing 100050, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

capacity (VC) or forced vital capacity (FVC). There is little or no difference between VC and FVC (VC-FVC) in normal subjects [11]. However, some studies have found that FVC is smaller than VC both in asthma patients and COPD patients and the difference between the two parameters is related to airflow limitation, small airway collapse and gas trapping [11-13].

We hypothesized that COPD patients with larger difference between VC and FVC would have lower exercise capacity. To test this hypothesis, we performed spirometry and cardiopulmonary exercise testing and examined the relationship of the difference between VC and FVC to exercise performance in patients with COPD.

Methods

Subjects

We recruited 97 patients with COPD who satisfied the following criteria: FEV₁/FVC ratio < 0.7 and FEV₁ of 30 to 80% predicted after inhalation of 400 ug albuterol [1] and in a stable condition for at least 6 weeks. Patients with diagnoses of interstitial lung disease or asthma were excluded. All patients received written and verbal information about the exercise testing. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Friendship Hospital.

Pulmonary function tests

Spirometry, including VC, FVC and FEV₁ was performed using MasterScreen system (MasterScreen Body, CareFusion, Hoechberg, Germany) before exercise testing in all subjects. Procedures were carried out according to ATS/ERS standards [14]. VC was obtained by asking subjects to take in a full inspiration and then breathe out to the limit of full expiration in a relaxed manner except near end-inspiration and end-expiration. VC maneuvers was performed before FVC maneuvers, each of which was performed 3 times, with the highest value of each selected.

Exercise testing

Patients performed a progressive incremental exercise testing to a symptom-limited maximum on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (ViaSprint, CareFusion, Hoechberg, Germany). The protocol consisted of 3 minutes of rest, 3 minutes of unloaded pedaling, and minute-by-minute increments at a work rate of 5 to 20 W/min. Minute ventilation, oxygen uptake (VO₂), and carbon dioxide output (VCO₂) were acquired breath-by-breath using a computerized system (OxyconDelta, CareFusion, Hoechberg, Germany). Maximal heart rate (HR) predicted for age was calculated as 220-age [15].

Statistical analysis

Data with normal distribution are presented as means ± SD and data not normally distributed are presented as median and interquartile range. Patients were classified into two

groups using the difference between VC and FVC: one group with VC > FVC and the other group with VC ≤ FVC. Differences between the two groups were analyzed using independent sample t-tests. Comparison between the two groups in VC-FVC was performed using the Mann-Whitney U-test. Correlations between lung function and exercise variables were assessed by Pearson's correlation coefficient. A multivariate linear regression analysis was used to determine the independent association of lung function and other variables with exercise capacity. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Ninety seven patients with COPD were involved in this study. Of these, 4 patients were staged as mildly impaired (spirometry stage 1), 35 patients were moderately impaired (stage 2), 49 patients were severely impaired (stage 3), and 9 patients were very severely impaired (stage 4) according to GOLD classification [1]. The descriptive characteristics of the subjects are summarized in Table 1.

The patients were divided into those with VC > FVC (n = 77) and those with VC ≤ FVC (n = 20). The lung function and exercise parameters of these two groups are shown in Table 2. The mean age was similar in the two groups. Patients with VC > FVC had lower FEV₁ and peak VO₂/kg compared with patients with VC ≤ FVC (Figure 1). The peak work rate reached in the group of patients with VC > FVC was lower than in the patients with VC ≤ FVC, but without reaching statistical significance. There was no significant difference in heart rate at peak exercise between the two groups.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

Characteristics	Data
Male	97
Age, yr	64±8
Weight, kg	70.37±10.68
VC, L	2.72±0.72
FVC, L	2.56±0.74
VC-FVC, † L	0.11 (0.02-0.24)
FEV ₁ , L	1.31±0.54
FEV ₁ , % predicted	48.85±18.13
FEV ₁ /FVC, %	50.44±10.69
Peak VO ₂ , ml/min/kg	14.45±4.92
Peak work rate, watts	71.98±34.98
Peak HR, beats/min	128±16

Data with normal distribution are presented as mean ± SD.

†: Expressed as median (interquartile range).

VC = vital capacity; FVC = forced vital capacity; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; VC-FVC = difference between VC and FVC; VO₂ = oxygen uptake; HR = heart rate.

Table 2 Lung function and exercise responses of patients with COPD classified by difference between VC and FVC

	VC > FVC	VC ≤ FVC	p-value
Patients, n	77	20	
Age, yr	65±8.2	62±7.7	0.187
VC-FVC, † L	0.16 (0.07-0.27)	-0.20 (-0.30-0.03)	<0.001
FEV ₁ , L	1.21±0.46	1.67±0.68	0.008
FEV ₁ , % predicted	46.41±16.13	58.26±22.44	0.009
FEV ₁ /FVC, %	49.29±10.49	54.89±10.54	0.036
Peak VO ₂ , ml/min/kg	13.26±3.92	18.99±5.75	<0.001
Peak work rate, watts	68.53±34.45	85.10±34.74	0.059
Peak HR, beats/min	128±16	128±11	0.983


Data with normal distribution are presented as mean ± SD.
 †: Expressed as median (interquartile range).
 VC = vital capacity; FVC = forced vital capacity; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; VC-FVC = difference between VC and FVC; VO₂ = oxygen uptake; HR = heart rate.

There was a significant inverse correlation for the entire group between VC-FVC and peak VO₂/kg ($r = -0.404$; $p < 0.001$) (Figure 2). There was also a direct correlation between FEV₁ % pred and peak VO₂/kg ($r = 0.418$; $p < 0.001$) (Figure 3).

The results of the multivariate regression analysis with peak VO₂/kg as the dependent variable is presented in Table 3. Difference between VC and FVC, FEV₁% pred and age were all significant and independent predictors of peak VO₂/kg. The model explains 35.9% of the peak VO₂ variance.

Discussion

In this study, patients with VC > FVC had a lower FEV₁ and a lower peak VO₂/kg, compared with those with



VC ≤ FVC. There was a significant and inverse correlation for the entire group between VC-FVC and peak VO₂/kg. There was also a direct correlation between FEV₁ % pred and peak VO₂/kg. The results of the multivariate regression analysis show that difference between VC and FVC, FEV₁ % pred and age were significantly independent predictors of peak VO₂/kg. These results support our hypothesis that a larger difference between VC and FVC is associated with reduced exercise capacity in patients with COPD.

FVC is defined as the volume of air exhaled with maximal forced effort from a maximal inspiration. VC is similar to the FVC, but the maneuver is not forced and it is performed in a relaxed manner, except near the end-inspiration and end-expiration. Several studies have explored differences between VC and FVC and their





Table 3 Multivariate regression analysis in COPD patients with VO₂ (in ml/min/kg) at peak exercise as the dependent variable

Independent variable	Coefficient	p-value
Age, yr	-0.173	0.001
FEV ₁ , % predicted	0.098	<0.001
VC-FVC, L	-5.122	0.001

VC-FVC = difference between VC and FVC; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second.

relationship to small airway function. Cohen et al. [16] evaluated the FVC/VC ratio in patients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome occurring after lung transplantation, in which small airways become progressively obliterated, leading to airway obstruction. They found that FVC/VC ratio decreased significantly with progressing bronchiolitis obliterans stages, which occurred independently of changes in FEV₁. In asthma patients, it has been described that the difference between VC and FVC increases as the degree of obstruction increases. The difference can be used as an indicator of air trapping [11,17]. Similar results were found in the present study. We showed that FEV₁% predicted was lower in patients with VC > FVC than in those with VC ≤ FVC, suggesting that difference between VC and FVC was significantly associated with the degree of airflow obstruction. There were 20 patients with VC ≤ FVC. The underlying mechanism for larger FVC than VC remains uncertain.

Although VC and FVC are often measured in lung function laboratory, the difference between them has less been evaluated. To our knowledge, there have been no previous studies describing the relationship between the difference (VC-FVC) and exercise capacity in patients with COPD. The current study explored this relationship and showed that there was a significant and inverse correlation between VC-FVC and peak VO₂/kg ($r = -0.404$; $p < 0.001$). Combined with FEV₁% predicted and age, the difference between VC and FVC could significantly account for 35.9% of the peak VO₂/kg variance. This finding has an important implication for clinical practice. The difference between VC and FVC, simply measured by spirometry, can be used to predict exercise capacity in patients with COPD.

We may speculate on the underlying mechanism of association between the difference (VC-FVC) and exercise capacity. The first issue to consider is why a difference between VC and FVC exists in COPD. This has been explained by the following mechanism. Small airways, defined as those smaller than 2 mm in diameter, have no cartilaginous support and are subject to collapse when compressed [18]. During a slow VC maneuver, less thoracic gas compression occurs and greater air volume can be expired. In contrast, during an FVC maneuver, greater

airway compression occurs and a smaller volume is expired. However, in healthy people this tendency is partly opposed by the attachment of the alveolar septa to the airway walls. In those with COPD, the airways tend to collapse during a forced expiration due to the reduction of alveolar attachments and airway abnormalities. Therefore, finding that VC is higher than FVC suggests small airway collapse and air trapping [17]. It further may indicate that static pulmonary hyperinflation is present. Since pulmonary hyperinflation constrains tidal volume expansion during exercise and contributes importantly to reduced exercise performance in patients with COPD, this seems a likely explanation for the association of the difference between VC and FVC and reduced exercise capacity in patients with COPD.

A limitation of the present study is that we did not measure inspiratory capacity during exercise, so as to better quantitate dynamic hyperinflation and more precisely reveal the mechanisms of association between VC-FVC and exercise performance in patients with COPD. This should be addressed in future studies.

Conclusion

We have studied the association between VC, FVC and exercise capacity in patients with COPD. We found that the difference between VC and FVC at rest, which is easily obtained from spirometric results and does not depend on predicted population values, can be used not only as an index of severity of airflow limitation, but also to predict exercise performance in patients with COPD.

Abbreviations

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; VC: Slow vital capacity; FVC: Forced vital capacity; VC-FVC: Difference between VC and FVC; FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in one second; VO₂: Oxygen uptake; IC: Inspiratory capacity.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: HYW; QFX. Study implementation: WY; XH; QFX. Data analysis: HYW; WY. Manuscript preparation: HYW; RC. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by grant Shou Fa 2011-2002-03 from Beijing Municipal Health Bureau.

Author details

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, No. 95 Yong An Road, Xichen District, Beijing 100050, China.

²Rehabilitation Clinical Trials Center, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, USA.

Received: 2 November 2013 Accepted: 5 February 2014

Published: 7 February 2014

References

1. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease: **Global strategy for diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD.** (updated 2011). <http://www.goldcopd.com>.
2. Oga T, Nishimura K, Tsukino M, *et al*: **Exercise capacity deterioration in patients with COPD: Longitudinal evaluation over 5 years.** *Chest* 2005, **128**:62–69.
3. O'Donnell DE, Lam M, Webb KA: **Spirometric correlates of improvement in exercise performance after anticholinergic therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999, **160**:542–549.
4. O'Donnell DE, Voduc N, Fitzpatrick M, Webb KA: **Effect of salmeterol on the ventilatory response to exercise in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Eur Respir J* 2004, **24**:86–94.
5. O'Donnell DE, Sciruba F, Celli B, Mahler DA, Webb KA, Kalberg CJ, Knobil K: **Effect of fluticasone propionate/salmeterol on lung hyperinflation and exercise endurance in COPD.** *Chest* 2006, **130**:647–656.
6. Garcia-Rio F, Lores V, Mediano O, *et al*: **Daily physical activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is mainly associated with dynamic hyperinflation.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009, **180**:506–512.
7. O'Donnell DE, Revill SM, Webb KA: **Dynamic hyperinflation and exercise intolerance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **164**:770–777.
8. Ferguson GT: **Why does the lung hyperinflate?** *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2006, **3**:176–179.
9. Lammi MR, Ciccolella D, Marchetti N, Kohler M, Criner G: **Increased oxygen pulse after lung volume reduction surgery is associated with reduced dynamic hyperinflation.** *Eur Respir J* 2012, **40**:837–843.
10. O'Donnell DE, Guenette JA, Maltais F, Webb KA: **Decline of resting inspiratory capacity in COPD.** *Chest* 2012, **141**:753–762.
11. Chhabra SK: **Forced vital capacity, slow vital capacity, or inspiratory vital capacity: which is the best measure of vital capacity.** *J Asthma* 1998, **35**:361–365.
12. Brusasco V, Pellegrino A, Rodarte JR: **Vital capacities in acute and chronic airway obstruction: dependence on flow and volume histories.** *Eur Respir J* 1997, **10**:1316–1320.
13. O'Brien C, Guest PJ, Hill SL, *et al*: **Physiological and radiological characterization of patients diagnosed with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in primary care.** *Thorax* 2000, **55**:635–642.
14. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, *et al*: **Standardisation of spirometry.** *Eur Respir J* 2005, **26**:319–338.
15. American Thoracic Society/American College of Chest Physicians: **ATS/ACCP Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003, **167**:211–277.
16. Cohen J, Postma DS, Vin-Klooster K, *et al*: **FVC to slow inspiratory vital capacity ratio, a potential marker for small airways obstruction.** *Chest* 2007, **132**:1198–1203.
17. Chan ED, Irvin CG: **The detection of collapsible airways contributing to airflow limitation.** *Chest* 1995, **107**:856–859.
18. Levitzky MG: *Pulmonary physiology.* New York: McGraw-Hill; 1982:1–12.

doi:10.1186/1471-2466-14-16

Cite this article as: Yuan *et al*: Increased difference between slow and forced vital capacity is associated with reduced exercise tolerance in COPD patients. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine* 2014 **14**:16.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

