UCSF

UC San Francisco Electronic Theses and Dissertations

Title

Stimulation of renin secretion by vasoactive intestinal peptide

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6m38f4zk>

Author Porter, James P

Publication Date 1982

Peer reviewed|Thesis/dissertation

STIMULATION OF RENIN SECRETION BY WASOACTIVE INTESTINAL PEPTIDE:

MECHANISM AND PHYSIOLOGIC IMPORTANCE

by

James P. Porter

DISSERTATION

Submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Endocrinology

in the

GRADUATE DIVISION

Of the

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

San Francisco

DEC 31 1982 Degree Conferred: . . . \overline{a} $\ddot{}$ $\ddot{}$ the contract of the contract of

ABSTRACT

Stimulation of Renin Secretion by Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide: Mechanism and Physiologic Importance

by

James P. Porter

The effect of intrarenal and intravenous infusions of vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) on renin release were compared in anesthetized dogs. ^A 15-min infusion of WIP directly into the renal artery at ^a rate of 33 ng/kg/min increased PRA from 19.2 ± 2.3 to 29.2 \pm 4.7 ng AI/ml/3h, and increased renin secretion rate from 1,461 $+$ 393 to 5,769 $+$ 1,794 ng AI/ml/3h/min. Renal blood flow and creatinine clearance were also increased, whereas plasma potassium concentration and diastolic blood pressure decreased. Sodium and potassium excretion did not change. When administered intravenously, 33 and 13 ng/kg/min VIP increased PRA. ^A dose of 3.3 mg/kg/min failed to increase PRA when given intravenously, but produced ^a significant increase in PRA when infused directly into the renal artery. This increase occurred without any change in plasma potassium concentration or blood pressure. ^A two to threefold increase in circulating WIP levels was sufficient to cause the increase in PRA. WIP in doses ranging from 10^{-9} M to 10^{-7} M significantly increased renin release from an isolated rat glomerular preparation in ^a dose-related manner. Using three different WIP antisera, all of which stained neural elements in the salivary gland, no specific WIP immunoreactivity could

be detected in canine renal cortex. Stimulation of the renal nerve in anesthetized dogs increased PRA significantly from 13.2 ⁺ 2.5 to 21.2 ⁺ 3.4 ng AI/ml/3h but did not affect renal venous levels of WIP. Intravenous infusion of neostigmine (0.07 mg/kg) in ⁶ anesthetized dogs caused an increase in circulating WIP in all dogs while PRA increased in ⁴ of the ⁶ dogs. Fourteen days of ^a low salt diet increased PRA significantly from $3.4 + 0.8$ to $8.0 + 0.9$ ng AI/ml/3h in 6 dogs without affecting circulating levels of WIP. These results suggest that WIP acts directly on the juxtaglomerular cells to increase renin secretion. However, WIP probably does not play ^a role in neurally mediated increases in PRA. It is also not likely to be ^a humoral factor involved in the renin response to ^a low salt diet. There may be other physiologic or pathophysiologic situations in which WIP functions as ^a renin-stimulating factor. Further work will be required to determine in which, if any, of these situations WIP plays ^a role in the regulation of renin secretion.

Villiam E. Fr Approved by $\overbrace{W1111}^{UULL}$ $\overbrace{G \text{anong}}^{L}$, Committee Chairman

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

^I am grateful to my dissertation committee for their help throughout this project. ^I thank Dr. Ganong for directing the course of this research and for meeting with me almost weekly for the past three years. I also thank him for sending me to the Mayo Clinic to learn the isolated glomeruli technique. Thanks to Dr. Reid for showing me how to set up anesthetized dogs for measurement of renin secretion rate and for his many suggestions. ^I am grateful to Dr. Williams for his suggestions about how to make the isolated glomerular preparation work. I tried them all and the system finally worked.

Thanks to Dr. Sami I. Said for his collaboration throughout this project. He provided me with all the WIP used and also measured the WIP levels in the many samples ^I sent to him. He also provided WIP antiserum for use in immunocytochemistry.

I thank Roy Shackelford for the many times he helped me aneshtetize dogs and for his much needed surgical help. Thanks also to Angie Boryczka and to Roy for helping me with some of the experiments reported herein. I also thank Helen Hughes for doing so many PRA samples for me and for letting me do my own assays in her lab. ^I know ^I must have been in the way sometimes.

I also thank Dr. Carol Basbaum for teaching me the immunocytochemical technique and Drs. J.C. Romero and Will Beierwaltes, of the Mayo Clinic, for teaching me the isolated glomeruli technique.

Finally, I am grateful to my wife and children who put up with four years of meager living and supported me throughout this experience.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. The second constraints in the constraint \mathcal{L}_c is a second constraint of \mathcal{L}_c . The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of \mathcal{E} المتهجم والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث $\hat{L}_{\rm{max}}$ and the second constraint in the contribution of the contribution of $\hat{L}_{\rm{max}}$. The properties of the properties of the properties of the set of the set of the set of the α . The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, and the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\sim 10^{-1}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ $\hat{u}^{(1)}$, where $\hat{u}^{(2)}$ is the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The construction of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The contribution of the المتعادلة والتقاسية والمتحام المتعاقلين العادية والمتنادين $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ أرادتها فأقام والمتفاعل والمتحدث والمتحدث where the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} , and the set of the set . The contract of \mathcal{E} is a constant of the second constant of the second constant \mathcal{L}_c , and the second constant of the second constant \mathcal{L}_c

 \hat{A} is a set of \hat{A} , and \hat{A} is a set of \hat{A} , and \hat{A} is a set of \hat{A} , and \hat{A} . The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of $\mathcal{O}(n)$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$. Then . The constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints \mathcal{L}_c $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of \mathcal{A} $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6) \mathcal{O}(10^6)$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6))$. The constraints of the constraints of the constraints \mathcal{L}_c and \mathcal{L}_c and \mathcal{L}_c , we can also a second constraint the constraint of the second constraint $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ \mathbf{u} , and the properties of the set of the \mathbf{u} \mathcal{A} , and the set of the set of the set of the set of \mathcal{A} $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$. The constraints of the constraints of the constraints $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. The constraints of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the α المتوازن فالفتوه والمتابعات والمتفاوض والمنابع المستنبذ والمستقرع والمتعاط والمتعاطف والمستقر والمتحدث $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. The construction of the contract of the con \mathcal{L} is a set of \mathcal{L} $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{r})$, and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{r})$ is a set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{r})$ $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} are all the set of the set of the set of \mathcal{A} $\sim 10^7$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z}))$. The set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z}))$, we have $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. المراجع والمتحاج والمتواطن $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ المنافي والمنادي وفقاته فقاله فالمنادي والمتقار فتقلقها القاربة فالمنافر والمتواطن والمنادر

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$ is a set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$. The constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints \mathcal{L}_c . In the contribution of the contribution of the $\mathcal{O}(n)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The second constraints of the second constraints of the second constraints $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The set of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ المنافعات الفقف القيمعة والقيادة والمنادر والمنادر أنابي المتحادث والمتحصص والتعادل والمتحاولة والمنادر $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of the set of the set of \mathcal{A} . The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ \hat{f} is a simple point of the space of the space \hat{f} الرواوية والمستنقل والمناوية والمتواطن والمستقلة والمستقلة . The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract \mathcal{L}_c \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$. The simulation of the state of the state $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ المتناوب والمتعاقب والمتحاول والمتناوب والمتناوب والمتحاولة والمتحاولة والمتحاول والمتحاولة $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$. The contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of \mathcal{A} \bullet . The constraints are also as a sequence of the constraints of the constraints of $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}$. The construction of the contract of the con and the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$. The contract is the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the α

. The constraints of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of \mathcal{A}

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6)$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and the set of the s

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\log n))$ and the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is a subset of the set of the set of the set of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

المتعاون والمتحاولة والمتفاولات والمتحدث والمتواطن والمتحدث

. The contract of $\mathcal{O}(n)$

 \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{u} are also becomes the contribution of the \mathbf{u}

is a sequence of the sequence of the sequence of the sequence of the sequence of \mathcal{E}

 \hat{a} , and \hat{a}

. The constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the α

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the second constraint in the constraint of the second constraint $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

LIST OF FIGURES

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) \mathcal{L}^{(2)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x)$

المستحدث المتحاج والأوالي والمتحولة والأوالي والمتحاولة والمتحدث

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

المنابع والمحامل والمتعاون والمتواطن والمعارضة المعتقلة والمتعاونة المتحافظ التقاعي والمتحافظ والمتحاول والمتحاول المتحاول المتحدث والمتحافظ والمتحافظ

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a set of the set

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

LIST OF PLATES

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{$

المستحققة والمتعادل والمتعادي والمتحدث \hat{f} , where \hat{f} is the contraction of the contraction of \hat{f}

المنادر والمتحدث والتقعيد القدمة والمتحدث والمتحدث والمتحدث

LIST OF TABLES

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(1))$. The set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(1))$ is the set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(1))$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, which is a set of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $\hat{f}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}$ is the contribution of the contribution of $\hat{f}^{\dagger}_{\mu\nu}$

 $\label{eq:1} \mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \right) = \mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \right)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where the contract of the contract of the contract of \mathcal{A}

INTRODUCTION

Wasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) was first isolated from porcine duodenum in ¹⁹⁷⁰ (Said and Mutt, 1970). It was originally thought to be ^a gastrointestinal hormone involved in the regulation of gastro intestinal blood flow or glucose homeostasis. In 1976, WIP was shown to be present in neurons of the central and peripheral nervous systems (Larsson et al., 1976b; Said and Rosenberg, 1976). The peptide probably functions as ^a neurotransmitter and is responsible for certain nonad renergic, noncholinergic responses such as atropine-resistant vasodilation in the salivary gland and relaxation of gastrointestinal sphincters and airway smooth muscle (Goyal et al., 1980; Lundberg et al., 1980b; Matsuzaki et al., 1980). WIP is also present in hypophyseal portal blood and is thought to be involved in the regulation of prolactin secretion (Said and Porter, 1979; Rotsztejn et al., 1980a).

While investigating the effect of intravenously administered WIP on release of pituitary hormones in two anesthetized dogs, Ganong and associates made the observation that the peptide also caused an increase in plasma renin activity (PRA) (Porter and Ganong, 1982). This effect was accompanied by ^a decrease in blood pressure. Since ^a decrease in blood pressure is known to be one stimulus to renin secretion, the WIP infusions were repeated in two other dogs in which renal perfusion pressure was held constant. Again WIP increased PRA.

In the present work, this preliminary observation was followed up to determine if WIP actually is ^a renin-stimulating factor, to determine by what mechanism it exerts this effect, and to determine if there are situations in which this peptide functions physiologically to influence renin secretion.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Renin

Renin is ^a proteolytic enzyme which is secreted into the blood stream by the juxtaglomerular cells of the kidney. It acts on ^a circulating alpha-2 globulin, angiotensinogen, to release the decapeptide, angiotensin ^I (AI). AI is converted to an octapeptide, angiotensin II (AII), by converting enzyme, ^a dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase, as the AI passes through the lungs and other organs. AII is the most potent vasoconstrictor known, and as such plays an important role in the regulation of blood pressure. It is also an important regulator of aldosterone secretion and therefore, indirectly, plays ^a role in the maintenance of salt and water homeostasis. It has numerous actions in the brain, probably by way of the circumventricular organs, including increased blood pressure, drinking, vasopressin secretion, and ACTH secretion (Ramsay, 1979). AII also increases sympathetic activity in the periphery by facilitating adrenergic transmitter release (Zimmerman, 1978). Investigations using different inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system also point to ^a role for AII in renovascular and some forms of essential hypertension (Kotchen and Guthrie, 1980).

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

The release of renin from the juxtaglomerular cells in the kidney is regulated by ^a number of different mechanisms. These include the intrarenal baroreceptor mechanism, the macula densa mechanism, and the sympathetic nervous system. Renin secretion is also influenced by several humoral agents including sodium, potassium, and peptides such as AII, vasopressin, glucagon, parathyroid hormone, substance P, and somatostatin.

The Intrarenal Baroreceptor Mechanism

Tobian et al. (1959) first postulated the presence of ^a mechanoreceptor within the arteriole of the kidney which regulates renin release. According to this hypothesis, ^a decrease in stretch in the arterioles leads to an increase in renin release and an increase in stretch leads to ^a decrease in renin secretion. The existence of the arteriolar baroreceptor was confirmed in ^a series of experiments by Blaine et al. (1970; 1971). An animal preparation was devised in which the macula densa was eliminated by rendering the kidney nonfiltering and the sympathetic involvement eliminated by denervating the kidney and removing the adrenal glands. In these animals, ^a decrease in renal perfusion pressure resulted in an increase in renin secretion suggesting that there is an intrarenal baroreceptor capable of influencing renin secretion in the absence of other known regulating factors. This same effect was observed in an isolated perfused kidney preparation and increases in renal perfusion pressure were also shown to inhibit release of renin (Hofbauer et al., 1974).

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$

Studies to determine the location of the baroreceptor were carried out using papaverine, ^a compound known to dilate the afferent arterioles. In the presence of papverine, the afferent arterioles are presumably maximally dilated and if the baroreceptor is located here it would be unable to respond to changes in stretch. Papaverine pretreatment blocked the increase in renin secretion with hemorrhage in dogs with denervated and nonfiltering kidneys suggesting that the baroreceptor is indeed in the afferent arteriole (Witty et al., 1971).

The nature of the stimulus perceived by the baroreceptor appears to be ^a decrease in vessel wall tension. According to the Law of Laplace, vessel wall tension is equal to the product of the vessel diameter and transmural pressure. Therefore, manipulations which lower intraluminal pressure, like renal artery constriction, or increase interstitial pressure, like ureteral occlusion, will activate the baroreceptor and increase remin secretion. Likewise, decreases in vessel diameter should also increase renin release. Manipulations which affect both vessel diameter and transmural pressure could increase, decrease, or have no effect on renin. For example, constriction of the renal artery results in an increase in renin secretion even though vasodilation associated with autoregulation occurs (Skinner et al., 1963). On the other hand, if vasodilation occurs without ^a change in perfusion pressure, renin release should be inhibited. This effect has been reported in an isolated perfused kidney preparation (Fray, 1976).

The Macula Densa Mechanism

The macula densa is ^a specialized segment of the distal convoluted tubule. The cells in this region take on ^a cuboidal or columnar shape

5

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$. The contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt$

and are located in close proximity to the renin-containing cells in the afferent arteriole of the same nephron. Sometimes only an incomplete basement membrane separates the macula densa cells from the juxtaglomerular cells (Hartroft and Newmark, 1961). Because of the close anatomical relationship between the macula densa and the afferent arteriole, it was postulated that the composition of tubular fluid might influence the release of renin (Goormaghtigh, 1945). Wander and Miller (1964) provided the first evidence that such ^a relationship does exist. They showed that an inverse relationship existed between sodium excretion and renal venous PRA. This led them to postulate that decreases in sodium load (tubular sodium concentration ^X flow) to the macula densa resulted in increases in renin secretion. However, other investigators using diuretics which act on the Loop of Henle (loop diuretics), such as furosemide or ethacrynic acid, which lead to increased sodium excretion, showed that renin release increased and suggested ^a direct relationship between sodium load to the macula densa and renin secretion (Meyer et al., 1968; Woke et al., 1970). The discrepancy between these results and Wander's original hypothesis could be resolved if the loop diuretics also acted on the macula densa to decrease sodium reabsorption in that portion of the distal tubule. Unfortunately, the macula densa is inaccessable to micro puncture and sodium load to this region cannot be measured. Nevertheless, indirect evidence has been reported which suggests that loop diuretics do indeed act on the macula densa (Wright and Schnermann, 1974). Humphreys et al. (1975) used an isolated perfused kidney to provide further evidence in favor of Wander's hypothesis. The isolated kidney was perfused at constant pressure with blood from ^a donor dog. Hemodilution, without

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of

volume expansion, increased sodium excretion in the perfused kidney which was accompanied by a decrease in renin release. In the donor dog, sodium excretion decreased due to the fall in mean arterial pressure and PRA increased significantly.

Recently, some controversy has arisen concerning the nature of the signal perceived by the macula densa cells. Kotchen et al. (1978) has presented evidence which suggests that ^a change in chloride load to the macula densa is more important than ^a change in sodium load. There is also preliminary data which suggest that prostaglandins are involved in the macula densa response (Francisco et al., 1980).

Neural Control of Renin Secretion

Innervation of the Juxtaglomerular Cell. Light and electron microscopic studies have demostrated the presence of adrenergic nerve terminals in contact with renin-containing juxtaglomerular cells (Barajas, 1964). These terminals contained the dense core vesicles that are typical of adrenergic neurons (Wolfe et al., 1962). Fluorescence histochemistry has demonstrated convincingly the presence of more pinephrine in these terminals. Reserpine, ^a drug which depletes catecholamines from nerve endings, led to ^a decrease in dense core vesicles and histofluorescence in these terminals and was accompanied by ^a decrease in PRA (Silverman and Barajas, 1974). There is also some evidence that the kidney receives parasympathetic innervation. Acetyl cholinesterase activity has been demonstrated in nerves which innervate the kidney (McKenna and Agelakos, 1968), but this could be contained in adrenergic neurons rather than cholinergic neurons (Barajas and Wang, 1975).

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{d\mu}{d\mu}d\mu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \,d\mathcal{H}^3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$.

Effect of Direct Stimulation of the Renal Nerves. Direct electrical stimulation of the renal nerves is known to increase renin secretion. The early studies used loop electrodes placed around the renal artery so as to make contact with the renal nerves (Loeffler et al., 1972). While renin release did increase, the stimulation also may have caused ^a decrease in renal blood flow and sodium chloride delivery to the macula densa. Subsequently, other investigators found stimulation parameters which resulted in an increase in renin secretion without changing renal blood flow (La Grange et al., 1973). Renin also increased with renal nerve stimulation in animals with non-filtering kidneys (Johnson et al., 1971). This suggests that the norepinephrine released from the renal nerve terminals can act on the juxtaglomerular cells to stimulate renin release. In support of this hypothesis, it has been shown that morepinephrine causes renin release from kidney slices in vitro, again suggesting ^a direct action on the juxtaglomerular cells (Lopez et al., 1978).

Effect of Indirect Stimulation of the Renal Nerves. There is good evidence that the renin response to hemorrhage, upright posture or tilt, and dietary sodium restriction is mediated, in part, by the renal nerves •

The increase in renin with mild non-hypotensive hemorrhage can be blocked by renal nerve anesthesia (Bunag et al., 1966). Larger hypotensive hemorrhage results in other changes involving the intrarenal baroreceptor and the increase in renin in this case probably does not require the renal nerves (Blaine et al., 1970).

Upright posture in humans led to an increase in PRA and catecholamine excretion (Gordon et al., 1967). Patients with autonomic

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the contract of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

insufficiency failed to show an increase in PRA or catecholamine excretion upon assumption of the upright posture. Direct evidence implicating the renal nerves in the renin response to upright tilt was obtained in anesthetized cats (Zanchetti and Stella, 1975). ^A sixty degree upright tilt led to an increase in renin secretion from innervated kidneys and only ^a partial increase in denervated kidneys. Additional bilateral adrenalectomy completely eliminated the response in the denervated kidneys.

The role of the renal nerves in the renin response to ^a low salt diet is not clear. Mogil et al. (1969) reported that surgical renal denervation completely blocked the increase in PRA during sodium deprivation. On the other hand, Gotshall et al. (1973) showed that PRA increased similarly with low salt diet in dogs with intact or denervated kidneys although the first renin measurement was not made until five days after the beginning of the diet. Also dogs with autotransplanted kidneys increase PRA with sodium restriction (Brennan et al., 1974). Brubacher and Wander (1968) reported that renal denervation slowed the renin response to ^a low salt diet, but by four days the response was normal. Propranolol, ^a beta-adrenergic receptor blocker, has been reported to decrease (Ganong, 1972a) or have no effect (Brubacher and Wander, 1968) on the elevated PRA due to ^a low salt diet. Since renal denervation can delay for four days the rise in PRA resulting from ^a low salt diet, it appears that the renal nerves may be involved in the initial renin response to sodium restriction but other release mechanisms are responsible for the chronic elevation of PRA.

Central Stimulation of Renin Release. Direct electrical stimulation of the midbrain central grey (Ueda et al., 1967), the dorsolateral pons (Richardson et al., 1974), the pressor region of the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,d\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{1$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}
medulla oblongata (Passo et al., 1971), and the lateral hypothalamus (Zanchetti and Stella, 1975) all resulted in an increase in PRA which could be blocked or partially blocked by renal denervation or beta adrenergic blockade. Zehr and Feigl (1973) reported that stimulation of the pressor region of the anterior hypothalamus resulted in ^a decrease in PRA which was abolished by renal denervation.

Certain pharmacologic manipulations also point to ^a central involvement in the control of renin release. Clonidine, an alpha-2 adrenoreceptor agonist, has been shown to inhibit renin secretion by an action within the central nervous system (Reid et al., 1975). It is not yet clear exactly where or how clonidine acts to produce this effect. L-dopa, which can cross the blood–brain barrier and be converted to norepinephrine in adrenergic neurons, also inhibited renin release when peripheral decarboxylase inhibitor was given to insure only central conversion (Blair et al., 1977). Intraventricular infusions of norepinephrine or epinephrine or more potent alpha-1 adrenergic agonists led to an increase in PRA (Ganong and Barbieri, 1982).

While futher investigation is needed, these pharmacologic studies suggest the presence of ^a pathway in the central nervous system which inhibits remin secretion by an alpha-2 adrenergic mechanism. There is also some preliminary evidence which points to another pathway which stimulates renin release by an alpha-1 mechanism.

Recently, serotonin has been implicated as ^a central neurotransmitter involved in renin release. L-tryptophan, an amino acid which is taken up by serotonergic neurons and converted to serotonin, resulted in an increase in PRA when injected into anesthetized dogs (Zimmermann and Ganong, 1980). This response was blocked by renal

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\$

denervation. In rats, serotonergic agonists and serotonin releasing drugs increased PRA (Van de Kar et al., 1981). Injections of 5,7 dihydroxytryptamine, ^a drug toxic to serotonergic neurons, into the dorsal raphe nucleus of the midbrain lowered resting levels of PRA suggesting ^a central role for serotonin in maintaining normal levels of renin (Van de Kar et al., 1982). At present the pathways mediating these serotonergic effects are not entirelly known, but it appears that projections from the dorsal raphe nucleus to the mediobasal hypothalamus are involved (Karteszi et al., 1982).

Effect of Circulating Catecholamines. Intravenous infusions of norepinephrine or epinephrine in dogs resulted in an increase in PRA (Wander, 1965). The increased epinephrine associated with insulin induced hypoglycemia also resulted in an increase in renin secretion (Otsuka et al., 1970). The response to hypoglycemia could be reduced by denervation of the adrenal gland but not the kidney. Therefore, stimuli which increase release of epinephrine from the adrenal medulla may also affect renin release.

There is some controversy regarding the location of the receptor which mediates the renin response to circulating catecholamines. Reid et al. (1972a) reported that intrarenal infusions of low doses of isoproterenol were ineffective in stimulating renin secretion while the same doses given intravenously did increase renin release. These authors suggested that extrarenal receptors were responsible for the response to isoproterenol. Similar results have been obtained with the renin response to intrarenal versus intravenous infusions of epinephrine and norepinephrine (Johnson et al., 1979). In an attempt to locate these extrarenal receptors, epinephrine was infused directly into

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. In the case of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{d\mu}{d\mu}d\mu\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$

several vascular beds supplying the gut region but no renin response was obtained (Johnson, 1982).

Intrarenal Beta-adrenergic Receptor. While circulating catechol amines may act on extrarenal beta-adrenergic receptors which influence renin secretion, it seems likely that norepinephrine released from the renal nerves acts directly on ^a beta-adrenergic receptor within the kidney. Isoproterenol stimulated renin secretion from kidney slices and isolated glomerular preparations (Morris et al., 1979; Beierwaltes et al., 1980). When fluorescently labeled propranolol was injected in vivo, the fluorescence localized in the afferent arteriole of the kidney near the juxtaglomerular cells (Atlas et al., 1977). Also the renin response to direct stimulation of the renal nerves could be abolished by pretreatment with propranolol (Loeffler et al., 1972). It seems probable that the beta-receptor is located on the cell membrane of the juxtaglomerular cell and norepinephrine released from the nerve terminals innervating this structure stimulates renin secretion.

There is some uncertainty about which subtype of beta-receptor is responsible for the renin-stimulating effect. Some investigators have reported that beta-1 agonists stimulated and beta-1 antagonists inhibited renin release (Campbell et al., 1979; Himori et al., 1980). However, others have reported that beta-1 antagonists did not affect PRA so further investigation is needed (Weber et al., 1974).

Alpha-Adrenergic Receptors. There is also evidence which suggests that alpha-adrenergic receptors can influence renin release. Stimulation of alpha-adrenergic receptors leads to vasoconstriction, ^a response that should increase renin secretion via the baroreceptor or macula densa. In addition, there is evidence that direct stimulation of $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} .

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

alpha-receptors inhibits renin secretion. Phenoxybenzamine, an alpha-, receptor blocker, was shown to potentiate the stimulatory effect of hypoglycemia on renin release (Assaykeen et al, 1970). Phenoxybenzamine and another alpha-receptor antogonist, phentolamine, potentiated the stimulatory response to morepinerphrine in vitro (Nolly et al., 1974). While low doses of norepinerphrine increased renin release from kidney slices, higher doses have been reported to inhibit release (Lopez et al., 1978). Further investigation is needed to determine the physiologic importance of the alpha-receptor mediated increase in renin release. Because of the high concentration of norepinephrine required to see an inhibitory effect of alpha-receptor stimulation it seems unlikely that such ^a mechanism is physiologically significant.

Parasympathetic Regulation of Renin Secretion. As mentioned previously, there is some anatomical evidence for parasympathetic innervation of the kidney. Additional evidence favoring ^a parasympathetic innervation was provided by the observation that cholinergic transmission was required for the autoregulatory vasodilation in the kidney following renal arterial constriction (Stinson et al., 1968). However, anticholinesterases and cholinergic antagonists had no effect on basal renal function (Wander, 1964). Intrarenal infusions of acetylcholine have no effect on renin secretion (Abe et al., 1973). However, the infusions also caused renal vasodilation and increased sodium excretion, two factors which could inhibit renin release via the intrarenal baroreceptor or macula densa mechanisms. Therefore, suggestions that cholinergic transmission is unimportant in controlling renin secretion may be premature and further investigation seems to be in order.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$

Bilateral cervical vagal cooling or sectioning has been reported to increase resting levels of renin (Yun et al., 1976; Stella et al., 1978). Since this effect could be blocked by propranolol, it probably was due to an increase in sympathetic nervous activity rather than ^a direct inhibitory effect of vagal efferents. An increase in cardiopulmonary afferent vagal transmission led to decreases in renin secretion (Brennan et al., 1971). This too was probably mediated by ^a decrease in sympathetic output to the kidney. The role of parasympathetic efferent traffic in renin secretion needs to be further investigated by determining the effects of vagotomy and direct electrical stimulation of the distal portion of the sectioned vagus nerve somewhere below the level of the heart.

Humoral Factors Regulating Renin Secretion

Sodium. It has been recognized for almost twenty years that renin secretion is influenced by plasma sodium concentration. Brown et al. (1963) reported that in ^a group of patients suffering from hypertension, plasma sodium concentration was inversely related to PRA. In anesthetized dogs with isolated blood-perfused kidneys, reductions in plasma sodium concentration resulted in ^a significant increase in renin release (Yamamoto et al., 1969). Acute intrarenal infusions of hypertonic saline have been shown to block the renin response to suprarenal aortic constriction and thoracic caval constriction (Nash et al., 1968; Shade et al., 1972). The response to changes in sodium concentration in vitro is confusing. Some investigators reported the same inverse relationship between sodium concentration and renin release $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

(Michelakis, 1971), while others reported ^a direct relationship (Lyons and Churchill, 1975) or no relationship at all (Aoi et al., 1974). Therefore, while ^a direct action of sodium on the juxtaglomerular cells is not ruled out, it seems unlikely. The elevated PRA during thoracic caval constriction was not decreased by hypertonic saline infusion into non-filtering kidneys (Shade et al., 1972). This suggests that sodium has its effect on renin secretion by way of the macula densa.

Potassium. Chronic potassium loading has been shown to decrease resting levels of renin (Sealey et al., 1970). Likewise, dietary potassium restriction was associated with an increase in PRA (Abbrecht and Wander, 1970). It is also known that infusions of potassium into the renal artery acutely inhibit renin secretion (Wander, 1970) and an acute decrease in plasma potassium brought about by infusion of glucose resulted in ^a stimulation of renin secretion (Himathongkam et al., 1975). Since an increase in plasma potassium leads to an increase in sodium excretion, it has been postulated that the decrease in renin is mediated by the macula densa (Wander, 1970). This is supported by the report that hyperkalemia did not suppress PRA in thoracic caval constricted dogs with non-filtering kidneys (Shade et al., 1972). However, in vitro high levels of potassium inhibited renin release (Park et al., 1981) It was suggested that high levels of this electrolyte depolarized the juxtaglomerular cells resulting in an inhibition of renin release. Therefore, ^a direct action of potassium on the juxtaglomerular cells cannot be ruled out.

Angiotensin II. Wander and Geelhoed (1965) first postulated ^a negative feedback role for AII in the regulation of renin secretion. They showed that infusions of AII inhibited resting levels of renin even

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

when renal perfusion pressure was held constant. Since the infusion resulted in ^a decrease in sodium excretion, ^a stimulus that would be expected to increase renin secretion, the authors concluded that the macula densa was not responsible and postulated ^a direct inhibitory action of AII on the juxtaglomerular cells. AII infusions have been shown to inhibit the renin response to aortic constriction (Bunag et al., 1967), isoprote renol (Meyer et al., 1975) and sodium depletion (Blair West et al., 1971). This occurred with plasma levels of AII within the physiologic range. Additional information regarding the negative feedback role of AII was obtained using inhibitors of the renin angiotensin system. Both ^a converting enzyme inhibitor, which prevents conversion of AI to AII, and saralasin, an AII receptor antagonist, in animals fed ^a low salt diet caused an increase in renin secretion (Bing, 1973; Johnson and Davis, 1973).

The site of action of AII is probably the juxtaglomerular cell. As mentioned previously, the inhibition of renin secretion with AII can occur without changes in renal perfusion pressure. ^A functional macula densa is also no required since the inhibtion occurred in dogs with nonfiltering kidneys (Shade et al., 1973). Additional evidence was provided using in vitro techniques. AII inhibited renin release from renal cortical slices, an effect which was blocked completely by saralasin (Naftilan and Oparil, 1978). Depletion of norepinephrine in the renal nerves with reserpine prior to obtaining the cortical slices did not prevent the inhibition of renin with AII. Small carboxy terminal fragments of AII also inhibited renin release but with much lower potency.

It appears therefore, that AII can act directly on the

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

juxtaglomerular cells to inhibit renin secretion. Since blockade of the action of AII leads to an increase in remin levels, it is probable that renin secretion is regulated by ^a negative feedback action of this peptide.

Vasopressin. Vasopressin is another peptide which has an inhibitory effect on renin secretion. Infusions of vasopressin which resulted in plasma levels of the peptide within the physiologic range inhibited the renin response to renal arterial hypotension (Bunag et al., 1967), ureteral occlusion (Wander, 1968), and sodium depletion (Tagawa et al., 1971). Since this peptide inhibited the renin response to sodium depletion in dogs with non-filtering kidneys without affecting blood pressure it appears that vasopressin exerts its effect directly on the juxtaglomerular cells (Shade et al., 1973). This is supported by the recent reports that vasopressin inhibited release of renin from kidney slices in vitro (Brooks et al., 1980; Park et al., 1981).

It is interesting that Brattleboro rats, which are unable to synthesize vasopressin and thus are chronically volume depleted, have elevated levels of renin (Gutman and Benzakein, 1974). Infusion of vasopressin into these rats resulted in ^a decrease in PRA to ^a level comparable to control rats. It is not known if vasopressin decreased PRA by ^a direct action on the juxtaglomerular cells or by ameliorating the volume depletion.

It has been suggested that vasopressin mediated the decrease in renin secretion produced by intracerebroventricular infusions of AII (Malayan et al., 1979). Hypophysectomy prevented the increase in plasma levels of vasopressin during central infusion of AII as well as the decrease in PRA. The decrease in renin secretion with central AII is

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of \mathcal{A}

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\log n))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. In the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal$

also absent in Brattleboro rats (Ganong et al., 1981).

Since the effect of vasopressin on renin release can be seen with plasma levels of the peptide within the physiologic range it seems likely that it is an important factor involved in the regulation of renin secretion. Since the effect can be seen without changes in renal hemodynamics, in the absence of ^a functional macula densa, and in vitro it is probable that vasopressin acts directly on the juxtaglomerular cells.

ACTH. There is some evidence which suggests that ACTH increases renin release. Kidney slices taken from rats treated with ACTH released more remin than slices taken from control animals (Bozovic et al., 1969). Also injection of ACTH has been reported to increase PRA in rats (Hauger-Klevene et al., 1969). However, these reports have not been confirmed by other investigators in rats or dogs (Palkovits et al., 1970; Ganong and Reid, 1976). In fact, ACTH treatment for six days in dogs on ^a low salt diet resulted in ^a significant decrease in PRA (Ganong, 1972b). Since ACTH treatment leads to volume expansion and elevated blood pressure, two factors known to inhibit renin secretion, an excitatory effect of ACTH could easily be masked. Another factor to consider is the effect of ACTH on plasma levels of angiotensinogen. Since ACTH increases glucocorticoids which are known to stimulate synthesis of angiotensinogen (Nasjletti and Masson, 1969), an elevation in PRA with ACTH could be brought about without an increase in remin secretion.

Glucagon and Parathyroid Hormone. Glucagon and parathyroid hormone, both hormones known to increase cyclic AMP, increased renin release in vivo and in isolated perfused kidneys (Wandongen et al.,

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. In the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

1973; Powell et al., 1978). In the case of glucagon, only amounts ¹⁰⁰ times greater than an effective dose of isoproterenol stimulated renin and in the case of parathyroid hormone, infusions of the hormone 100–200 times its normal secretion rate were required to increase PRA. It is therefore unlikely that either of these hormones play ^a significant role in the regulation of renin secretion.

Substance P. Infusion of substance ^P into dogs with denervated kidneys resulted in ^a decrease in renin secretion accompanied by an increase in renal blood flow (Gullner et al., 1979). Substance ^P immunoreactivity has been reported to be present in nerve fibers innervating the kidney (Hokfelt et al., 1978). This provides the possibility that the peptide is released from renal nerve terminals and influences renin release via an action on the juxtaglomerular cells. However, further investigation is required to determine the physiologic importance and mechanism of action of substance ^P in the regulation of renin release.

Somatostatin. Somatostatin has been reported to inhibit the renin response to furosemide and pentobarbital anesthesia in dogs (Izumi et al., 1979) and sodium depletion in humans (Gomez-Pan et al., 1976). The mechanism of action of somatostatin and its physiologic significance in regulating renin secretion is unknown.

Stimulus-Secretion Coupling of Renin Secretion

Cyclic AMP. Since cyclic AMP is known to mediate the effect of beta-adrenergic stimulation in many instances, it is not suprising that

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

investigations have been carried out to determine the effect of this cyclic nucleotide on renin secretion. The initial reports were conflicting. Tagawa and Wander (1970) reported an inhibitory effect of cyclic AMP infusions in sodium depleted dogs. On the other hand, Winer et al. (1971) showed that intrarenal infusion of cyclic AMP resulted in ^a significant elevation in renin secretion. They suggested that the reason that Tagawa and Wander failed to observe an increase in renin was because PRA was already elevated in their dogs due to the low sodium diet and that cyclic AMP could not increase renin any further. Allison et al. (1972) showed that intrarenal infusions of dibutryl cyclic AMP, ^a more soluble form of the nucleotide, resulted in an increase in renin release without any significant changes in renal hemodynamics. Theophylline, ^a drug which inhibits the enzyme which breaks down cyclic AMP, led to an increase in resting renin levels (Reid et al., 1972b). The effect of dibutryl cyclic AMP on renin has now been confirmed in dogs (Okahara et al., 1977) and rats (Hauger-Klevene, 1970). Cyclic AMP has also been shown to stimulate renin release from cortical cell suspensions and kidney slices (Michelakis et al., 1969; Rosset and Weyrat, 1971). Theophylline also potentiated the stimulatory effect of norepinephrine in vitro (Nolly et al., 1974). Since epinephrine infusions increase cyclic AMP levels in the kidney (Beck et al., 1972) it seems likely that the effect of beta-adrenergic stimulation on renin secretion is mediated by cyclic AMP. Glucagon and parathyroid hormone, two hormones known to stimulate renin secretion, also increased cyclic AMP in the kidney (Morel, 1981). AII, ^a renin-inhibitory factor, decreased cyclic AMP levels in glomeruli and tubules (Lopez et al., 1978; Torres et al., 1978).

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{$

Taken together the available evidence suggests that cyclic AMP or dibutryl cyclic AMP can stimulate renin secretion by ^a direct action on the juxtaglomerular cells. Increased intracellular levels of this cyclic nucleotide probably mediate the effect of beta-adrenergic stimulation and hormones such as glucagon and parathyroid hormone. AII probably inhibits renin by decreasing intracellular levels of cyclic AMP.

Prostaglandins. Prostaglandins stimulated renin secretion both in vivo and in vitro (Whorton et al., 1977; Gerber et al., 1979). There is some controversy concerning which prostaglandins mediated the effect, but it appeared that PGI and PGE₂ were the most important (Bolger et al., 1976). ^A physiologic role for prostaglandins in regulating renin secretion was suggested by the report that blockade of prostaglandin synthesis by indomethacin resulted in ^a decrease in resting levels of renin (Frolich et al., 1976).

There is also some evidence that prostaglandins mediate the renin response to beta-adrenergic stimulation, intrarenal baroreceptor stimulation and macula densa activation. Campbell et al. (1979) reported that indomethacin pretreatment blocked the renin response to isoprote renol and H133/22, ^a specific b-1 agonist, in conscious rats. However, others have failed to confirm this in dogs and humans (Berl et al., 1979; Frolich et al., 1979). Furthermore, Beierwaltes et al. (1980) showed that indomethacin pretreatment did not block the effect of isoproterenol on renin release from an isolated glomerular preparation. Therefore, it seems unlikely that prostaglandins are required for the renin response to beta-adrenergic stimulation.

The role of prostaglandins in mediating the response to intrarenal

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ are the set of the set of

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$

baroreceptor activation is more clear cut. ^A decrease in renal perfusion pressure is known to result in an increase in prostaglandin production in the kidney (Herbaczynska–Cedro and Vane, 1973). Indomethacin pretreatment blocked the renin response to ^a decreased renal perfusion pressure (Data et al., 1978). Blackshear et al. (1979) reported that decreases in renal perfusion pressure within the autoregulatory range required prostaglandin synthesis while decreases in pressure below the autoregulatory range did not.

Macula densa mediated increases in renin secretion also appear to require prostaglandin synthesis. In renally denervated dogs treated with propranolol and papaverine, ^a decrease in renal perfusion pressure resulted in an increase in renin secretion which could be blocked by indomethacin (Olson et al., 1980). Further evidence that the macula densa mediated this effect was provided by the finding that the decrease in perfusion pressure did not increase renin if the kidneys were made non-filtering. Also Francisco et al. (1980) measured the sodium load reaching the distal tubule of rats using micropuncture techniques. They showed that the increase in renin associated with ^a decrease in sodium delivery to the distal tubule due to ^a low salt diet was prevented by indomethacin.

Thus, prostaglandins have ^a direct stimulatory effect on remin secretion. They may play ^a role in maintaining normal levels of renin since indomethacin lowers resting levels. The response to macula densa activation is probably mediated by prostaglandins. The response to decreases in renal perfusion pressure within the auto regulatory range also appears to require prostaglandin synthesis. On the other hand, beta-adrenergic stimulation of remin secretion is probably not mediated via prostaglandins.

the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the contribution of the contribution

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. In the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

Calcium. It is generally believed that in most secretory systems an increase in calcium ion influx is responsibile for bringing about the events that lead to secretion. The effect of calcium on renin release appears to be just the opposite, ie, increases in intracellular calcium inhibit renin secretion and decreases stimulate secretion. The best evidence for this hypothesis is provided by in vitro work with kidney slices or isolated glomeruli. ^A decrease in the concentration of calcium in the bathing medium led to increased renin release (Baumbach and Leyssac, 1977) and an increase in calcium inhibited secretion (Park et al., 1981). The calcium ionophore, A25187, which facilitates passive diffusion of calcium, inhibited renin release if high levels of calcium were present in the bathing medium (Fynn et al. 1977). This is presumably because of an increased influx of calcium into the juxtaglomerular cells. On the other hand, if calcium was absent in the bathing medium, A23187 caused calcium efflux and renin release was increased .

In vivo studies of the effect of calcium on renin release have been more confusing. It has been reported that infusions of calcium chloride stimulated (Iwao et al., 1974) or inhibited (Kotchen et al., 1974) the release of renin. These infusions also affected sodium excretion and blood pressure and are therefore difficult to interpret.

Fray (1980a) has recently hypothesized that changes in intracellular calcium in the juxtaglomerular cells mediate the remin response to intrarenal baroreceptor activation, beta-adrenergic stimulation, and AII. In the isolated perfused rat kidney, the renin response to renal hypotension and renal vasoconstriction was blocked by depolarization of the juxtaglomerular cells with high levels of

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ potassium (Fray, 1978). Depolarization presumably opened the voltage dependent calcium channels and led to calcium influx. The response to low perfusion pressure was decreased as extracellular levels of calcium were decreased (Fray and Park, 1979). High perfusion pressure inhibited renin only if calcium was present; in the absence of calcium, the inhibition was changed to ^a stimulation. High perfusion pressure would increase the stretch of the juxtaglomerular cells and presumably increase the calcium permeability which would increase intracellular calcium and inhibit renin secretion.

The stimulatory effect of catecholamines was blocked by lanthanum, ^a compound which prevents calcium efflux (Logan et al. 1977). Ouabain, which increases intracellular calcium, inhibited the renin response to catecholamines (Fray, 1980b). These data are all consistent with the hypothesis that catecholamines stimulate renin secretion by lowering intracellular calcium.

AII inhibited renin secretion from an isolated perfused kidney only when calcium was present in the extracellular fluid. The inhibitory effect was greatest when the extracellular calcium was highest (Wandongen and Peart, 1974).

In summary, the effect of calcium on renin secretion is opposite to that in most secretory systems. The release of renin appears to be inversly related to the intracellular concentration of calcium. Changes in calcium may be the final common pathway for some stimuli to renin secretion. For example, there is evidence that the renin responses mediated by the intrarenal baroreceptor, the beta-adrenergic receptor, and AII involve changes in intracellular calcium.

Thus, cyclic AMP, prostaglandins, and calcium all appear to mediate

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of the contribution of $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,d\mu.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right) \mathbf{r} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \mathbf{r} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

certain renin responses. The effect of cyclic AMP is stimulatory while the effect of calcium is inhibitory. Prostaglandins may act by influencing these other two factors or it may act independently.

Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide

Isolation and Structure

Wasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) was first isolated by Said and Mutt (1970) in 1970. The peptide was purified from ^a side fraction obtained during purification of secretin from porcine duodenum. Isolation was based on the ability of WIP to lower blood pressure in anesthetized dogs.

Amino acid analysis revealed that the peptide was made up of ²⁸ amino acids (Said and Mutt, 1972) and the sequence was determined to be His-Ser-Asp-Ala-Val-Phe-Thr-Asp-Asn-Tyr-Thr-Arg-Leu-Arg-Lys-Gln-Met-Ala Wal-Lys-Lys-Tyr-Leu-Asn-Ser-Ile-Leu-Asn-NH2 (Mutt and Said, 1974). WIP has several amino acid sequences in common with glucagon and secretin. It is now known that WIP isolated from bovine and human intestines has the same amino acid sequence as porcine VIP; chicken VIP differs from the mammalian sequence at only four points (Mutt, 1982).

Based on elution profiles with ion exchange chromatography several variant forms of WIP have been identified (Dimaline and Dockray, 1978). In some cases, as much as 50% of the total WIP immunoreactivity of certain tissue extracts was made up of variant forms (Dimaline and Dockray, 1979). Since the biologic significance of these variants is unknown, caution should be used in interpreting studies which measure tissue WIP levels by radioimmunoassay using antisera which have not been العامل والعموم وموسل والمواليع ماروقين والأمر والمتعاقبات الممحلة فعامل فالأمراض الحاجلين والمتحاولات $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the sequence of the sequence o

. The construction of the construction of the construction of the field \mathcal{F}_G is a sequence of J

proven to be specific for authentic WIP.

Localization

Central Neurons. Although WIP was originally thought to be ^a gastrointestinal hormone, in ¹⁹⁷⁶ several groups of investigators demonstrated that WIP immunoreactivity was present in neurons (Larsson et al., 1976b; Said and Rosenberg, 1976). Since then detailed immunocytochemical studies have revealed ^a widespread distribution of WIP-containing neurons throughout the brain (Hökfelt et al., 1982). Immunoreactivity was especially dense in cortical, limbic, and hypothalamic regions and ^a WIPergic pathway connecting the amygdala to the hypothalamus via the stria terminalis has been described (Roberts et al., 1980). WIP-containing fibers also appear to innervate cerebral blood vessels (Larsson et al, 1976a). The origin of these fibers is unclear since removal of the ptergopalatine or superior cervical ganglia did not affect the WIP immunoreactivity in brain vessels (Edvinsson, 1982). It may be that the fibers originate intracerebrally or in local ganglia in the blood vessels.

Peripheral Neurons. WIP-containing neurons have been identified by immunocytochemical techniques in several peripheral organs. In the gastrointestinal tract, WIP immunoreactive neuronal cell bodies are present in the submucous plexus (Schultzberg et al., 1980). Cell bodies are also present in the myenteric plexus, but to ^a lesser degree. WIP nerve fibers are present in all layers of all parts of the gastrointestinal tract, especially along blood vessels and in smooth muscle. WIP-containing fibers are also present in many exocrine glands

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ is the contribution of the following $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(x)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mathbf{$

including salivary glands, sweat glands, the pancreas, lacrimal glands, and glands in the trachea and gastrointestinal tract (Hakanson et al., 1982). Reports mentioning the WIP innervation of the kidney are conflicting. One study reported no WIP nerve fibers in the kidney of three species (Alm et al., 1980), while Hokfelt et al. (1978) reported WIP-containing fibers to be present in the renal cortex following blood vessels in the guinea pig. Other regions of the urogenital tract including ureter, bladder, uterus, cervix, prostate, and seminal vesicle all contain WIP immunoreactive fibers (Alm et al., 1980). WIPergic fibers are also present in the upper respiratory tract, especially in nasal mucosa and tracheal smooth muscle (Hakanson et al., 1982). Endocrine glands such as the thyroid, adrenal cortex, and pancreas also contain nerve fibers which stain for VIP (Bishop et al., 1980; Hakanson et al., 1982). Finally, WIP immunoreactivity has been demonstrated on the proximal side of ligatures tied around large nerves such as the vagus and sciatic nerves (Lundberg et al., 1978).

Autonomic Ganglia. Autonomic ganglia appear to contain preganglionic nerve fibers and postganglionic cell bodies which stain positively for WIP. In the coeliac, inferior mesenteric, and superior mesenteric ganglia, preganglionic nerve fibers containing WIP immunoreactivity surround the principal ganglion cell bodies but are absent near WIP-containing cell bodies (Hökfelt et al., 1977). Since ligation of the mesenteric nerve resulted in an accumulation of WIP on the intestinal side of the tie, it appears that some of the prevertrebral ganglionic innervation is afferent and comes from the gut (Lundberg et al., 1979). The WIP innervation of the salivary glands, sweat glands, and nasal mucosa appears to be postganglionic since the

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \right\} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right\rangle \right\} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left\langle \frac{1}{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$
ganglia which innervate these organs have WIP-containing cell bodies and removal of the ganglia abolishes VIP staining in the fibers which innervate the organs (Lundberg et al., 1979; Uddman et al., 1980). In the case of the gut, pancreas, and urogenital tract, WIP-containing fibers also arise from small local ganglia (Hakanson et al., 1982).

Co-localization with Acetylcholine. WIP immunoreactivity has been shown to occur in neurons that also stain for acetylcholinesterase suggesting that WIP and acethylcholine coexist in the same neuron (Lundberg et al., 1979). However, caution should be used in interpreting these data. Cholinergic neurons were labeled using antibodies to acetylcholinesterase which makes identification difficult since there are so many nonspecific cholinesterases which could interact with the primary antibody. The best evidence for coexistence was obtained with the sphenopalatine ganglion. It is known that this ganglion contains cholinergic neurons which innervate the nasal mucosa, and 98–99% of the cell bodies in this ganglion also contained WIP immunoreactivity (Lundberg et al., 1980a). Electron microscopic immunocyctochemistry revealed that WIP is present in the large dense core vesicles which are known to be sparsely interspersed among the dominant agranular small diameter vesicles of cholinergic neurons (Johansson and Lundberg, 1981). Also, subcellular fractionation showed that most of the WIP was present in the heavy fraction which contained mostly dense core vesicles. Acetylcholine was present in lighter fractions, but ^a small amount was also present in the heavy fraction (Lundberg et al., 1981).

^A possible role for the coexistence of WIP and acetylcholine in the same neuron was demonstrated in the submandibular salivary gland.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The set of \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

Stimulation of the chorda nerve caused an increase in salivary blood flow and secretion accompanied by an increase in WIP in the plasma leaving the salivary gland. Exogenously administered WIP or acetylcholine mimicked the effect of nerve stimulation on salivary blood flow. Doses of WIP and acetylcholine which were ineffective when given separately caused the increase in blood flow when given together suggesting an interaction between the two substances (Lundberg et al., 1980b). It has recently been shown that WIP increases muscarinic receptor binding in salivary glands (Lundberg et al., 1982b). Therefore, it is possible that both WIP and acetylcholine are released from the postganglionic nerves which innervate the salivary gland and VIP potentiates the effect of acetylcholine by enhancing its binding to the postsynaptic receptor.

The coexistence of WIP and acetylcholine does not appear to be ^a general phenomenon. So far, only neurons which innervate exocrine glands show the co-localization. For example, the cholinergic neurons in the ciliary ganglion and ganglia in the urinary bladder as well as motor fibers to skeletal muscle do not contain WIP. There are also many VIPergic systems which do not contain acetylcholine (Lundberg et al., 1982a).

VIP in Endocrine Cells. WIP was originally thought to be ^a gastrointestinal hormone and evidence was presented which localized WIP in endocrine-like cells of the gastrointestinal tract (Polak et al., 1974). However, certain antibodies only detected WIP in neurons and not in endocrine cells (Larsson et al., 1976b) and isolated intestinal epithelial cells did not contain any assayable VIP (Besson et al., 1978). It has been suggested that authentic VIP is present only in

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$, where the properties is a set of the se \mathcal{L}_max and \mathcal{L}_max is a simple polarization of the set of the set

neurons and that the staining in endocrine cells is due to variant forms of the peptide (Dimaline et al., 1980). There is also evidence which suggests that the WIP staining in endocrine cells is nonspecific. Only antibodies which recognized N-terminal portions of VIP stained endocrine cells. Some of these antisera contained subpopulations of antibodies which cross reacted with glucagon, secretin, and GIP, all hormones present in endocrine cells of the gut and pancreas (Larsson et al., 1979; Larsson, 1982). This matter remains unsettled, but it is probable that WIP is primarily located in neurons and that its main function is neurotransmission.

Circulating VIP

WIP is present in the circulation of all species studied. Depending on the species and radioimmunoassay used, resting levels range from 2-40 pmoles/L (see Table 1). The origin of the circulating peptide is unknown. Since WIP is probably located only in nerve cells, the circulating peptide probably represents spill over from nerve terminals. Stimulation of nerves which innervate certain organs such as the salivary glands and pancreas produce an increase in blood levels of WIP in the venous effluent (Fahrenkrug et al., 1979; Lundberg et al., 1980b). Circulating levels of VIP are also very high in ^a pathological condition called the watery diarrhea syndrome, in this case the WIP is of tumor origin (Said and Faloona, 1975). There are also some experimental manipulations which significantly increased systemic levels of WIP. These are summarized in Table 1. It is not known if these changes in circulating levels of WIP have any significant physiologic effect.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathbf{C}^{(1)}=\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\mathbf{C}^{(1)}\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

values reported. $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 31

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

j

Biologic Actions of VIP

Effect on Smooth Muscle. VIP relaxes smooth muscle and has potent vasodilatory actions. It also causes relaxation of airway smooth muscle and smooth muscle in gastrointestinal sphincters.

Exogenously administered WIP causes vasodilation in most vascular beds, including the splanchnic, femoral, and extracranial circulation (Said, 1982). It may play ^a role in regulating cerebral blood flow. Strips of cerebral arteries have been shown to relax after WIP administration in vitro (Larsson et al., 1976a). Likewise, VIP applied to pial vessels in vivo caused ^a significant increase in vessel caliber (Wei et al., 1980). Intracarotid infusions of WIP increased cerebral blood flow in rabbits (Heistad et al., 1980). In baboons, if the blood brain barrier was first opened with hypertonic urea, WIP again increased cerebral blood flow (McCulloch and Edvinsson, 1980). Intracerebro ventricular infusion of WIP in anesthetized dogs also increased cerebral blood flow (Wilson et al., 1981). Because of the extensive innervation of cerebral vessels by WIPergic neurons it seems probable that the peptide plays ^a role in regulating cerebral blood flow.

Intravenous infusions of WIP also increased coronary blood flow (Smitherman et al., 1982). This resulted from an increase in coronary vessel diameter. It is interesting that cardiac output also increased, but to ^a lesser degree, suggesting ^a preferred action of WIP on coronary vessels.

WIP also relaxes tracheal smooth muscle. Strips of guinea pig trachea were relaxed in vitro by porcine and hen WIP (Wasserman et al., 1982). Electrical field stimulation of tracheal strips caused

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

relaxation accompanied by release of WIP into the bathing medium (Matsuzaki et al., 1980). Pretreatment with WIP antiserum blocked the relaxation. WIP administered as an aerosol to anesthetized dogs blocked the bronchoconstriction caused by histamine and prostaglandins (Said et al., 1982).

^A rich supply of WIP-containing nerve fibers has been reported in the lower esophageal sphincter and other gastrointestinal sphincters (Alumets et al., 1979). VIP relaxed the lower esophageal sphincter in the opossum (Rattan et al., 1977). It has recently been shown that relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter caused by stimulation of the vagus nerve could be blocked by pretreatment with WIP antisera (Goyal et al., 1980). Therefore, it is possible that WIP is ^a physiologic factor involved in relaxation of smooth muscle in gastrointestinal sphincters.

In summary, WIP causes relaxation of smooth muscle in blood vessels, airway tubes, and gastrointestinal sphincters. Because field stimulation of tracheal strips or electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve resulted in ^a release of WIP, and the relaxation of smooth muscle caused by this stimulation was blocked by WIP antiserum, it appears that WIP is physiologically important in mediating these effects.

Effect on Ion Transport. In general, WIP appears to inhibit sodium chloride influx into cells. In the gut, WIP has been shown to inhibit water and sodium absorption and stimulate chloride secretion (Krejs, 1982). WIP increased secretion of chloride by strips of colon in vitro, probably by inhibiting sodium chloride influx from the lumenal side of the cells (Racusen and Binder, 1977). High concentrations of WIP are required for an effect on electrolyte transport. It has been suggested

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the con $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

J.

J

that the increased water and electrolyte secretion seen with the watery diarrhea syndrome is due to the high circulating levels of WIP which occur with this condition (Said and Faloona, 1975).

In the exocrine pancreas, VIP caused an increase in bicarbinate secretion both in vivo and in vitro (Konturek et al., 1976; Lindkaer Jensen et al., 1978). Stimulation of the vagus nerve caused an increase in bicarbinate secretion which was accompanied by an increase in WIP levels in venous blood leaving the pancreas (Fahrenkrug et al., 1979). The effect of VIP on enzyme secretion is not clear cut. In pancreatic fragments from guinea pigs and rats, WIP increased amylase secretion, but in fragments from mice, cats, and dogs it had no effect (Robberecht et al., 1977).

The rectal gland in sharks is responsible for maintaining sodium chloride homeostasis. WIP increased chloride secretion from this gland in vivo and in vitro (Stoff et al., 1982). Since high levels of VIP are present in circulating plasma of sharks, this peptide may be important in regulating chloride secretion in the rectal gland.

There is one report that WIP caused natriuresis in the isolated rat kidney (Rosa et al., 1977). This is consistent with the hypothesis that WIP inhibits sodium and chloride uptake in general.

Effect on Hormone Secretion. VIP may be involved in the regulation of prolactin secretion. The first studies reported that intra ventricular or intravenous WIP in rats stimulated prolactin release, while the peptide had no effect in vitro on rat hemipituitaries or dispersed pituitary cells (Kayto et al., 1978; Vijayan et al., 1979).

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The second second \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

However, subsequent studies demonstrated that WIP does stimulate prolactin secretion in vitro. VIP, in a dose range of 10^{-9} M to 10^{-7} M. stimulated prolactin release from hemipituitaries and dispersed mammotrophs (Ruberg et al., 1978; Rotsztejn et al., 1980a). The discrepancy in the in vitro data may be due to the long incubation times used in the initial studies which could have resulted in the breakdown of WIP. It has recently been reported that VIP stimulated prolactin release in rhesus monkeys in vivo (Frawley and Neill, 1981). It appears, therefore, that VIP may be an important regulator of prolactin secretion, probably by ^a direct action on the pituitary. It is interesting that the WIP levels in hypophyseal portal blood are ¹⁹ times higher than the levels in the general circulation (Said and Porter, 1979).

The effect of VIP on other hypophyseal hormones is unsettled. Intraventricular, but not intravenous VIP doubled growth hormone release in rats (Vijayan et al., 1979). WIP also inhibited the release of somatostatin from hypothalamic slices (Epelbaum et al., 1979). Thus, it is possible that WIP influences growth hormone release by an action in the hypothalamus. In vitro, WIP had no effect on baseline growth hormone release, but it did prevent the inhibition of growth hormone by somatostatin (Tapia-Arancibia et al., 1980) so an action on the pituitary must also be considered. Intraventricular WIP also stimulated LH release in rats (Vijayan et al., 1979), but it did not stimulate release of LHRH from hypothalamic slices (Besson et al., 1979) and the peptide did not stimulate LH release in vitro (Rotsztejn et al., 1980a). There are not enough data available to draw conclusions about the effect of WIP on LH Secretion. The same is true for its effect on FSH and TSH.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ So far, one report showed no effect of VIP on the release of these two hormones in vitro (Vijayan et al., 1979).

In one preliminary report, intravenous VIP resulted in ^a significant increase in plasma ACTH in anesthetized dogs (Porter and Ganong, 1982). WIP has also been shown to stimulate release of ACTH from human pituitary adenomas and AtT2O cells (Nicosia et al., 1982; Westendorf and Phillips, 1982). However, no such effect was found with dispersed rat corticotrophs (Rotsztejn et al., 1980a). Since tumor cells often show atypical responses to various agents it is hard to draw conclusions about the physiologic role of WIP in regulating the release Of ACTH.

Nerve fibers which contain immuno reactive WIP have been shown to innervate the thyroid gland and exogenous VIP stimulated thyroid hormone secretion (Ahren et al., 1980). This suggests that VIP may be involved in the regulation of thyroid hormone secretion.

Glucocorticoid secretion by adrenal tumor cell lines was also stimulated by WIP in vitro (Kowal et al., 1977). WIP appeared to act through ^a receptor different than that for ACTH. Glucocorticoids, in turn, appear to affect VIP in certain situations. In rats, adrenalectomy resulted in an increase in WIP content in the adenohypophysis which was reversed by treatment with dexamethasone (Rotsztejn et al., 1980b). Dexamethasone also has been shown to cause ^a rapid inhibition of WIP-induced increases in pituitary cyclic AMP (Rotsztejn et al., 1981). The importance of these observations is not yet clear.

WIP infusions in dogs resulted in an increase in insulin output and an increase in glucagon in the venous plasma leaving the pancreas

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ are the set of the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

(Kaneto et al., 1977). In the isolated perfused pancreas of pigs and cats, WIP also stimulated release of glucagon and insulin (Schebalin et al., 1977; Lindkaer Jensen et al., 1978). This response required the presence of glucose. There is also some evidence that somatostatin release is stimulated by WIP (Ipp et al., 1978). Physiologically, it is probably the WIP present in neurons innervating the pancreatic endocrine cells which is responsible for influencing release of these hormones.

In summary, WIP has been implicated in stimulating secretion of ^a variety of hormones. Most of these hormones are released from endocrine cells which are innervated by WIP-containing neurons. WIP is also present in hypophyseal portal blood and has effects on prolactin secretion. Other pituitary hormones are also effected by WIP but the physiologic importance of these effects is unknown.

VIP as ^a Neurotransmitter

^A widely accepted set of criteria for establishing the identity of a neurotransmitter are as follows. 1) The substance should be present in presynaptic nerve terminals. 2) Synthesis of the substance should be demonstrated. 3) Stimulation of the presynaptic neuron should cause release of the substance. 4) Exogenous administration of the substance should mimic endogenous actions of the substance. 5) An inactivation mechanism should be present (Werman, 1966).

As mentioned previously, WIP immunoreactivity has been demonstrated in nerve terminals throughout the central and peripheral nervous systems. Subcellular fractionation revealed that WIP is present in synaptosomal fractions of several brain regions (Giachetti et al. 1977). Thus, the first criteron is probably met by WIP.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

Synthesis of WIP within neurons has not be demonstrated conclusively. However, there is one preliminary report that intracerebroventricularly injected 35 S-methione was incorporated into a large precursor form of VIP (Fahrenkrug, 1980). Indirect evidence for synthesis and transport has been provided by two kinds of experiments. First, colchicine, ^a drug which prevents axonal transport of substances, resulted in an accumulation of WIP in neuronal cell bodies (Loren et al., 1979). Second, ligation of several peripheral nerves or sectioning of certain central neurons resulted in an accumulation of WIP on the proximal side of the ligature or cut (Lundberg et al., 1978; Roberts et al., 1980). Further research will be required to show conclusively that WIP meets this second criterion.

Release of WIP from neurons has been demonstrated in ^a number of ways. Direct electrical stimulation of the sciatic nerve in cats and rats resulted in an increase in VIP levels in perfusate of spinal cords perfused in situ (Go and Yaksh, 1980). Since dorsal rhizotomy reduced WIP immunoreactivity in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, WIP is probably present in sensory afferents and it is stimulation of these neurons which caused release of WIP into the cord perfusate. High levels of potassium also caused release of WIP from rat hypothalamic slices and cortical synaptosomal fractions in ^a calcium dependent manner (Giachetti et al., 1977; Emson et al., 1978). Iontophoretic application of VIP to the cortex or hippocampus increased spontaneous neural activity in these regions (Phillis et al., 1978; Dodd et al., 1979). Release of WIP from peripheral neurons has been shown in ^a different way. Stimulation of the nerves which innervate organs such as salivary glands, the stomach, the intestine, and the pancreas resulted in an

38

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The set of \mathcal{L}_{max}

increase in VIP levels in the blood leaving these organs (Fahrenkrug, 1982). This is presumably "spill over" of the peptide from the nerve terminals into the blood. Electrical field stimulation of tracheal strips also resulted in ^a release of WIP into the bathing medium (Matsuzaki et al, 1980). None of the studies mentioned above showed a direct release of WIP from presynaptic nerve terminals. However, the indirect evidence is probably strong enough to conclude that the third criterion for establishing ^a substance as ^a neurotransmitter has been met .

As mentioned in previous sections, exogenously administered WIP has many effects and in most cases these are similar to that reported during neural stimulation. Thus the fourth criterion has been met by WIP.

Neurotransmitters are inactivated either by specific uptake systems in pre- or postsynaptic neurons or by specific enzymes. Radioiodinated WIP was not taken up by rat brain slices (Fahrenkrug, 1980) so it appears that the peptide is not inactivated by this mechanism. On the other hand, enzymes which specifically degrade WIP have been localized in brain tissue and in liver and kidney extracts (Straus et al., 1982). It is not known if these enzymes have access to synaptic WIP. Thus the last criterion may be met by WIP, but further work is required to settle the point.

In summary, VIP meets several of the critera which must be met to establish ^a substance as ^a neurotransmitter. It is present in presynaptic nerve terminals and appears to be released upon stimulation. Synthesis of WIP within neurons has not been conclusively demonstrated, but axonal transport of WIP from nerve cell body to terminus occurs and this is consistent with synthesis in the cell body. Exogenously

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})))))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. The $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

administered WIP mimics the effects of neuronal stimulation in several organs. An enzyme which specifically degrades WIP has been demonstrated in extracts from several organs, but whether or not this enzyme affects synaptic WIP is unknown. While further work is required, it seems probable that WIP is indeed ^a neurotransmitter in the central and peripheral nervous systems.

Mechanism of Action

WIP has been shown to stimulate cyclic AMP production in every tissue investigated (Amiranoff and Rosselin, 1982). In most cases, the concentration range of WIP which stimulated cyclic AMP was similar to that which caused its biologic actions. It has therefore been postulated that cyclic AMP is the mediator of the effects of WIP.

Prostaglandins have also been implicated in mediating certain effects of WIP. The vasodilatory effect of VIP on pial blood vessels was inhibited by indomethacin pretreatment (Wei et al., 1980). The role of prostaglandin synthesis in other systems which invovle VIP should be examined in more detail.

It has recently been suggested that changes in intracellular calcium may also play ^a role in mediating the effect of WIP on smooth muscle cells. WIP is known to inhibit contractions of uterine smooth muscle in vitro (Ottesen et al., 1979). It was demonstrated that WIP prevented calcium influx in these cells and thus caused relaxation (Bolton et al., 1981). The mechanism by which VIP affected calcium influx was not determined, but it was suggested that membrane hyperpolarization by WIP was involved.

In summary, cyclic AMP appears to mediate most responses to WIP.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,d\theta.$

Prostaglandins and calcium may also be involved. It is not known if these two substances act distal to the action of cyclic AMP or if their action is independent of cyclase activation.

PURPOSE

Renin secretion is regulated by ^a number of different mechanisms and WIP could increase renin release by any of these mechanisms. It is ^a vasodilator and could therefore stimulate renin release by decreasing blood pressure. WIP also influences electrolyte transport and could affect renin release by changing the amount of sodium chloride delivered to or transported by the macula densa. WIP is probably ^a neurotransmitter and there is at least one report that WIP is present in nerve fibers innervating the kidney. Since the renal nerves play an important role in the regulation of renin release, it is possible that WIP is released from renal nerve terminals and influences renin release in this manner. WIP could also cause ^a generalized increase in sympathetic activity which might increase renin secretion via release of norepinephrine from the renal nerves or adrenal medulla. Large doses of WIP also cause an acute decrease in plasma potassium levels (Porter and Ganong, 1982) which also can lead to an increase in renin secretion. Finally, VIP could act directly on the juxtaglomerular cells to cause renin release .

In the present work, investigations were carried out to determine the mechanism by which WIP increases renin secretion. This was done by administering WIP intravenously or directly into the renal artery in anesthetized dogs and by determining the effect of VIP on renin release from ^a preparation of isolated glomeruli. The possibility that WIP is in neurons innervating the kidney was investigated with

immunoctyochemical techniques. The ability of such neurons to release WIP was determined by stimulating the renal nerves and measuring the plasma levels of WIP in the renal venous effluent. ^A physiologic role for WIP in regulating renin release was investigated by correlating plasma levels of WIP and renin under different situations where the level of either substance was increased experimentally.

METHODS

Infusions of Exogenous VIP in Anesthetized Dogs

General Methods. Mongrel dogs of either sex weighing 13–32 kg were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg) . In all dogs, catheters were inserted into one femoral artery and vein. Blood pressure in the femoral artery was measured with ^a Statham P-23 pressure transducer and Grass Model ⁵ polygraph.

In animals receiving intrarenal infusions, the left kidney was exposed through an incision in the flank and ^a curved ²³ gauge needle attached to polyethylene tubing was inserted into the renal artery. The renal vein was usually catheterized in ^a similar manner, but in some dogs ^a cannula was inserted into the renal vein by way of the gonadal vein. ^A flow probe was placed around the renal artery and renal blood flow was monitored continuously using an electromagnetic flow meter (Biotronex Laboratory, Inc., Maryland). Zero flow was established during ^a brief (< ¹⁵ sec) occlusion of the renal artery.

Dogs used in the renal function studies were prepared in ^a similar manner except ^a catheter was also inserted into the left ureter to allow collection of urine.

In animals receiving intravenous infusions, ^a Blalock clamp was placed around the aorta proximal to the renal arteries in order to control renal perfusion pressure. The clamp was adjusted so that pressure below the clamp (renal perfusion pressure) was maintained at approximately 110 mm of Hg. Pressure above the clamp (systemic pressure) was measured by inserting ^a catheter into one brachial artery. Upon administration of WIP, the clamp was loosened if systemic blood pressure decreased so that the renal perfusion pressure did not change.

Experimental Protocols. 1. In nine dogs, simultaneous arterial and renal venous blood samples were collected before, during, and after infusion of WIP into the renal artery. At least ⁴⁵ min after the completion of the surgical preparation, two sets of control samples were withdrawn ¹⁵ min apart. Highly purified porcine VIP (GIH Laboratory, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm), dissolved in iotonic saline, was infused into the renal artery for 15 min at a rate of 33 ng/kg/min. Blood samples were collected at 7.5 and ¹⁵ min during the infusion and ¹⁵ min after the end of the infusion. Renin secretion rate was calculated by multiplying the renal venous-arterial difference in PRA by the renal plasma flow (renal blood flow ^X 1-hematocrit).

2. In four dogs, the above protocol was repeated except isotonic saline alone was infused during the ¹⁵ min period.

3. The first protocol was repeated in seven dogs except urine was also collected from ^a ureteral catheter. Creatinine was infused continuously at ^a rate of ⁶ mg/min. Urine was collected during ¹⁵ min periods before, during, and after intrarenal infusion of VIP (33 ng/kg/min). Blood samples were withdrawn for measurement of creatinine and electrolytes at the midpoint of each ¹⁵ min collection period. Creatinine clearance and sodium and potassium excretion were calculated using the observed urine flow rate.

4. The first protocol was repeated in four dogs. Frozen aliquots of all the plasma samples were sent to Dr. S.I. Said at the University

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})))))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

Oklahoma for determination of WIP levels.

5. Five dogs received three ¹⁵ min intravenous infusions of WIP started ¹ hr apart at rates of 3.3, 13, and ³³ ng/kg/min. Arterial blood samples were collected ²⁰ and ¹ min before and ¹⁰ min after the start of each infusion. Samples were also withdrawn ⁵ and ¹⁵ min after the end of each infusion. All dogs received all three infusions in the order given above.

6. Seven dogs received ^a ¹⁵ min infusion of WIP directly into the renal artery at a rate of 3.3 ng/kg/min . The time course was the same as that for protocol #5. In addition, renal venous blood was collected along with the arterial samples at all time points.

7. In six dogs, the effect of propranolol treatment on the renin stimulating effect of WIP was investigated. Following collection of two control arterial blood samples 15 min apart, a bolus injection of d, 1propranolol HCl (Ayerst) was given intravenously at ^a dose of 0.6 mg/kg. This was followed immediately by ^a constant intravenous infusion of propranolol at ^a rate of 0.3 mg/kg/h for the duration of the experiment. Blood samples were withdrawn ¹⁵ and ³⁰ min after the start of the propranolol infusion. WIP was then infused intravenously at ^a rate of ¹³ ng/kg/min for ¹⁵ min. Arterial blood was drawn ¹⁰ min after the start and 5, 15, and ⁴⁵ min after the end of the WIP infusion. Renal perfusion pressure was controlled as mentioned previously.

Analytical Procedures. Blood samples (5 ml) were collected in chilled tubes containing ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) and plasma was promptly separated and frozen. PRA in these specimens was subsequently determined by measuring the rate of AI formation at 37° C as outlined below. Frozen aliquots of the plasma were sent to Dr. Said who

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

determined the VIP levels by radioimmunoassay (Pandian et al., 1982). Blood samples for electrolyte measurement were collected in ^a few drops of heparin, or in the case of the intravenous dose-response study, in EDTA. In this case the potassium values were corrected for the dilution caused by the EDTA. Plasma and urinary electrolytes were measured using flame photometry. Creatinine was determined using ^a standard colorimetric method (Owen et al., 1954).

PRA Assay. One milliliter aliquots of the thawed plasma were mixed with 25 μ 1 of 2,3-dimercaptopropanol (BAL) (4 mg/ml) and 25 μ 1 of 8hydroxyquinoline (10 mg/ml), both compounds which inhibit conversion of AI to AII. The pH was then adjusted to 5.5 with 10% HCl and the samples were incubated for 3 hr in a 37° C water bath. During this incubation, the remin in the sample converted some of the angiotensinogen present to AI (Stockigt et al., 1971). The reaction was stopped by diluting each sample with ¹ ml of distilled water and placing it in ^a boiling water bath for 2–3 min. At this point, the AI in each sample was measured by radioimmunoassay or the sample was frozen for later determination.

The AI radioimmunoassay was performed as follows. Duplicate 10 ul and 50 μ l aliquots of each sample were mixed with enough assay buffer (0.1M Tris, 0.5% BSA, 0.01% Neomycin, and 0.01% methiolate) to make 0.5 ml in each tube. For the standard curve, aliquots of AI ranging from 0.015 to 2.0 ng were mixed with assay buffer to give the same final volume. Radioiodinated AI was added so that each tube received 10,000 to 15,000 cpm. Finally, AI antiserum was added to each tube to give ^a final dilution of 1:80,000. This whole mixture was vortexed and incubated at 4° C for 48 hrs. Bound 125 I-AI was separated from free by adding 0.5 ml of dextran-coated charcoal (2.5%) to each sample. This

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ are the set of the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$
mixture was vortexed and centrifuged for 15 min at $2,500$ rpm $(1,250g)$ and 4° C. The supernatant which contained bound 125 I-AI was aspirated and discarded. The radioactivity remaining in the charcoal pellet was then determined using ^a gamma counter (Beckman). The cpm for each standard tube were plotted against the amount of AI added on semi-log paper. This produced ^a sigmoidal curve over the range of AI used (Fig. 1). This standard curve was used to determine the amount of AI in each unknown sample which was then converted to ng $AI/ml/h$ using the appropriate dilution factor. The interassay variability of this assay was 16% (n=20) and the intraassay variability was 8% (n=20).

Statistical Analysis. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures and Duncan's New Multiple Range Test, supplemented by Student's paired t test. Data obtained during intrarenal infusion of WIP at ³³ and 3.3 ng/kg/min showed ^a significant (p<0.05) positive skewness. In these two cases, the skewness was eliminated by ^a log transformation prior to doing the ANOVA. ^A probability less then 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Isolation and Superfusion of Rat Glomeruli

Isolation. Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 200–350 gm were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg). The kidneys were exposed through ^a midline incision in the abdomen and the aorta was catheterized below the kidneys. The aorta was then clamped above the kidneys and ^a slit was made in the vena cava at the point where it is joined by the renal veins. Ten milliliters of an aerated (95% 0₂-5% CO₂) modified Krebs-Ringer buffer containing 140 mM

48

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. In the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. In the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ is the set of the set of

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

FIGURE 1. ^A representative standard curve obtained in an AI radioimmunoassay.

NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 0.8 mM MgSO_A, 2 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM NaAc, 10 mM glucose, 20 mM Tris base, and 2 mM NaPO_A (pH 7.4) was then infused into the aortic catheter. Since the aeration resulted in ^a significant acidification of the buffer over ^a several hour period, the solution was always used in 300 ml portions in which the pH had been adjusted to 7.4 prior to use. The flushing with buffer was repeated ⁵ to ⁴ times until the kidneys were free of blood. The kidneys were then decapsulated, excised, and demedullated. Minced cortical tissue was pressed through ^a stainless steel mesh (hole diameter 250 μ m) with a spoon-like spatula. The resulting preparation was resuspended in buffer, drawn into ^a ²⁰ ml syringe through ^a ²¹ gauge needle and divided into four ¹⁵ ml conical polyethylene centrifuge tubes. These were centrifuged for ³ min at ¹⁶⁰ g. The supernatant was removed and discarded. The remaining pellet was resuspended in buffer. This whole procedure was repeated ² more times until ^a clear supernatant was obtained. The pellet was again resuspended in ³ ml of buffer and poured through ^a series of nylon monofilament screens with hole diameters of 390 μ m, 250 μ m, and 212 μ m respectively. These screens filtered out large tubular fragments and other debris and were discarded. The glomerular preparation was then collected on a Swiss bolting cloth with hole diameter of 60 μ m. Fragments smaller than this passed through the bolting cloth and were discarded. The glomeruli recovered were rinsed again through ^a nylon screen with hole diameter of $212 \mu m$ to remove remaining tubular fragments. The glomeruli were allowed to stand for 10–15 min in ⁵⁰ ml of the above buffer. The top ⁴⁰ ml were then removed and discarded and the glomeruli were resuspended in clean buffer. This procedure was repeated two more times. The final preparation was then transferred to

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} .

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

^a preweighed centrifuge tube and centrifuged for ⁵ min at ⁶⁵⁰ g. The supernatant was withdrawn and the inside wall of the tube was dried with ^a cotton swab. The tube and glomerular pellet were weighed to allow calculation of the wet weight of the preparation. The whole isolation procedure was carried out at room temperature and took approximately three hours.

Superfusion. The final glomerular pellet, which was 90% pure (9 glomeruli for every ¹ tubular fragment), was resuspended and 25–40 mg were transferred to four ⁴⁰⁰ pil glass chambers. The glomeruli were held in the chamber between ^a sintered glass filter at the bottom and ^a small circular piece of filter paper (Scientific Products) placed over the opening at the top. ^A teflon 0-ring joint was inserted into the top of the chamber to form ^a water-tight seal. The center of the O-ring was plugged with the rubber tip of ^a ¹ ml syringe plunger and ^a ²⁵ gauge needle was inserted into the rubber plug so that fluid could flow out. In this manner the glomeruli were encased in ^a chamber which allowed free flow of buffer (Fig. 2). The chambers were placed in a 37° C water bath and the glomeruli were superfused at ^a rate of 0.6 ml/min using ^a peristaltic pump (Sage Instruments). For the superfusion, 0.2% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) and 0.1% baci tracin (Sigma) were added to the above buffer. The superfusate was collected for ⁴⁰ min and discarded. This first portion of superfusate always contained high levels of renin presumably resulting from cell damage during the isolation procedure. By ⁴⁰ min the baseline renin release was relatively stable. Five-min fractions of the superfusate were collected before, during, and after addition of isoproterenol or VIP to the buffer. Four chambers were run simultaneously which allowed several different treatments at one time.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ are the set of the set $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

FIGURE 2. A schematic (cross-sectional) drawing of the glass chamber used for superfusion of isolated glomeruli. Arrow shows the directionof flow of superfusate.

FIGURE 2

§

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. In the following

Kidneys from 5–4 rats resulted in sufficient glomeruli to fill four chambers. At the end of each experiment, the preparation was removed from one chamber and examined under ^a microscope to determine its purity.

Experimental Protocols. 1. Two 5-min control fractions of superfusate were collected. The inflow tube was then switched for 5 min to buffer containing isoproterenol at 10^{-4} M, 10^{-5} M, or 10^{-6} M or buffer alone. After ⁵ min the inflow tube was switched back to control buffer and two more 5-min samples were collected.

2. The above procedure was again carried out except that WIP at 10^{-7} M, 10^{-8} M, 10^{-9} M, or 10^{-10} M was used during the experimental period.

Renin Assay. Renin in the superfusate was determined by measuring the rate of AI formation from angiotensinogen at 37° C. Plasma from nephrectomized rats $(100 \mu l)$, the source of angiotensinogen, was mixed with 25 μ 1 of the superfusate, 5 μ 1 of 4 mg/ml BAL, 5 μ 1 of 10 mg/ml 8hydroxyquinoline, ⁵ ul of 2.5% phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and ⁶⁰ ul of standard Tris assay buffer (see section on PRA) which contained an additional 0.38% EDTA. In each assay ^a blank tube was included which had $25 \mu 1$ of buffer added rather that superfusate. This mixture was incubated for 1 hr at 37° C and then frozen. Two 50 μ 1 duplicates of thawed incubate were subsequently added to the same AI radioimmunoassay described in the previous section. ^A small amount of AI was usually generated in the nephrectomized plasma blank (0.04 * 0.02 ng, n=18). In each assay the amount of AI generated in the blank tube was subtracted from the amount of AI generated in each superfusate sample. The rate of AI formation was calculated as ng/ml/h. This value was then standardized using the wet weight of the glomeruli in each chamber to

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2}}\,dx\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{2}}\,dx.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$

ng AI/ml/h. This value was then standardized using the wet weight of the glomeruli in each chamber to ng $\text{AI/ml/h}/\text{40 mg}$. The interassay variability of this assay was $22\frac{g}{m}$ (n=14) and the intraassay varibility was 9.4% (n=7).

Statistical Analysis. All data were analyzed using analysis of variance for repeated measures and Duncan's New Multiple Range Test. ^A probability less than 0.05 was considered significant.

VIP in the Renal Nerve

The possiblity that WIP is present in the renal nerve was investigated using two different prodedures. First, the indirect peroxidase-antiperoxidase immunocytochemical technique was used to determine if WIP is present in dog kidneys. Second, the renal nerve was stimulated in four anesthetized dogs and VIP levels in the renal venous plasma were measured to see if release of the peptide occurred during the stimulation.

Immunocytochemistry. Kidneys were removed from anesthetized dogs and placed on ice. In one case, one kidney was surgically denervated ⁵ days prior to removal. Sterile saline was infused into the renal artery at ^a constant pressure of ¹⁰⁰ mg Hg until all the blood was washed out of the kidney. Small pieces of renal cortex were cut using ^a razor blade and fixed for 3 hr in a modified Bouin's fluid containing 1% acetic acid. These pieces were embedded in paraffin and 10μ m sections were cut. Submandibular salivary gland tissue was prepared in ^a similar manner and used as ^a positive control since WIP immunoreactivity is known to be present in this tissue (Lundberg et al., 1980b).

The kidney sections were later deparaffinized free-floating in test

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. In the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$

ng AI/ml/h. This value was then standardized using the wet weight of the glomeruli in each chamber to ng $\text{AI/ml}/\text{h}/\text{40}$ mg. The interassay variability of this assay was $22\frac{2}{3}$ (n=14) and the intraassay varibility was 9.4% (n=7).

Statistical Analysis. All data were analyzed using analysis of variance for repeated measures and Duncan's New Multiple Range Test. ^A probability less than 0.05 was considered significant.

VIP in the Renal Nerve

The possiblity that WIP is present in the renal nerve was investigated using two different prodedures. First, the indirect peroxidase-antiperoxidase immunocytochemical technique was used to determine if WIP is present in dog kidneys. Second, the renal nerve was stimulated in four anesthetized dogs and VIP levels in the renal venous plasma were measured to see if release of the peptide occurred during the stimulation.

Immunocytochemistry. Kidneys were removed from anesthetized dogs and placed on ice. In one case, one kidney was surgically denervated ⁵ days prior to removal. Sterile saline was infused into the renal artery at ^a constant pressure of ¹⁰⁰ mg Hg until all the blood was washed out of the kidney. Small pieces of renal cortex were cut using ^a razor blade and fixed for 3 hr in a modified Bouin's fluid containing 1% acetic acid. These pieces were embedded in paraffin and 10μ m sections were cut. Submandibular salivary gland tissue was prepared in ^a similar manner and used as ^a positive control since WIP immunoreactivity is known to be present in this tissue (Lundberg et al., 1980b).

The kidney sections were later deparaffinized free-floating in test

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

$\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

tubes and taken through changes of 100%, 95%, and 75% ethyl alcohol to distilled water. The salivary tissue was mounted on slides and was not processed free-floating. The sections were then washed twice in ^a 0.05M phosphate buffer with 0.9% saline (PBS) containing 1% normal goat serum (GS). After the second washing the tissue was incubated on ^a shaker for ³⁰ min in PBS containing 3% GS. This was replaced with one of three WIP antisera (Provided by S. Said, University of Oklahoma; E. Zimmerman, Columbia University; and J. Walsh, University of California Los Angeles) at dilutions ranging from 1:500 to 1:2,000 for 48 hr at 4° C. Control sections received either normal rabbit serum at the same dilution or WIP antisera which was preabsorbed overnight with 50 μ g/ml VIP. After this incubation, the tissue was washed ⁵ times for ten min with 1%GS-PBS. Goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cappel Laboratories) was added at ^a dilution of 1:20 for ⁵⁰ min. The sections were washed two more times with 1%GS-PBS and rabbit peroxidase-antiperoxidase was added at ^a dilution of 1:300 for ⁵⁰ min. This was followed with two washings with PBS and one with ^a 0.05M Tris buffer (pH 7.6). Twenty milligrams of 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) (Sigma) was dissolved in ⁴⁰ ml of the Tris buffer. The solution was filtered and the pH was readjusted to 7.6. Three percent hydrogen peroxide $(41 \text{ }\mu\text{)}$ was then added to 12.5 ml of the DAB solution. This final mixture was applied to the sections for ⁵ min on ice and an additional ⁵ min at room temperature. The DAB solution was withdrawn and the reaction was stopped by adding Tris buffer. The sections were washed two more times and then placed on microscope slides and allowed to air dry.

Renal Nerve Stimulation. Four dogs were anesthetized with ³⁰ mg/kg sodium pentobarbital. ^A femoral artery and vein were catheterized.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

Blood pressure was monitored as described previously. The left kidney was exposed through ^a flank incision. ^A catheter was inserted into the renal vein via the gonadal vein. ^A bipolar stimulating electrode encased in ^a plexiglass block was placed around the renal artery and surrounding nerves. Two control renal venous and arterial blood samples were collected simultaneously ¹⁵ min apart. The renal nerves were stimulated for ³⁰ min at ²⁰ volts and ¹⁰ Hz (5.0 msec duration). Blood samples were withdrawn 1, 5, 15, and ⁵⁰ min after the start of the stimulation and ⁵⁰ min after it ended. In one dog, the stimulation procedure was repeated again one hour after the ³⁰ min recovery, but in this case, the stimulating electrode was placed around only the renal nerve and did not touch the renal artery. Since the results from the second stimulation did not differ qualitatively from the first, the average of the two sets of data was used in the analysis.

Plasma was collected as described previously for measurement of PRA and WIP. In this experiment, WIP was measured using ^a commercial RIA kit (Immuno Nuclear). Briefly, 200 µl of plasma was incubated with 200 µl of rabbit anti-VIP antiserum for 24 hr at 4° C. 125 I-VIP (7,000 - 9,000) cpm) was then added for an additional 24 hr. Bound $125I-VIP$ was separated from free using goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin. Synthetic VIP ranging from 25 to 400 pg/ml was used to construct the standard curve. Addition of known amounts of either synthetic VIP (Penninsula Laboratories) or purified porcine VIP resulted in similar recoveries with a combined average recovery of $86\frac{4}{10}$ + 8 (n=6). The baseline plasma levels of the peptide measured with the commercial kit were in the same range as those measured by Dr. Said.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

Correlation of Plasma Levels of VIP and Renin

Neostigmine Infusions. Six dogs were anesthetized as described above. ^A femoral artery and vein were catheterized and blood pressure was monitored continuously. ^A Blalock clamp was placed around the aorta to control renal perfusion pressure. Two control blood samples were collected ²⁰ min apart. Neostigmine (0.07 mg/kg) was infused over ¹ min into the femoral vein. Blood samples for measurement of WIP and remin were collected 10, 20, 50, and ⁶⁰ min after the injection. WIP was measured with the commercial kit.

Low Salt Diet. Six dogs were fed ^a low salt diet for ¹⁴ days. Four dogs received 350 ml/day of Lonalac, a low salt $($ \lt 1 mEq/L) milk substitute plus added salt (21-25 mEq/L) for 3 days, then the added salt was stopped for ¹⁴ days. Two dogs received ^a commercial low salt (1.5 mEq/day) canned dog food. Wenous blood samples were withdrawn for ³ days before the diet and then every other day for ¹⁴ days during the diet. The dogs were housed in metabolism cages to allow daily collection of urine. Sodium and potassium levels in the urine were measured by flame photometry and daily excretion rates of the two electrolytes were calculated. PRA and WIP were measured as above.

59

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. In the following

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

RESULTS

Infusions of Exogenous VIP in Anesthetized Dogs

Protocol 1. The effect of a 15 min infusion of VIP into the renal artery at ³³ ng/kg/min is shown in Fig. ³ and Fig. 4. PRA increased significantly during the infusion and was still elevated at ¹⁵ min. This was accompanied by ^a transient increase in renin secretion rate which returned to control levels by ¹⁵ min even though WIP was infused for the entire ¹⁵ min. Renal blood flow also increased by 33% during the infusion (Fig. 4). Systolic blood pressure did not change but there was ^a significant ⁵ mm Hg decrease in diastolic pressure.

Protocol. 2. The effect of intrarenal infusion of 7.5 ml of 0.9% saline on the same variables is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. There was no significant effect on renin release, renal blood flow or blood pressure.

Protocol 3. Table ² shows the effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of VIP (33 ng/kg/min) on renal function. Creatinine clearance increased significantly during the infusion (paired t test). Urine flow and sodium and potassium excretion all tended to increase but the changes were not statistically significant. There was also ^a significant decrease in plasma potassium which remained low during the recovery period. Plasma renin activity, renin secretion rate and renal blood flow increased as before (Fig. ⁷ and Fig. 8), but blood pressure was not significantly affected.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

FIGURE 3. The effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of WIP at ^a rate of ³³ ng/kg/min on plasma renin activity and renin secretion rate in ⁹ pentobarbital anesthetized dogs. $*$ p < 0.05 compared to -15 min and -1 min value. For this and all subsequent figures, each point represents the mean value $\underline{\textbf{t}}$ standard error.

Time (min)

 $\ddot{}$

FIGURE 4. The effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of WIP at ^a rate of ³³ mg/kg/min on renal blood flow and blood pressure in ⁹ dogs. 0.05 compared to -15 min and -1 min value. \mathbf{r} p ϵ

 ~ 10

FIGURE 5. The effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of 7.5 ml of 0.9% saline on plasma renin activity and renin secretion rate in 4 dogs.

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6. The effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of 7.5 ml of O.9% saline on renal blood flow and blood pressure in ⁴ dogs.

FIGURE 6

Time (min)

*p < 0.05 compared to control, paired t test

**p < 0.05 compared to control, ANOVA

test $\begin{array}{c} \n\text{if } n \neq n \n\end{array}$

FIGURE 7. The effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of WIP at ^a rate ³³ ng/kg/min on plasma remin activity and renin secretion rate in ⁷ dogs. $**$ p < 0.05 compared to -15-0 min value, paired t test.

FIGURE 8. The effect of ^a ¹⁵ min intrarenal infusion of WIP at ^a rate of ³³ ng/kg/min on renal blood flow and blood pressure in ⁷ dogs. O. O5 compared to -15-0 min value, ANOVA. * p <

 \sim

Protocol 4. The plasma levels of VIP produced by intrarenal infusion of the peptide at the same rate in four other dogs is shown in Table 5. Control renal venous levels of WIP were greater than arterial levels in ⁵ of the ⁴ dogs although the difference was not statistically significant. Infusion of VIP at a rate of 33 ng/kg/min elevated renal venous levels to 662 + 102 pmole/L and arterial plasma levels to 264 + ⁸⁵ pmole/L. There was ^a prompt return to baseline levels after the end of the infusion. Renin secretion rate was not calculated in these dogs, but arterial and renal venous PRA increased significantly during the infusion.

Protocol 5. The effect of administering three consecutive doses of WIP intravenously is depicted in Fig. ⁹ and Table 4. ^A ¹⁵ min infusion at ^a rate of 3.3 mg/kg/min did not change PRA (Fig. 9). The ¹³ ng/kg/min dose increased PRA significantly at ¹⁰ and ²⁰ min after the start of the infusion. Infusion of WIP at ^a rate of ³³ ng/kg/min increased PRA by ¹⁰ min. Table ⁴ shows that the higher infusion rate significantly decreased distolic blood pressure and plasma potassium. The lower two infusion rates had no significant effect on these variables. Arterial plasma levels of WIP increased significantly to ⁴¹ $+$ 2 pmole/L during the 3.3 ng/kg/min infusion, 75 $+$ 3 during the 13 ng/kg/min infusion, and $130 + 9$ during the highest rate of infusion.

Protocol 6. Infusion of VIP directly into the renal artery at ^a rate of 3.3 ng/kg/min significantly increased PRA by 20 min (Fig. 10). Due to technical difficulties with the flow meter, renin secretion rate was calculated in only four of the seven dogs. While it increased in three of these four, the mean increase was not significant. Renal venous levels of VIP increased to 87 $+$ 10 pmole/L during the infusion

FIGURE 9. The effect of intravenous administration of WIP for ¹⁵ min at three different rates on PRA in 5 dogs. $*$ p \lt 0.05 compared to -1 min value.

Time (min)	Blood pressure systolic (mm Hg)	diastolic (mm Hg)	Plasma potassium (mEq/L)	Plasma VIP (pmol/L)			
-20	$164 + 10$	119 ± 6	3.39 ± 0.11	$31 + 1$			
-1	$169 + 9$	$118 + 5$	$3.21 + 0.11$	$32 + 2$			
VIP, 3.3 ng/kg/min for 15 min $\overline{0}$							
10	$171 + 9$	$123 + 4$	3.19 ± 0.07	$41 + 2*$			
20	$172 + 8$	$124 + 6$	$3.17 + 0.07$	$33 + 2$			
30	$171 + 10$	$127 + 5$	3.28 ± 0.07	$32 + 2$			
60	$176 + 8$	$130 + 4$	3.24 ± 0.07	30 ± 2			
79	$179 + 10$	$132 + 5$	$3.26 + 0.11$	$33 + 2$			
80	VIP, 13 ng/kg/min for 15 min						
90	$176 + 12$	$132 + 8$	3.25 ± 0.08	$75 + 3$ **			
100	$181 + 10$	$137 + 7$	3.28 ± 0.18	$37 + 1$			
110	$181 + 10$	$136 + 6$	3.26 ± 0.08	30 ± 3			
140	$181 + 9$	$135 + 6$	$3.41 + 0.18$	$30 + 1$			
159	$180 + 8$	$136 + 4$	$3.45 + 0.16$	$29 + 1$			
160	VIP, 33 ng/kg/min for 15 min						
170	$173 + 6$	$124 + 6$ ***	$3.21 + 0.11$	$130 + 9$ ***			
180	$174 + 7$	$130 + 5$	$3.16 + 0.14***$	$46 + 4$ ***			
190	$172 + 8$	129 ± 5	3.54 ± 0.11	$35 + 1$			
200	$173 + 8$	$128 + 6$	$3.40 + 0.14$	$32 + 2$			

Table 4. Effect of intravenous administration of VIP at three different doses in 5 dogs.

*p < 0.05 compared to -1 min value, ANOVA
**p < 0.05 compared to 79 min value, ANOVA
***p < 0.05 compared to 159 min value, ANOVA

FIGURE 10. The effect of a 15 min intrarenal infusion of VIP at a rate of 3.3 ng/kg/min on PRA in 7 dogs. $*$ p < 0.05 compared to -1 min value

FIGURE 10

 $\overline{80}$

(Table 5). Blood pressure and plasma potassium did not change.

Protocol T. Propranolol pretreatment significantly decreased PRA (Fig. 11). Subsequent infusion of VIP resulted in ^a significant increase in PRA. Diastolic pressure increased with propranolol but decreased significantly after infusion of WIP. Systolic pressure did not change .

Isolation and Superfusion of Rat Glomeruli

Photomicrographs of the isolated glomeruli are shown in Plate 1. The preparation consisted of glomeruli with and without intact Bowman's capsules. Occasionally ^a fragment of an afferent or efferent arteriole could be seen attached to the glomerulus. Plate $1(C-D)$ shows immunostaining in two glomeruli using an anti-hog renin antiserum (provided by T. Inagami, Wanderbilt Medical School) at ^a dilution of 1: 1,000.

Protocol 1. The effect of isoproterenol at 10^{-4} M and buffer alone on renin release from the glomerular preparation is shown in Fig. $12(A)$. These data have been standardized by setting the value immediately before addition of isoproterenol equal to zero on the ordinate. The other points depict the change from this initial value. The absolute values at the zero time point are shown in parentheses in the upper left hand portion of the figure. Isoproterenol at 10^{-4} M significantly increased renin release at 5 and 10 min. Buffer alone had no effect. The effect of two lower doses of isoproterenol is shown in Fig. $12(B)$. Doses of 10⁻⁵M and 10⁻⁶M did not significantly affect renin release

Protocol 2. The effect of VIP at doses ranging from 10^{-10} M to

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 \mathcal{L}

FIGURE 11. The effect of propranolol pretreatment and subsequent intravenous infusion of VIP (13 ng/kg/min) on PRA and blood pressure in 6 dogs. $*$ p < 0.05 compared to 30 min value. $**$ p < 0.05 compared to -1 min value.

FIGURE 11

 $\ddot{}$

PLATE $1(A)$. Isolated rat glomeruli. Arrow points to intact Bowman's capsule. $(X 115)$

PLATE 1(B). Isolated rat glomerulus. Star indicates arteriolar fragment. (X 280)

PLATE 1(C-D). Isolated rat glomeruli stained with anti-hog renin antiserum (provided by T. Inagami, Wanderbilt Medical School) using the $peroxidase-antiperoxidase technique.$ $g = glomerulus, arrow points to$ immunoreaction. (X 520)

FIGURE 12(A). The effect of isoproterenol (10⁻⁴M) and buffer alone on renin release from an isolated glomerular preparation. * ^p < 0.05 compared to -5 min and ⁰ min value.

FIGURE 12(B). The effect of isoproterenol (10⁻⁵M and 10⁻⁶M) on renin release from an isolated glomerular preparation.

FIGURE 12

 10^{-7} M is shown in Fig. 13. The three higher doses all increased renin release significantly at the ⁵ min time point. The lower dose was ineffective.

VIP in the Renal Nerve

Immunocytochemistry. The results of the immunocytochemical experiments are shown in Plates 2–5. The Zimmerman antiserum stained salivary tissue in ^a manner similar to that reported by others. WIP like immunoreactive fibers could be seen along interlobar ducts and blood vessels although the walls of the blood vessels did not stain (Plate 20). Local ganglion cell bodies stained intensly (Plate 2A) and preabsorption with ⁵⁰ g/ml of VIP completely abolished this staining (Plate 2B). Plate ³ shows the results with innervated kidney sections processed at the same time as the salivary tissue. The Zimmerman antiserum showed ^a dark reaction in the walls of blood vessels including glomerular arterioles and juxtaglomerular cells (Plate 3A-C). This staining was distributed throughout the media layer of the arteriole and did not show the "beads-on-a-string" profile characteristic of neuronal staining. Preabsorption with WIP reduced the staining but did not abolish it. Surgical denervation did not affect this vascular staining (Plate 4A-C). The Said antiserum at ^a dilution of 1:500 and the Walsh antiserum at ^a dilution of 1:2,000 both stained salivary ganglion cells (Plate 5A, C). However, kidney tissue processed at the same time did not shown any immunoreactivity. Particularly, the blood vessels and juxtaglomerular cells did not stain (Plate 5B,D).

Renal Nerve Stimulation. Stimulation of the renal nerves resulted

FIGURE 13(A). The effect of VIP (10⁻⁷M) on renin release from an isolated glomerular preparation. The dashed line is the control data redrawn from Fig. $12(A)$. * p < 0.05 compared to -5 min and 0 min value.

FIGURE 13(B). The effect of VIP (10⁻⁸M, 10⁻⁹M, and 10⁻¹⁰M) on renin release from an isolated glomerular preparation. \ast p < 0.05 compared to –5 min and ^O min value .

91

PLATE $2(A)$. Dog submandibular gland. Interlobar ganglion cells show intense immunoreactivity using Zimmerman WIP antiserum, 1: 1800. (X 7OO)

PLATE 2(B). Adjacent section showing lack of immunostaining after preabsorption with 50 µg/ml VIP. Arrow points to ganglion.

PLATE 2(C). Arrow points to WIP-immunoreactive fiber running along blood vessel. star = blood vessel. $(X 210)$.

PLATE 2

PLATE $\mathfrak{Z}(A)$. Blood vessel from renal cortex stained with Zimmerman VIP antiserum, 1:1800. (X 250).

PLATE 3(B). Adjacent section treated with WIP antiserum which was preabsorbed with ⁵⁰ ^u g/ml WIP.

PLATE 3(C). Adjacent section stained with normal rabbit serum, 1:1800.

PLATE 4(A). Glomerular arteriole from denervated dog kidney stained with Zimmerman VIP antiserum, 1:1800. a = arteriole, $g =$ glomerulus (X 34O)

PLATE 4(B). Adjacent section treated with Zimmerman antiserum which was preabsorbed with ⁵⁰ ug/ml WIP.

PLATE $4(C)$. Adjacent section stained with normal rabbit serum, 1:1800.

PLATE 5(A). Dog submandibular ganglion cells stained with Said VIP antiserum, 1:500. (X 400)

PLATE 5(B). Blood vessel from dog renal cortex treated with Said WIP antiserum, 1:500. star indicates blood vessel (X 240)

PLATE 5(C). Dog submandibular ganglion cells stained with Walsh WIP antiserum, 1:2000. (X 550)

PLATE 5(D). Blood vessel from dog renal cortex treated with Walsh WIP antiserum, 1:2000. star indicates blood vessel (X 240)

PLATE 5

in ^a prompt increase in renal venous and arterial PRA (Fig. 14). The renal venous PRA was significantly elevated by ⁵ min and started to return toward control levels despite continued stimulation. The arterial PRA was also elevated by ⁵ min but stayed high for the duration of the stimulation period. Blood pressure increased transiently at the beginning of the stimulation. Neither renal venous or arterial levels of WIP were changed during the ⁵⁰ min of stimulation.

Correlation of Plasma Levels of WIP and Renin

Neostigmine Infusion. Intravenous administration of neostigmine resulted in ^a prompt increase in systolic blood pressure which was maintained for ²⁰ min (Table 6). Diastolic pressure was not significantly affected. PRA increased in four dogs but was unchanged in two, so the mean increase was not statistically significant. Plasma levels of WIP increased significantly by ¹⁰ min and remained elevated for ³⁰ min. In the two dogs that did not show an increase in PRA, WIP levels increased from ¹⁴ pmole/L to ¹⁴⁰ pmole/L and from ²⁰ pmole/L to 45 pmole/L.

Low Salt Diet. Feeding dogs ^a low salt diet resulted in ^a significant decrease in sodium excretion by ²⁴ hr which continued to decrease throughout the two weeks (Table 7). Potassium excretion did not change. PRA also began to rise by ²⁴ hr but the increase was not significant until ⁵ days after the start of the low salt diet. The dogs which received the canned dog food, which contained 1.5 mEq of sodium, did not decrease their sodium excretion as much as those dogs on Lonalac, which contained less sodium, but the rise in renin was similar

FIGURE 14. The effect of renal nerve stimulation on PRA and plasma VIP in 4 anesthetized dogs. $*$ p < 0.05 compared to -1 min value

Time (min)	Blood pressure systolic (mm Hg)	diastolic mm Hg)	Plasma renin activity $(ng \text{ AI/ml}/3h)$	Plasma VIP (pmol/L)
-20	$194 + 4$	135 ± 6	25.1 ± 5.1	
-1	$198 + 4$	$142 + 8$	29.9 ± 1.9	15 ± 2
$\overline{\mathbf{0}}$				
10	$223 + 10*$	$142 + 9$	49.9 ± 12.9	$63 + 12*$
20	$219 \pm 9*$	$141 + 9$	46.0 ± 10.4	$88 + 23*$
30	$210 + 8$	$142 + 10$	47.5 ± 11.9	$86 + 33*$
60	$210 + 8$	$143 + 9$	41.6 ± 11.8	$51 + 19$

Effect of intravenous neostigmine (0.07 mg/kg)
in 6 anesthetized dogs. Table 6.

 $*_p$ < 0.05 compared to -1 min value

dogs \checkmark ϵ maatni nti nn α Δ j iim af itate $FFA + AF$ of $1L$ dave Tahle 7.

*p < 0.05 compared to day 0. ANOVA

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\ddot{\ddot{\zeta}}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{$

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}})$

so all six dogs were combined for the statistical analysis. There was some day to day variation in resting levels of WIP, but sodium depletion did not produce ^a statistically significant change.
DISCUSSION

Mechanism by which VIP Stimulates Renin Secretion

In the present investigation the renin-stimulating effect of WIP has been confirmed and extended.

WIP could increase release of renin by ^a number of different mechanisms. Since the peptide is vasodilatory, it could decrease blood pressure and stimulate renin secretion via the intrarenal baroreceptor. Likewise, ^a fall in systemic blood pressure could lead to ^a reflex increase in sympathetic output which also could result in an increase in renin. WIP could also influence renin via the macula densa mechanism since it has effects on the transport of sodium and chloride. Finally, the peptide could act directly on the juxtaglomerular cells to increase renin secretion. In the present investigation the possibility that each of these mechanisms is responsible for the increase in renin with WIP was examined separately.

The preliminary observation that WIP increased PRA in anesthetized dogs provided the first evidence that the baroreceptor is not required. In two of the original four dogs, WIP injection increased PRA even though renal perfusion pressure was held constant. In the present work, an intrarenal infusion of WIP at ³³ ng/kg/min stimulated renin secretion Without changing systolic blood pressure and with only ^a ⁵ mm Hg decrease in distolic pressure (Fig. ² and Fig. 4). It is unlikely that such ^a small decrease in diastolic pressure could lead to ^a significant

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

increase in renin secretion which would be mediated by the intrarenal baroreceptor. Also lower doses of WIP increased renin without affecting blood pressure (Fig. ⁹ and Fig. 10). VIP caused marked renal vasodilation (Fig. 4). As mentioned previously, an increase in arteriolar diameter in the presence of constant perfusion pressure should inhibit renin rather that stimulate it by increasing the wall tension in the baroreceptor (Fray, 1976). Substance P, another vasoactive peptide, has been reported to cause renal vasodilation but resulted in an inhibition of remin secretion (Gullner et al., 1980). It seems unlikely, therefore, that WIP has its effect on renin release via the intrarenal baroreceptor.

Several problems with the intrarenal infusion studies need to be considered further. Infusion of 0.9% saline into the renal artery did not significantly affect renin secretion rate (Fig. 5), but there is considerable variation in the data. Since renin secretion rate is ^a calculated value it is subject to compounding errors resulting from measurement of renal blood flow and PRA in both renal venous and arterial plasma. The large standard errors at the -1 min and ⁵⁰ min time points are due to high values in one dog.

Comparison of renal blood flow values shows that the mean control levels in the WIP-infused dogs were much higher than the saline controls (Fig. ⁴ vs Fig. 6). The difference can partly be explained by the fact that the WIP-infused dogs were larger than the control animals, since renal blood flow increases with body size.

It is difficult to determine the role played by the macula densa in situations where renin secretion is increased since changes in sodium chloride delivery to that region of the distal tubule cannot be directly 107

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

measured. An indirect method for looking at the involvement of the macula densa is to measure urinary sodium excretion. An increase in sodium excretion is usually associated with ^a decrease in renin secretion (Nash et al., 1968). In the present investigation, intrarenal infusion of WIP caused ^a slight increase in glomerular filtration rate, but sodium and potassium excretion were not significantly affected (Table 2). It has been reported that in the isolated perfused rat kidney WIP caused ^a significant matriuresis (Rosa et al., 1977) and in other organs it prevents sodium chloride uptake (Krejs, 1982). It appears therefore, that if WIP does anything to sodium chloride handling in the kidney it would result in an increase in sodium delivery to the macula densa which should inhibit renin release rather than stimulate it. However, if WIP inhibits sodium chloride uptake in the macula densa itself, renin secretion could be stimulated.

^A relfex increase in sympathetic activity probably occurred with the intravenous infusion of VIP at 13 ng/kg/min. This because diastolic blood pressure fell during the infusion of the peptide after, but not before beta-adrenergic blockade with propranolol (Fig. 11). Heart rate was not measured in these experiments, but ^a positive chronotrophic effect of WIP has been reported by others (Smitherman et al., 1982). However, this small increase in sympathetic output was not responsible for the increse in PRA via ^a beta-adrenergic mechanism since infusion of WIP after propranolol pretreatment still resulted in ^a significant increase in renin (Fig. 11).

It is difficult to compare the effect of intravenous infusion of WIP on PRA after propranolol pretreatment to WIP infusion alone. The levels of PRA before WIP in the dogs which did not receive propranolol 108

 \mathbf{t} :

 s^2 Γ

 $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k}$

ת ديع 115

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}}}$

SERVICE \int

70 N

*תור*ל

 \mathbf{C}^{\prime}

 $\mathscr{U}^{(1)}_{\mathscr{U}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{U}}}}}$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 &$ l.

> $\ket{\phi_f}$ \mathbf{B}

 $\overline{\mathfrak{l}}$

curs.

ברל ל

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}) \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \right) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}) \left(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}} \right)$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contract of the contrac \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

were four times higher than those in the propranolol-treated dogs (Fig. ⁹ and Fig. 11). This is partly due to the fact that propranolol decreased the resting levels of PRA, but even the PRA values before propranolol were 1/3 those of the non-pretreated dogs. The discrepancy in control PRA levels is unexplained, although we routinely see ^a wide variation in PRA in anesthetized dogs. Nevertheless, in both the propranolol pretreated and the untreated animals, intravenous infusion of WIP resulted in approximately ^a doubling in PRA.

An unexpected complicating observation made during the renal function study was the significant decrease in plasma potassium concentration (Table 2). The decrease seen with intrarenal infusion of VIP at a rate of 33 ng/kg/min was about 0.75 mEq/L. The mechanism by which VIP lowers plasma potssium is not known. WIP is known to stimulate insulin secretion (Kaneto et al., 1977) and insulin can produce hypokalemia (Cooke et al., 1973). Beta-adrenergic stimulation has also been shown to cause ^a decrease in plasma potassium, probably by increasing muscular uptake of the electrolyte (Todd and Wick, 1971; Clausen and Flatman, 1977). This effect is probably mediated by cyclic AMP because theophylline potentiated the epinephrine-stimulated uptake of potassium by rat soleus muscle (Clausen and Flatman, 1977). Since WIP is known to increase cyclic AMP in many situations, it is possible that the potassium-lowering effect of the peptide is mediated by this cyclic nucleotide. Others have reported that ^a prolonged infusion of VIP in pigs also decreased plasma potassium levels (Modlin et al., 1978b). It is known that an acute decrease in plasma potassium of only 0.3 mEq/L can stimulate renin secretion (Himathongkam et al., 1975). However, infusion of WIP at lower doses increased PRA without affecting

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$. The set of the set of the set of the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

plasma potassium (Table ⁴ and 5), so it appears that the effect of WIP on renin is not solely mediated by changes in this electrolyte.

The studies in anesthetized dogs suggest that WIP has an action directly on the kidney. Intravenous infusion of the peptide at ^a rate of 3.3 mg/kg/min did not affect PRA (Fig. 9), but direct intrarenal infusion at the same dose did significantly increase PRA (Fig. 10). The renal venous level fo VIP produced during this infusion, 87 \pm 10 pmole/L (Table 5) is similar to the systemic level of the peptide produced during intravenous infusion at ^a rate of ¹³ ng/kg/min (Table 4), which was the minimum effective intravenous dose. It appears that circulating WIP has to increase to about ² 1/2 times resting levels before renin release is significantly increased.

It is not clear why the intrarenal infusion at 3.3 ng/kg/min did not affect PRA until five minutes after the end of the infusion. However, examination of all the data shows that the time course of the effect of WIP on renin is not consistent. Intrarenal infusion at ³³ ng/kg/min increased renin secretion rate only transiently (Fig. 3). The transient nature of the effect of WIP has been reported by others. For example, the salivary vasodilation resulting from WIP infusion is also transient (Lundberg, 1980b). Intravenous infusion of WIP at ¹³ ng/kg/min increased PRA at ¹⁰ and ²⁰ min after the start of the infusion (Fig. 9) while infusion at 33 ng/kg/min increased renin only at the 10 min time point. This may have been due to the development of tachyphylaxis since the higher dose was always given after the lower dose.

The data with the isolated glomerular preparation suggest that WIP can act directly on the juxtaglomerular cells. In this preparation, the

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})))))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}})$. The set of \mathcal{L}^{max} $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$.

intrarenal barorec ptor, the macula densa, and the sympathetic system are presumably nonfunctional. WIP, at ^a dose range similar to that reported for other effects of the peptide, significantly elevated renin release (Fig. 13). The effect was short lived, as it was seen only during the ⁵ min that WIP was in the superfusion buffer. Isoproterenol at ^a dose of 10^{-4} M was required to increase renin release in this preparation. It is not apparent why such ^a large concentration was required. However, others who have worked with isolated glomeruli report similar minimum effective doses (Morris, 1976; Beierwaltes et al, 1980). For some reason the beta-adrenergic receptor is not very sensitive after this isolation procedure. Nevertheless, the data with isoproterenol show that the glomerular preparation used in the present investigation is viable and capable of responding to ^a known stimulus.

In summary, VIP is ^a renin-stimulating factor. ^A ² 1/2 to ³ fold increase in circulating levels of the peptide can bring about this effect. Since renin increases with WIP when renal perfusion pressure is held constant, and without ^a change in blood pressure, it appears that the effect is not mediated via the intrarenal baroreceptor. Since renin increased with WIP without ^a change in sodium excretion the macula densa is probably not involved. Since WIP can stimulate renin secretion after pretreatment with propranolol, beta-adrenergic receptors do not mediate the effect of this peptide on renin secretion. The action of the peptide appears to be on the kidney, since intravenous infusion of WIP at ^a rate of 3.3 mg/kg/min did not affect PRA, but intrarenal infusion at the same dose significantly increased renin release. Finally, since WIP can stimulate release of renin from an isolated glomerular perparation which lacks ^a functional baroreceptor, macula densa, or

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\left\| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \right\|} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))\leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$

nervous system, it appears that one way the peptide acts to increase renin release is by ^a direct action on the juxtaglomerular cells.

Role of the Renal Nerves

Because WIP is present in neurons it was attractive to hypothesize that WIP was present in the renal nerves and involved in the neural regulation of renin secretion. While the data in the present study do not definitely rule out this possibility, they suggest that the nerves are not involved. Using three different WIP antisera, all of which stained neural elements in salivary glands, no definite WIP immunoreactivity could be detected in the renal cortex associated with the juxtaglomerular arterioles. The dark staining of the blood vessels with the Zimmerman antiserum was probably nonspecific. Preabsorption with WIP completely eliminated the staining in salivary tissue but only partially reduced the reaction seen in the renal vessels. Surgical denervation had no effect on this vascular staining, although if WIP is present in cell bodies in local renal ganglia, denervation would not be expected to abolish the staining. The absence of WIP immunoreactivity in the kidney of cats, rats, and guinea pigs has been reported by others (Alm et al., 1980), although Hokfelt et al. (1978) reported ^a sparse innervation of WIP-containing fibers in the guinea pig kidney.

It is presently impossible to measure direct release of WIP from nerve terminals and only indirect methods can be used. Simulation of WIPergic nerves that innervate several exocrine glands and gastrointestinal regions is known to result in an increase in WIP in the venous plasma leaving these regions suggesting neural release

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

(Fahrenkrug, 1982). In the case of the kidney, stimulation of the renal nerve has been shown to result in ^a prompt increase in renal venous norepinephrine levels (Oliver et al., 1980). In the present investigation, stimulation of the renal nerves with one set of parameters resulted in ^a clear increase in renin release but in no case did renal venous levels of WIP increase. It is unlikely that ^a transient increase was missed since the first blood sample was collected only one minute after the start of the stimulation. This suggests that WIP is not released during renal nerve stimulation. The possibility remains that stimulation with different parameters would release WIP although the parameters used in the present study were similar to those used in other stimulation studies where WIP release occurred (Fahrenkrug, 1982).

WIP levels were measured in this and subsequent experiments using ^a commercial radioimmunoassay kit. Since addition to plasma of different dilutions of purified porcine WIP and also synthetic VIP resulted in an average recovery of 86% it seems likely that the antiserum provided in the kit was indeed measuring WIP. The baseline levels of WIP measured with the kit were similar to levels measured in other dogs by Dr. Said. Likewise, circulating levels of WIP measured by the kit were increased by neostigmine to ^a comparable degree to those measured in other dogs with a different radioimmunoassay (Ebeid et al., 1979).

Taken together, the data in the present investigation suggest that WIP does not influence renin secretion via release from renal nerves. WIP does not appear to be present in neurons innervating the renal cortex and stimulation of the renal nerve does not result in an increase in renal venous VIP levels.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the contribution of the contribution

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}}))))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

Correlation of Plasma Levels of WIP and Renin

One approach to discovering situations in which VIP might act physiologically as ^a renin-stimulating factor is to determine if plasma levels of renin are increased in cases where WIP secretion is stimulated and vice versa. While ^a positive correlation between WIP and renin cannot prove ^a cause-and-effect relationship it would strengthen the hypothesis that circulating WIP influences renin release.

One stimulus to WIP secretion is intravenous infusion of neostigmine, ^a drug that inhibits acetylcholinesterase. Neostigmine presumably increases cholinergic transmission to the gut and exocrine glands which results in release of WIP into the circulation. In the present study, neostigmine resulted in ^a marked increase in plasma levels of WIP. PRA was increased in ⁴ of ⁶ dogs but the increase was not significant. The elevated WIP could have contributed to the increase in PRA in these four dogs. Alternatively, ^a generalized increase in sympathetic output might have been responsible. Physostigmine, another anticholinesterase, has also been shown to result in an increase in PRA, but this was blocked by propranolol (Alexandre et al., 1970).

In the two dogs that did not show an increase in PRA, the circulating levels of WIP increased from 15–20 pmole/L to 43-140 pmole/L. It is interesting that in these two dogs blood pressure also failed to rise. The reason for the lack of ^a renin response in these dogs despite elevated WIP is unexplained but suggests that ^a cause-and effect relationship between WIP and renin may not exist in this situation.

114

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$

The renin response to ^a low salt diet is one situation in which an unknown renin-stimulating humoral factor could be involved. Brubacher and Wander (1968) found that renin increased with sodium restriction in renal demervated dogs before there was any change in glomerular filtration rate or blood pressure. This led Vander (1967) to hypothesize the existence of ^a renin-stimulating factor which he called "hormone X". In the present experiment, dietary sodium restriction resulted in an increase in plasma renin activity. However, plasma levels of WIP did not change significantly. Plasma VIP tended to be quite variable from day to day, but sodium depletion did not increase it significantly. ^A greater degree of sodium depletion brought about by addition of ^a diuretic, which is known to cause even greater increases in PRA, might elevate plasma levels of WIP significantly, but based on the present evidence it can be concluded that WIP is probably not "hormone X".

Physiologic Significance of the Renin-Stimulating Effect of VIP

^A physiologic role for WIP in regulating renin secretion was not established in the present investigation. WIP does not appear to be present in neurons innervating the juxtaglomerular cells and is not released into the renal venous plasma during renal nerve stimulation. It therefore seems unlikely that WIP is involved in renin responses that are brought about by the renal nerves. WIP also does not appear to play ^a role in the increase in PRA during sodium depletion. When plasma VIP was elevated by neostigmine to levels comparable to those which stimulated renin release after infusions of exogenous WIP, PRA increased

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2} \mathbf{F}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{F}_{\text{eff}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{eff}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{eff}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ \mathcal{L}_max and \mathcal{L}_max are the set of the set o

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

in ⁴ of ⁶ dogs although the mean increase was not significant. Other factors could have been responsible for the increase in PRA in these four dogs.

Therefore, in situations investigated at so far, there does not appear to be ^a phsyiologic relationship between WIP and renin secretion. It may be that stimulation of renin secretion by WIP is ^a pharmacologic rather than ^a physiologic phenomenon. However, there are other situations in which renin secretion is elevated such as hemorrhage, upright tilt, and thoracic caval contriction where circulating levels of WIP have not been measured. It may be that VIP plays ^a role in mediating these effects. Endotoxin shock has been reported to increase circulating VIP to levels which should stimulate renin secretion (Freund et al., 1981). It would be interesting to see if renin secretion changes in this situation. Likewise, patients with the watery diarrhea syndrome have very high circulating levels of WIP, but PRA values have not been reported in these patients.

116

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

VIP stimulates renin secretion in vivo in anesthetized dogs and in vitro in ^a preparation of isolated superfused glomeruli. This effect may result from ^a direct action of the peptide on the juxtaglomerular cells and can occur independently of the intrarenal baroreceptor, the macula densa, and the sympathetic nervous system. ^A two to threefold increase in circulating levels of WIP is needed to bring about this increase in renin secretion.

Using three different antisera to WIP, no specific WIP immunoreactivity could be detected in the renal cortex even though all three antisera stained neural elements in the salivary gland. Stimulation of the renal nerves resulted in ^a prompt increase in renin secretion but the renal venous levels of WIP did not change. Taken together, these data do not support the hypothesis that WIP is present in the renal nerves and is released in situations where there are neurally mediated increases in renin secretion.

^A reduced sodium intake for two weeks also resulted in an increase in PRA but circulating WIP did not change significantly. Therefore, WIP is not likely to be ^a humoral factor involved in the renin response to sodium restriction.

Neostigmine administration in anesthetized dogs produced ^a significant increase in circulating levels of WIP. PRA did not increase significantly, but four of the six dogs did show an appreciable rise. It is not known if the elevated levels of WIP contributed to the rise in renin in these four dogs since other factors might have been involved. Since two dogs did not increase PRA despite elevated levels of WIP it is uncertain whether ^a cause-and-effect relationship exists between WIP and PRA in this situation.

There are other factors in which VIP could possibly function physiologically or pathophysiologically as ^a renin stimulating factor. Further work will be required to determine in which, if any, of these situations WIP plays ^a role in the regulation of renin secretion.

REFERENCES

- Abbrecht, P.H. and Vander, A.J. Effects of chronic potassium deficiency on plasma remin activity. J. Clin. Invest. 49: 1510–1516, 1970.
- Abe, Y., Okahara, T., Kishimoto, T., Yamamota, K., and Ueda, J. Relationship between intrarenal distribution of blood flow and renin secretion. Am. J. Physiol. 225:319-323, 1973.
- Ahren, B., Alumets, J., Ericsson, M., Fahrenkrug, J., Fahrenkrug, L., Hakanson, R., Hedner, P., Loren, I., Melander, A., Rerup, C., and Sundler, F. WIP occurs in intrathyroidal nerves and stimulates thyroid hormone secretion. Nature 287: 545–545, 1980.
- Alexandre, J.M., Menard, J., Chevillard, C., and Scmitt, H. Increased plasma renin activity induced in rats by physostigmine and effects of alpha- and beta-receptor blocking drugs thereon. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 12:127-131, 1970.
- Allison, D.J., Tanigawa, H., and Assaykeen, T.A. The effects of cyclic nucleotides on plasma renin activity and renal function in dogs. In: Control of Renin Secretion, ed. by T.A. Assaykeen. Plenum Press, New York. pp. 55–47, 1972.
- Alm, P., Alumets, J., Hakanson, R., OWman, Ch. , Sjoberg, N-0. , Sundler, F., and Walles, B. Origin and distribution of VIP (vasoactive intestinal polypeptide)-nerves in the genitourinary tract. Cell Tissue Res. 205:337–347, 1980.
- Alumets, J., Fahrenkrug, J., Hakanson, R., Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, 0., Sundler, F., and Uddman, T. ^A rich VIP nerve supply is characteristic of sphincters. Nature 280: 155–156, 1979.
- Amiranoff, B. and Rosselin, G. WIP receptors and control of cyclic AMP production. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S.I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 307-322, 1982.
- Aoi, W., Wade, B., Rosner, D.R., and Weinberger, M.H. Renin release by rat kidney slices in vitro: effects of cations and catecholamines. Am. J. Physiol. 227:630-654, 1974.
- Assaykeen, T.A., Clayton, P.L., Goldfien, A., and Ganong, W.F. Effect of alpha- and beta-adrenergic blocking agents on the renin response to hypoglycemia and epinephrine in dogs. Endocrinology 87:1318-1322, 1970.
- Atlas, D., Melamed, E., and Lahau, M. Beta-adrenergic receptors in rat kidney. Lab. Invest. 36:465–468, 1977.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contract of the contrac $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{c}}_{\text{c}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the con $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1$

- Barajas, L. The innervation of the juxtaglomerular apparatus. Lab. Invest. 13:916-929, 1964.
- Barajas, L. and Wang, P. Demonstration of acetylcholinesterase in the adrenergic nerves of the renal glomerular arterioles. J. Ultrastruct. Res. 53:244-255, 1975.
- Baumbach, L. and Leyssac, P.P. Studies on the mechanism of renin release from isolated superfused rat glomeruli: effects of calcium, calcium ionophore, and lanthanum. J. Physiol. 273:745-764, 1977.
- Beck., N.P., Reed, S.W., Murdaugh, H.V., and Davis, B.B. Effects of catecholamines and their interaction with other hormones on cyclic 3',5'-adenosine monophosphate of the kidney. J. Clin. Invest. 51:939–944, 1972.
- Beierwaltes, W.H., Schryver, S., Olson, P., and Romero, J.C. Interaction of the prostaglandin and renin-angiotensin systems in isolated rat glomeruli. Am. J. Physiol. 239: F602-F608, 1980.
- Berl, T., Henrich, W. L., Erickson, A. L., and Schrier, R.W. Prostaglandins in the beta adrenergic and baroreceptor-mediated secretion of renin. Am. J. Physiol. 236:F472-F477, 1979.
- Besson, J. Laburthe, M., Bataille, D., Dupont, C., and Rosselin, G. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP): tissue distribution in the rat as measured by radioimmunoassay and radio receptorassay. Acta Endo. 87:799-810, 1978.
- Besson, J., Rosztejn, W., and Ruberg, M. Involvement of WIP in neuroendocrine funcions in the rat. In: Hormone Receptors in Digestion and Nutrition, ed. by G. Rosselin, P. Fromageot, and S. Bonfils. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam. pp. 457-464, 1979.
- Bing, J. Rapid marked increase in plasma renin in rats treated with inhibitors of the renin system. Acta Pathol. Microbiol. Scand. Sect. ^A ⁸¹ : 576–378, 1973.
- Bishop, A.E., Polak, J.M., Green, I.C., Bryant, M.G., and Bloom, S.R. The location of WIP in the pancreas of man and rat. Diabetologia 18: 73–78, 1980.
- Bitar, K.N., Said, S.I., Weir, G.C., and Makhlouf, G.M. Site of origin of circulating vasoactive intestinal peptide (WIP). Scand. J. Gastroenterol. (Suppl. 49) 13:20, ¹⁹⁷⁸ (abstract).
- Blackshear, J.L., Spielman, W.S., Knox, F.G., and Romero, J.C. Dissociation of renin release and renal vasodilation by prostaglandin synthesis inhibitors. Am. J. Physiol. 257: F20-F24, 1979.
- Blaine, E. H. and Davis, J. O. Evidence for ^a renal vascular mechanism in renin release: new observations with graded stimulation by aortic constriction. Circ. Res. (Suppl. II) 28–29: II-1 18-II-126, 1971.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$. We can consider the following the following $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$. The set of the set of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the set of the set o $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\frac{d\mu}{d\mu}\right|^2 \, d\mu = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\frac{d\mu}{d\mu}\right|^2 \, d\mu = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left|\frac{d\mu}{d\mu}\right|^2 \, d\mu.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y)$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

- Blaine, E.H., Davis, J.O., and Witty, R.T. Renin release after hemorrhage and after suprarenal aortic constriction in dogs without sodium delivery to the macula densa. Circ. Res. 27: 1081–1089, 1970.
- Blair, M.L., Reid, I.A., and Ganong, W.F. Effect of L-dopa on plasma renin activity with and without inhibition of extracerebral dopa decarboxylase in dogs. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 202: 209-215, 1977.
- Blair—West, J. R., Coghlan, J. P., Denton, D.A., Funder, J.W., Scoggins, B. A., and Wright, R. D. Inhibition of renin secretion by systemic and intrarenal angiotensin infusion. Am. J. Physiol. 220: 1309-1315, 1971.
- Bloom, S.R. and Edwards, A.V. Effects of autonomic stimulation on the release of vasoactive intestinal peptide from the gastrointestinal tract of the calf. J. Physiol. 299: 437-452, 1980.
- Bloom, S. R. , Mitchell, S.J., Greenberg, G. R. , Christofides, N., Domschke, W., Domschke, S., Mitzness, P., and Demling, L. The response of WIP, secretin, and motilin to duodenal acidification in man. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. (Suppl. 49) 13:27, ¹⁹⁷⁸ (abstract)
- Bolger, P.M., Eisner, G.M., Ramwell, P.W., and Slotkoff, L.M. Effect of prostaglandins on renal function and renin in the dog. Nature 259:244-245, 1976.
- Bolton, T. B., Lang, R. J., and Ottesen, B. Mechanism of action of vasoactvie intestinal polypeptide on myometrial smooth muscle of rabbit and guinea-pig. J. Physiol. 318:41-55, 1981.
- Bozovic, L., Efendic, S., and Rosenquist, U. The effect of ACTH on plasma remin activity. Acta Endocrinol. Suppl. 138: 123, ¹⁹⁶⁹ (abstract).
- Brennan, L.A., Malvin, R.L., Jochim, K.E., and Roberts, D.E. Influence of right and left atrial receptors on plasma concentrations of ADH and remin. Am. J. Physiol. 221:273-278, 1971.
- Brennan, L.A., Mulcahy, J.J., Carretero, O.A., Malvin, R.L., Geis, P., and Kaye, M. Effect of chronic sodium depletion in dogs with denervated kidneys and hearts. Am. J. Physiol. 227: 1289–1291, 1974.
- Brooks, W. L., Cho, K.W., Malvin, R. L., and Cohen, B. J. Central and hormonal regulation of renin release by baboon kidneys. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 165: 147–150, 1980.
- Brown, J.J., Davies, D.L., Lever, A.F., and Robertson, J.I.S. Influence of sodium loading and sodium depletion on plasma-renin in man. Lancet ii:278-279, 1963.
- Brubacher, E.S. and Wander, A. J. Sodium deprivation and remin secretion in unanesthetized dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 214:15-21, 1968.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the con $\label{eq:2} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{split}$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$. The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The set of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 \mathcal{L}_c and the set of the s $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

- Bunag, R. D., Page, I. H., and McCubbin, J.W. Neural stimulation of release of renin. Circ. Res. 19:851-858, 1966.
- Bunag, R. D., Page, I. H., and McCubbin, J.W. Inhibition of renin release by vasopressin and angiotensin. Cardivasc. Res. 1:67–73, 1967.
- Burhol, P.G., Waldum, H.L., Jorde, R., and Lygren, I. The effect of a test meal on plasma vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP), gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP), and secretin in man. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 14:959-943, 1979.
- Campbell, W., Graham, R., and Jackson, E. Role of prostaglandins in sympathetically mediated renin release in the rat. J. Clin. Invest. 64:448–456, 1979.
- Christofides, N.D., Sarson, D.L., Albuquerque, R.H., Adrian, T.E., Ghatei, M.A., Modlin, I.M., and Bloom, S. R. Release of gastrointestinal hormones following an oral water load. Experientia 35: 1521—1523, 1979.
- Clausen, T. and Flatman, J. A. The effect of catecholamines on Na-K transport and membrane potential in rat soleus muscle. J. Physiol. 220:383–414, 1977.
- Cooke, C.R., Horvath, J.S., Moore, M.A., Bled sue, T., and Walker, W.G. Modulation of plasma aldosterone concentration by plasma potassium in anephric man in the absence of ^a change in potassium balance. J. Clin. Invest. 52: 3028-3032, 1973.
- Data, J.L., Gerber, J.G., Crump, W.J., Frolich, J.C., Hollifield, J.W., and Nies, A.S. The prostaglandin system: a role in canine baroreceptor control of renin release. Circ. Res. 42:454-458, 1978.
- Dimaline, R. and Dockray, G.J. Multiple immunoreactive forms of vasoactive intestinal peptide in human colonic mucosa. Gastro enterology 75:387–392, 1978.
- Dimaline, R. and Dockray, G. J. Distribution of molecular forms of vasoactive intestinal peptide in hog gastrointestinal tract. J. Physiol. 285: 39P, ¹⁹⁷⁹ (abstract).
- Dimaline, R., Vaillant, C., and Dockray, G.J. The use of regionspecific antibodies in the characterization and localization of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide-like substances in the rat gastrointestinal tract. Regulat. Peptides 1:1-16, 1980.
- Dodd, J., Kelly, J.S., and Said, S.I. Excitation of CA1 neurons of the rat hippocampus by the octacosapeptide vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP). Brit. J. Pharmacol. 66:125P, ¹⁹⁷⁹ (abstract).
- Ebeid, A.M., Attia, R., Sundaram, P., and Fischer, J.E. Release of vasoactive intestinal peptide in the central nervous system in man. Am. J. Surg. 137: 123-127, 1979.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ are the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ are the subset of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the second contribution of the second contribution of the second contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$. The set of the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ is a set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ is a set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

- Ebeid, A.M., Murray, P., Soeters, P. B., and Fischer, J. E. Release of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide by calcium stimulation. Am. J. Surg. 133: 140-144, 1976.
- Edvinsson, L. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide and the cerebral circulation. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 149-159, 1982.
- Emson, P. C., Fahrenkrug, J., Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, O. B., Jessell, T.M., and Iversen, L.L. VIP: vesicular localization and potassium evoked release from rat hypothalamus. Brain Res. 143: 174–178, 1978.
- Epelbaum, J., Tapia-Arancibia, L., Besson, J., Rotsztejn, W. H., and Kordon, W. H. Wasoactive intestinal peptide inhibits release of somatostatin from hypothalamus in vitro. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 58:493– 495, 1979.
- Fahrenkrug, J. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide. Trends Neuro. Sci. $3: 1 - 2$, 1980.
- Fahrenkrug, J. WIP as ^a neurotransmitter in the peripheral nervous system. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S.I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 361-372, 1982.
- Fahrenkrug, J., Galbo, H., Holst, J. J., and Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, 0.B. Influence of the autonomic nervous system on the release of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide from the porcine gastrointestinal tract. J. Physiol. 280:405-422, 1978.
- Fahrenkrug, J., Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, O.B., Holst, J.J., and Lindker Jensen, S. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide in vagally mediated pancreatic secretion of fluid and HCO₃. Am. J. Physiol. 237: E535–E540, 1979.
- Francisco, C.C., Osborn, J.L., and DiBona, G.F. The role of prostaglandins in macula densa mediated renin release in the rat. Fed. Proc. 39:826, ¹⁹⁸⁰ (abstract).
- Frawley, L.S. and Neill, J.D. Stimulation of prolactin secretion in rhesus monkeys by vasoactive intestinal polypeptide. Neuroendo crinology 33:79–83, 1981.
- Fray, J.C.S. Stretch receptor model for renin release with evidence from perfused rat kidney. Am. J. Physiol. 231: 936–944, 1976.
- Fray, J.C.S. Stretch receptor control of renin release in perfused rat kidney: effect of high perfusate potassium. J. Physiol. 282:207– 217, 1978.
- Fray, J.C.S. Stimulus-secretion coupling of renin. Role of hemodynamic and other factors. Circ. Res. 47:485-492, 1980a.
- Fray, J.C.S. Mechanism by which renin secretion from perfused rat kidneys is stimulted by isoprenaline and inhibited by high perfusion pressure. J. Physiol. 508:1–13, 1980b.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) \end{split}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} \right) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} \right) \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} + \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\mathbf{r}} \right) \, .$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ are the set of $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$. The contribution of the set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n))$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ \mathcal{L}_{max} .

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

- Fray, J. C. S. and Park, C. S. Influence of potassium, sodium, perfusion pressure, and isoprenaline on renin release induced by calcium deprivation. J. Physiol. 292: 365-572, 1979.
- Freund, H., Ebeid, A.M., and Fischer, J. E. An increase in vasoactive intestinal peptide levels in canine endotoxin shock. Surg. Gynocol. Obstet. 152:604-606, 1981.
- Frolich, J.C., Hollifield, J.W., and Oates, J.A. Effect of indomethacin on isoproterenol induced renin release. Clin. Res. 24:9A, ¹⁹⁷⁶ (abstract).
- Frolich, J.C., Hollifield, J.W., Michelakis, A.M., Vesper, B.S., Wilson, J.P., Shand, P.G., Seyberth, H.J., Frolich, W.H., and Oates, J.A. Reduction of plasma renin activity by inhibition of fatty acid cyclooxygenase in human subjects. Circ. Res. 44:781-787, 1979.
- Fynn, M., Onomakpome, N., and Peart, W. S. The effects of ionophores (A23187 and R02-2985) on renin secretion and renal vasoconstriction. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. (Biol.) 199: 199–212, 1977.
- Ganong, W. F. Sympathetic effects on renin secretion and physiological role. In: Control of Renin Secretion, ed. by T. A. Assaykeen. Plenum Press, New York. pp. 17-32, 1972a.
- Ganong, W. F. Effects of sympathetic activity and ACTH on renin and aldosterone secretion. In: Hypertension 1972. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. pp. 4-14, 1972b.
- Ganong, W. F. and Barbieri, C. Neuroendocrine components in the regulation of renin secretion. In: Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology, Vol. 7, ed. by W.F. Ganong and L. Martini. Raven Press, New York. pp. 231-262, 1982.
- Ganong, W.F. and Reid, I.A. Role of the sympathetic nervous system and central alpha- and beta-adrenergic receptors in regulation of renin. In: Regulation of Blood Pressure by the Central Nervous System, ed. by G. Onesti, M. Fernandes, and K. E. Kim. Grune and Stratton, New York. pp. 261-273, 1976.
- Ganong, W.F., Zimmerman, E.A., Hoffman, D.L., Keil, L.C., Shinsako, J., and Reid, I.A. The role of vasopressin (AVP) in the renin and ACTH responses to intraventricular angiotensin II (AII). Endocrinology 108:272A, ¹⁹⁸¹ (abstract).
- Gerber, J.G., Keller, R.T., and Nies, A.S. Prostaglandins and renin release. Baroreceptor mechanism of renin release in the dog. Circ. Res. 44: 796-799, 1979.
- Giachetti, A., Said, S.I., Reynolds, R.C., and Koniges, F.C. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in brain: localization and release from isolated nerve terminals. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 74:3424–3428, 1977.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt$

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

- Go, W. L.W. and Yaksh, T. L. Wasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) and cholecystokinin (CCK-8) in cat spinal cord and dorsal root ganglion: release from cord by peripheral nerve stimulation. Regulat. Peptides (Suppl. I):S43, ¹⁹⁸⁰ (abstract).
- Gomez-Pan, A., Snow, M. H., Piercy, D. A., Robson, J., Wilkinson, R., Hall, R., Evered, D.C., Besser, G.M., Schally, A.V., Kastin, A.J., and Coy, D.H. Actions of growth hormone-release inhibiting hormone (somatostatin) on the renin aldosterone system. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metabol. 45: 240-245, 1976.
- Goormaghtigh, N. Facts in favor of an endocrine function of the renal arterioles. J. Pathol. 57:392–395, 1945.
- Gordon, R.D., Kuchel, O., Liddle, G.W., and Island, D.P. Role of the sympathetic nervous system in regulating renin and aldosterone production in man. J. Clin. Invest. 46:599–605, 1967.
- Gotshall, R.W., Davis, J.O., Shade, R.E., Spielman, W., Johnson, J.A., and Braverman, B. Effects of renal denervation on renin release in sodium-depleted dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 225:344–349, 1973.
- Goyal, R.K., Rattan, S., and Said, S.I. VIP as a possible neurotransmitter of non-cholinergic non-adrenergic inhibitory neurones. Nature 288: 378-380, 1980.
- Gullner, H.G., Campbell, W.B. and Pettinger, W.A. Effects of substance ^P on renin release and renal function in anesthetized dogs. Life Sci. 24:237-246, 1979.
- Gutman, Y. and Benzakein, F. Antidiuretic hormone and renin in rats with diabetes insipidus. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 28: 114–118, 1974.
- Hakanson, R., Sundler, F., and Uddman, R. Distribution and topgraphy of peripheral WIP nerve fibers: functional implications. In: Wasoactvie Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 121-144, 1982.
- Hartroft, P. and Newmark, L.N. Electron microscopy of renal juxtaglomerular cells. Anat. Record 139:185-199, 1961.
- Hauger-Klevene, J.H., Brown, H., and Fleischer, N. ACTH stimulation and glucocorticoid inhibition of renin release in the rat. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 1512:539-542, 1969.
- Hauger-Klevene, J.H. ACTH, cyclic AMP, dexamethasone and actinomycin D effect on renin release. Acta Physiol. Latinoamer. 20:373-381, 1970.
- Heistad, D.D., Marcus, M.D., Said, S.I., and Gross, P.M. Effect of acetylcholine and vasoactive intestinal peptide on cerebral blood flow. Am. J. Physiol. 239:H75-H80, 1980.
$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contract of the co $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the set of the set o \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} is the second contribution of the second contribution of the second contribution of the second contribution of \mathcal{L}_c

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ is a subset of the set o

- Herbaczynska–Cedro, K. and Wane, J. R. Contribution of intrarenal generation of prostagland in to autoregulation of renal blood flow. Circ. Res. 33:428-436, 1973.
- Himathongkam, T., Dluhy, R.G., and Williams, G.H. Potassiumaldosterone—renin interrelationships. J. Clin. Invest. ⁴¹ : 155– 159, 1975.
- Himori, N., Izuma, A., and Ishimori, T. Analysis of beta-adrenoceptors mediating renin release produced by isoproterenol in conscious dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 2:F387-F393. 1980.
- Hofbauer, K. G., Zschiedrich, H., Hackenthal, E., and Gross, F. Function of the renin-angiotensin system in the isolated perfused rat kidney. Circ. Res. (Suppl. I) 34–35: I-193-I-201, 1974.
- Hökfelt, T., Elfvin, L.G., Schultzberg, M., Fuxe, K., Said, S.I., Mutt, W. , and Goldstein, M. Immunohistochemical evidence of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide-containing neurons and nerve fibers in sympathetic ganglia. Neuroscience 2:885–896, 1977.
- Hökfelt, T., Schultzberg, M., Elde, R., Nilsson, E., Terenius, L., Said, S.I., and Goldstein, M. Peptide neurons in peripheral tissues including the urinary tract: immunohistochemical studies. Arch. Pharmacol. Toxicol. (Suppl) 43: 79–89, 1978.
- Hökfelt, T., Schultzberg, M., Lundberg, J.M., Fuxe, K., Mutt, V., Fahrenkrug, J., and Said, S.I. Distribution of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in the central and peripheral nervous systems as revealed by immunocytochemistry. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 65–90, 1982.
- Humphreys, M.H., Reid, I.A., Ufferman, R.C., Lieberman, R.A., and Earley, L.E. The relationship between sodium excretion and renin secretion by the perfused kidney. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 15O: 728-734, 1975.
- Ipp, E., Dobbs, R. E., Unger, R. H. Wasoactive intestinal peptide stimulates pancreatic somatostatin release. FEBS Letters 90: 76–78, 1978.
- Iwao, H., Abe, Y. , and Yamamoto, K. Effect of intrarenal arterial infusions of calcium on renin release in dogs. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 24: 482-484, 1974.
- Izumi, Y., Honda, M., and Hatano, M. Effect of somatostatin on plasma renin actvity. Endocrinol. Jpn. 26:389–394, 1979.
- Johansson, O. and Lundberg, J.M. Ultrastructural localization of VIPlike immunoreactivity in large dense-core vesicles of "cholinergic type" nerve terminals in cat exocrine glands. Neuroscience 6:847– 862, 1981.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the con $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ are $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is a function $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

- Johnson, J. A. and Davis, J. O. Effects of ^a specific competitive antagonist of angiotensin II on arterial pressure and adrenal steroid secretion in dogs. Circ. Res. 32(Suppl. I):I-159-I-168, 1973.
- Johnson, J.A., Davis, J.O., and Witty, R.T. Effects of catecholamines and renal nerve stimulation on renin release in the non-filtering kidney. Circ. Res. 29:646–655, 1971.
- Johnson, M.D. Effect on plasma renin activity of infusion of epinephrine into the celiac and superior mesenteric arteries in dogs. Fed. Proc. 41: 1232, ¹⁹⁸² (abstract).
- Johnson, M.D., Shier, D.N., and Barger, A.C. Circulating catecholamines and control of plasma renin activity in conscious dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 236: H463-H470, 1979.
- Kaneto, A., Kaneko, T., Kajinuma, H., and Kosaka, K. Effect of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide infused intrapancreatically on glucagon and insulin secretion. Metabolism 26:781-786, 1977.
- Karteszi, M., Van de Kar, L.D., Makara, G.B., Stark, E., and Ganong, W. F. Evidence that the mediobasal hypothalamus is involved in serotonergic stimulation of renin secretion. Neuroendocrinology 34:323-326, 1982.
- Kayto, Y. , Iwasaki, Y. , Iwasaki, J., Abe, H., Yanaihar, N.Y., and Imura, H. Prolactin release by vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in rats. Endocrinology 105:554–558, 1978.
- Konturek, S.J., Pucher, A., and Radecki, T. Comparison of vasoactive intestinal peptide and secretin in stimulation of pancreatic secretion. J. Physiol. 255:497–509, 1976.
- Kotchen, T.A., Galla, J.H., and Luke, R.G. Contribution of chloride to the inhibition of plasma renin by sodium chloride in the rat. Kid. Internat. 13:201–207, 1978.
- Kotchen, T.A. and Guthrie, G.P. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone and hypertension. Endocrinol. Rev. 1:78–99, 1980.
- Kotchen, T.A., Maull, K.I., Luke, R.G., Rees, D., and Flamenbaum, W. Effect of acute and chronic calcium administration on plasma renin. J. Clin. Invest. 54: 1279–1286, 1974.
- Kowal, J., Horst, I., Pensky, J., and Alfonzo, M. ^A comparison of the effects of ACTH, vasoactive intestinal peptide, and cholera toxin on adrenal cAMP and steroid synthesis. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 297: ³¹ 4–328, 1977.
- Krejs, G. J. Effect of WIP infusion on water and electrolyte transport in the human intestine. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 193–200, 1982.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$ are the simulation of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and the set of the s $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the space of the spac $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ is a subset of the following $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The following

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$ and $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$. The second constraints are also the second constraints of $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and the set of the set o

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(1))$. The set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(1))$ \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

- La Grange, R.G., Sloop, G.H., and Schmid, H.E. Selective stimulation of renal nerves in the anesthetized dog. Circ. Res. 33: 704–712, 1975.
- Larsson, L-I. Localization of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide: ^a critical appraisal. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 51-65, 1982.
- Larsson, L-I., Edvinsson, L., Fahrenkrug, J., Hakanson, R., Owman, C., Shaffalitzky de Muckadell, O.B., and Sundler, F. Immunohisto chemical localization of ^a vasodilatory polypeptide (VIP) in cerebrovascular nerves. Brain Res. ¹¹ 3:400-404, 1976a.
- Larsson, L-I., Fahrenkrug, J., Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, O., Sundler, F., Hakanson, R., and Rehfeld, J. F. Localization of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) to central and peripheral neurons. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 73:3197-3200, 1976b.
- Larsson, L-I., Polak, J.M., Buffa, R., Sundler, F., and Solcia, E. On the immunocytochemical localization of the vasoactive intestinal polypeptide. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 27:956-938, 1979.
- Lindkaer Jensen, S., Fahrenkrug, J., Holst, J. J., Wagn Nielsen, 0., and Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, O.B. Secretory effects of WIP on isolated perfused porcine pancreas. Am. J. Physiol. 235: E587-E391, 1978.
- Loeffler, J.R., Stockigt, J.R., and Ganong, W.F. Effect of alpha- and beta-adrenergic blocking agents on the increase in renin secretion produced by stimulation of the renal nerves. Neuroendocrinology 10: 129-138, 1972.
- Logan, A.G., Tenyi, I., Peart, W.S., Breathnach, A.S., and Martin, B. G. H. The effect of lanthanum on renin secretion and renal vasoconstriction. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. (Biol.) 195:327–343, 1977.
- Lopez, G.A., Reid, I.A., Rose, J.C., and Ganong, W.F. Effect of norepinephrine on renin release and the cyclic AMP content of rat kidney slices: modification by sodium deficiency and alpha adrenergic blockade. Neuroendocrinology 27:63–73, 1978.
- Loren, I., Emson, P.C., Fahrenkrug, J., Bjorklund, A., Alumets, J., Hakanson, R., and Sundler, F. Distribution of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in the rat and mouse brain. Neuroscience 4: 1953–1976, 1979.
- Lundberg, J. M., Anggard, A., Hökfelt, T., Johansson, 0., Fahrenkrug, J., and Emson, P.C. Coexistence of acetylcholine and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) in neurons: basis for secretion and vasodilation in exocrine glands. Proc. 28th Int. Congr. Physiol. Sci., Budapest, Hungary 14:556, 1980a.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}) \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the contract of the contr $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the contribution of the space of the space of the space of the space of \mathcal{A} $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ are the set of the following $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{d\mu}{\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$

- Lundberg, J. M., Anggard, A., Fahrenkrug, J., Hökfelt, T., and Mutt, W. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide in cholinergic neurons of exocrine glands: functional significance of coexisting trans mitters of vasodilation and secretion. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 1651–1655, 1980b.
- Lundberg, J.M., Anggard, A., Fahrenkrug, J., Johansson, O., and Hökfelt, T. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide in cholinergic neurons of exocrine glands. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S.I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 573-589, 1982a.
- Lundberg, J.M., Fried, G., Hökfelt, T., Holmstedt, B., Lundgren, G., Fahrenkrug, J., Lagercrantz, H., and Anggard, A. Subcellular fractionation of salivary gland nerves: presence of WIP in large and acetylcholine in small and large vesicular fractions. Neuroscience 6: 1001-1010, 1981.
- Lundberg, J.M., Hedlund, B., and Bartfai, T. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide enhances muscarinic binding in cat submandibular salivary gland. Nature 295:147-148, 1982b.
- Lundberg, J.M., Hökfelt, T., Nilsson, G., Terenius, L., Rehfeld, J., Elde, R., and Said, S. Peptide neurons in the vagus, splanchnic, and sciatic nerves. Acta Physiol. Scand. 104: 499–501, 1978.
- Lundberg, J.M., Hökfelt, T., Schultzberg, M., Uvnas-Wallensten, K., Kohler, C., and Said, S.I. Occurence of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP)-like immunoreactivity in certain cholinergic neurons of the cat: evidence from combined immunohistochemistry and acetylcholinesterase staining. Neuroscience 4: 1539–1559, 1979.
- Lyons, H.J. and Churchill, P.C. The influence of ouabain on in vitro renin secretion and intracellular sodium. Nephron 14:442–450, 1975.
- Malayan, S.A., Keil, L.C., Ramsay, D., and Reid, I.A. Mechanism of supression of plasma renin activity by centrally administered angiotensin II. Endocrinology 104:672–675, 1979.
- Matsuzaki, Y., Hamasaki, Y., and Said, S.I. Vasoactive intestinal peptide: a possible transmitter of nonadrenergic relaxation of guinea pig airways. Science 210:1252-1253, 1980.
- McCulloch, J. and Edvinsson, L. Cerebral circulatory and metabolic effects of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide. Am. J. Physiol. 238: H449–H456, 1980.
- McKenna, 0.0. and Agelakos, E.T. Acetylcholinesterase-containing nerve fibers in the canine kidney. Circ. Res. 23:645–651, 1968.
- Meyer, D.K., Boll, H., Lauterwein, B., and Hertting, G. Inhibition of isoprenaline-induced increase in plasma renin concentration by vasoconstrictors. Experientia ³¹ : 1071-1072, 1975.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6))$. The second contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$. The contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ are the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the set of the

- Meyer, P., Menard, J., Papincolaou, N., Alexander, J.M., Devaux, C., and Millez, P. Mechanism of renin release following furosemide diuresis in rabbit. Am. J. Physiol. 215:908-916, 1968.
- Michelakis, A.M. The effect of sodium and calcium on renin release in vitro. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 137:833–836, 1971.
- Michelakis, A.M., Caudle, J., and Liddle, G.W. In vitro stimulation of renin production by epinephrine, norepinephrine and cyclic AMP. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 130:748–753, 1969.
- Modlin, I.M., Bloom, S.R., and Mitchell, S. Plasma vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) levels and intestinal ischaemia. Experientia 32:535–536, 1978a.
- Modlin, I.M., Bloom, S.R., and Mitchell, S.J. Experimental evidence for vasoactive intestinal peptide as the cause of the watery diarrhea syndrome. Gastroenterology 75: 1051–1054, 1978b.
- Mogil, R.A., Itskovitz, H.D., Russel, J.H., and Murphy, J.J. Renal innervation and renin activity in salt metabolism and hypertension. Am. J. Physiol. ²¹ 6:693-697, 1969.
- Morel, F. Sites of hormone action in the mammalian nephron. Am. J. Physiol. 240:F159–F164, 1981.
- Morris, B. J., Nixon, R. L., and Johnston, C. I. Release of renin from glomeruli isolated from rat kidney. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. 3:37-47, 1976.
- Morris, B.J., Reid, I.A., and Ganong, W.F. Inhibition by alhpaadrenoceptor agonists of renin release in vitro. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 59: 37–45, 1979.
- Mutt, W. Isolation and structure of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide from various species. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 1-10, 1982.
- Mutt, V. and Said, S.I. Structure of the porcine vasoactive intestinal octacosapeptide. The amino acid sequence; use of kallikrein in its determination. Eur. J. Biochem. 42:581–589, 1974.
- Naftilan, A. J. and Oparil, S. Inhibition of renin release from rat kidney slices by the angiotensins. Am. J. Physiol. F62-F68, 1978.
- Nash, R.D., Rostorfer, H.H., Bailie, M.D., Wathen, R.L., and Schneider, E. G. Renin release. Relation to renal sodium load and dissociation from hemodynamic changes. Circ. Res. 22:473–487, 1968.
- Nasjletti, A. and Masson. G.M.C. Effects of corticosteroids on plasma angiotensinogen and renin activity. Am. J. Physiol. 217: 1396-1400, 1969.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 \mathcal{L}_max and \mathcal{L}_max are the set of the set o $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the second contribution of the second contribution of the second contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})))$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ is a subset of the set of the set of the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the propagation of the space of the propagator $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

- Nicosia, S., Spada, A., and Giannattasio, G. Effects of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide on the pituitary gland. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 265-275, 1982.
- Nolly, H. L., Reid, I.A., and Ganong, W. F. Effect of theophylline and adrenergic blocking drugs on the renin response to norepinephrine in vitro. Circ. Res. 35: 575-579, 1974.
- Okahara, T., Abe, Y., and Yamamoto, K. Effects of dibutyrl cyclic AMP and propranolol on renin secretion in dogs. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 156:213–228, 1977.
- Oliver, J.A., Pinto, J., Sciacca, R.R., and Cannon, P.J. Basal norepinephrine overflow into the renal vein: effect of renal nerve stimulation. Am. J. Physiol. 239: F371 –F377, 1980.
- Olson, R.D., Skoglund, M.L., Nies, A.S., and Gerber, J.G. Prostaglandins mediate the macula densa stimulated renin release. Adv. Prostaglandin Thromboxane Res. 7: 1135-1137, 1980.
- Otsuka, K., Assaykeen, T.A., Goldfien, A., and Ganong, W.F. Effect of hypoglycemia on plasma renin activity in dogs. Endocrinology 87: 1306–1317, 1970.
- Ottesen, B., Urichsen, H., Wagner, G., and Fahrenkrug, J. Wasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) inhibits oxytocin induced activity of the rabbit myometrium. Acta Phsyiol. Scand. 107:285–287, 1979.
- Owen, J.A., Iggo, B., Scandrett, F.J., and Stewart, C.P. The determination of creatinine in plasma or serum, and in urine; ^a critical examination. Biochem. J. 58: 426-437, 1954.
- Palkovits, M., De Jong, W., Wan der Wal, B., and De Wied, D. Effect of adrenocorticotrophin and growth hormone on aldosterone production and plasma remin activity in chronically hypophysectomized sodium deficient rats. J. Endocrinol. 47:243–250, 1970.
- Pandian, M.R., Horvat, A., Said, S.I. Radioimmunoassay of VIP in blood and tissues. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S.I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 35–50, 1982.
- Park, C.S., Han, D.S., and Fray, J.C.S. Calcium in the control of renin secretion. Calcium influx as an inhibitory signal. Am. J. Physiol. 240: F70-F74, 1981.
- Passo, S.S., Assaykeen, T.A., Otsukak, K., Wise, B.L., Goldfien, A., and Ganong, W.F. Effect of stimulation of the medulla oblongata on renin secretion in dogs. Neuroendocrinology 7: 1-10, 1971.
- Phillis, J.W., Kirkpatrick, J.R., and Said, S.I. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide excitation of central neurones. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 56:337-340, 1978.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. In the contribution $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the properties of the set of the set

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ the contract of the contract of the contract of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$. $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, the contribution of the $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set of the

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$. The set of the set of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$, we have $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(n^2))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$. The contribution of the contr $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ $\label{eq:2.1} \Psi_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha_{\alpha\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} \\ \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} \\ \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} & \alpha_{\beta\beta} \end{array} \right.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

- Polak, J.M., Pearse, A.G.E., Garaud, J-C., and Bloom, S.R. Cellular localization of ^a vasoactive intestinal peptide in the mammalian and avian gastrointestinal tract. Gut 15: 720–724, 1974.
- Porter, J.P. and Ganong, W.F. Relation of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide to renin secretion. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 285-297, 1982.
- Powell, H.R., McCredie, D.A., and Rotenberg, E. Renin release by parathyroid hormone in the dog. Endocrinology 103:985-989, 1978.
- Racusen, L.C. and Binder, H.J. Alteration of large intestinal electrolyte transport by vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in the rat. Gastroenterology 73: 790–796, 1977.
- Ramsay, D.J. The brain renin angiotensin system: a re-evaluation. Neuroscience 4:313–321, 1979.
- Rattan, S., Said, S.I., and Goyal, R.K. Effect of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) on the lower esophageal sphincter pressure (LESP). Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 155:40–45, 1977.
- Reid, I.A., MacDonald, D.M., Pachnia, B., and Ganong, W.F. Studies concerning the mechanism of suppression of remin secretion by clonidine. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 192:715–721, 1975.
- Reid, I.A., Schrier, R.W., and Earley, L.E. An effect of extrarenal beta adrenergic stimulation on the release of renin. J. Clin. Invest. 51: 1861–1869, 1972a.
- Reid, I.A., Stockigt, J.R., Goldfien, A., and Ganong, W.F. Stimulation of renin secretion in dogs by theophylline. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 17:325–332, 1972b.
- Richardson, D., Stella, A., Leonetti, G., Bartocelli, A., and Zanchetti, A. Mechanism of renal release of renin by electrical stimulation of the brain stem in the cat. Circ. Res. 34: 425-454, 1974.
- Robberecht, P., Deschodt-Lanckman, M., Lammens, M., De Neef, P., Lambert, M., and Christophe, J. In vitro effects of secretin and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide on hydrolase secretion and cyclic AMP levels in the pancreas of five animal species. ^A comparison with caerulein. Gastroenterol. Clin. Biol. 1:519–525, 1977.
- Roberts, G.W., Woodhams, P.L., Crow, T.J., and Polak, J.M. Loss of immunore active WIP in the bed nucleus following lesions of the stria terminalis. Brain Res. 195:471-475, 1980.
- Rosa, R., Stoff, J., Silva, P., and Epstein, F.H. Tubular diuresis induced by vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) in the isolated perfused rat kidney. Clin. Res. 25:669A, ¹⁹⁷⁷ (abstract).

 \mathcal{L}_max and the set of the s $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}})))$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{O})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$, and the set of t

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is the contribution of the set of the contribution of the con $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, the set of the s

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$ a de la construcción de la constru
En 1930, el construcción de la con $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of the contribution of

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the set of t $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the set of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$

- Rosset, E. and Weyrat R. Effect of vasopressin, aldosterone, norepinephrine, angiotensin I and II on renin release by human kidney slices in vitro. Acta Endocrinol. (Suppl. 155): 179, ¹⁹⁷¹ (abstract).
- Rotsztejn, W. H., Benoist, C., Besson, J., Beraud, G., Bluet-Pagot, M.T., Kordon, C., Rosselin, G., and Duval, J. Effect of vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) on the release of adenohypophyseal hormones from purified cells obtained by unit gravity sediment ation: inhibition by dexamethasone of WIP-induced prolactin release. Neuroendocrinology 51:282-286, 1980a.
- Rotsztejn, W. H., Besson, J., Briaud, B., Gagnant, L., Rosselin, G., and Kordon, C. Effect of steroids on vasoactive intestinal peptide in discrete brain regions and peripheral tissues. Neuroendocrinology 31: 287–291, 1980b.
- Rotsztejn, W. H., Dussaillant, M., Nobou, F. , and Rosselin, G. Rapid glucocorticoid inhibition of vasoactive intestinal peptide-induced cyclic AMP accumulation and prolactin release in rat pituitary cells in culture. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 78: 7584–7588, 1981.
- Ruberg, M., Rotsztejn, W. H., Arancibia, S., and Besson, J. Stimulation of prolactin by vasoactive intestinal peptide. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 51: 319-32O, 1978.
- Said, S.I. Vasodilator action of VIP: introduction and general considerations. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 145–148, 1982.
- Said, S.I. and Faloona, G.R. Elevated plasma and tissue levels of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in the watery diarrhea syndrome due to pancreatic, bronchogenic and other tumors. N. Eng. J. Med. 293: 155–160, 1975.
- Said, S.I., Geumei, A., and Hara, N. Bronchodilator effect of WIP in vivo: protection against bronchoconstriction induced by histamine or prostaglandin F2_{al pha}. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 185-191, 1982.
- Said, S.I. and Mutt, W. Polypeptide with broad biological activity: isolation from small intestine. Science 169: 1217-1218, 1970.
- Said, S.I. and Mutt, W. Isolation from porcine-intestinal wall of ^a vasoactive octacosapeptide releated to secretin and glucagon. Eur. J. Biochem. 28:199–204, 1972.
- Said, S.I. and Porter, J.C. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide: release into hypophyseal portal blood. Life Sci. 24:227—230, 1979.
- Said, S.I. and Rosenberg, R.N. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide: abundant immunoreactivity in neural cell lines and normal nervous tissue. Science 192:907–908, 1976.

 \mathcal{L}_max and \mathcal{L}_max are the second contribution of the second contribution \mathcal{L}_max

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\right)^2\right)^{1/2}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

- Schaffalitzky de Muckadell, O.B., Fahrenkrug, J., Holst, J.J., and Lauritsen, K.B. Release of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) by intraduodenal stimuli. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 12:795–799, 1977.
- Schebalin, M., Said, S.I., and Makhlouf, G. M. Stimulation of insulin and glucagon secretion by vasoactive intestinal peptide. Am. J. Physiol. 232: E197-E200. 1977.
- Schultzberg, M., Hokfelt, T., Nilsson, G., Terenius, L., Rehfeld, J.F., Brown, M., Elde, R., Goldstein, M., and Said, S. Distribution of peptide- and catecholamine-containing neurons in the gastro intestinal tract of rat and guinea pig: immunohistochemical studies with antisera to substance P, vasoactive intestinal polypeptide, enkephalins, somatostatin, gastrin/cholecystokinin, neuro tensin, and dopamine beta-hydroxylase. Neuroscience 5:689– 744, 1980.
- Sealey, J.E., Clark, I., Bull, M.B., and Laragh, J.H. Potassium balance and the control of renin secretion. J. Clin. Invest. 49:2119-2127, 1970.
- Shade, R.E., Davis, J.O., Johnson, J.A., and Witty, R.T. Effects of renal arterial infusion of sodium and potassium on renin secretion in the dog. Circ. Res. ³¹ : 719–727, 1972.
- Shade, R.E., Davis, J.O., Johnson, J.A., Gotshall, R.W., and Speilman, W. S. Mechanism of action of angiotensin II and antidiuretic hormone on renin secretion. Am. J. Physiol. 224:926-929, 1973.
- Silverman, A-J. and Barajas, L. Effect of reserpine on the juxtaglomerular granular cells and renal nerves. Lab. Invest. 30:723–731, 1974.
- Skinner, S.L., McCubbin, J.W., and Page, I.H. Renal baroceptor control of renin secretion. Science 141:814-816, 1965.
- Smitherman, T. C., Sakio, H., Geumei, A.M., Yoshida, T., Oyamada, M., and Said, S.I. Coronary vasodilator action of WIP. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 169-176, 1982.
- Stella, A., Dampney, R. A. L., Golin, R., and Zanchetti, A. Afferent vagal control of renin release in the anesthetized cat. Circ. Res. (Suppl. I) 45: I-107-I-111, 1978.
- Stinson, J.M., Barnes, A.B., Zakneim, R.M., Chimoskey, J.E., Spinelli, F.R., and Barger, A.C. Cholinergic vasodilation during renal artery constriction in the unanesthetized dog. Fed. Proc. 27:630, ¹⁹⁶⁸ (abstract).
- Stockigt, J.R., Collins, R.D., and Biglieri, E.G. Determination of plasma renin concentration by antiotensin ^I radioimmunoassay. Circ. Res. (Suppl. II) 28–29: II–175-II-189, 1971.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

the contract of the contract of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \,d\mathcal{H}^3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}})$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L$

- Stoff, J.S., Silva, P., and Epstein, F.H. Effect of VIP on active chloride transport in the shark rectal gland. In: Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S.I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 223–230, 1982.
- Straus, E., Keltz, T.N., and Yalow, R.S. Enzymatic degradation of VIP. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 335-339, 1982.
- Tagawa, H. and Vander, A.J. Effects of adenosine compounds on renal function and renin secretion in dogs. Circ. Res. 26:327–338, 1970.
- Tagawa, H., Vander, A.J., Bonjour, J-P., and Malvin, R.L. Inhibition of renin secretion by vasopressin in unanesthetized sodium deprived dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 220:949–951, 1971.
- Tapia-Arancibia, L., Arancibia, S., Bluet-Pagot, M. T., Enjalbert, A., Epelbaum, J., Priam, M., and Kordon, C. Effect of vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) on somatostatin inhibition of pituitary growth hormone secretion in vitro. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 63: 235-236, 1980.
- Tobian, L., Tomboulian, A., and Janecek, J. Effect of high perfusion pressure on the granulation of juxtaglomerular cells in an isolated kidney. J. Clin. Invest. 38:605–610, 1959.
- Todd, E.P. and Vick, R.L. Kalemotropic effect of epinephrine: analysis with adrenergic agonists and antagonists. Am. J. Physiol. 22O: 1963–1969, 1971.
- Torres, V.E., Norhtrup, T.E., Edwards, R.M., Shah, S.V., and Dousa, T. Modulation of cyclic nucleotides in isolated rat glomeruli. Role of histamine, carbamylcholine, parathyroid hormone, and angiotensin II. J. Clin. Invest. 62: 1554–1545, 1978.
- Uddman, R., Malm, L., and Sundler, F. The origin of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) nerves in the feline nasal mucosa. Acta Otolaryngol. 89:152–156, 1980.
- Ueda, H., Yasuda, H., Takabatake, Y., Iizuka, M., Iizuka, T., Ihori, M., Yamamoto, M., and Sakamoto, Y. Increased renin release evoked by mesencephalic stimulation in the dog. Jpn. Heart J. 8:498–506, 1967.
- Van de Kar, L.D., Wilkinson, C.W., and Ganong, W.F. Pharmacological evidence for ^a role of brain serotonin in the maintenance of plasma renin activity in unanesthetized rats. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 219: 85–90, 1981.
- Van de Kar, L.D., Wilkinson, C.W., Skrobik, Y., Brownfield, M.S., and Ganong, W.F. Evidence that serotonergic neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus exert ^a stimulatory effect on the secretion of renin but not of corticosterone. Brain Res. 255:255-243, 1982.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ are the set of the set of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contract of the contrac $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the set of the set of

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

- Vander, A.J. Effects of acetylcholine, atropine, and physostigmine on renal function in the dog. Am. J. Physiol. 206:492-498, 1964.
- Vander, A.J. Effect of catecholamines and the renal nerves on renin secretion in anesthetized dogs. Am. J. Physiol. 209:659–662, 1965.
- Vander, A.J. Control of renin release. Physiol. Rev. 47:359-382, 1967.
- Vander, A.J. Inhibition of renin release in the dog by vasopressin and vasotocin. Circ. Res. 23:605-609, 1968.
- Vander, A.J. Direct effects of potassium on renin secretion and renal function. Am. J. Physiol. 219:455-459, 1970.
- Vander, A.J. and Geelhoed, G.W. Inhibition of renin secretion by angiotensin II. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 120:399-403, 1965.
- Vander, A.J. and Miller, R. Control of renin secretion in the anesthetized dog. Am. J. Phsyiol. 207:557–546, 1964.
- Vandongen, R. and Peart, W.S. Calcium dependence of the inhibitory effect of angiotensin on renin secretion in the isolated perfused kidney of the rat. Br. J. Pharmacol. 50: 125-129, 1974.
- Wandongen, R., Peart, W.S., and Boyd, G.W. Adrenergic stimulation of renin secretion in the isolated perfused rat kidney. Circ. Res. 32:290–296, 1973.
- Vijayan, E., Samson, W.K., Said, S.I., and McCann, S.M. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide: evidence for ^a hypothalamic site of action to release growth hormone, luteinizing hormone and prolactin in conscious ovariectomized rats. Endocrinology 104:53–57, 1979.
- Wasserman, M.A., Griffin, R.L., and Malo, P.E. Comparative in vitro tracheal relaxant effects of porcine and hen WIP. In: Wasoactive Intestinal Peptide, ed. by S. I. Said. Raven Press, New York. pp. 177-184, 1982.
- Weber, M.A., Stokes, G.S., and Gain, J.M. Comparison of the effects on renin release of beta-adrenergic antagonists with differing properties. J. Clin. Invest. 54: 1413–1419, 1974.
- Wei, E.P., Kontos, H.A., and Said, S.I. Mechanisms of action of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide on cerebral arterioles. Am. J. Physiol. 239:H765-HT68, 1980.
- Werman, R. Criteria for identification of ^a central nervous system transmitter. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 18:745-766, 1966.
- Westendorf, J.M. and Phillips, M.A. Vasoactive intestinal peptide stimulates hormone release from corticotrophic AtT20 cells in culture. Endocrinology (Suppl.) 110:134, 1982 (abstract).

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ is a subset of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$. The set of the set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt$

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6) \mathrm{G})$. The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(10^6) \mathrm{G})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and the second constraints are the second constraint of the second constraints $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ is the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$. The contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

- Whorton, A.R., Misono, K., Hollfield, J., Frolich, J.C., Inagami, T., and Oates, J.A. Prostaglandins and renin release: stimulation of renin release from rabbit cortical slices by PGI₂. Prostaglandins 14:1095-1 104, 1977.
- Wilson, D.A., O'Neill, J.T., Said, S.I., and Traystman, R.J. Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide and the cerebral circulation. Circ. Res. 48: 138–148, 1981.
- Winer, N., Chokshi, D.S., and Walkenhorst, W.G. Effects of cyclic AMP, sympathomimetic amines and adrenergic receptor antagonists on renin secretion. Circ. Res. 29:239–248, 1971.
- Witty, R.T., Davis, J.O., Johnson, J.A., and Prewitty, R.L. Effects of papaverine and hemorrhage on renin secretion in the nonfiltering
kidney. Am. J. Physiol. 221:1666-1671, 1971.
- Woke, C.R., Brown, T.C., Zacherle, B.J., and Walker, W.G. Effect of altered sodium concentration in the distal nephron segments on renin release. J. Clin. Invest. 49: 1630–1638, 1970.
- Wolfe, D.E., Axelrod, J., Potter, L., and Richardson, K.C. Localization of norepinephrine in adrenergic axons by light and electron microscopic autoradiography. In: Fifth Intl. Congr. of Electron Microscopy, Philadelphia, Vol. 2. Academic Press, New York. pp.1. 1962.
- Wright, F.S. and Schnermann, J. Interference with feed back control of glomerular filtration rate by furosemide, triflocin, and cyanide. J. Clin. Invest. 55: 1695–1708, 1974.
- Yamamoto, K., Hasegawa, T., Miyazaki, M., and Ueda, J. Control of renin secretion in the anesthetized dog. II. Relationship between remin secretion and plasma sodium concentration and GFR in the perfused kidney. Jpn. Circ. J. 33:593-600, 1969.
- Yun, J.C.H., Delea, C.S., Bartter, F.C., and Kelly, G. Increase in renin release after sinoaortic denervation and cervical vagotomy. Am. J. Physiol. 230:777–783, 1976.
- Zanchetti, A. and Stella, A. Neural control of renin release. Clin. Sci. Mol. Med. 48:21 5S-223S, 1975.
- Zehr, J.E. and Feigl, E.O. Suppression of renin activity by hypothalamic stimulation. Circ. Res. (Suppl. I) 32–33: I-17–I-27, 1973.
- Zimmerman, B.G. Actions of angiotensin on adrenergic nerve endings. Fed. Proc. 37: 199-202, 1978.
- Zimmermann, H. and Ganong, W.F. Pharmacological evidence that stimulation of central serotonergic pathways increases renin secretion. Neuroendocrinology 30: 101-107, 1980.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$

 $\frac{\omega s_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4 \omega_5 \omega_6}{\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4}$ α ssyli $\partial \mathcal{D}^0_{\alpha}$ $\partial \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ $-\mathcal{S}$ an Francisco

