# UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

## Title

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and cancer risk in women: Results from the Women's Health Initiative

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2w4519tz

**Journal** International Journal of Cancer, 135(8)

**ISSN** 0020-7136

## **Authors**

Brasky, Theodore M Liu, Jingmin White, Emily <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2014-10-15

## DOI

10.1002/ijc.28823

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Int J Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 10.

Published in final edited form as: *Int J Cancer.* 2014 October 15; 135(8): 1869–1883. doi:10.1002/ijc.28823.

## Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs and Cancer Risk in Women: Results from the Women's Health Initiative

Theodore M. Brasky<sup>1,2</sup>, Jingmin Liu<sup>3</sup>, Emily White<sup>2,4</sup>, Ulrike Peters<sup>2</sup>, John D. Potter<sup>2,5</sup>, Roland B. Walter<sup>2,4,6</sup>, Christina S. Baik<sup>2</sup>, Dorothy S. Lane<sup>7</sup>, JoAnn E. Manson<sup>8</sup>, Mara Z. Vitolins<sup>9</sup>, Matthew A. Allison<sup>10</sup>, Jean Y. Tang<sup>11</sup>, and Jean Wactawski-Wende<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cancer Prevention and Control; Columbus, OH <sup>2</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Clinical Research Division; Seattle, WA <sup>3</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, WHI Clinical Coordinating Center; Seattle, WA <sup>4</sup>University of Washington, Department of Epidemiology; Seattle, WA <sup>5</sup>Centre for Public Health Research, Massey University, Wellington, New Zealand <sup>6</sup>University of Washington, Division of Hematology/Department of Medicine; Seattle, WA <sup>7</sup>Stony Brook University School of Medicine, Department of Preventive Medicine; Stony Brook, NY <sup>8</sup>Harvard Medical School and Brigham and Women's Hospital, Department of Medicine; Boston, MA <sup>9</sup>Wake Forest School of Medicine, Division of Public Health Sciences; Winston-Salem, NC <sup>10</sup>University of California - San Diego School of Medicine, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, Division of Preventive Medicine; San Diego, CA <sup>11</sup>Stanford University School of Medicine, Department of Dermatology; Redwood City, CA <sup>12</sup>Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, University at Buffalo, SUNY; Buffalo, NY

#### Abstract

The use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) has been associated with reduced risks of cancers at several sites in some studies; however, we recently reported no association between their use and total cancer risk in women in a prospective study. Here we examine the association between NSAIDs and total and site-specific cancer incidence in the large, prospective Women's Health Initiative (WHI). 129,013 women were recruited to participate in the WHI at 40 US clinical centers from 1993 to 1998 and followed prospectively. After 9.7 years of follow-up, 12,998 incident, first primary, invasive cancers were diagnosed. NSAID use was systematically collected at study visits. We used Cox proportional hazards regression models to estimate multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for associations between NSAIDs use and total and site-specific cancer risk. Relative to non-use, consistent use (i.e., use at baseline and year 3 of follow-up) of any NSAID was not associated with total cancer risk (HR 1.00, 95% CI: 0.94–1.06). Results for individual NSAIDs were similar to the aggregate measure. In site-specific analyses, NSAIDs were associated with reduced risks of colorectal cancer, ovarian cancer, and melanoma. Our study confirms a chemopreventive benefit for

Address for Correspondence: Theodore M. Brasky, The Ohio State University – James Comprehensive Cancer Center, Suite 525, 1590 N. High St., Columbus, OH 43201, Phone: 614.293.3772, Fax: 614.366.5454, Theodore.Brasky@osumc.edu.

colorectal cancer in women and gives preliminary evidence for a reduction of the risk of some rarer cancers. NSAIDs' benefit on cancer risk was limited to specific sites and not evident when total cancer risk was examined. This information may be of importance when NSAIDs are considered as chemopreventive agents.

#### Keywords

Aspirin; cancer; ibuprofen; inflammation; naproxen; non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug

#### Introduction

The use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) has been associated with reduced risks of cancers at several sites, most consistently the colon and rectum <sup>1</sup>. Overall associations beyond those sites are less clear. A recent series of meta-analyses of randomized trials by Rothwell et al. <sup>2–5</sup>, reported that aspirin to reduced cancer risk and mortality. It is noteworthy that women were underrepresented in those analyses and, in most cases, findings were not stratified on sex. Thus associations for women are not well studied. In the only randomized trial of aspirin among women, no overall effect on cancer risk was observed in the Women's Health Study (WHS) <sup>6, 7</sup>, however these findings were based on a single, very low dose (100mg given every second day). In addition, few prospective observational studies of commonly available NSAIDs have examined associations with overall cancer risk in women and among them findings are inconsistent <sup>8–14</sup>. In the first study to examine specific non-aspirin formulations, we recently reported that NSAIDs, among participants of the VITamins And Lifestyle (VITAL) cohort study <sup>10</sup>, reduced overall cancer incidence in men but not in women.

Given these discrepancies, we took advantage of the large, prospective, Women's Health Initiative (WHI) to study further the association between NSAIDs and overall as well as sitespecific cancer risk in women.

#### Methods

#### Women's Health Initiative

The WHI is a large, prospective study that was designed to examine common causes of morbidity and mortality among postmenopausal women, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, and osteoporosis <sup>15</sup>. The study consists of a multifactorial clinical trial (CT) (Trial registration: clinicaltrials.gov identifier, NCT00000611) and an observational study (OS). Detailed methods of the study are given elsewhere <sup>15–17</sup>. Briefly, 161,808 women, ages 50–79 years, were recruited at 40 US clinical centers between September 1, 1993 and December 31, 1998. The WHI CT included 3 overlapping components: 2 placebo controlled hormone therapy trials [estrogen-alone (*n*=10,739) and estrogen plus progestin (*n*=16,608)]; a dietary modification compared to usual diet trial (*n*=48,836); and a calcium/vitamin D supplementation placebo controlled trial (*n*=36,282) <sup>18–20</sup>. Participants in the OS were 93,676 women who were screened for participation in the CT but were ineligible or unwilling to participate, or who were directly recruited <sup>21</sup>. After the original WHI study

ended in 2005, the WHI Extension Study (2005–2010) was carried out to collect an additional five years of follow-up data. Women provided written informed consent for participation in both the original and extension studies. Human Subjects Review Committees at all participating institutions approved the WHI study protocol.

For the present analysis, exclusions were made for women who: reported a positive history of cancer, other than non-melanoma skin cancer, prior to baseline enrollment or who were missing these data (n=16,255); had a cancer diagnosis between baseline and the third year of follow-up (n=3,705); or were missing NSAID exposure data from baseline or year 3 of follow-up (n=12,835) visits. After exclusions, there were 129,013 women available for inclusion in the analysis.

#### Data collection

WHI participants attended baseline screening visits, during which they completed selfadministered questionnaires that collected detailed information on demographics, medical and reproductive history, family history of cancer, physical activity, and other risk factors. Height (cm) and weight (kg) were measured by clinic staff, and used to determine body mass index (BMI; kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

A computer-driven medication-inventory system was developed to capture usual current medication use <sup>16</sup>. Participants were asked to bring prescription and over-the-counter medications used regularly (2 times/week) over the previous 2 weeks to their clinic visit to facilitate completion of a computer-assisted interview about current medication use. Women were asked about their current, regular use of NSAIDs, including aspirin, ibuprofen, naproxen, COX-2 inhibitors (e.g., celecoxib), and other NSAID preparations (e.g., indomethacin). Women provided medication data at baseline and year 3 (OS and CT) and additionally years 6 and 9 (CT only).

In an effort to minimize measurement error, use of individual NSAIDs was categorized as none, inconsistent, and consistent, corresponding to non-use at both baseline and the year 3 visits, use at baseline or year 3 only, and use at both baseline and year 3, respectively. Duration of NSAID use was reported at baseline and was dichotomized (<5y and 5y). Analyses of NSAID duration were restricted to non- and consistent users. Summary variables were created to account for uses of any NSAID (including prescription and over-the-counter preparations), any aspirin, and any non-aspirin NSAID. We defined low-dose aspirin as 100mg.

#### Follow-up for cancer and censoring

Incident, invasive cancer cases were reported by questionnaire annually in the OS and semiannually in the CT. Medical records were obtained and reviewed, and cancer diagnoses confirmed by physician adjudicators <sup>22</sup>. Only confirmed, invasive cancer diagnoses after year 3, the adjusted "baseline", were considered cases. After a median of 9.7 years of follow-up, 12,998 invasive cancers were identified. Cancers were additionally grouped by organ system or organ, and those with 150 cases were included in site-specific analyses.

Participants were right-censored from the analysis at the earliest date of the following events: end of original follow-up for participants who were not enrolled in the WHI Extension Study (n=24,392), withdrawal from the study (n=1,006), death (n=8,144), *in situ* diagnosis (n=2,718), loss of contact (n=479), or December 31, 2010, the last date of the WHI Extension study data adjudication (n=81,890). In site-specific analyses an invasive cancer at a given site was considered a "case" if it was the woman's first primary cancer. Incident cancers which were not the event of interest were censored at their respective times of diagnoses in order to avoid surveillance bias and to be consistent with exclusion criteria.

#### Statistical analyses

Cox proportional hazards regression models using baseline age as the time metric were used to estimate age- and multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CI for associations between NSAID use and cancer incidence. All multivariable-adjusted regression models were adjusted for randomization/enrollment in the CT. In addition, we selected *a priori* potential confounders collected at baseline, including known and suspected risk factors of the most common cancers and indications/contraindications for NSAID use for inclusion in regression models (see footnote of Table 2). In order to control for the potential confounding of the association between an individual NSAID and cancer risk by use of another, regression analyses for any one NSAID exposure were further adjusted for the use of other NSAIDs. Tests for linear trend (*P* trend) across categories of NSAID duration were calculated by including 3-level ordinal variables for NSAID duration (non-use, <5y consistent use, 5y consistent use) in regression models.

We hypothesized *a priori* that associations between NSAID use and cancer risk would be modified by factors associated with inflammation, including BMI <sup>23</sup>, cigarette smoking <sup>24</sup>, history of arthritis <sup>25</sup>, and use of cholesterol-lowering drugs <sup>26</sup>. *P* values for interaction (*P* interaction) were calculated by including a cross-product term in regression models. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All statistical tests are two-sided, and *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

Age-adjusted associations between characteristics of WHI women and consistent NSAID use are given in Table 1. Increasing age, BMI, smoking, and use of postmenopausal hormones were all positively associated with consistent NSAID use. Women who used multivitamins, had a family history of cancer, were screened for cancer, or had a personal history of hypertension, heart disease, or arthritis were also more likely to use NSAIDs consistently. Non-white women and women who reported gastric ulcers were less likely to use NSAIDs.

Age and multivariable-adjusted associations between NSAID use and total cancer incidence are given in Table 2. Results were similar in the OS and CT; therefore, findings are given in the combined cohort, adjusted for CT intervention assignment. Relative to non-use, consistent use of any NSAID (HR 1.00, 95% CI: 0.94–1.06) and increasing baseline duration of use among consistent users (59: HR 0.99, 95% CI: 0.91–1.08) were not associated with total cancer risk. Use of individual NSAIDs, including low-dose and regular-

strength aspirin, ibuprofen, and naproxen were also not associated with cancer risk. Although long-term baseline use of naproxen was associated with a 45% increased risk of total cancer (HR 1.45, 95% CI: 1.02–2.06) among consistent users, this result was based on a small number of cases and there was no linear trend for duration of use (*P*trend=0.14).

We performed several sensitivity analyses in order to evaluate the robustness of our findings. In an analysis where use of individual NSAIDs was compared to a referent of non-users of any NSAID, results were not meaningfully changed (consistent use vs. non-use for any aspirin: HR 1.05, 95% CI: 0.98–1.13; for any non-aspirin NSAID: HR 1.02, 95% CI: 0.92–1.15). We also considered whether our censoring of *in situ* cancers had an effect on our results. In a separate sensitivity analysis, we examined associations between NSAID use and cancer risk, where a case was defined as incident *in situ* or invasive cancer. Results were changed only nominally (Supplemental Table 1). Lastly, in an effort to determine whether adjustment for correlates of NSAID use constituted over-adjustment, we examined associations in regression models adjusted only for cancer risk factors (Supplemental Table 2 and footnotes); here again, only very small changes were noticed in the results.

We further examined whether associations between consistent NSAID use and cancer risk were modified by factors known to affect inflammation (Figure 1). There was no effectmodification by BMI, cigarette smoking, history of arthritis, or use of cholesterol-lowering drugs.

Table 3 presents associations between NSAID use and risk of cancers of individual organs or organ systems. In-depth analyses of the associations between NSAIDs and colorectal <sup>27</sup>, breast <sup>28</sup>, endometrium <sup>29</sup>, and skin <sup>30</sup> cancer in the WHI have been published previously. There was strong evidence for a reduction of the risk of GI tract cancers, and colorectal cancer in particular, with use of any NSAID (5y among consistent users vs. non-use: HR 0.74, 95% CI: 0.55–0.99). In addition, long-term consistent use of NSAIDs, primarily aspirin, was associated with a reduction of ovarian cancer risk and consistent aspirin use was inversely associated with melanoma incidence. Results were similar by aspirin dose (Supplemental Table 3). NSAID use was not associated with cancers of the urinary tract, lung, breast, endometrium, thyroid, or hematologic malignancies. There were no statistically significant increases in risk for any cancer site.

#### Discussion

In this large prospective study, we found little evidence to support the use of NSAIDs, in sum or individually, for cancer chemoprevention in postmenopausal women, beyond their suggested role in reducing colorectal cancer incidence. There was preliminary evidence for inverse associations with melanoma and ovarian cancers. Consistent NSAID use did not reduce the risks of breast, lung, endometrial, or thyroid cancers, the most common cancers in women aside from colorectal cancer <sup>31</sup>.

NSAIDs are thought to reduce cancer risk through the inhibition of the cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes, particularly the inducible isoform, COX-2. Inhibition of COX-2 reduces the downstream synthesis of pro-inflammatory prostaglandins (PG), particularly PGE<sub>2</sub>, a

potent mitogen which has been considered a target for cancer prevention and therapy <sup>32</sup>. COX-2 and PGE<sub>2</sub> are also correlated with aromatase expression *in vitro* <sup>33</sup> and there is human evidence that their inhibition is associated with reduced estrogen metabolism <sup>34</sup>.

There are relatively few observational studies with the capacity to adjust for potential confounding factors aside from age that have examined associations between NSAID use and total cancer risk in women  $^{8-10}$ . Recently, we published findings from the VITAL cohort, which included 2,534 cases among 31,580 women followed for 7 years <sup>10</sup>. Similar to the current findings, use of NSAIDs was not associated with total cancer risk in women (4 days/week for 4 years vs. non-use: HR 1.10, 95% CI: 0.96–1.25)<sup>10</sup>. Reports from the Iowa Women's Health Study [(IWHS); n cases=3,487; 10y follow-up]<sup>8</sup> and the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort [(CPS); *n* female cases=7,196; 8y follow-up] <sup>9</sup> were suggestive of reduced risks of total cancer. In the IWHS, a prospective cohort of postmenopausal women, Bardia et al.<sup>8</sup>, reported that current use of aspirin 6 days/week at baseline was associated with a 19% reduction in total cancer risk [Relative Risk (RR) 0.81, 95% CI: 0.73–0.90; Ptrend<0.001]. Use of non-aspirin NSAIDs was not associated with total cancer risk (RR 0.94, 95% CI: 0.83–1.06). To our knowledge, long-term NSAID exposure was not assessed. In the Cancer Prevention Study (CPS), current daily use of regular or extra-strength aspirin for 5 years was associated with a statistically nonsignificant 14% reduction in total cancer risk in women (RR 0.86, 95% CI: 0.73-1.03)<sup>9</sup>. In that study, use of non-aspirin NSAIDs was not assessed. Three earlier studies <sup>11-13</sup> reported only age-adjusted relative risks. Among them, findings were null for aspirin in the NHANES I cohort <sup>12</sup>, and 8–10% increases in risk were reported for low-dose aspirin <sup>11</sup> or non-aspirin NSAIDs <sup>13</sup> in a cohort linked to the Danish Cancer Registry.

This study is only the second to examine associations of ibuprofen and naproxen with total cancer risk. Our current findings are consistent with our previous report among women in the VITAL cohort <sup>10</sup>. In it, we found no evidence of a reduction in cancer risk for long-term regular use of ibuprofen ( 4 days/week for 4 years vs. non-use: HR 1.05, 95% CI: 0.88–1.27) or naproxen ( 4 days/week for 4 years vs. non-use: HR 1.05, 95% CI: 0.77–1.44) relative to non-use <sup>10</sup>.

Results from randomized trials are limited to aspirin, predominately conducted among men, and are conflicting. Recently, Rothwell et al. published a series of pooled analyses of randomized trials of aspirin on the short-term risk of cancer <sup>4</sup> and long-term risk of metastatic cancer <sup>5</sup>. In six trials of daily low-dose aspirin tested against a placebo, which included 642 incident cancers in approximately 16,400 women, aspirin was protective in women for total cancer after 3 years of follow-up (OR 0.75, 95% CI: 0.59–0.94) but not earlier (OR 1.13, 95% CI: 0.91–1.40) <sup>4</sup>. Aspirin (75mg/day) reduced the risk of distant metastasis in five trials (HR 0.64, 95% CI: 0.48–0.84) <sup>5</sup>; however less than a third of participants were women and findings were not stratified on sex. The WHS is the only randomized controlled trial of an NSAID, low-dose aspirin (100mg given every second day), for cancer prevention in women <sup>6</sup>. 7. The study included 39,876 women and 2,865 incident cancers after 10 years of follow-up <sup>6</sup>. Similar to our findings, no effect of low-dose aspirin on overall cancer risk was reported (HR 1.01, 95% CI: 0.94–1.08) <sup>6</sup>. 7.

Only VITAL <sup>10</sup>, IWHS <sup>8</sup>, and the WHS <sup>6</sup> have examined whether associations between NSAIDs and cancer risk are modified by factors known to influence inflammation. Similar to this study, none has reported effect-modification.

Our site-specific findings are largely consistent with previous reports from the WHI in which the use of NSAIDs and risks of cancers of the breast  $^{28}$ , colon and rectum  $^{27}$ , skin  $^{30}$ , and endometrium  $^{29}$ , were examined. Our results differ with 2 prior publications  $^{27, 28}$  among the WHI OS. Whereas we observed no reduction in breast cancer risk, Harris et al.  $^{28}$ , reported that long-term use of NSAIDs was associated with a linear reduction in breast cancer risk (RR 0.72, 95% CI: 0.56–0.91) after 3.6 years of follow-up. Conversely, whereas we found a strong reduction in colorectal cancer risk, Allison et al.  $^{27}$ , reported no association between aspirin use and colorectal cancer risk (HR 0.95, 95% CI: 0.69–1.31) after 6.4 years of follow-up. In an effort to explain these differences, we examined site-specific associations in the OS alone. The subgroup findings for breast and colorectal cancer did not differ from the combined OS + CT estimates (data not shown). Accrual of additional cases over a longer follow-up period may explain these differences in findings.

Our findings for a reduction in colorectal cancer risk are consistent with randomized trials and observational studies of NSAIDs, which have shown these medications to be chemoprotective for colorectal cancer risk <sup>7, 35–37</sup> and mortality <sup>3, 38</sup>. Evidence for the remaining cancer sites remains inconsistent and in the case of cancers shared between the sexes, few meta-analyses have stratified findings on sex. Nevertheless, our findings for lung cancer agree with those from a recent meta-analyses <sup>39, 40</sup> which reported reductions in lung cancer risk for men but not women. Our finding of a possible reduction of ovarian cancer risk and no association with endometrial cancer risk is also consistent with recent meta-analyses <sup>41, 42</sup>. Contrary to the current findings, meta-analyses have reported that use of NSAIDs are associated with small reductions in breast cancer risk <sup>1, 43</sup>. Our reported inverse association between aspirin use and melanoma risk, in conjunction with a recent report from the WHI-OS <sup>30</sup>, is inconsistent with a recent meta-analysis of aspirin use among women <sup>44</sup>, which found no association (RR 0.94, 95% CI: 0.72–1.22); however findings for women were not restricted to prospective studies, among which there are few.

This study has several strengths. It is by far the largest to prospectively examine the association between NSAID use and overall cancer risk in women. Follow-up of participants was nearly complete, thereby reducing the likelihood of attrition bias. Unlike most other studies, we were able to examine associations for specific, commonly available NSAID formulations. Lastly, we were able to adjust for a large number of potential confounding factors including correlates of NSAID use. The primary limitations of this study center on the measurement of NSAIDs. In addition to a long follow-up period which allows for participants to begin or cease NSAID use, we had limited data on non-aspirin NSAID dose and no data on the frequency of NSAID use or the number of pills taken per pill-taking event; each contributes to measurement error and may have contributed to the observed null results. Similarly, daily users may have been more likely to recall their use in the prior 2 weeks as compared to transient users, further contributing to error. Nevertheless, we attempted to create a more reliable measure of NSAIDs by combining baseline and year 3 data. The consistency of our findings measured against our previous report <sup>10</sup> and the inverse

associations observed for specific organ sites (e.g., colorectal cancer) provides evidence that our NSAID measurement was valid. Another limitation is that we included a large number of potential confounders for adjustment in multivariable regression models. For rarer cancers, this adjustment may have resulted in less precise estimates of hazard. Lastly, and in light of the reanalysis of the WHS trial data, which reported a protective effect for low-dose aspirin on colorectal cancer risk only after 10 years of follow-up <sup>7</sup>, it is possible that the 10year follow-up period in WHI was too short to observe an association overall or for other specific sites.

Our study confirms a chemopreventive benefit of NSAID use for colorectal cancer in women and gives preliminary evidence for a reduction in the risk of ovarian cancer and melanoma. NSAIDs' benefit on cancer risk was limited to specific sites in women and not evident when total cancer risk was examined. Use of NSAIDs for chemoprevention of colorectal cancer and perhaps other cancers in postmenopausal women warrants further consideration; however this research does not support the use of NSAIDs for overall cancer chemoprevention in postmenopausal women.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

The WHI programs are funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services through contracts, HHSN268201100046C, HHSN268201100001C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100004C. This work is additionally supported by the National Institutes of Health grant K05-CA154337 (National Cancer Institute and Office of Dietary Supplements).

#### References

- Bosetti C, Rosato V, Gallus S, Cuzick J, La Vecchia C. Aspirin and cancer risk: a quantitative review to 2011. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO. 2012; 23:1403–15.
- Algra AM, Rothwell PM. Effects of regular aspirin on long-term cancer incidence and metastasis: a systematic comparison of evidence from observational studies versus randomised trials. The lancet oncology. 2012; 13:518–27. [PubMed: 22440112]
- Rothwell PM, Fowkes FG, Belch JF, Ogawa H, Warlow CP, Meade TW. Effect of daily aspirin on long-term risk of death due to cancer: analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. Lancet. 2011; 377:31–41. [PubMed: 21144578]
- 4. Rothwell PM, Price JF, Fowkes FG, Zanchetti A, Roncaglioni MC, Tognoni G, Lee R, Belch JF, Wilson M, Mehta Z, Meade TW. Short-term effects of daily aspirin on cancer incidence, mortality, and non-vascular death: analysis of the time course of risks and benefits in 51 randomised controlled trials. Lancet. 2012; 379:1602–12. [PubMed: 22440946]
- Rothwell PM, Wilson M, Price JF, Belch JF, Meade TW, Mehta Z. Effect of daily aspirin on risk of cancer metastasis: a study of incident cancers during randomised controlled trials. Lancet. 2012; 379:1591–601. [PubMed: 22440947]
- Cook NR, Lee IM, Gaziano JM, Gordon D, Ridker PM, Manson JE, Hennekens CH, Buring JE. Low-dose aspirin in the primary prevention of cancer: the Women's Health Study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2005; 294:47–55. [PubMed: 15998890]

- Cook NR, Lee IM, Zhang SM, Moorthy MV, Buring JE. Alternate-Day, Low-Dose Aspirin and Cancer Risk: Long-Term Observational Follow-up of a Randomized Trial. Annals of internal medicine. 2013; 159:77–85. [PubMed: 23856681]
- Bardia A, Ebbert JO, Vierkant RA, Limburg PJ, Anderson K, Wang AH, Olson JE, Vachon CM, Cerhan JR. Association of aspirin and nonaspirin nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with cancer incidence and mortality. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007; 99:881–9. [PubMed: 17551148]
- Jacobs EJ, Thun MJ, Bain EB, Rodriguez C, Henley SJ, Calle EE. A large cohort study of long-term daily use of adult-strength aspirin and cancer incidence. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2007; 99:608–15. [PubMed: 17440162]
- Brasky TM, Potter JD, Kristal AR, Patterson RE, Peters U, Asgari MM, Thornquist MD, White E. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and cancer incidence by sex in the VITamins And Lifestyle (VITAL) cohort. Cancer Causes Control. 2012; 23:431–44. [PubMed: 22212612]
- Friis S, Sorensen HT, McLaughlin JK, Johnsen SP, Blot WJ, Olsen JH. A population-based cohort study of the risk of colorectal and other cancers among users of low-dose aspirin. Br J Cancer. 2003; 88:684–8. [PubMed: 12618874]
- Schreinemachers DM, Everson RB. Aspirin use and lung, colon, and breast cancer incidence in a prospective study. Epidemiology. 1994; 5:138–46. [PubMed: 8172988]
- Sorensen HT, Friis S, Norgard B, Mellemkjaer L, Blot WJ, McLaughlin JK, Ekbom A, Baron JA. Risk of cancer in a large cohort of nonaspirin NSAID users: a population-based study. Br J Cancer. 2003; 88:1687–92. [PubMed: 12771981]
- 14. Potter, JD. The Failure of Chemoprevention. World Cancer Report 2014. IARC; Lyon, France: (in press)
- 15. Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial, observational study. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. Controlled clinical trials. 1998; 19:61–109. [PubMed: 9492970]
- Anderson GL, Manson J, Wallace R, Lund B, Hall D, Davis S, Shumaker S, Wang CY, Stein E, Prentice RL. Implementation of the Women's Health Initiative study design. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S5–17. [PubMed: 14575938]
- Hays J, Hunt JR, Hubbell FA, Anderson GL, Limacher M, Allen C, Rossouw JE. The Women's Health Initiative recruitment methods and results. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S18–77. [PubMed: 14575939]
- Stefanick ML, Cochrane BB, Hsia J, Barad DH, Liu JH, Johnson SR. The Women's Health Initiative postmenopausal hormone trials: overview and baseline characteristics of participants. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S78–86. [PubMed: 14575940]
- Ritenbaugh C, Patterson RE, Chlebowski RT, Caan B, Fels-Tinker L, Howard B, Ockene J. The Women's Health Initiative Dietary Modification trial: overview and baseline characteristics of participants. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S87–97. [PubMed: 14575941]
- Jackson RD, LaCroix AZ, Cauley JA, McGowan J. The Women's Health Initiative calcium-vitamin D trial: overview and baseline characteristics of participants. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S98–106. [PubMed: 14575942]
- Langer RD, White E, Lewis CE, Kotchen JM, Hendrix SL, Trevisan M. The Women's Health Initiative Observational Study: baseline characteristics of participants and reliability of baseline measures. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S107–21. [PubMed: 14575943]
- 22. Curb JD, McTiernan A, Heckbert SR, Kooperberg C, Stanford J, Nevitt M, Johnson KC, Proulx-Burns L, Pastore L, Criqui M, Daugherty S. Outcomes ascertainment and adjudication methods in the Women's Health Initiative. Annals of epidemiology. 2003; 13:S122–8. [PubMed: 14575944]
- 23. Pierce BL, Neuhouser ML, Wener MH, Bernstein L, Baumgartner RN, Ballard-Barbash R, Gilliland FD, Baumgartner KB, Sorensen B, McTiernan A, Ulrich CM. Correlates of circulating C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A concentrations in breast cancer survivors. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2009; 114:155–67. [PubMed: 18401703]
- 24. Danesh J, Wheeler JG, Hirschfield GM, Eda S, Eiriksdottir G, Rumley A, Lowe GD, Pepys MB, Gudnason V. C-reactive protein and other circulating markers of inflammation in the prediction of coronary heart disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2004; 350:1387–97. [PubMed: 15070788]

- 25. Stannus OP, Jones G, Blizzard L, Cicuttini FM, Ding C. Associations between serum levels of inflammatory markers and change in knee pain over 5 years in older adults: a prospective cohort study. Annals of the rheumatic diseases 2012.
- 26. Bielecka-Dabrowa A, Goch JH, Mikhailidis DP, Rysz J, Maciejewski M, Banach M. The influence of atorvastatin on parameters of inflammation and function of the left ventricle in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. Med Sci Monit. 2009; 15:MS12–23. [PubMed: 19946241]
- Allison M, Garland C, Chlebowski R, Criqui M, Langer R, Wu L, Roy H, McTiernan A, Kuller L. The association between aspirin use and the incidence of colorectal cancer in women. Am J Epidemiol. 2006; 164:567–75. [PubMed: 16847042]
- 28. Harris RE, Chlebowski RT, Jackson RD, Frid DJ, Ascenseo JL, Anderson G, Loar A, Rodabough RJ, White E, McTiernan A. Breast cancer and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: prospective results from the Women's Health Initiative. Cancer Res. 2003; 63:6096–101. [PubMed: 14522941]
- 29. Phipps AI, Anderson GL, Cochrane BB, Li CI, Wactawski-Wende J, Ho GY, O'Sullivan MJ, Newcomb PA. Migraine history, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, and risk of postmenopausal endometrial cancer. Hormones & cancer. 2012; 3:240–8. [PubMed: 22826191]
- 30. Gamba CS, Swetter SM, Stefanick ML, Kubo J, Desai M, Spaunhurst KM, Sinha AA, Asgari MM, Sturgeon S, Tang JY. Aspirin is associated with lower melanoma risk among postmenopausal Caucasian women: the Women's Health Initiative. Cancer. (in press).
- Howlader, N., Noone, AM., Krapcho, M., Neyman, N., Aminou, R., Altekruse, SF., Kosary, CL., Ruhl, J., Tatalovich, Z., Cho, H., Mariotto, A., Eisner, MP. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975– 2009 (Vintage 2009 Populations). National Cancer Institute; Bethesda, MD:
- 32. Wang D, Dubois RN. The role of COX-2 in intestinal inflammation and colorectal cancer. Oncogene. 2010; 29:781–8. [PubMed: 19946329]
- Brueggemeier RW, Diaz-Cruz ES, Li P-K, Sugimoto Y, Lin YC, Shapiro CL. Translational studies on aromatase, cyclooxygenases, and enzyme inhibitors in breast cancer. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry & Molecular Biology. 2005; 95:129–36. [PubMed: 15964185]
- Gates MA, Tworoger SS, Eliassen AH, Missmer SA, Hankinson SE. Analgesic use and sex steroid hormone concentrations in postmenopausal women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010; 19:1033–41. [PubMed: 20332258]
- Rothwell PM, Wilson M, Elwin CE, Norrving B, Algra A, Warlow CP, Meade TW. Long-term effect of aspirin on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: 20-year follow-up of five randomised trials. Lancet. 2010; 376:1741–50. [PubMed: 20970847]
- 36. Cole BF, Logan RF, Halabi S, Benamouzig R, Sandler RS, Grainge MJ, Chaussade S, Baron JA. Aspirin for the chemoprevention of colorectal adenomas: meta-analysis of the randomized trials. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2009; 101:256–66. [PubMed: 19211452]
- Chan AT, Giovannucci EL, Meyerhardt JA, Schernhammer ES, Curhan GC, Fuchs CS. Long-term use of aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of colorectal cancer. JAMA. 2005; 294:914–23. [PubMed: 16118381]
- Chan AT, Ogino S, Fuchs CS. Aspirin use and survival after diagnosis of colorectal cancer. JAMA. 2009; 302:649–58. [PubMed: 19671906]
- McCormack VA, Hung RJ, Brenner DR, Bickeboller H, Rosenberger A, Muscat JE, Lazarus P, Tjonneland A, Friis S, Christiani DC, Chun EM, Le Marchand L, et al. Aspirin and NSAID use and lung cancer risk: a pooled analysis in the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO). Cancer Causes Control. 2011; 22:1709–20. [PubMed: 21987079]
- 40. Oh SW, Myung SK, Park JY, Lee CM, Kwon HT. Aspirin use and risk for lung cancer: a metaanalysis. Ann Oncol. 2011; 22:2456–65. [PubMed: 21385885]
- 41. Baandrup L, Faber MT, Christensen J, Jensen A, Andersen KK, Friis S, Kjaer SK. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of ovarian cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica. 2012
- Neill AS, Nagle CM, Protani MM, Obermair A, Spurdle AB, Webb PM. Aspirin, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, paracetamol and risk of endometrial cancer: a case-control study, systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer. 2013; 132:1146–55. [PubMed: 22777678]
- Luo T, Yan HM, He P, Luo Y, Yang YF, Zheng H. Aspirin use and breast cancer risk: a metaanalysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012; 131:581–7. [PubMed: 21898115]

44. Li S, Liu Y, Zeng Z, Peng Q, Li R, Xie L, Qin X, Zhao J. Association between non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug use and melanoma risk: a meta-analysis of 13 studies. Cancer Causes Control. 2013; 24:1505–16. [PubMed: 23677334]

#### Impact

The current report confirms that NSAIDs reduce colorectal cancer incidence in postmenopausal women but, importantly, they may not confer an overall cancer chemopreventive benefit. These findings challenge an unsubstantiated belief that NSAID use reduces cancer risk for women.

Brasky et al.

	Non-Use n cases / n	Consisent Use	<b>P</b> Interaction	HR (95% CI)
Any NSAID	5894 / 55747	2668 / 22365	0.746	1.00 (0.94, 1.06)
Body mass index			0.746	1.00 (0.90, 1.10)
<25	2154 / 21639	790 / 7062		
≥25	3686 / 33655	1857 / 15127		1.00 (0.93, 1.07)
Smoking, pack years			0.755	0.00 (0.00, 1.07)
Never smoker	2818 / 29625	1188 / 11347		0.98 (0.90, 1.07)
0-20	1592 / 15774	723 / 6057		
$\geq 20$	1297 / 8481	667 / 4288		• 1.01 (0.90, 1.14)
Arthritis			0.216	
No	3478 / 33833	1125 / 9458		1.03 (0.95, 1.12)
Yes	2367 / 21563	1533 / 12802		0.96 (0.89, 1.05)
Cholesterol-lowering drugs			0.484	
No	5279 / 49848	2141 / 17891		0.99 (0.92, 1.05)
Yes	615 / 5899	527 / 4474		• 1.03 (0.88, 1.19)
Any aspirin	7858 / 73399	1712 13929		0.99 (0.93, 1.06)
Body mass index			0.981	
<25	2673 / 26706	553 / 4800		• 0.97 (0.87, 1.09)
≥25	5118 / 46097	1145 / 9017		1.00 (0.92, 1.08)
Smoking, pack years			0.930	
Never smoker	3683 / 38447	781 / 7138		• 0.99 (0.90, 1.09)
0-20	2135 / 20865	441 / 3668		1.00 (0.88, 1.14)
$\geq 20$	1789 / 11580	432 / 2696		• 0.98 (0.86, 1.12)
Arthritis			0.515	
No	4226 / 40346	830 / 6803		• 1.01 (0.92, 1.11)
Yes	3573 / 32589	873 / 7062		0.97 (0.88, 1.06)
Cholesterol-lowering drugs			0.593	
No	7022 / 65482	1318 / 10558		• 0.99 (0.92, 1.06)
Yes	836 / 7917	394 / 3371		• 0.99 (0.85, 1.16)
Any non-aspirin NSAID	9814 / 89287	524 / 4581		1.04 (0.93, 1.16)
Body mass index			0.458	
<25	3445 / 33415	127 / 1184		• 1.10 (0.89, 1.36)
≥25	6279 / 55112	392 / 3363		1.02 (0.90, 1.17)
Smoking, pack years			0.436	
Never smoker	4593 / 47053	234 / 2278		• 1.06 (0.90, 1.25)
0-20	2588 / 24848	148 / 1303		1.01 (0.82, 1.24)
≥20	2316 / 14482	125 / 855		1.00 (0.80, 1.24)
Arthritis			0.926	
No	5460 / 51216	151 / 1339		• 1.03 (0.84, 1.26)
Yes	4283 / 37537	372 / 3219		• 1.03 (0.90, 1.17)
Cholesterol-lowering drugs			0.973	
No	8479 / 76817	467 / 4058		• 1.03 (0.91, 1.15
Yes	1335 / 12470	57 / 523		1.02 (0.73, 1

#### Figure 1.

Associations between NSAID use and invasive cancer risk, stratified on characteristics associated with inflammation, in the Women's Health Initiative observational study and clinical trial, n=129,013. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models and are adjusted for age, observational study enrollment, hormone therapy trial enrollment, diet modification trial enrollment, calcium/ vitamin D trial enrollment, US region, education, ethnicity, height, body mass index, physical activity, alcohol consumption, pack-years of smoking, fruit and vegetable consumption, red meat consumption, family histories of: breast cancer, cervical cancer,

Favors Use

Favors Non-Use

endometrial cancer, and colorectal cancer (as separate terms); screening for: breast cancer, colon cancer, and cervical cancer (as separate terms); age at menarche, age at menopause, gravidity, age at 1<sup>st</sup> birth, duration of estrogen therapy, duration of combined postmenopausal hormone therapy, hysterectomy status, multivitamin use, use of anti-hypertensive medication, history of coronary heart disease, use of cholesterol-lowering medication, history of arthritis, history of migraine, history of ulcer, and other NSAID use. Consistent use is defined as NSAID use at baseline and the third year of follow-up.

#### Table 1

Associations between participant characteristics and NSAID use in the Women's Health Initiative observational study and clinical trial, *n*=129,013.

Characteristic	Non-user ( <i>n</i> =61,641), <i>n</i> (%)	Inconsistent NSAID use <sup>a</sup> (n=42,339), n(%)	Consistent NSAIE use (n=25,033), n (%) <sup>b</sup>
Demographics and anthropometry	ics		
Age, years			
50–54	9,794 (15.89)	5,426 (12.82)	2,110 (8.43)
55–59	13,995 (22.70)	8,014 (18.93)	4,006 (16.00)
60–64	14,410 (23.38)	9,877 (23.33)	5,935 (23.71)
65–69	12,556 (20.37)	9,420 (22.25)	6,340 (25.33)
70–74	7,824 (12.69)	6,741 (15.92)	4,707 (18.80)
75–79	3,062 (4.97)	2,861 (6.76)	1,935 (7.73)
Enrolled in WHI observational st	udy		
No	29,183 (47.34)	18,619 (43.98)	10,509 (41.98)
Yes	32,458 (52.66)	23,720 (56.02)	14,524 (58.02)
Education			
High school graduate	13,154 (21.51)	9,817 (23.34)	5,547 (22.29)
Some college	22,522 (36.83)	16,187 (38.48)	9,505 (38.20)
College or advanced degree	25,477 (41.66)	16,063 (38.18)	9,831 (39.51)
Ethnicity			
White	49,275 (79.94)	35,667 (84.24)	22,418 (89.55)
Black	5,983 (9.71)	3,673 (8.68)	1,477 (5.90)
Hispanic	2,824 (4.58)	1,524 (3.60)	457 (1.83)
Asian/Pacific Islander	2,395 (3.89)	719 (1.70)	323 (1.29)
Other	1,164 (1.89)	756 (1.79)	358 (1.43)
Height, inches			
<64	33,442 (54.56)	22,992 (54.65)	13,724 (55.11)
64–67.9	25,167 (41.06)	17,271 (41.05)	10,106 (40.58)
68–70.9	2,554 (4.17)	1,697 (4.03)	1,014 (4.07)
71	134 (0.22)	114 (0.27)	58 (0.23)
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>			
<25	23,793 (38.92)	13,551 (32.30)	7,852 (31.62)
25–29.9	21,361 (34.94)	14,516 (34.60)	8,809 (35.47)
30	15,980 (26.14)	13,883 (33.09)	8,175 (32.92)
Lifestyle characteristics			
Physical activity, MET-hrs/week			
Inactive	8,948 (15.29)	6,642 (16.45)	3,520 (14.60)
>0-6.8	16,145 (27.60)	11,647 (28.85)	6,832 (28.33)
6.8–16.6	16,019 (27.38)	10,946 (27.11)	6,964 (28.88)
16.7	17,394 (29.73)	11,141 (27.59)	6,801 (28.20)

Alcohol consumption, servings/week

Characteristic	Non-user ( <i>n</i> =61,641), <i>n</i> (%)	Inconsistent NSAID use <sup>a</sup> (n=42,339), n(%)	Consistent NSAIE use $(n=25,033)$ , $n$ $(\%)^b$
0	25,565 (41.60)	18,054 (42.76)	10,039 (40.18)
>0-0.9	12,686 (20.64)	8,488 (20.10)	4,987 (19.96)
0.9–3.6	11,272 (18.34)	7,472 (17.70)	4,680 (18.73)
3.7	11,938 (19.42)	8,210 (19.44)	5,280 (21.13)
Smoking, pack-years			
Never smoker	32,443 (54.45)	21,070 (51.53)	12,535 (51.65)
>0-4.9	8,792 (14.75)	5,940 (14.53)	3,302 (13.61)
5–19.9	8,574 (14.39)	5,871 (14.36)	3,478 (14.33)
20	9,778 (16.41)	8,005 (19.58)	4,955 (20.42)
Multivitamin use			
No	39,769 (64.52)	25,107 (59.30)	13,447 (53.72)
Yes	21,872 (35.48)	17,231 (40.70)	11,586 (46.28)
Family medical history			
Family history of breast cancer			
No	48,233 (82.35)	32,673 (81.49)	19,255 (81.24)
Yes	10,335 (17.65)	7,423 (18.51)	4,447 (18.76)
Family history of cervical cancer			
No	55,594 (96.13)	37,786 (95.61)	22,387 (95.82)
Yes	2,239 (3.87)	1,736 (4.39)	976 (4.18)
Family history of endometrial can	cer		
No	54,587 (94.51)	37,160 (94.10)	21,953 (93.78)
Yes	3,172 (5.49)	2,331 (5.90)	1,457 (6.22)
Family history of ovarian cancer			
No	56,131 (97.63)	38,344 (97.58)	22,582 (97.25)
Yes	1,362 (2.37)	952 (2.42)	638 (2.75)
Family history of colorectal cancer	r		
No	47,491 (83.83)	32,318 (83.50)	19,068 (83.34)
Yes	9,159 (16.17)	6,387 (16.50)	3,813 (16.66)
Family history of prostate cancer			
No	52,130 (90.05)	35,662 (90.16)	21,011 (89.60)
Yes	5,757 (9.95)	3,891 (9.84)	2,438 (10.40)
Medications/medical history			
Duration of unopposed estrogen th	erapy, years		
<4	48,476 (78.64)	31,497 (74.39)	18,215 (72.76)
4–12	7,262 (11.78)	5,466 (12.91)	3,352 (13.39)
12	5,903 (9.58)	5,375 (12.70)	3,466 (13.85)
Duration of combined hormone the	erapy, years		
<2.5	50,849 (82.49)	34,758 (82.09)	20,184 (80.63)
2.5–7	5,847 (9.49)	3,814 (9.01)	2,331 (9.31)
8	4,944 (8.02)	3,767 (8.90)	2,517 (10.06)

Hysterectomy status

Characteristic	Non-user ( <i>n</i> =61,641), <i>n</i> (%)	Inconsistent NSAID use <sup>a</sup> (n=42,339), n(%)	Consistent NSAID use (n=25,033), n (%) <sup>b</sup>
No	38,348 (62.24)	24,386 (57.63)	14,464 (57.81)
Yes	23,267 (37.76)	17,931 (42.37)	10,554 (42.19)
Breast cancer screening			
No	2,386 (3.89)	1,417 (3.36)	591 (2.37)
Yes	58,910 (96.11)	40,733 (96.64)	24,353 (97.63)
Cervical cancer screening			
No	815 (1.43)	511 (1.30)	239 (1.02)
Yes	56,043 (98.57)	38,669 (98.70)	23,254 (98.98)
Colon cancer screening			
No	30,025 (51.43)	19,288 (47.90)	10,571 (43.94)
Yes	28,360 (48.57)	20,977 (52.10)	13,487 (56.06)
History of hypertension			
No	44,355 (72.30)	26,696 (63.43)	14,600 (58.64)
Yes	16,997 (27.70)	15,389 (36.57)	10,297 (41.36)
Use of anti-hypertensive medi	cations		
No	44,443 (72.10)	26,125 (61.70)	13,735 (54.87)
Yes	17,198 (27.90)	16,214 (38.30)	11,298 (45.13)
History of coronary heart dise	ase		
No	61,021 (99.03)	41,252 (97.46)	23,880 (95.47)
Yes	596 (0.97)	1,075 (2.54)	1,134 (4.53)
Use of cholesterol-lowering m	edications		
No	55,127 (89.43)	35,961 (84.94)	20,032 (80.02)
Yes	6,514 (10.57)	6,378 (15.06)	5,001 (19.98)
History of arthritis			
No	37,311 (62.26)	20,017 (48.92)	10,583 (43.52)
Rheumatoid	2,305 (3.85)	2,306 (5.64)	1,412 (5.81)
Osteoarthritis or other	20,307 (33.89)	18,595 (45.44)	12,324 (50.68)
History of migraine headaches	5		
No	51,825 (89.75)	35,186 (88.08)	21,013 (87.85)
Yes	5,921 (10.25)	4,760 (11.92)	2,906 (12.15)
History of gastric ulcer			
No	56,875 (93.50)	39,214 (93.61)	23,477 (94.57)
Yes	3,956 (6.50)	2,676 (6.39)	1,349 (5.43)

Abbreviations: NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug

 $^{a}\mathrm{Inconsistent}$  NSAID use is defined as use at baseline or the third year of follow-up.

 $^{b}$ Consistent NSAID use is defined as NSAID use at baseline and at the third year of follow-up.

#### Table 2

Associations between NSAID use and invasive cancer risk in the Women's Health Initiative observational study and clinical trial, n=129,013.

NSAID	Cancer cases ( <i>n</i> =12,998), <i>n</i>	Non-cases ( <i>n</i> =116,015), <i>n</i>	Age & NSAID adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Multivariable- adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>
Any NSAID			-	
Non-user	5,894	55,747	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	4,436	37,903	1.11 (1.07–1.15)	1.07 (1.02–1.13)
Consistent use <sup>C</sup>	2,668	22,365	1.02 (0.97–1.07)	1.00 (0.94–1.06)
Duration among consistent usersd	1			
<5y	1,612	13,670	1.00 (0.95–1.06)	0.99 (0.93–1.06)
5у	1,056	8,695	1.02 (0.96–1.09)	0.99 (0.91–1.08)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.822
Any aspirin				
Non-user	7,858	73,399	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	3,158	26,633	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.94 (0.89-0.99)
Consistent use <sup>C</sup>	1,712	13,929	0.99 (0.94–1.04)	0.99 (0.93–1.06)
Duration among consistent users	d			
<5y	1,045	8,655	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	1.00 (0.92–1.08)
5у	667	5,274	1.00 (0.93–1.09)	0.98 (0.89–1.08)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.712
Low-dose aspirin ( 100mg)				
Non-user	10,657	97,012	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	1,278	11,146	0.93 (0.88–0.99)	0.92 (0.85-0.99)
Consistent use <sup>C</sup>	399	3,327	0.96 (0.87–1.06)	1.02 (0.90-1.14)
Duration among consistent users	d			
<5y	321	2,604	0.99 (0.89–1.11)	1.05 (0.92–1.20)
5у	78	723	0.85 (0.68-1.06)	0.85 (0.65–1.11)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.754
Regular-strength aspirin (>100mg)				
Non-user	9,125	84,740	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	2,452	20,369	0.99 (0.95–1.04)	0.96 (0.90-1.02)
Consistent use <sup>C</sup>	1,027	8,161	1.02 (0.95–1.08)	1.01 (0.93–1.09)
Duration among consistent users	d			
<5y	531	4,418	0.99 (0.91-1.08)	1.00 (0.89–1.11)
5y	496	3,743	1.06 (0.97–1.17)	1.05 (0.94–1.18)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.453
Any non-aspirin NSAID				
Non-user	9,814	89,287	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	2,142	18,980	1.04 (0.99–1.10)	1.03 (0.97–1.10)

NSAID	Cancer cases ( <i>n</i> =12,998), <i>n</i>	Non-cases ( <i>n</i> =116,015), <i>n</i>	Age & NSAID adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	Multivariable- adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>
Consistent use <sup>C</sup>	524	4,581	1.06 (0.97–1.16)	1.04 (0.93–1.16)
Duration among consistent users <sup>d</sup>				
<5y	351	2,897	1.12 (1.01–1.25)	1.09 (0.96–1.25)
5у	173	1,684	0.96 (0.83–1.12)	0.94 (0.79–1.13)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.897
Ibuprofen				
Non-user	10,236	93,714	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	1,791	15,126	1.08 (1.03–1.14)	1.05 (0.98–1.12)
Consistent use $^{\mathcal{C}}$	424	3,646	1.08 (0.98–1.19)	1.07 (0.95–1.20)
Duration among consistent users <sup>d</sup>				
<5y	268	2,143	1.15 (1.02–1.30)	1.15 (0.99–1.33)
5у	156	1,503	0.98 (0.83–1.14)	0.96 (0.79–1.16)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.585
Naproxen				
Non-user	11,459	104,137	1.00 reference	1.00 reference
Inconsistent use	716	6,347	1.13 (1.01–1.27)	1.18 (1.03–1.37)
Consistent use $^{\mathcal{C}}$	115	955	1.09 (0.85–1.41)	1.22 (0.89–1.65)
Duration among consistent users <sup>d</sup>				
<5y	77	699	1.00 (0.80–1.25)	0.98 (0.75–1.27)
5у	38	256	1.26 (0.91–1.73)	1.45 (1.02–2.06)
P trend <sup>e</sup>				0.134

Abbreviations: NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

<sup>a</sup>Analyses of individual NSAIDs, low-dose or regular-strength aspirin, ibuprofen, or naproxen are mutually adjusted for in regression models. Total aspirin is adjusted for individual non-aspirin NSAIDs, and total non-aspirin NSAIDs are adjusted for low-dose and regular-strength aspirin. Analyses of 'any NSAID' are age-adjusted only

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted for age, observational study enrollment, hormone therapy trial enrollment, diet modification trial enrollment, calcium/vitamin D trial enrollment, US region, education, ethnicity, height, body mass index, physical activity, alcohol consumption, pack-years of smoking, fruit and vegetable consumption, red meat consumption, family histories of: breast cancer, cervical cancer, endometrial cancer, and colorectal cancer (as separate terms); screening for: breast cancer, colon cancer, and cervical cancer (as separate terms); age at menarche, age at menopause, gravidity,

age at 1<sup>st</sup> birth, duration of estrogen therapy, duration of combined postmenopausal hormone therapy, hysterectomy status, multivitamin use, use of anti-hypertensive medication, history of coronary heart disease, use of cholesterol-lowering medication, history of arthritis, history of migraine, history of ulcer, and other NSAID use

<sup>c</sup>Consistent use is defined as NSAID use at baseline and at the third year of follow-up

 $^{d}$ Duration of use reported at baseline, restricted to analyses of non- and consistent users

<sup>e</sup>Ptrend is calculated across 3 categories: non-use, <5yrs use among consistent users, and 5yrs use among consistent users

Author Manuscript

# Table 3

Associations between NSAID use and risk of individual invasive cancers in the Women's Health Initiative observational study and clinical trial.

				COUSISIENT USE		
			Overall	<5y	5y	P trend <sup><math>e</math></sup>
Gastrointestinal cancers (n=1,876)	=1,876)					
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	866 / 60,775	676 / 41,663	334 / 24,699	206 / 1,507	128 / 9,623	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.13 (1.02–1.24)	0.83 (0.73–0.94)	0.84 (0.72–0.97)	0.80 (0.66–0.96)	0.003
HR (95% CI) $b$	1.00 reference	1.08 (0.95–1.23)	0.82 (0.69–0.96)	0.84 (0.69–1.02)	0.78 (0.62–0.99)	0.016
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	1,139 / 80,118	463 / 29,328	208 / 15,433	126 / 9,574	82 / 5,859	
HR (95% CI) <sup>4</sup>	1.00 reference	0.90 (0.80–1.01)	0.77 (0.66–0.89)	0.76 (0.63–0.91)	0.79 (0.63–0.99)	0.002
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.88 (0.76–1.02)	0.73 (0.61–0.89)	0.76 (0.61–0.96)	0.73 (0.54–0.97)	0.004
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	1,377 / 97,724	351 / 20,771	57 / 5,048	42 / 3,206	15 / 1,842	
HR (95% CI) <sup>4</sup>	1.00 reference	1.11 (0.97–1.26)	0.79 (0.60–1.03)	0.93 (0.68–1.27)	0.57 (0.34–0.95)	0.044
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.15 (0.97–1.35)	0.87 (0.63–1.20)	1.01 (0.70–1.46)	0.63 (0.35–1.15)	0.231
Colorectal cancer (n=1,287)						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	611 / 61,030	447 / 41,892	229 / 24,804	145 / 15,137	84 / 9,667	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.06 (0.94–1.20)	0.81 (0.70–0.95)	0.85 (0.71–1.02)	0.76 (0.60–0.95)	0.006
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	1.00 (0.86–1.17)	0.78 (0.64–0.95)	0.84 (0.67–1.06)	0.74 (0.55–0.99)	0.023
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	810 / 80,447	299 / 29,492	144 / 15,497	90 / 9,610	54 / 5,887	
HR (95% CI) <sup>4</sup>	1.00 reference	0.85 (0.74–0.99)	0.76 (0.64–0.91)	0.78 (0.63–0.97)	0.75 (0.57–0.99)	0.006
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.83 (0.69–0.99)	0.71 (0.56–0.89)	0.75 (0.57–1.00)	0.69 (0.48–0.99)	0.010
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	959 / 98,142	238 / 20,884	40 / 5,065	31/3,217	9 / 1,848	
HR (95% CD <sup>4</sup>	1.00 reference	1.13 (0.97–1.31)	0.78 (0.57–1.08)	0.98 (0.69–1.41)	0.49 (0.25-0.95)	0.068

	Non-user	Inconsistent use		Consistent use <sup>c,d</sup>		
			Overall	<5y	5y	P trend <sup>e</sup>
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.19 (0.98–1.44)	0.79 (0.53–1.19)	1.00 (0.63–1.56)	0.51 (0.23–1.14)	0.169
Pancreatic cancer $(n=378)$						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	160 / 61,481	151 / 42,188	67 / 24,966	40 / 15,242	27 / 9,724	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.34 (1.07–1.67)	0.87 (0.65–1.16)	$0.84\ (0.59{-}1.19)$	$0.86\ (0.57{-}1.30)$	0.324
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.29 (0.97–1.70)	0.82 (0.57–1.17)	0.81 (0.53–1.24)	0.71 (0.42–1.21)	0.155
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	211 / 81,046	108 / 29,683	39 / 15,602	23 / 9,677	16 / 5,925	
HR (95% CI) <sup>2</sup>	1.00 reference	0.97 (0.74–1.25)	$0.74\ (0.52{-}1.04)$	0.71 (0.46–1.09)	0.78 (0.47–1.29)	0.114
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.88 (0.64–1.23)	0.69 (0.45–1.06)	0.74 (0.44–1.24)	0.58 (0.29–1.15)	0.063
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	259 / 98,842	77 / 21,045	11 / 5,094	7 / 3,241	4 / 1,853	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.20 (0.90–1.61)	0.83 (0.45–1.53)	0.83 (0.39–1.77)	0.81 (0.30–2.19)	0.582
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.20 (0.83–1.72)	0.92 (0.46–1.83)	0.93 (0.41–2.12)	0.80 (0.25–2.53)	0.686
Urinary tract cancers (n=449)	49)					
Any NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	204 / 61,437	153 / 42,186	92 / 24,941	54 / 15,228	38 / 9,713	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.09 (0.88–1.34)	0.98 (0.76–1.25)	0.93 (0.69–1.26)	1.01 (0.71–1.43)	0.888
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	1.10 (0.84–1.43)	0.91 (0.66–1.25)	0.75 (0.50–1.12)	1.07 (0.70–1.63)	0.853
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	269 / 80,988	111 / 29,680	62 / 15,579	35 / 9,665	27 / 5,914	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.07 (0.85–1.34)	0.99 (0.75–1.31)	0.89 (0.63–1.27)	1.10(0.74 - 1.64)	0.898
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.12 (0.85–1.49)	0.96 (0.68–1.37)	0.71 (0.44–1.16)	1.29 (0.82–2.05)	0.703
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	352 / 98,749	74 / 21,048	14 / 5,091	9 / 3,239	5 / 1,852	
HR (95% CI) <sup>4</sup>	1.00 reference	1.07 (0.82–1.39)	0.80 (0.47–1.38)	0.81 (0.42–1.58)	0.78 (0.32–1.89)	0.455
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.93 (0.66–1.31)	0.78 (0.41–1.48)	0.89 (0.42–1.91)	0.63 (0.20–1.98)	0.463
Kidney cancer (n=292)						

Int J Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 10.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Any NSAIDsAny NSAIDsCases / non-cases $(95\% CD)^a$ $(100 reference)$ $HR (95\% CD)^a$ $(100 reference)$ $Any aspirin(23es) / non-cases)(100 reference)HR (95\% CD)^a(100 reference)(100 reference)$	93 / 42,246 1.02 (0.78–1.33) 1.03 (0.74–1.44) 71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)	Overall 65 / 24,968 1.08 (0.80–1.45) 0.86 (0.58–1.29)	<5y	5y	9
cases $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{cases}$ $p^{cases}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{cases}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{b}$ $p^{a}$ $p^{b}$	93 / 42,246 1.02 (0.78–1.33) 1.03 (0.74–1.44) 71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)	65 / 24,968 1.08 (0.80–1.45) 0.86 (0.58–1.29)			P trend <sup>e</sup>
cases $D^{a}$ $D^{b}$ $D^{b}$ $D^{cases}$ $D^{cases}$ $D^{cases}$ $D^{cases}$ $D^{a}$	93 / 42,246 1.02 (0.78–1.33) 1.03 (0.74–1.44) 71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)	65 / 24,968 1.08 (0.80–1.45) 0.86 (0.58–1.29)			
$1)^{a}$ $1)^{b}$ n-cases $C1)^{a}$ $C1)^{b}$ n-cases n-cases $C1)^{b}$ cer (n=157) $1)^{b}$ $1)^{cases}$ $1)^{cases}$ $1)^{cases}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{cases}$	1.02 (0.78–1.33) 1.03 (0.74–1.44) 71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)	1.08 (0.80–1.45) 0.86 (0.58–1.29)	38 / 15,244	27 / 9,724	
<ul> <li>I) b</li> <li>n-cases</li> <li>n-cases</li> <li>cI) b</li> <li>pirin NSAIDs</li> <li>n-cases</li> <li>n-cases</li> <li>cI) b</li> <li>cer (n=157)</li> <li>cer ses</li> <li>n) b</li> <li>n) b</li> <li>n cases</li> <li>cases</li> <li>cases</li></ul>	1.03 (0.74–1.44) 71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)	0.86 (0.58–1.29)	1.02 (0.71–1.46)	1.12 (0.74–1.69)	0.634
n-cases $CIJ^a$ $CIJ^b$ rcases n-cases rcases $CIJ^a$ $CIJ^a$ rcases	71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)		0.62 (0.36–1.06)	1.15 (0.70–1.89)	0.942
n-cases $CI)^{a}$ $CI)^{b}$ pirin NSAIDs n-cases $CI)^{a}$ $CI)^{b}$ cer (n=157) cases cases rases $I)^{a}$ $I)^{a}$ D D D D D D D D	71 / 29,720 1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)				
CI) <sup><math>a</math></sup> CI) <sup><math>b</math></sup> pirin NSAIDs n-cases CI) <sup><math>a</math></sup> CI) <sup><math>b</math></sup> cer ( $n=157$ ) cer ( $n=157$ ) ce	1.06 (0.80–1.41) 1.08 (0.76–1.53)	41 / 15,600	23 / 9,677	18 / 5,923	
CI) $b$ pirin NSAIDs n-cases CI) $a$ CI) $b$ cer ( $n=157$ ) cer ( $n=157$	1.08 (0.76–1.53)	1.04 (0.74–1.47)	0.92 (0.60–1.43)	1.16 (0.71–1.89)	0.720
pirin NSAIDs n-cases $CI)^{a}$ $CI)^{b}$ cer (n=157) cer (n=157) $1)^{d}$ $1)^{d}$ $1)^{d}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{d}$		0.89 (0.57–1.39)	0.57 (0.29–1.10)	1.34 (0.77–2.34)	0.854
n-cases $CI)^{a}$ $CI)^{b}$ cer (n=157) cases cases $T)^{a}$ $T)^{a}$ $T)^{a}$ $CI)^{a}$ pirin NSAIDs pirin NSAIDs rases $CI)^{a}$ $CI)^{b}$ $CI)^{c}$ $CI)^{c$					
CI) <sup><math>a</math></sup> CI) <sup><math>b</math></sup> cer ( $n=157$ ) cer ( $n=157$ ) cases $1)^{a}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{c}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{c}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{c}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{c}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{c}$ $1)^{b}$ $1)^{c}$	54 / 21,068	8 / 5,097	5 / 3,243	3 / 1,854	
CI) $b$ cer ( $n=157$ ) cases ( $n=157$ ) cases ( $n=157$ ) b n=157 b n=157 b n=157 b n=157 b n=157 b n=157) b n=157) b n=157) b n=157) b n=157) b n=157) b n=157) b n=157 b n=157) b n=157 b n=150 b	1.26 (0.92–1.72)	0.72 (0.35–1.47)	0.70 (0.29–1.71)	0.73 (0.23–2.29)	0.407
cer $(n=157)$ cases T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> T) <sup><math>b</math></sup> T) <sup><math>b</math></sup> C) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> pirin NSAIDs pirin SAIDs C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> D(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> D(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> D(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> C(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> D(T) <sup><math>a</math></sup> D(	1.08 (0.72–1.61)	0.56 (0.23–1.40)	0.55 (0.17–1.76)	0.62 (0.15–2.54)	0.318
cases T) a T) b T-cases CD a CD b pirin NSAIDs pirin NSAIDs CT a CT a CT b					
s s and s and s a s a s a s a s a s a s a s a s a s					
ises 5 n NSAIDs ises	60 / 42,279	27 / 25,006	16 / 15,266	11 / 9,740	
r 5 n NSAIDs r sees	1.21 (0.86–1.71)	0.80 (0.51–1.24)	0.77 (0.45–1.33)	0.81 (0.43–1.54)	0.360
-cases (1) <i>a</i> birin NSAIDs -cases (1) <i>a</i> (1) <i>b</i>	1.23 (0.79–1.91)	0.99 (0.58–1.69)	1.00 (0.53–1.89)	0.92 (0.42–2.01)	0.859
	40 / 29,751	21 / 15,620	12 / 9,688	9 / 5,932	
	1.08 (0.74–1.58)	0.91 (0.57–1.47)	0.84 (0.46–1.53)	0.99 (0.50–1.97)	0.788
	1.22 (0.76–1.94)	1.12 (0.63–1.98)	1.01 (0.49–2.07)	1.24 (0.55–2.77)	0.665
es					
	20 / 21,102	6 / 5,099	4 / 3,244	2 / 1,855	
	0.74 (0.44–1.24)	0.95 (0.41–2.18)	1.00 (0.37–2.73)	0.87 (0.21–3.51)	0.886
	0.61 (0.30–1.23)	1.20 (0.47–3.06)	1.55 (0.56-4.34)	0.63 (0.09–4.56)	0.318
Lung cancer $(n=1,417)$					
Any NSAID					
Cases / non-cases 569 / 61,072	553 / 41,786	295 / 24,738	185 / 15,097	110/9,641	

Int J Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 10.

Brasky et al.

Page 22

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

	Non-user	Inconsistent use		Consistent use <sup>c,d</sup>		
			Overall	<5y	5y	P trend <sup><math>e</math></sup>
HR (95% CI) <sup>2</sup>	1.00 reference	1.40 (1.25–1.58)	1.12 (0.97–1.28)	1.14 (0.97–1.35)	1.05 (0.85–1.29)	0.324
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	1.27 (1.09–1.48)	1.02 (0.85–1.22)	1.13 (0.92–1.40)	0.91 (0.70–1.18)	0.822
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	777 / 80,480	407 / 29,384	199 / 15,422	127 / 9,573	72 / 5,869	
HR (95% CI) <sup>A</sup>	1.00 reference	1.09 (0.95–1.24)	1.09 (0.93–1.27)	1.14 (0.94–1.37)	1.03 (0.81–1.31)	0.430
HR (95% CI) $b$	1.00 reference	0.97 (0.82–1.16)	1.05 (0.86–1.28)	1.19 (0.94–1.50)	0.89 (0.65–1.22)	0.995
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	1,030 / 9,807	234 / 20,888	52 / 5,053	37 / 3,211	15 / 1,842	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.10 (0.94–1.28)	1.07 (0.81–1.42)	1.19 (0.85–1.65)	0.83 (0.50–1.39)	0.940
HR (95% CI) $b$	1.00 reference	1.07 (0.88–1.30)	1.01 (0.70–1.45)	1.20 (0.79–1.82)	0.68 (0.35–1.33)	0.536
Breast and reproductive cancers $(n=6,020)$	ancers (n=6,020)					
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	2,796 / 58,845	1,973 / 40,366	1,251 / 23,782	764 / 14,518	487 / 9,264	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.06 (1.00–1.12)	1.05 (0.98–1.12)	1.04 (0.96–1.13)	1.03 (0.94–1.14)	0.324
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.05 (0.97–1.12)	1.05 (0.97–1.14)	1.03 (0.93–1.14)	1.04 (0.92–1.17)	0.445
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	3,723 / 77,534	1,403 / 28,388	802 / 14,839	505 / 9,195	297 / 5,644	
HR (95% CI) <sup>4</sup>	1.00 reference	0.98 (0.92–1.05)	1.04 (0.96–1.12)	1.06 (0.97–1.16)	1.01 (0.89–1.13)	0.509
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.97 (0.89–1.05)	1.07 (0.97–1.17)	1.10 (0.98–1.23)	1.01 (0.87–1.16)	0.448
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	4,632 / 94,469	964 / 20,158	252 / 4,853	168 / 3,080	84 / 1,773	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.02 (0.95–1.10)	1.07 (0.94–1.22)	1.13 (0.97–1.32)	0.98 (0.79–1.22)	0.544
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.02 (0.93–1.11)	1.03 (0.88–1.20)	1.06 (0.87–1.28)	0.94 (0.73–1.23)	0.901
Breast cancer ( <i>n</i> =4,815)						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	2,231 / 59,410	1,577 / 40,762	1,007 / 24,026	610 / 14,672	397 / 9,354	
HR (95% CI) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	1.00 reference	1.06 (1.00–1.13)	1.06(0.98 - 1.14)	1.04 (0.95–1.14)	1.06 (0.95–1.18)	0.203

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

	Non-user	Inconsistent use		Consistent use <sup>c,d</sup>		
			Overall	<5y	5y	$P$ trend $^{m  heta}$
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.07 (0.99–1.16)	1.07 (0.98–1.18)	1.06 (0.95–1.19)	1.07 (0.94–1.22)	0.213
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	2,969 / 78,288	1,118/28,673	657 / 14,984	413 / 9,287	244 / 5,697	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.00 (0.93–1.07)	1.07 (0.98–1.16)	1.09 (0.98–1.21)	$1.04\ (0.91 - 1.18)$	0.230
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	0.99 (0.91–1.09)	1.11 (1.00–1.24)	1.15 (1.01–1.30)	1.05 (0.89–1.23)	0.162
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	3,721 / 95,380	779 / 20,343	190/4,915	124 / 3,124	66 / 1,791	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.03 (0.95–1.12)	1.02 (0.88–1.18)	$1.04\ (0.87 - 1.25)$	0.96 (0.75–1.23)	0.940
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.05 (0.94–1.16)	0.97 (0.81–1.17)	0.99 (0.79–1.24)	0.89 (0.66–1.21)	0.466
Ovarian cancer (n=414)						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	191 / 50,797	152 / 33,998	71 / 20,150	53 / 12,283	18 / 7,867	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.22 (0.98–1.51)	0.88 (0.67–1.15)	1.07 (0.79–1.46)	0.57 (0.35–0.92)	0.082
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	1.13 (0.87–1.46)	0.80 (0.57–1.12)	0.90 (0.62–1.32)	0.54 (0.32–0.94)	0.038
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	251 / 66,438	108 / 24,015	43 / 12,675	35 / 7,851	8 / 4,824	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	0.94 (0.73–1.21)	$0.80\ (0.58{-}1.11)$	1.08 (0.76–1.54)	$0.40\ (0.20-0.81)$	0.047
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	0.92 (0.68–1.24)	$0.74\ (0.50{-}1.10)$	0.99 (0.64–1.54)	$0.37\ (0.16-0.84)$	0.038
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	304 / 81,164	66 / 16,879	17 / 4,027	12 / 2,562	5 / 1,465	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	0.96 (0.71–1.28)	1.09 (0.67–1.79)	1.27 (0.71–2.27)	0.93 (0.38–2.25)	0.797
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.88 (0.62–1.26)	0.99 (0.56–1.76)	1.03 (0.50–2.10)	1.05 (0.43–2.57)	0.950
Endometrial cancer (n=774)						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	362 / 38,012	243 / 24,165	169 / 14,310	97 / 8,790	72 / 5,520	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.08 (0.92–1.28)	1.18 (0.98–1.41)	$1.08\ (0.86{-}1.35)$	1.27 (0.99–1.64)	0.067
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	0.90 (0.73–1.11)	1.08 (0.86–1.35)	0.92 (0.69–1.22)	1.19 (0.88–1.61)	0.449
Any aspirin						

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

	Non-user	Inconsistent use		Consistent use <sup>c,d</sup>		
			Overall	<5y	5y	P trend <sup>e</sup>
Cases / non-cases	488 / 48,788	177 / 17,232	100 / 9,233	55 / 5,784	45 / 3,449	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	0.98 (0.82–1.18)	1.01 (0.81–1.25)	$0.89\ (0.68{-}1.18)$	1.20 (0.89–1.64)	0.533
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.90 (0.71–1.13)	1.01 (0.77–1.31)	0.86 (0.61–1.21)	1.17 (0.81–1.69)	0.727
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	593 / 60,104	118 / 11,679	43 / 2,704	30 / 1,716	13 / 988	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.09(0.89 - 1.34)	1.62 (1.19–2.23)	1.77 (1.23–2.57)	1.32 (0.76–2.29)	0.014
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.94 (0.72–1.22)	1.32 (0.89–1.96)	1.36 (0.85–2.18)	1.21 (0.64–2.29)	0.228
Thyroid $(n=208)$						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	105 / 61,536	62 / 42,277	41 / 24,992	20 / 15,262	21 / 9,730	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	0.89 (0.65–1.23)	0.93 (0.65–1.34)	0.75 (0.46–1.21)	1.23 (0.77–1.97)	0.774
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	1.01 (0.69–1.47)	1.03 (0.66–1.61)	0.81 (0.45–1.46)	1.45 (0.81–2.59)	0.413
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	134 / 81,123	43 / 29,748	26 / 15,615	15 / 9,685	11 / 5,930	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	0.89 (0.62–1.27)	0.96 (0.63–1.47)	0.90 (0.52–1.53)	$1.06\ (0.57 - 1.96)$	0.968
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	$0.92\ (0.60{-}1.41)$	0.90 (0.53–1.53)	0.76 (0.38–1.53)	1.20 (0.58–2.51)	0.956
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	166 / 98,935	27 / 21,095	11 / 5,094	5 / 3,243	6/1,851	
HR (95% CI) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	1.00 reference	$0.70\ (0.44{-}1.09)$	1.25 (0.67–2.32)	0.90 (0.37–2.19)	1.87 (0.83-4.25)	0.245
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	$0.86\ (0.51{-}1.45)$	1.61 (0.79–3.26)	1.32 (0.53–3.29)	1.96 (0.71–5.40)	0.149
Melanoma ( <i>n</i> =531)						
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	248 / 61,393	176 / 42,163	107 / 24,926	66 / 15,216	41/9,710	
HR (95% CI) <sup>2</sup>	1.00 reference	1.06 (0.87–1.29)	0.99 (0.79–1.25)	0.99 (0.76–1.31)	0.96 (0.69–1.34)	0.835
HR (95% $CI)^b$	1.00 reference	0.96 (0.75–1.22)	0.83 (0.62–1.12)	0.86 (0.61–1.23)	0.76 (0.49–1.18)	0.173
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	347 / 80,910	116 / 29,675	61 / 15,580	37 / 9,663	24 / 5,917	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	0.84 (0.67–1.05)	0.83 (0.63–1.09)	0.81 (0.58–1.14)	0.84 (0.55–1.27)	0.197

Page 25

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

	Non-user	Inconsistent use		Consistent use <sup>c,d</sup>		
			Overall	<5y	5y	P trend <sup>e</sup>
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.71 (0.53–0.95)	0.66 (0.46–0.95)	0.63 (0.40–1.00)	0.69 (0.40–1.20)	0.043
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	387 / 98,714	104  /  21,018	24 / 5,081	16/3,232	8 / 1,849	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.34 (1.07–1.68)	1.16 (0.76–1.76)	1.24 (0.75–2.05)	1.98 (0.53–2.18)	0.524
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	1.24 (0.92–1.67)	1.18 (0.71–1.96)	1.28 (0.69–2.38)	1.15 (0.51–2.60)	0.492
Hematologic malignancies (n=1,354)	( <i>n</i> =1,354)					
Any NSAID						
Cases / non-cases	602 / 61,039	449 / 41,890	303 / 24,730	179 / 15,103	124 / 9,627	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.08 (0.96–1.22)	1.09 (0.95–1.25)	1.05 (0.89–1.25)	1.13 (0.93–1.37)	0.204
HR (95% CI) $b$	1.00 reference	1.04 (0.89–1.20)	1.03 (0.86–1.22)	1.02 (0.83–1.25)	0.99 (0.78–1.27)	0.997
Any aspirin						
Cases / non-cases	799 / 80,458	345 / 29,446	187 / 15,454	109 / 9,591	78 / 5,863	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.01 (0.88–1.16)	1.01 (0.86–1.19)	0.95 (0.78–1.16)	1.08 (0.86–1.37)	0.723
HR (95% CI) $^b$	1.00 reference	0.99 (0.84–1.17)	1.00 (0.82–1.22)	0.97 (0.76–1.23)	1.00 (0.75–1.34)	0.918
Any non-aspirin NSAIDs						
Cases / non-cases	1,027 / 98,074	206 / 20,916	65 / 5,040	45 / 3,203	20 / 1,837	
HR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	1.00 reference	1.00 (0.85–1.17)	1.29 (1.00–1.66)	1.43 (1.06–1.93)	1.10 (0.70–1.71)	0.109
HR $(95\% \text{ CI})^b$	1.00 reference	0.94 (0.77–1.14)	1.17 (0.86–1.60)	1.24 (0.85–1.80)	1.11 (0.66–1.90)	0.426

Int J Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 September 10.

 $^{\it a}{\rm Adjusted}$  for age and other NSAID use

mass index, physical activity, alcohol consumption, pack-years of smoking, fruit and vegetable consumption, red meat consumption, family histories of: breast cancer, cervical cancer, estrogen therapy, duration of combined postmenopausal hormone therapy, hysterectomy status, multivitamin use, use of anti-hypertensive medication, history of coronary heart disease, use of cholesterolb djusted for age, observational study enrollment, hormone therapy trial enrollment, diet modification trial enrollment, calcium/vitamin D trial enrollment, US region, education, ethnicity, height, body and colorectal cancer (as separate terms); screening for: breast cancer, colon cancer, and cervical cancer (as separate terms); age at menarche, age at menopause, gravidity, age at 1<sup>st</sup> birth, duration of lowering medication, history of arthritis, history of migraine, history of ulcer, and other NSAID use

 $c^{\!\!\!}$  Consistent use is defined as NSAID use at baseline and at the third year of follow-up

 $d^{}_{}$  Duration of use reported at baseline, restricted to analyses of non- and consistent users

<sup>e</sup> Ptrend is calculated across 3 categories: non-use, <5yrs use among consistent users, and 5yrs use among consistent users