## UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

New Diabetes Diagnostic Threshold of Hemoglobin A1c and the 3-Year Incidence of Retinopathy

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7781q28s

**Journal** Diabetes, 61(12)

**ISSN** 0012-1797

### Authors

Tsugawa, Yusuke Takahashi, Osamu Meigs, James B <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2012-12-01

## DOI

10.2337/db12-0103

Peer reviewed

# New Diabetes Diagnostic Threshold of Hemoglobin $A_{1c}$ and the 3-Year Incidence of Retinopathy

Yusuke Tsugawa,<sup>1</sup> Osamu Takahashi,<sup>2</sup> James B. Meigs,<sup>3</sup> Roger B. Davis,<sup>1,4</sup> Fumiaki Imamura,<sup>5</sup> Tsuguya Fukui,<sup>2</sup> William C. Taylor,<sup>1</sup> and Christina C. Wee<sup>1</sup>

The new diagnostic threshold of hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$  was made based on evidence from cross-sectional studies, and no longitudinal study supports its validity. To examine whether hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$ of 6.5% or higher defines a threshold for elevated risk of incident retinopathy, we analyzed longitudinal data of 19,897 Japanese adults who underwent a health checkup in 2006 and were followed up 3 years later. We used logistic regression models and restricted cubic spline models to examine the relationship between baseline hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$  levels and the prevalence and the 3-year incidence of retinopathy. The restricted cubic spline model indicated a possible threshold for the risk of incident retinopathy at hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> levels of 6.0-7.0%. Logistic regression analysis found that individuals with hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> levels of 6.5-6.9% were at significantly higher risk of developing retinopathy at 3 years compared with those with hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$  levels of 5.0-5.4% (adjusted odds ratio, 2.35 [95% CI 1.08-5.11]). Those with hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> levels between 5.5 and 6.4% exhibited no evidence of elevated risks. We did not observe a threshold in the analysis of prevalent retinopathy. Our longitudinal results support the validity of the new hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> threshold of 6.5% or higher for diagnosing diabetes. Diabetes 61:3280-3284, 2012

iabetes is an increasingly important global public health concern (1). An estimated 285 million people, or 6.4% of the world's population, lived with diabetes in 2010, and the number is expected to grow to 438 million by 2030 (1). In the U.S., 8.3% of children and adults are living with diabetes (2); likewise, in Japan, 7.8% of the population has diabetes (3).

Recently, the International Expert Committee suggested use of a hemoglobin  $A_{1c}$  (Hb $A_{1c}$ ) level of 6.5% or higher as the threshold for diagnosing diabetes (4,5). This criterion was subsequently adopted by the American Diabetes Association, European Association for the Study of Diabetes, and World Health Organization (4,5). In making its decision, the expert panel was informed by evidence from several cross-sectional studies that showed the association between Hb $A_{1c}$  level and the prevalence of retinopathy (4–12). The outcome of retinopathy has been historically accepted as the best criterion for comparing glycemic

Corresponding author: Yusuke Tsugawa, ytsugawa@bidmc.harvard.edu. Received 27 January 2012 and accepted 6 June 2012. DOI: 10.2337/db12-0103

See accompanying commentary, p. 3074.

measures among several complications of diabetes (13,5), because it is a specific complication of diabetes that can be measured objectively (13,14). Few longitudinal studies have examined the association between HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and the risk of retinopathy in the general population, and these studies do not support the validity of this new diagnostic threshold (6,15–17). Many of the previous studies did not adjust for independent risk factors and confounders for retinopathy, such as age and hypertension.

To examine the validity of the new HbA<sub>1c</sub> thresholds, we tested the hypothesis that HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of 6.5% or higher would define a threshold for increased 3-year incidence of retinopathy in a large cohort of Japanese adults.

#### **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Participants and setting.** We analyzed data from a cohort of 21,137 Japanese adults aged  $\geq$ 21 years who underwent a health checkup between January 1 and 31 December 2006 and were followed up 3 years later at the Center for Preventive Medicine, St. Luke's International Hospital (Tokyo, Japan). We used 3-year incidence of retinopathy as the outcome measure in our study based on evidence that retinopathy develops within 5 years of diagnosis of diabetes in substantial proportion of people with diabetes (18). The participants underwent self-administered clinical surveys (e.g., use of the diabetes medication, diagnosis of diabetes, family history of diabetes, smoking status, alcohol consumption), physical examination, laboratory testing, imaging studies, and retinal photographs.

For analysis of prevalent retinopathy, we excluded individuals without information on baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> (n = 375), those who did not undergo baseline retinal exams (n = 303), and those with ungradable retinal images (n = 26), resulting in a sample size of 20,433. For our longitudinal analysis of incident retinopathy, we further excluded those with retinopathy at baseline (n = 245), those missing information on follow-up retinal exams (n = 223), and those with ungradable photographs at follow-up visits (n = 68), resulting in a final sample size of 19,897. Institutional review board approvals were obtained from Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA) and St. Luke's International Hospital (Tokyo, Japan).

**Retinopathy.** Retinal digital photos were taken for both eyes for the participants at both baseline and follow-up visits. The Canon CR-DG10 digital camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan) was used to take one digital image per eye (total two images per participant) through a nonpharmacologically dilated pupil. Participants were tested in a dark room to allow the pupils to dilate naturally in preparation for the retinal imaging examination. After dark adaptation,  $45^{\circ}$  retinal photographs centered on the macula were taken of both eyes. The digital images were graded by trained ophthalmologists. Retinopathy was defined as the presence of hard exudates, cotton wool spots, retinal hemorrhage, or more severe forms of retinopathy, the Fukuda standard (19) A2 or higher.

From the <sup>1</sup>Division of General Medicine and Primary Care, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; the <sup>2</sup>Division of General Internal Medicine, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; the <sup>3</sup>General Internal Medicine Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; the <sup>4</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts; and the <sup>5</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts.

<sup>© 2012</sup> by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by -nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

**HbA**<sub>1c</sub>. Whole-blood samples collected from the participants were assayed for HbA<sub>1c</sub> immediately after the they were obtained with high-performance liquid chromatography (Tosoh G7; Tosoh Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). We categorized HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels as follows: <5.0, 5.0–5.4, 5.5–5.9, 6.0–6.4, 6.5–6.9, and ≥7.0%. Those with HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of 5.0–5.4% comprised the largest sample and were used as the reference category in our logistic regression models.

**Other clinical variables of interest.** We also extracted the potential predictors of retinopathy in those with diabetes: age (20), systolic and diastolic blood pressures (21–26), LDL cholesterol (27), HDL cholesterol, triglycerides (27,28), BMI, self-reported family history of diabetes, smoking status (29,30), and alcohol consumption (31). Triglyceride levels were right-skewed; therefore, we used a logarithmic transformation of the variable to improve the fit for the model. The diagnosis of diabetes was defined on based on self-report of

a diagnosis of diabetes or of taking medication for diabetes. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  ${\geq}140$  mmHg, diastolic blood pressure  ${\geq}90$  mmHg, or the use of hypertension medication. All variables, including HbA<sub>1c</sub> and retinal images, were measured and recorded both at baseline and at follow-up visits.

**Statistical analysis.** We constructed a series of logistic regression models to investigate the association between HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and the risk of retinopathy. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diagnosis of diabetes, HDL and LDL cholesterol, log-transformed triglyceride, BMI (<25, 25.0–29.9, or  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), alcohol consumption (regular, occasional, or never drinker), smoking status (current, former, or never smoker), and family history of diabetes (yes or no). We evaluated these models to estimate multivariable-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for prevalent retinopathy (models 1a and 2a) and incident retinopathy (models 1b and 2b). To examine the impact of integrating known cases of diabetes in our analysis, we excluded those with a diagnosis of diabetes and developed additional models 3a and 3b, in which we adjusted for all the variables in models 2, with the exception of the diagnosis of diabetes.

To assess whether the threshold where the risk begins to increase (inflection point) exists, we examined the continuous associations between  $HbA_{1c}$  level and the prevalence or the incidence of retinopathy without assuming linearity by fitting restricted cubic spline models (32–33) with the knots corresponding to the  $HbA_{1c}$  cutoff points of interest: 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, and 7.5%. The ORs were adjusted for all the variables included in model 2. All statistical analyses were conducted with SAS statistical software version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

#### RESULTS

**Study participants.** Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study population overall and according to  $HbA_{1c}$  levels. Approximately 49% were men. The mean age was 51.0 years, the mean  $HbA_{1c}$  was 5.6%, and the mean  $(\pm SD)$  follow-up period was 3.0  $\pm$  0.29 years. Participants with higher  $HbA_{1c}$  levels were more likely to be older, to be men, to smoke, to take medication for hypertension, and to have several clinical risk factors, including elevated blood pressure, higher BMI, lower HDL cholesterol, higher triglyceride, and a family history of diabetes.

**Prevalence of retinopathy.** Among the 20,433 participants, the crude prevalence of retinopathy was 1.2% (245/20,433). The adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for prevalent retinopathy are shown in Table 2. After initial adjustment for age and sex (model 1a), the prevalence of retinopathy was significantly higher at HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels  $\geq$ 6.5% than in the reference category. After further adjustments (model 2a), this estimate was attenuated, and only HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels  $\geq$ 7.0% achieved statistical significance.

**Cumulative incidence of retinopathy.** The crude cumulative incidence of retinopathy at 3 years was 0.85%

#### TABLE 1

Baseline characteristics of stud	y participants	according to the	HbA <sub>1c</sub> value	at baseline
----------------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------------	-------------

			Н	lbA <sub>1c</sub> categorie	es		
	Overall	<5.0%	5.0 - 5.4%	5.5-5.9%	6.0-6.4%	6.5 - 6.9%	≥7.0%
Sample size	20,433	1,719	9,300	6,376	2,046	416	576
Baseline HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)*	$5.6 \pm 0.6$	$4.9 \pm 0.2$	$5.3 \pm 0.1$	$5.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	$6.7 \pm 0.1$	$8.0 \pm 1.1$
Follow-up HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)*	$5.7 \pm 0.5$	$5.1 \pm 0.3$	$5.5 \pm 0.2$	$5.8 \pm 0.2$	$6.1 \pm 0.4$	$6.8 \pm 0.7$	$7.5 \pm 1.1$
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)*	$100.6 \pm 15.3$	$93.4 \pm 7.2$	$96.2 \pm 7.7$	$100.2 \pm 8.5$	$107.0 \pm 11.4$	$123.1 \pm 15.5$	$157.5 \pm 39.7$
Age (years)*	$51.0 \pm 11.7$	$43.0 \pm 9.5$	$47.9 \pm 10.9$	$53.9 \pm 11.0$	$58.6 \pm 10.3$	$60.5 \pm 9.7$	$60.7 \pm 10.2$
Sex (female) (%)	50.9	58.9	52.7	50.9	48.0	28.9	26.0
Systolic blood pressure							
(mmHg)*	$119.0 \pm 17.6$	$113.7 \pm 16.0$	$116.4 \pm 16.7$	$120.6 \pm 17.9$	$124.8 \pm 17.8$	$128.1 \pm 18.5$	$130.9 \pm 18.0$
Diastolic blood pressure							
(mmHg)*	$73.9 \pm 11.3$	$70.4 \pm 10.5$	$72.5 \pm 10.9$	$75.1 \pm 11.3$	$77.2 \pm 11.2$	$78.9 \pm 11.0$	$80.3 \pm 10.9$
BMI (%)†							
≤24.9	80.0	89.3	84.6	77.9	69.6	54.6	57.6
25-29.9	17.8	10.0	14.1	20.0	26.3	35.1	33.9
$\geq 30$	2.2	0.8	1.3	2.2	4.1	10.3	8.5
Diagnosis of diabetes (%)‡	5.3	1.6	1.4	2.8	7.5	35.3	77.3
Hypertensive treatment (%)	10.5	4.0	6.8	12.0	19.5	29.8	28.1
Family history of diabetes (%)	12.3	11.4	11.2	11.9	15.9	17.8	21.0
Fasting LDL cholesterol	119.6 + 29.9	103.6 + 26.9	$115.7 \pm 28.9$	$125.5 \pm 29.8$	$129.6 \pm 29.2$	124.5 + 29.5	$127.1 \pm 29.8$
Fasting HDL cholesterol	110.0 = 10.0	100.0 = 10.0	110.1 = 10.0	120.0 - 20.0	100.0 - 10.0	121.0 = 20.0	121.11 = 20.0
(mg/dL)*	64.0 + 16.0	$66.8 \pm 16.1$	$65.2 \pm 15.7$	$63.5 \pm 16.1$	61.2 + 16.2	$56.7 \pm 15.2$	$55.6 \pm 14.4$
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	0110 - 1010	0010 = 1011	0012 - 1011	0010 = 1011	0112 - 1012	0011 = 1012	0010 = 1111
Median	83	67	76	88	102	112	120
Interquartile range	59-122	49-96	55-111	63-130	71–147	77–163	78–174
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)							
Median	0.77	0.73	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.83	0.83
Interquartile range	0.66 - 0.90	0.64 - 0.87	0.65 - 0.89	0.66 - 0.91	0.67 - 0.92	0.71 - 0.94	0.71 - 0.92
Hemoglobin (g/dL)*	$13.6 \pm 2.0$	$13.6 \pm 1.7$	$13.6 \pm 1.8$	$13.4 \pm 2.2$	$13.4 \pm 2.4$	$13.8 \pm 2.0$	$14.2 \pm 1.6$
Alcohol use (%)							
Regular drinker	43.6	51.4	46.2	40.2	37.2	42.2	38.0
Occasional drinker	18.0	19.2	18.8	17.7	15.2	14.3	17.4
Never	38.5	29.4	35.0	42.2	47.6	43.4	44.6
Smoking status (%)							
Current smoker	14.2	13.9	13.4	14.2	15.1	18.9	20.3
Former smoker	25.0	21.8	23.6	25.7	26.5	36.5	35.1
Never smoked	60.8	64.3	63.0	60.1	58.4	44.6	44.6

\*Mean  $\pm$  SD.  $\dagger$ BMI is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.  $\ddagger$ Self-reported diagnosis of diabetes or taking medication for diabetes.

		Indiv	Individuals with no diabetes										
			Model 1a*		Model 2a†				Model 3a‡				
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	N	No. of cases (%)	OR	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	P	N	No. of cases (%)	OR	95% CI	Р
<5.0	1,719	10 (0.6)	1.17	0.60-2.29	0.65	1.08	0.55-2.12	0.83	1,692	10 (0.6)	1.20	0.61-2.36	0.60
5.0 - 5.4	9,300	67(0.7)	1.00	Ref.	Ref.	1.00	Ref.	Ref.	9,170	65(0.7)	1.00	Ref.	Ref.
5.5-5.9	6,376	76 (1.2)	1.14	0.81 - 1.59	0.46	1.16	0.82 - 1.63	0.40	6,198	72 (1.2)	1.12	0.79 - 1.58	0.54
6.0-6.4	2,046	26(1.3)	0.91	0.57 - 1.46	0.71	0.88	0.54 - 1.42	0.59	1,893	21(1.1)	0.80	0.48 - 1.34	0.39
6.5-6.9	416	17(4.1)	2.63	1.50-4.59	0.0007	1.81	0.98 - 3.37	0.060	268	5 (1.9)	1.18	0.46 - 3.03	0.73
$\geq 7.0$	576	49 (8.5)	5.69	3.82-8.47	< 0.0001	3.02	1.71 - 5.34	0.0001	130	5 (3.9)	3.00	1.14-7.84	0.026

Adjusted ORs and 95% CIs for the prevalence of retinopathy across different baseline  $HbA_{1c}$  levels

Statistically significant results at P < 0.05 are indicated in boldface. \*Adjusted for age and sex. †Adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diagnosis of diabetes, HDL and LDL cholesterol, log-transformed triglyceride, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking status, and family history of diabetes. ‡Adjusted for all the variables in model 2a, with the exception of the diagnosis of diabetes.

(170/19,897). After initial adjustment for age and sex, there was no significant association between HbA<sub>1c</sub> value and the incidence of retinopathy at HbA<sub>1c</sub> <6.5%; compared with the reference category, however, HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of 6.5–6.9% were associated with significantly higher risk of developing retinopathy at 3 years (OR 2.35 [95% CI 1.08–5.11]; P = 0.031) (Table 3). The risk remained significantly higher after further adjusting for the confounders and other independent risk factors for retinopathy (model 2b). Our results did not alter substantially after the exclusion of those with diagnosis of diabetes at baseline in model 3b.

In our analysis to evaluate whether the relationship between baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and prevalence of retinopathy is nonlinear, we found that the nonlinear relationship was borderline significant (*P* for curve = 0.08) (Fig. 1). For the outcome of incident retinopathy, however, the nonlinear relationship was statistically significant (*P* = 0.001), suggesting a possible threshold at HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels between 6.0 and 7.0%. We observed a dose-response relationship between higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and increased risk of incident retinopathy at HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $\geq$ 6.5%. The restricted cubic spline analysis for the nondiabetic subpopulation yielded similar findings (data not shown).

#### DISCUSSION

TABLE 2

We found that Japanese adults with HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of 6.5– 6.9% were at significantly higher risk of developing retinopathy at 3 years than were those with HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of 5.0–5.4%, whereas the risks did not increase among those with HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels <6.5%. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study supporting the validity of the new diagnostic threshold of  $HbA_{1c}$  recommended by the International Expert Committee (5,11). In contrast, we did not observe an explicit threshold effect of  $HbA_{1c}$  in the analyses of the prevalent retinopathy.

Although there have been several longitudinal studies examining the association between  $HbA_{1c}$  levels and the risk of retinopathy, most of those studies were limited to samples treated for diabetes (29,34–38). There have been only a few small longitudinal studies of general nondiabetic populations (6,15–17). Possibly because of small sample sizes, results from these previous studies did not support the current HbA1c threshold for diagnosing diabetes (6,15-17). In the Pima Indian study (N = 927), investigators found that the risk of incident retinopathy begin to increase only at  $HbA_1$  levels of 9.1% (6). Only  $HbA_1$  data were available at that time ( $HbA_{1c}$  measurements were unavailable), and no adjustments were made for hypertension and other independent risk factors for retinopathy in that study (6). The longitudinal study (N =233) by van Leiden et al. (15) found that the risk of developing retinopathy was significantly higher in the highest tertile of HbA<sub>1c</sub> (HbA<sub>1c</sub> 5.8–13.1%) relative to the lowest tertile (HbA<sub>1c</sub> 4.3-5.2%). Because of small sample size, these investigators collapsed HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels from 5.8 to 13.1% into a single category. Selvin et al. (16) examined the risk of retinopathy among participants from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. The overall sample size of this study was large (N = 11,357), but repeated retinal examinations were performed in only 767 people. These investigators were unable to detect a statistically

TABLE 3								
Adjusted ORs for	developing new	retinopathy a	at 3 years	across o	different	baseline	HbA <sub>1c</sub>	levels

	Individuals with and without diabetes										Individuals with no diabetes					
	No. of Model 1b* Model 2				Model 2b	 †		No. of	Model 3b‡							
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	N	cases (%)	OR	95% CI	Р	OR	95% CI	Р	N	cases (%)	OR	95% CI	Р			
<5.0	1,696	8 (0.5)	1.05	0.49-2.21	0.91	0.97	0.46 - 2.06	0.93	1,669	8 (0.5)	1.03	0.48-2.19	0.94			
5.0 - 5.4	9,142	54(0.6)	1.00	Ref.	Ref.	1.00	Ref.	Ref.	9,016	53(0.6)	1.00	Ref.	Ref.			
5.5-5.9	6,202	51(0.8)	1.04	0.70 - 1.54	0.85	1.09	0.73 - 1.62	0.67	6,030	49 (0.8)	1.04	0.70 - 1.56	0.83			
6.0-6.4	1,970	18 (0.9)	0.93	0.54 - 1.61	0.79	1.00	0.57 - 1.76	1.00	1,825	17(0.9)	0.97	0.55 - 1.73	0.93			
6.5-6.9	388	9 (2.3)	2.24	1.08 - 4.65	0.031	2.35	1.08 - 5.11	0.031	257	6(2.3)	2.46	1.01 - 5.97	0.047			
$\geq 7.0$	499	30 (6.0)	5.93	3.65 - 9.62	< 0.0001	6.45	3.21 - 12.93	< 0.0001	117	5(4.3)	5.52	2.07 - 14.74	0.0006			

Statistically significant results at P < 0.05 are indicated in boldface. \*Adjusted for age and sex. †Adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diagnosis of diabetes, HDL and LDL cholesterol, log-transformed triglyceride, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking status, and family history of diabetes. ‡Adjusted for all the variables in model 2b, with the exception of the diagnosis of diabetes.



FIG. 1. Associations between baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and the prevalence (A) or cumulative incidence (B) of retinopathy. Multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models with restricted cubic spline were used: left for prevalence (N = 20,433) and right for 3-year cumulative incidence (N = 19,897). The solid lines present adjusted ORs of restricted cubic spline model with six knots specified at HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels of 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, and 7.5%. The dashed lines show the 95% CI from the restricted cubic spline model. HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels were truncated at the 1st and 99th percentiles (4.7 and 7.9%, respectively). The predicted prevalence and cumulative incidence were adjusted for age, sex, hypertension, diagnosis of diabetes, HDL and LD cholesterol, log-transformed triglyceride levels, BMI, family history of diabetes, alcohol use, and smoking status.

significant threshold in the association of  $HbA_{1c}$  with the incidence of retinopathy, possibly because of the lack of power. Recently, Massin et al. (17) studied 700 participants from the Data from an Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome study, in which participants were followed up for 10 years, and proposed an  $HbA_{1c}$  threshold of 6.0%. Because retinopathy was not evaluated at baseline in this study, however, they were not able to examine the incidence of retinopathy (17).

Our study has several limitations. First, the retinal images were graded in single-field photographs per eye in this study. Multiple photographic fields per eye would have improved the sensitivity of the funduscopic examinations. Second, our study sample was composed exclusively of native Japanese, so whether our results generalize to other populations is unclear. It is noteworthy that in the DETECT-2 project, which pooled studies from the U.S., Australia, India, Japan, and Singapore, they found no racial difference in optimal  $HbA_{1c}$  threshold (12). Third, we did not take into account the possible effect of hemoglobinopathies on HbA<sub>1c</sub> values. However, the prevalence of hemoglobinopathies in Japan is reported to be as low as 0.04% (39) and is therefore likely to have little impact on our overall findings. Finally, the detection of an inflection point in the relation between  $HbA_{1c}$  and retinopathy may not in itself establish the optimal threshold for clinicians to use in the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes. The optimal threshold for any patient is the level at which the benefits of diagnosis and treatment exceed harms for that patient. If there were only benefits and no harm in diagnosing and treating diabetes, the inflection point would represent the level of HbA<sub>1c</sub>. However, when the benefits of diagnosis and treatment of diabetes are small, the optimal diagnostic threshold may be higher than the inflection point we observed.

Our longitudinal study is the first to date to suggest a threshold of risk for incident retinopathy at a 6.5% HbA<sub>1c</sub> level. These findings support the validity of the new diagnostic HbA<sub>1c</sub> threshold for diabetes recently adopted by the American Diabetes Association, the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, and the World Health Organization (5,12). Additional longitudinal studies are needed to validate these findings in other populations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Y.T. is supported by Shigeaki Hinohara, MD, Primary Care Fellowship at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA), Joint Japan/World Bank Graduate Scholarship Program, and St. Luke's Life Science Institute (Tokyo, Japan). C.C.W. is supported by a midcareer mentorship award from the National Institutes of Health (Grant K24-DK-087932). J.B.M. is supported by National Institute for Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (Grant K24-DK-080140). R.B.D. is supported by Harvard Catalyst, The Harvard Clinical and Translational Science Center (NIH Award UL1-RR-025758 and financial contributions from Harvard University and its affiliated academic health care centers).

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Y.T., O.T., T.F., W.C.T., and C.C.W. developed the study concept, developed the design, and interpreted the data. Y.T., R.B.D., and F.I. conducted statistical analyses. Y.T., J.B.M., W.C.T., and C.C.W. drafted the manuscript. All the authors revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content and approved the final manuscript. Y.T. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Parts of this study were presented as an oral presentation at the 34th Annual Meeting of the Society of General Internal Medicine, Phoenix, Arizona, 4–7 May 2011.

The authors thank Donald Halstead, Harvard School of Public Health, for help with preparing the manuscript. The authors also thank Toshiko Kawakita and Sachiko Ohde, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan, for collecting the data.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. International Diabetes Foundation. *IDF Diabetes Atlas.* 4th ed. Brussels, International Diabetes Foundation, 2010
- American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Statistics [Internet], 2011. Alexandria, VA, American Diabetes Association. Available from http://www. diabetes.org/diabetes-basics/diabetes-statistics/. Accessed 15 April 2011
- Health Service Bureau. Japanese Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare: The National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan. Tokyo, Japanese Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare, 2007

- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes— 2010 [erratum in Diabetes Care 2010;33:692]. Diabetes Care 2010;33(Suppl. 1):S11–S61
- International Expert Committee. International Expert Committee report on the role of the A1c assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1327–1334
- McCance DR, Hanson RL, Charles MA, et al. Comparison of tests for glycated haemoglobin and fasting and two hour plasma glucose concentrations as diagnostic methods for diabetes. BMJ 1994;308:1323–1328
- Engelgau MM, Thompson TJ, Herman WH, et al. Comparison of fasting and 2-hour glucose and HbA1c levels for diagnosing diabetes. Diagnostic criteria and performance revisited. Diabetes Care 1997;20:785–791
- Wong TY, Cheung N, Tay WT, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. Ophthalmology 2008;115: 1869–1875
- Wong TY, Liew G, Tapp RJ, et al. Relation between fasting glucose and retinopathy for diagnosis of diabetes: three population-based crosssectional studies. Lancet 2008;371:736–743
- Sabanayagam C, Liew G, Tai ES, et al. Relationship between glycated haemoglobin and microvascular complications: is there a natural cut-off point for the diagnosis of diabetes? Diabetologia 2009;52:1279–1289
- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2010;33(Suppl. 1):S62–S69
- Colagiuri S, Lee CM, Wong TY, Balkau B, Shaw JE, Borch-Johnsen K; DETECT-2 Collaboration Writing Group. Glycemic thresholds for diabetesspecific retinopathy: implications for diagnostic criteria for diabetes. Diabetes Care 2011;34:145–150
- Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 1997;20:1183–1197
- McCance DR, Hanson RL, Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH, Hadden DR, Knowler WC. Diagnosing diabetes mellitus—do we need new criteria? Diabetologia 1997;40:247–255
- van Leiden HA, Dekker JM, Moll AC, et al. Risk factors for incident retinopathy in a diabetic and nondiabetic population: the Hoorn study. Arch Ophthalmol 2003;121:245–251
- Selvin E, Ning Y, Steffes MW, et al. Glycated hemoglobin and the risk of kidney disease and retinopathy in adults with and without diabetes. Diabetes 2011;60:298–305
- 17. Massin P, Lange C, Tichet J, et al.; DESIR (Data From an Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome) Study Group. Hemoglobin A1c and fasting plasma glucose levels as predictors of retinopathy at 10 years: the French DESIR study. Arch Ophthalmol 2011;129:188–195
- Klein R. Hyperglycemia and microvascular and macrovascular disease in diabetes. Diabetes Care 1995;18:258–268
- Fukuda M. Classification and treatment of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1994;24(Suppl.):S171–S176
- Wong J, Molyneaux L, Constantino M, Twigg SM, Yue DK. Timing is everything: age of onset influences long-term retinopathy risk in type 2 diabetes, independent of traditional risk factors. Diabetes Care 2008;31: 1985–1990
- Adler AI, Stratton IM, Neil HA, et al. Association of systolic blood pressure with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 36): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000;321:412–419
- 22. Chaturvedi N, Sjolie AK, Stephenson JM, et al. Effect of lisinopril on progression of retinopathy in normotensive people with type 1 diabetes.

The EUCLID Study Group. EURODIAB Controlled Trial of Lisinopril in Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Lancet 1998;351:28–31

- 23. Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study Investigators. Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy. See http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(99) 12323-7/fulltext [erratum in Lancet 2000;356:860]. Lancet 2000;355:253–259
- Chaturvedi N, Sjoelie AK, Porta M, et al.; EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study. Markers of insulin resistance are strong risk factors for retinopathy incidence in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2001;24:284–289
- 25. Porta M, Sjoelie AK, Chaturvedi N, et al.; EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study Group. Risk factors for progression to proliferative diabetic retinopathy in the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study. Diabetologia 2001;44:2203–2209
- Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. Ten-year incidence of visual loss in a diabetic population. Ophthalmology 1994;101:1061–1070
- 27. Chew EY, Klein ML, Ferris FL 3rd, et al. Association of elevated serum lipid levels with retinal hard exudate in diabetic retinopathy. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) Report 22. Arch Ophthalmol 1996;114:1079–1084
- Keech AC, Mitchell P, Summanen PA, et al.; FIELD study investigators. Effect of fenofibrate on the need for laser treatment for diabetic retinopathy (FIELD study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2007;370:1687–1697
- Stratton IM, Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, et al. UKPDS 50: risk factors for incidence and progression of retinopathy in Type II diabetes over 6 years from diagnosis. Diabetologia 2001;44:156–163
- Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. Association of cigarette smoking with diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care 1991;14:119–126
- Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. The association of alcohol consumption with the incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmology 1994;101:1962–1968
- Harrell FE Jr, Lee KL, Pollock BG. Regression models in clinical studies: determining relationships between predictors and response. J Natl Cancer Inst 1988;80:1198–1202
- Durrleman S, Simon R. Flexible regression models with cubic splines. Stat Med 1989;8:551–561
- 34. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. Glycosylated hemoglobin predicts the incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. JAMA 1988;260:2864–2871
- Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Cruickshanks KJ. Relationship of hyperglycemia to the long-term incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. Arch Intern Med 1994;154:2169–2178
- 36. Lachin JM, Genuth S, Nathan DM, Zinman B, Rutledge BN; DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Effect of glycemic exposure on the risk of microvascular complications in the diabetes control and complications trial—revisited. Diabetes 2008;57:995–1001
- 37. Klein R, Knudtson MD, Lee KE, Gangnon R, Klein BE. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy: XXII the twenty-five-year progression of retinopathy in persons with type 1 diabetes. Ophthalmology 2008;115:1859–1868
- Klein R, Lee KE, Gangnon RE, Klein BE. The 25-year incidence of visual impairment in type 1 diabetes mellitus the Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmology 2010;117:63–70
- Harano T. Hemoglobinopathy in Japan: detection and analysis (Japanese). Rinsyo Byori 1999;47:215–223