UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Recent advances in the genetic basis of taste detection in Drosophila

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1nb871cx

Journal Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 77(6)

ISSN 1420-682X

Authors Chen, Yu-Chieh David Dahanukar, Anupama

Publication Date 2020-03-01

DOI 10.1007/s00018-019-03320-0

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cell Mol Life Sci.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020 March ; 77(6): 1087-1101. doi:10.1007/s00018-019-03320-0.

Recent advances in the genetic basis of taste detection in *Drosophila*

Yu-Chieh David Chen¹, Anupama Dahanukar^{*,1,2}

¹Interdepartmental Neuroscience Program, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

²Department of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

Abstract

The insect gustatory system senses taste information from environmental food substrates and processes it to control feeding behaviors. *Drosophila melanogaster* has been a powerful genetic model for investigating how various chemical cues are detected at the molecular and cellular levels. In addition to an understanding of how tastants belonging to five historically described taste modalities (sweet, bitter, acid, salt, and amino acid) are sensed, recent findings have identified taste neurons and receptors that recognize tastants of non-canonical modalities, including fatty acids, carbonated water, polyamines, H₂O₂, bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), ammonia, and calcium. Analyses of response profiles of taste neurons expressing different suites of chemosensory receptors have allowed exploration of taste coding mechanisms in primary sensory neurons. In this review, we present the current knowledge of the molecular and cellular basis of taste detection of various categories of tastants. We also summarize evidence for organotopic and multimodal functions of the taste system. Functional characterization of peripheral taste neurons in different organs has greatly increased our understanding of how insect behavior is regulated by the gustatory system, which may inform development of novel insect pest control strategies.

Keywords

Drosophila gustation; feeding behavior; taste; chemosensory receptors

Introduction

Animals continuously receive and process massive amounts of sensory information from the surrounding environment via different sensory systems, which direct appropriate behavioral responses. Specialized sensory organs in the body are specifically tuned to various types of

^{*}Correspondence: Department of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, 900 University Avenue, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA, anupama.dahanukar@ucr.edu, (951) 827-5742 (Anupama Dahanukar). Author Contributions

Conceptualization, Y.-C.D.C. and A.D.; Writing – Original Draft, Y.-C.D.C., Writing – Review & Editing, Y.-C.D.C. and A.D.; Supervision, A.D.; Funding Acquisition, A.D.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

sensory stimuli. Sensory information is then decoded in the central nervous system, mainly in the brain. In insects, contact chemosensory cues are sensed by the gustatory system, which is critical for mating, feeding, and oviposition behaviors. Drosophila melanogaster has been an excellent model organism for dissecting the genetic underpinnings of behaviors driven by gustatory systems in insects, including agricultural pests and disease vectors. A wealth of behavioral and functional assays, combined with the availability of genetic tools and reagents, offer the means to probe how chemical information is encoded at different levels of the gustatory pathway in Drosophila. Recent years have seen significant progress in understanding sensory coding in the periphery as well as in mapping of higher-order taste circuits in the fly brain. In this review, we focus on the adult Drosophila gustatory system and its role in detecting food-related cues that control feeding, oviposition, and hygiene behaviors. We provide a general overview of the adult *Drosophila* gustatory system and then present recent advances in our knowledge of chemosensory receptors and neurons underlying peripheral responses to various tastants. We also discuss evidence for multimodal taste sensing properties of Drosophila neurons, and for functional differences between neurons across taste organs towards operating different aspects of feeding behaviors.

Anatomical organization of the gustatory system in adult Drosophila

In adult *Drosophila*, taste organs are distributed in different parts of the body (Figure 1). External taste organs include the anterior wing margin (Figure 1A), distal segments of the legs (Figure 1B), and the labellum (Figure 1C–D). Internal taste organs include three pharyngeal taste organs located internally in the proboscis: labral sense organ (LSO), ventral cibarial sense organ (VCSO), and dorsal cibarial sense organ (DCSO) (Figure 1E). Taste organs are covered by morphologically distinct taste sensilla, the basic functional units of taste detection (Figure 1F-H). The Drosophila labellum, the most extensively characterized taste organ, consists of two types of taste sensilla: taste hairs (Figure 1C) and taste pegs (Figure 1D). Labellar taste hairs are located on the distal tip of the labellum. There are ~30 hairs on each half of the labellum that can be further divided into morphological subtypes based on the length of the hairs: L (long), I (intermediate), and S (short). Each taste hair has a single pore at the tip of the sensillum, which allows tastants to enter and make contact with the chemosensory neurons present within. All labellar taste hairs house a single mechanosensory neuron, but the number of chemosensory neurons that reside in them varies from two to four, depending on the subtype (i.e. four neurons in L- and S-hairs, two neurons in I-hairs) (Figure 1F). Labellar taste pegs are hairless sensilla located between rows of pseudotrachea. The number of labellar taste pegs is sexually dimorphic, with females having more than males [1]. Each labellar taste peg is innervated by one mechanosensory neuron and one chemosensory neuron (Figure 1G). During feeding, these taste pegs are thought to access food only when the flies open their labial palps. Besides the labellum, taste hairs are distributed on the five tarsal segments of all six legs as well as the anterior wing margins, all of which are innervated by one mechanosensory neuron and four chemosensory neurons [1] (Figure 1F). Interestingly, the tarsal taste hairs on the forelegs are sexually dimorphic, with more hairs in males than in females. Perhaps not surprisingly, male-specific taste hairs on the forelegs are involved in pheromone detection during courtship behavior [2,3]. Unlike external taste hairs, internal taste sensilla in the pharyngeal organs are hairless. They are

innervated by one to eight chemosensory neurons, and may or may not be associated with mechanosensory neurons [4,5] (Figure 1H). In *Drosophila*, most sensory neurons are cholinergic [6], but recent studies showed that a small fraction of labellar and tarsal chemosensory neurons are glutamatergic [7,8], suggesting neurochemical and functional heterogeneity within chemosensory neurons. However, further studies are required to systemically characterize neurotransmitters that are used in chemosensory neurons of all taste organs.

Physiological response profiles of chemosensory neurons

Single-sensillum extracellular tip recordings allow measurement of physiological responses of all chemosensory neurons in a single taste sensillum [9]. Recordings are obtained with tastant solutions in glass micropipette electrodes that are used to contact the tips of taste hairs. The stereotypical arrangement and accessibility of taste hairs in the labellum, tarsi, and wings have lent themselves to systematic surveys of tastant-evoked responses. In general, distinct responses have been recorded with stimuli representing distinct taste modalities, which include water, sugar, salt (high and low), acid, and bitter compounds [9–13]. Based on characteristic spike amplitudes and responses to tastants, neurons have been classified into water-, sweet-, salt-, and bitter-sensing populations. However, the extent to which each population is selectively tuned to tastants remains to be determined, and recent studies suggest that at least some taste neurons can respond to compounds of different taste categories (see below for details), hinting at multimodal taste detection properties in insect taste neurons. Moreover, gustatory coding information is incomplete because the same type of analysis has not been achieved for internal pharyngeal taste sensilla and hairless taste pegs of the oral surface, which are difficult to access as compared to external taste hairs.

Chemosensory receptor gene expression in adult Drosophila taste neurons

Almost two decades ago, the Gustatory receptor (Gr) gene family was identified as a new family encoding transmembrane proteins as candidate taste receptors expressed in taste organs [14–16]. In D. melanogaster, there are 60 Gr genes encoding 68 proteins. Although Gr transcript expression was typically too low to be reliably detected by in situ hybridization, a series of transgenic reporter lines using the GAL4/UAS binary expression system were soon developed to analyze Gr expression [15,16]. Receptor-to-neuron maps based on reporter analysis were constructed for the labellum [12,17,7], tarsi [10], and pharynx [18,19]. Patterns of GAL4 reporter expression have been confirmed by independent means only in a few instances [20,13]. Nevertheless, these reporter lines serve as excellent tools for functional analysis of molecularly defined taste neurons. In addition to members of the Gr gene family, recent studies have found that other chemosensory receptors, including those encoded by Ionotropic receptor (Ir), pickpocket (ppk), and Transient receptor potential (Trp) gene families, are involved in tastant detection [21,22,7,23–47]. Transgenic reporter lines for many of these chemosensory genes, in particular the Ir genes, have also been constructed, and a significant fraction of them were found to be expressed in taste organs [21,27]. In general, the expression of different chemosensory receptors showed some degree of overlap, especially in the pharynx where most pharyngeal taste neurons express more than one type of chemosensory receptor gene family [18]. In the following sections, we will

discuss recent findings of chemosensory receptors involved in detecting tastants representing canonical taste categories as well as non-canonical taste modalities (Table 1). While we have attempted to provide information that is fairly extensive, readers are also encouraged to consult other recent reviews on the general function of these chemosensory receptors [48–50].

Recent research updates on taste detection by chemosensory neurons

Sweet

In *Drosophila*, eight Grs belong to a clade of conserved sweet taste receptors that include Gr5a, Gr61a, and Gr64a-f. Based on transgenic reporter techniques, subsets of sweet taste neurons were found to express distinct combinations of sweet Grs [13,19,17]. Mutant analyses showed that individual sweet Grs are required for sensing multiple sugars, and each sugar response appears to be dependent on multiple sweet Grs [17,13,51–53]. In addition, some sweet gustatory receptor neurons (GRNs) also express Gr43a, a highly conserved Gr that is outside of the sweet clade [17,54,55,19]. *Gr43a* is also expressed in nutrient-sensing neurons in the brain, which monitor fructose levels in the hemolymph [55].

Some differences in neuronal activation profiles of sweet GRNs in different taste organs have been reported. D- and L-arabinose, for example, have been found to activate tarsal and pharyngeal *Gr43a* GRNs differentially, but not *Gr43a*-expressing neurons in the brain in which both D- and L-arabinose evoke similar responses in terms of both magnitude and kinetics [56]. Instances of variation in physiological responses observed between different sweet GRNs have been attributed to distinct chemosensory receptor repertoires [51,53,13,57].

Sweet GRNs originating from different organs exhibit distinct axonal projection patterns in the subesophageal zone (SEZ), the primary taste center in the central nervous system [58,59,18]. The organotopic map has been the basis for a model in which input from each taste organ is relayed to distinct higher-order neuronal circuits, which in turn regulate different aspects of feeding behavior. Notably, recent studies have found evidence for such differences in sweet GRN-controlled feeding behaviors. For example, two anatomically distinct classes of tarsal sweet GRNs, one that terminates in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) and a second that passes through the VNC and terminates in the SEZ, have been reported to regulate different behavioral responses to sugars. Those ending in the VNC are responsible for stopping the fly's movements upon encountering sugar, while the ones that project to the SEZ are responsible for initiating feeding [60]. In addition, pharyngeal sweet GRNs, which project to a discrete region of the SEZ, are distinct from external sweet GRNs in terms of the behaviors they regulate [18,19]. Another study reported that sugar detection can elicit local search behavior, and this appears to be mediated primarily by pharyngeal Gr43a GRNs and not external GRNs [61]. A finding that confirms the presence of discrete circuit elements for internal and external taste is the identification of IN1 interneurons that are connected with pharyngeal Gr43a GRNs but not with external sweet GRNs. [62]. IN1 neurons integrate information about pharyngeal sweet taste and hunger to control meal dynamics. Altogether, these findings suggest that the sweet GRNs in different locations can sense ligands in

different ways, convey input to different regions in the CNS, and thereby control different aspects of feeding behaviors in response to carbohydrate cues in food substrates.

Given the extended focus on the study of Gr involvement in sweet taste, it was a surprise when sugar-sensitivity was found in a pair of *Ir60b*-expressing neurons in the pharynx [63]. *Ir60b* GRNs are unique in that 1) they do not express sweet *Grs*, but rather a few Irs, including *Ir60b*, *Ir94f*, *Ir94h*, and *Ir25a*; 2) their activation restricts sugar consumption rather than promotes it; and 3) they appear to be selectively involved in cellular and behavioral responses to sucrose and glucose but not to other sugars such as trehalose and fructose. These results evoke several interesting questions for follow up studies. Are there other non-*Gr* expressing neurons that detect sugars, possibly those other than sucrose and glucose, either alone or in combination with other Irs? How does activation of pharyngeal *Ir60b* GRNs limit sugar consumption – by directly inhibiting *Gr*-expressing sweet taste circuits or by conveying information for integration in higher-order circuits? Finally, the ethological relevance of such narrow tuning of sugar sensitivity in *Ir60b* pharyngeal GRNs also awaits future research.

Bitter

Bitter taste is mediated by members of the Gr family. Initial analyses of Gr mutants as well as Gr-GAL4 reporters revealed that bitter GRNs expressing several bitter Grs, including Gr32a, Gr33a, Gr66a, Gr89a, and Gr93a, are required for physiological and behavioral responses to bitter compounds [20,64-66]. A number of observations also suggested that multiple Grs are likely to come together in heteromeric complexes to detect various bitter substances, however a minimum Gr subunit composition remained unclear until 2015, when a combination of Gr8a, Gr66a, and Gr98b was reported as a full receptor repertoire for detection of L-canavanine [67]. All three receptors are required for L-canavanine response in bitter GRNs and co-expression of the three receptors is sufficient to confer L-canavanine response in sweet GRNs as well as in Drosophila S2 cells. Subsequently, several other members of Grs have been reported to be involved in detection of specific bitter compounds, such as strychnine, coumarin, umbelliferone, chloroquine, saponin, and nicotine [68–73]. Two recent studies have further elucidated the molecular basis of bitter detection by characterizing differences in responses of bitter GRNs that have distinct molecular profiles of bitter Gr expression [74,75]. One study found that Gr32a, Gr59c, and Gr66a together are sufficient for sensing lobeline, berberine, and denatonium, whereas Gr22e, Gr32a, and Gr66a are sufficient for sensing the same three bitter compounds as well as strychnine. Given that the two combinations differ only in one Gr and show overlapping but distinct bitter response profiles, it was suggested that a selected bitter compound could activate molecularly distinct receptor complexes, and a selected heteromeric receptor complex could detect multiple bitter compounds. Thus, the observed heterogeneity of Gr expression in bitter GRNs would contribute to an even greater diversity in cellular responses to bitter tastants [74]. Consistent with these observations, the presence or absence of a single bitter Gr can alter endogenous responses of bitter GRNs by increasing or decreasing responses to selected bitter tastants or by conferring novel responses to bitter tastants [75]. These findings complicate evaluation of the functional roles of single Grs using mutant or ectopic

expression analyses. Extensive studies have been focused on labellar bitter GRNs while leaving other taste organs unexplored, except one pharyngeal GRN labeled by *Gr9a-GAL4* shown to be responsible for behavioral avoidance of L-canavanine [18]. An understanding of behavioral roles of various classes of bitter GRNs in different organs, and how inputs from various bitter GRNs are integrated to mediate selected behaviors, will be facilitated by further elucidation of the molecular profiles and cellular responses of bitter GRNs in different taste organs.

Salt

Salt is an essential nutrient for many physiological processes, including reproduction. However, salt elicits opposite behavioral responses depending on its concentration: low salt (<100 mM) is attractive while high salt (>200 mM) is aversive in binary choice assays [23]. The gustatory response to salt is also sexually dimorphic and mating status dependent – mated females show higher proboscis extension upon stimulation of either the labellum or the tarsi as compared to virgin females or males [76]. Ir76b was first identified as a salt receptor functioning in labellar taste neurons that mediate salt attraction [23], but was subsequently also reported to be involved in avoidance of high salt [39]. Besides Ir76b, Gr2a and Gr23a expressed in the pharyngeal L7-3 GRN of the LSO have been implicated in feeding avoidance of salt in a specific behavioral context, in which mildly starved flies were tested with a moderate level of salt (150 mM-450 mM) [77]. The complex view of salt coding emerging from these studies was tackled by a recent comprehensive functional imaging analysis of salt responses in labellar GRNs [7]. To begin to decode taste responses to different concentrations of salts, the authors first gathered molecular tools for labeling subsets of taste neurons in all labellar hairs. First, the authors identified a driver, Ir94e-GAL4, which labels a single GRN that is distinct from previously characterized ppk28, Gr64f, or ppk23-expressing GRNs neurons in L-type hairs, thus completing a molecular genetic toolkit for accessing all four GRNs in these hairs. The authors then identified two subpopulations of *ppk23*-expressing neurons by labeling either glutamatergic or cholinergic neurons (ppk23^{glut} and ppk23^{chat}), which represented distinct taste neurons in the S-type labellar hairs. Imaging of salt responses in these GRN subpopulations revealed that most if not all types of GRNs respond to salt at some range of the tested concentrations. Specifically, weak calcium activity in response to low concentrations of salt was observed in Gr64f and Ir94e neurons, while response to high salt was observed in Gr64f, Gr66a, and ppk23 neurons. Notably, previous electrophysiological recordings had found high saltinduced activity in two neurons in labellar L-type hairs [9]. Since there are no Gr66a-labeled neurons in these hairs, one possibility is that the L-type responses are derived from Gr64f and *ppk23* neurons. In I-type hairs, tip recordings have identified high salt sensitivity in both taste neurons that innervate them [9], which are labeled by Gr64f and Gr66a, respectively. Interestingly, only the salt response in Gr66a neurons is independent of Ir76b function, although it is partially dependent on Ir25a, suggesting potential heterogeneity among salt receptor complexes as well [7]. This appears to go hand-in-hand with functional diversity in salt-sensing circuits – although both ppk23^{glut} and ppk23^{chat} GRNs respond to high salt, only the *ppk23*^{glut} subset is involved in mediating internal state-dependent modulation of high salt avoidance. Altogether, it is conceivable that different concentrations of salt activate

distinct populations of GRNs, many of which express Ir76b, which explains the previously observed roles of this receptor in both low and high salt detection.

Acid

Carboxylic acids are detected via both olfactory and gustatory systems in adult Drosophila to mediate appropriate selection of food and oviposition sites [46,78–80,11,81–83]. Although flies are attracted to vinegar, they avoid high concentrations of acetic acid detected via Ir64a neurons in olfactory sensilla in the antennae [81]. In the gustatory system, several carboxylic acids have been shown to activate labellar bitter GRNs and also to suppress sugar responses in sweet GRNs [11]. In contrast to the overlap between bitter and acid detection in labellar GRNs, acid sensing in tarsal hairs occurs via two separate groups of GRNs that do not respond to either sugars or bitter compounds: one is broadly tuned to various carboxylic acids, while the second is narrowly tuned to glycolic and malic acids and to high concentrations of salt [42]. Acid responses in both these classes of tarsal GRNs require two broadly expressed Irs, Ir25a and Ir76b. Given that Ir25a and Ir76b are widely expressed in both olfactory and gustatory neurons, the identity of additional Irs that may confer ligand specificity remains to be determined. Interestingly, one recent report identified another member of the Ir family, Ir7a, which is only expressed in a subset of labellar bitter GRNs as a receptor for acetic acid [46]. A high concentration of acetic acid (5%) was found to evoke feeding aversion in binary choice feeding assays. Although feeding avoidance of acetic acid was disrupted in Ir7a mutants, it was not dependent on Ir25a or Ir76b. The observed defects in feeding avoidance were selective for acetic acid and responses to other carboxylic acids were not affected in the absence of Ir7a, consistent with the idea that different receptors with distinct ligand-binding specificities may be involved in sensing various carboxylic acids. Ectopic expression of Ir7a in sweet GRNs conferred acetic acid response as measured with tip recordings [46], an observation that needs to be reconciled with acetic acid-evoked calcium activity in endogenous sweet GRNs [78]. Moreover, the restricted expression of Ir7a in bitter GRNs indicates that the molecular mechanism of acetic acid detection in sweet GRNs is yet to be determined.

Amino acids/Yeast

Yeast is the primary source of dietary proteins and amino acids for *Drosophila*. Yeast feeding is modulated by mating status and prior yeast feeding experience [84,85]. Recent reports suggest that amino acids are the principal gustatory cues in yeast extract [25], and cellular and behavioral responses to amino acids are mediated via Ir76b [25,26], which is broadly expressed in peripheral GRNs. Although Ir76b may act alone for salt detection [23], it is likely to serve as a co-receptor for amino acid detection given that taste neurons in labellar hairs, many expressing Ir76b, have limited responses to amino acids [86,25]. An RNAi screen identified one putative amino acid co-receptor, Ir20a. Ectopic expression of *Ir76b* and *Ir20a* together in labellar sweet GRNs conferred amino acid response but not salt responses, and expression of *Ir20a* in labellar *Ir76b*-expressing salt GRNs reduced salt responses but did not confer amino acid response, invoking the contribution of additional receptors/factors present in sweet GRNs but not in salt GRNs in mediating amino acid response. Since *Ir20a* shows a considerably limited domain of expression in comparison with *Ir76b*, and *Ir20a* mutants do not phenocopy the *Ir76b* mutant, it is expected that

additional amino acid receptors in other GRNs will be involved in taste detection of amino acids.

Although amino acids might be salient components in yeast extract, another recent study indicates that flies might have distinct pathways for sensing amino acids and yeast [24]. By using yeast rather than yeast extract, the authors showed that yeast feeding requires Ir76bexpressing GRNs in labellar taste hairs and taste pegs but not in tarsal taste hairs. Further, Ir76b GRNs in labellar taste hairs are responsible for initiation of yeast feeding (i.e. PER responses), while those in labellar taste pegs are involved in sustaining yeast feeding, providing additional insight into taste organ-specific roles in controlling feeding behavior. Interestingly, yeast-evoked activity in GRNs of both labellar hairs and pegs is modulated by internal amino acids, suggesting that consumption of amino acids and yeast is tightly integrated even though peripheral neuronal detection pathways may be distinct. Future experiments identifying receptors for yeast taste in the two types of labellar GRNs would provide the means to compare mechanisms of amino acid and yeast sensing in peripheral GRNs. In addition to taste-sensing mechanisms, there is evidence that three specific dietary amino acids are detected by brain DH44 neuroendocrine cells which innervate the gut [87,88]. The proposed fast-acting, post-ingestive mechanism of amino acid detection is independent of Ir76b and requires putative amino acid transporters in the DH44 cells.

Fatty acids

Fatty acid taste elicits an appetitive or aversive response depending upon the concentration [43]. Recent studies have largely focused on the positive behavioral valence of low concentrations (<1%) of short to medium chain fatty acids (hexanoic, octanoic), which is mediated by a subset of labellar and tarsal sweet GRNs [44,43,89]. Notably, a number of studies have found fatty acid taste to be dependent on several members of the Ir family, including Ir56d, Ir25a, and Ir76b. In the labellum, there are two subpopulations of Ir56d GRNs: one is a subset of sweet GRNs in taste hairs that responds to both sugars and fatty acids, and another is a subset of GRNs in taste pegs that responds to fatty acids but not sugars. Fatty acid stimulated proboscis extension requires Ir56d GRNs in the labellar taste hairs, but not in taste pegs [44], consistent with distinct behavioral roles for the two GRN populations. Tarsal stimulation-evoked proboscis extension response (PER) is also mediated by Ir56d-labeled sweet GRNs, whose function is dependent on Ir56d, Ir25a, and Ir76b [43]. Notably, tarsal PER to hexanoic and octanoic acids is significantly higher in octuple mutant flies lacking all 8 sweet Grs [43], indicating a possible role in for one or more of these receptors in regulating fatty acid response. Consistent with this idea, a recent study reported that one sweet Gr, Gr64e, is involved in mediating fatty acid taste in the labellum [90]. Whether Ir56d and Gr64e act independently or together for mediating fatty acid signaling is still unclear. However, all studies have found that NorpA, which encodes a phospholipase C, is essential for fatty acid signaling in sweet GRNs [90,44,43,89].

Interestingly, hexanoic acid shows dose-dependent activation of tarsal GRNs that express Gr33a, a receptor that broadly marks bitter-sensing GRNs. At concentrations of hexanoic acid exceeding 1%, control flies exhibit a reduction in proboscis extension, which is not the case in flies in which *Gr33a* GRNs are functionally ablated [43], consistent with the idea

that tarsal bitter GRNs mediate an aversive response to fatty acids. Whether or not labellar bitter GRNs also respond to fatty acids has not been reported. Notably, tarsal bitter GRN sensitivity to fatty acids does not require *Ir25a* and *Ir76b*, suggesting that other as yet unidentified receptors are involved in fatty acid taste aversion. As in the case of salt, which

elicits opposing behaviors at low and high concentrations, it will be of interest to decipher fatty acid coding at the sensory level and dissect how appetitive and aversive fatty acidsensing pathways are integrated to shape feeding behaviors.

Carbonated water

Gustatory responses to carbonated water in *Drosophila* were found to be mediated by *E409*-GAL4-labeled GRNs that innervate labellar taste pegs [91]. Surprisingly, a suite of chemosensory receptors involved in fatty acid taste (Ir56d, Ir25a, and Ir76b) is also required for sensing carbon dioxide dissolved in fluids [21]. Unlike fatty acids that can activate Ir56d GRNs in labellar hairs, labellar pegs, and tarsal hairs, carbonated water mainly activates GRNs in labellar taste pegs. GRNs in taste hairs of the labellum but not tarsi show a weaker response to carbonated water; however, Ir56d, Ir25a, and Ir76b are unlikely to be involved in these responses according to mutant and rescue analyses [21]. Although the three Irs are necessary for carbonated water detection in taste peg neurons, combined ectopic expression, which was tested in labellar bitter GRNs, did not confer carbonated water sensitivity, indicating that additional factors may be involved. How does carbonated water taste affect feeding behavior? It was first reported that carbonated solutions trigger mild behavioral attraction in a position-based preference assay [91]. However, no behavioral relevance for carbonated fluid has been observed in consumption-based feeding assays such as flyPAD (solid food) and Expresso (liquid food), in which several high-resolution micro-feeding parameters are monitored, including total number of sips, number of sips per feeding burst, feeding success, latency to the first bout, total consumption per fly, number of meal bouts and average bout volume [21]. Thus carbonated water may be used as a gustatory cue for behaviors other than food ingestion.

Polyamines

Taste input is important not only for food consumption and choice, but also for egg laying site selection by female *Drosophila*. Polyamines, such as putrescine or cadaverine, are important nutrients for reproductive success and have been shown to activate both olfactory and gustatory pathways for long-range positional attraction and short-range oviposition site selection, respectively [45]. Interestingly, both short-range and long-range behaviors require a common chemosensory receptor, Ir76b. A more narrowly expressed antennal chemoreceptor, *Ir41a*, is also necessary for polyamine attraction. In fact, *Ir76b* expression in *Ir41a* olfactory neurons is sufficient to rescue polyamine attraction in *Ir76b* mutants. In the gustatory system, there are at least two classes of polyamine-sensing GRNs that mediate oviposition site selection: *Ir76b* GRNs in labellar taste hairs and taste pegs, and *Gr66a* GRNs in labellar taste hairs. *Ir76b* GRNs in taste pegs exhibit stronger responses to polyamines than those in taste hairs, but *Ir76b* is required for the responses in both. However, polyamine detection in these GRNs. In dissecting the behavioral contributions of various polyamine-sensing GRNs in controlling egg laying, the authors

found that polyamine avoidance during egg laying behavior relied on labellar input. Silencing of *Ir76b* or *Gr66a*-expressing neurons reduced polyamine avoidance to different extents, implicating roles for both classes of neurons. In fact, silencing of *Gr66a* GRNs caused a slight attraction to polyamine substrate, which was lost upon silencing both *Ir76b* and *Gr66a* neurons, indicating some positive behavior component in the *Ir76b* pathway for egg-laying site selection. Functional heterogeneity in *Ir76b* and *Gr66a* neurons might provide multiple substrates for modulation, which could be important for the highly contextdependent egg-laying site selection behavior [92].

H₂O₂/Bacterial lipopolysaccharide

Recent research has uncovered functions for *Gr66a* bitter GRNs in detecting other types of aversive stimuli such as H₂O₂, which can be induced by UV [34] or by microbial infection [93,94], and bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [35]. These chemicals are detected by TrpA1, one of the transient receptor potential (Trp) channels, which is expressed in a subset of *Gr66a* GRNs in labellar taste hairs [38] and in pharyngeal L8 and L9 GRNs of the LSO [41,35]. UV-induced H₂O₂ -sensing bitter GRNs in the labellum were found to promote egglaying avoidance of strong UV. In addition, the nucleophile-sensitive TrpA1 (A) isoform expressed in I-labellar hairs was found to play an important role in suppressing intake of food sources with reactive oxygen species produced by strong UV exposure [36]. Another study reported that pharyngeal L8 and L9 GRNs detect bacterial LPS via TrpA1 and mediate feeding aversion [35]. Flies also sense LPS via GRNs in the legs and wing margins that mediate grooming behaviors [95,96], but a requirement of TrpA1 for LPS sensitivity in these organs has not been tested. Since TrpA1 is a highly conserved channel in many species, the recent observations raise the possibility that it may be an ancient chemoreceptor for various aversive stimuli.

Ammonia

Similar to acid, ammonia has been reported to activate both olfactory and gustatory neurons. While olfactory detection of ammonia as an attractive cue depends on *Ir92a*-expressing olfactory neurons [97], gustatory responses to ammonia depend on *Gr66a* GRNs in labellar hairs [98]. In addition, ammonia elicits weak responses in L-labellar hairs in which there are no *Gr66a* GRNs. Given that *ppk23* GRNs that respond to high salt are the only known GRNs to detect aversive stimuli in L-labellar hairs, it is possible that they are the ones that sense ammonia. Experiments with *ppk23-GAL4* would help to resolve this question. However, identification of the molecular basis of ammonia taste will need further investigation.

Calcium

High levels of calcium activate *ppk23* GRNs in S- but not L-type labellar hairs and stimulate aversive behaviors [99]. At least three *Irs, Ir25a, Ir62a,* and *Ir76b*, were found to be required for the neuronal response to calcium but ectopic expression of the three in sweet GRNs did not confer calcium sensitivity, suggesting that additional factors may be involved. Similar to the activity of bitter compounds and acids, calcium also inhibits sweet GRNs, providing an additional mechanism for behavioral avoidance of calcium-laced mixtures. The report of calcium taste invites many interesting questions. For example, what is the ligand specificity of Ir62a, since the *ppk23* GRNs in S-labellar hairs also respond to high salt (NaCl and KCl)?

Do multiple neurons in S-type hairs respond to calcium? An *Ir62a* reporter is expressed in tarsal GRNs [27], which raises the question of whether GRNs in other organs respond to calcium, and if so, how they contribute to behavioral avoidance of calcium. Lastly, is it possible that the mechanism underlying calcium detection is one common to various salts? The answers to these questions will provide insight into how flies distinguish different salts and mount appropriate feeding responses.

Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

Taste neurons in adult Drosophila exhibit complex molecular signatures in terms of chemosensory receptor expression. Accumulating evidence suggests that members of Gr, Ir, ppk, and Trp gene families contribute to the detection of various tastants. Overlapping expression patterns of these different chemosensory receptors could be the underlying basis of multimodal taste sensing that has now been reported for many taste neurons (Table 1). In many cases, tastant-evoked responses rely on Ir25a and Ir76b, which might serve as coreceptors for various categories of tastants. Although transgenic chemosensory reporters have presented valuable tools for interrogating the function and response profiles of taste neurons, it should be noted that there might be further functional sub-division within these molecularly defined groups of taste neurons. For example, Ir76b-GAL4 and Ir56d-GAL4 label both labellar taste hairs and pegs that respond to polyamines and fatty acid, respectively. Projection patterns of GRNs originating in these two areas can be prominently distinguished by their positions in the SEZ (posterior vs anterior), but calcium activity observed in termini of GRNs from taste hairs cannot be assigned to one type, (L-, I-, or S-), from among the types that are labeled. Development of genetic tools for further defining subgroups of GRNs, possibly at single neuron resolution, will be helpful to understand the extent of molecular and functional heterogeneity in GRNs. Single sensillum recordings can be used to better target types of sensilla that are measured, but analysis can be complicated by the fact that this method simultaneously gathers activity from all neurons in a sensillum, and also that direct comparisons between tip recordings and calcium imaging are complicated by the presence of interneurons in the SEZ that modulate pre-synaptic activity from other taste input or internal state [100–104]. Finally, since GRNs appear to detect multiple compounds of distinct taste modalities, the idea that population coding mechanisms may be involved in discrimination between tastants has some appeal. In the future, not only will it be of interest to determine how taste neurons in different organs control different aspects of feeding behaviors and connect to different higher-order neuronal circuits, but to understand how input from GRNs is integrated and evaluated for more complex tasteassociated behaviors.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lisa Baik and Vaibhav Menon for helpful comments on the manuscript. Work in A.D's lab is funded by funds from the National Institutes of Health (R01DC013587, R21AI140065, and R01DC017390), DARPA (D18AC00026), and the University of California AESMF program. Y.-C.D.C. is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute International Student Research Fellow.

References

- Shanbhag SR, Park SK, Pikielny CW, Steinbrecht RA (2001) Gustatory organs of *Drosophila melanogaster*: fine structure and expression of the putative odorant-binding protein PBPRP2. Cell Tissue Res 304 (3):423–437 [PubMed: 11456419]
- Thistle R, Cameron P, Ghorayshi A, Dennison L, Scott K (2012) Contact chemoreceptors mediate male-male repulsion and male-female attraction during *Drosophila* courtship. Cell 149 (5):1140– 1151. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.045 [PubMed: 22632976]
- 3. Bray S, Amrein H (2003) A putative *Drosophila* pheromone receptor expressed in male-specific taste neurons is required for efficient courtship. Neuron 39 (6):1019–1029 [PubMed: 12971900]
- 4. Stocker RF (1994) The organization of the chemosensory system in *Drosophila melanogaster*. a review. Cell Tissue Res 275 (1):3–26 [PubMed: 8118845]
- Stocker RF, Schorderet M (1981) Cobalt filling of sensory projections from internal and external mouthparts in *Drosophila*. Cell Tissue Res 216 (3):513–523 [PubMed: 6786751]
- Salvaterra PM, Kitamoto T (2001) Drosophila cholinergic neurons and processes visualized with Gal4/UAS-GFP. Brain Res Gene Expr Patterns 1 (1):73–82 [PubMed: 15018821]
- Jaeger AH, Stanley M, Weiss ZF, Musso PY, Chan RC, Zhang H, Feldman-Kiss D, Gordon MD (2018) A complex peripheral code for salt taste in *Drosophila*. eLife 7. doi:10.7554/eLife.37167
- Kallman BR, Kim H, Scott K (2015) Excitation and inhibition onto central courtship neurons biases Drosophila mate choice. eLife 4:e11188. doi:10.7554/eLife.11188 [PubMed: 26568316]
- Hiroi M, Meunier N, Marion-Poll F, Tanimura T (2004) Two antagonistic gustatory receptor neurons responding to sweet-salty and bitter taste in *Drosophila*. J Neurobiol 61 (3):333–342. doi:10.1002/ neu.20063 [PubMed: 15389687]
- Ling F, Dahanukar A, Weiss LA, Kwon JY, Carlson JR (2014) The molecular and cellular basis of taste coding in the legs of *Drosophila*. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 34 (21):7148–7164. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0649-14.2014 [PubMed: 24849350]
- Charlu S, Wisotsky Z, Medina A, Dahanukar A (2013) Acid sensing by sweet and bitter taste neurons in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nat Commun 4:2042. doi:10.1038/ncomms3042 [PubMed: 23783889]
- Weiss LA, Dahanukar A, Kwon JY, Banerjee D, Carlson JR (2011) The molecular and cellular basis of bitter taste in *Drosophila*. Neuron 69 (2):258–272. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.01.001 [PubMed: 21262465]
- Dahanukar A, Lei YT, Kwon JY, Carlson JR (2007) Two *Gr* genes underlie sugar reception in *Drosophila*. Neuron 56 (3):503–516. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.024 [PubMed: 17988633]
- Clyne PJ, Warr CG, Carlson JR (2000) Candidate taste receptors in *Drosophila*. Science 287 (5459):1830–1834 [PubMed: 10710312]
- Scott K, Brady R Jr., Cravchik A, Morozov P, Rzhetsky A, Zuker C, Axel R (2001) A chemosensory gene family encoding candidate gustatory and olfactory receptors in *Drosophila*. Cell 104 (5):661–673 [PubMed: 11257221]
- Dunipace L, Meister S, McNealy C, Amrein H (2001) Spatially restricted expression of candidate taste receptors in the *Drosophila* gustatory system. Curr Biol 11 (11):822–835 [PubMed: 11516643]
- Fujii S, Yavuz A, Slone J, Jagge C, Song X, Amrein H (2015) *Drosophila* sugar receptors in sweet taste perception, olfaction, and internal nutrient sensing. Current biology : CB 25 (5):621–627. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.058 [PubMed: 25702577]
- Chen YD, Dahanukar A (2017) Molecular and cellular organization of taste neurons in adult Drosophila pharynx. Cell reports 21 (10):2978–2991. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.11.041 [PubMed: 29212040]
- LeDue EE, Chen YC, Jung AY, Dahanukar A, Gordon MD (2015) Pharyngeal sense organs drive robust sugar consumption in *Drosophila*. Nature communications 6:6667. doi:10.1038/ ncomms7667

- 20. Moon SJ, Lee Y, Jiao Y, Montell C (2009) A *Drosophila* gustatory receptor essential for aversive taste and inhibiting male-to-male courtship. Curr Biol 19 (19):1623–1627. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.061 [PubMed: 19765987]
- 21. Sanchez-Alcaniz JA, Silbering AF, Croset V, Zappia G, Sivasubramaniam AK, Abuin L, Sahai SY, Munch D, Steck K, Auer TO, Cruchet S, Neagu-Maier GL, Sprecher SG, Ribeiro C, Yapici N, Benton R (2018) An expression atlas of variant ionotropic glutamate receptors identifies a molecular basis of carbonation sensing. Nature communications 9 (1):4252. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06453-1
- 22. Croset V, Rytz R, Cummins SF, Budd A, Brawand D, Kaessmann H, Gibson TJ, Benton R (2010) Ancient protostome origin of chemosensory ionotropic glutamate receptors and the evolution of insect taste and olfaction. PLoS Genet 6 (8):e1001064. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001064 [PubMed: 20808886]
- Zhang YV, Ni J, Montell C (2013) The molecular basis for attractive salt-taste coding in Drosophila. Science 340 (6138):1334–1338. doi:10.1126/science.1234133 [PubMed: 23766326]
- Steck K, Walker SJ, Itskov PM, Baltazar C, Moreira JM, Ribeiro C (2018) Internal amino acid state modulates yeast taste neurons to support protein homeostasis in *Drosophila*. eLife 7. doi:10.7554/eLife.31625
- Ganguly A, Pang L, Duong VK, Lee A, Schoniger H, Varady E, Dahanukar A (2017) A molecular and cellular context-dependent role for Ir76b in detection of amino acid taste. Cell reports 18 (3):737–750. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.071 [PubMed: 28099851]
- 26. Croset V, Schleyer M, Arguello JR, Gerber B, Benton R (2016) A molecular and neuronal basis for amino acid sensing in the *Drosophila* larva. Scientific reports 6:34871. doi:10.1038/srep34871 [PubMed: 27982028]
- 27. Koh TW, He Z, Gorur-Shandilya S, Menuz K, Larter NK, Stewart S, Carlson JR (2014) The *Drosophila* IR20a clade of ionotropic receptors are candidate taste and pheromone receptors. Neuron 83 (4):850–865. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.012 [PubMed: 25123314]
- 28. Liu T, Wang Y, Tian Y, Zhang J, Zhao J, Guo A (2018) The receptor channel formed by ppk25, ppk29 and ppk23 can sense the *Drosophila* female pheromone 7,11-heptacosadiene. Genes, brain, and behavior:e12529. doi:10.1111/gbb.12529
- Vijayan V, Thistle R, Liu T, Starostina E, Pikielny CW (2014) *Drosophila* pheromone-sensing neurons expressing the ppk25 ion channel subunit stimulate male courtship and female receptivity. PLoS Genet 10 (3):e1004238. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004238 [PubMed: 24675786]
- 30. Toda H, Zhao X, Dickson BJ (2012) The *Drosophila* female aphrodisiac pheromone activates ppk23(+) sensory neurons to elicit male courtship behavior. Cell Rep 1 (6):599–607. doi:10.1016/ j.celrep.2012.05.007 [PubMed: 22813735]
- Lu B, LaMora A, Sun Y, Welsh MJ, Ben-Shahar Y (2012) ppk23-Dependent chemosensory functions contribute to courtship behavior in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS Genet 8 (3):e1002587. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002587 [PubMed: 22438833]
- 32. Chen Z, Wang Q, Wang Z (2010) The amiloride-sensitive epithelial Na+ channel PPK28 is essential for *Drosophila* gustatory water reception. J Neurosci 30 (18):6247–6252. doi:10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.0627-10.2010 [PubMed: 20445050]
- Cameron P, Hiroi M, Ngai J, Scott K (2010) The molecular basis for water taste in *Drosophila*. Nature 465 (7294):91–95. doi:10.1038/nature09011 [PubMed: 20364123]
- 34. Guntur AR, Gou B, Gu P, He R, Stern U, Xiang Y, Yang CH (2017) H₂O₂-sensitive isoforms of *Drosophila melanogaster* TRPA1 act in bitter-sensing gustatory neurons to promote avoidance of UV during egg-laying. Genetics 205 (2):749–759. doi:10.1534/genetics.116.195172 [PubMed: 27932542]
- 35. Soldano A, Alpizar YA, Boonen B, Franco L, Lopez-Requena A, Liu G, Mora N, Yaksi E, Voets T, Vennekens R, Hassan BA, Talavera K (2016) Gustatory-mediated avoidance of bacterial lipopolysaccharides via TRPA1 activation in *Drosophila*. eLife 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.13133
- 36. Du EJ, Ahn TJ, Wen X, Seo DW, Na DL, Kwon JY, Choi M, Kim HW, Cho H, Kang K (2016) Nucleophile sensitivity of *Drosophila* TRPA1 underlies light-induced feeding deterrence. Elife 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.18425

- 37. Zhang YV, Raghuwanshi RP, Shen WL, Montell C (2013) Food experience-induced taste desensitization modulated by the *Drosophila* TRPL channel. Nat Neurosci 16 (10):1468–1476. doi:10.1038/nn.3513 [PubMed: 24013593]
- 38. Kim SH, Lee Y, Akitake B, Woodward OM, Guggino WB, Montell C (2010) Drosophila TRPA1 channel mediates chemical avoidance in gustatory receptor neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107 (18):8440–8445. doi:10.1073/pnas.1001425107 [PubMed: 20404155]
- Lee MJ, Sung HY, Jo H, Kim HW, Choi MS, Kwon JY, Kang K (2017) Ionotropic receptor 76b is required for gustatory aversion to excessive Na+ in *Drosophila*. Molecules and cells 40 (10):787– 795. doi:10.14348/molcells.2017.0160 [PubMed: 29081083]
- Kang K, Panzano VC, Chang EC, Ni L, Dainis AM, Jenkins AM, Regna K, Muskavitch MA, Garrity PA (2011) Modulation of TRPA1 thermal sensitivity enables sensory discrimination in *Drosophila*. Nature 481 (7379):76–80. doi:10.1038/nature10715 [PubMed: 22139422]
- Kang K, Pulver SR, Panzano VC, Chang EC, Griffith LC, Theobald DL, Garrity PA (2010) Analysis of *Drosophila* TRPA1 reveals an ancient origin for human chemical nociception. Nature 464 (7288):597–600. doi:10.1038/nature08848 [PubMed: 20237474]
- Chen Y, Amrein H (2017) Ionotropic receptors mediate *Drosophila* oviposition preference through sour gustatory receptor neurons. Current biology : CB 27 (18):2741–2750.e2744. doi:10.1016/ j.cub.2017.08.003 [PubMed: 28889974]
- 43. Ahn JE, Chen Y, Amrein H (2017) Molecular basis of fatty acid taste in *Drosophila*. eLife 6. doi:10.7554/eLife.30115
- 44. Tauber JM, Brown EB, Li Y, Yurgel ME, Masek P, Keene AC (2017) A subset of sweet-sensing neurons identified by IR56d are necessary and sufficient for fatty acid taste. PLoS genetics 13 (11):e1007059. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007059 [PubMed: 29121639]
- Hussain A, Zhang M, Ucpunar HK, Svensson T, Quillery E, Gompel N, Ignell R, Grunwald Kadow IC (2016) Ionotropic chemosensory receptors mediate the taste and smell of polyamines. PLoS biology 14 (5):e1002454. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002454 [PubMed: 27145030]
- 46. Rimal S, Sang J, Poudel S, Thakur D, Montell C, Lee Y (2019) Mechanism of acetic acid gustatory repulsion in *Drosophila*. Cell reports 26 (6):1432–1442 e1434. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.042 [PubMed: 30726729]
- He Z, Luo Y, Shang X, Sun JS, Carlson JR (2019) Chemosensory sensilla of the *Drosophila* wing express a candidate ionotropic pheromone receptor. PLoS Biol 17 (5):e2006619. doi:10.1371/ journal.pbio.2006619 [PubMed: 31112532]
- Rimal S, Lee Y (2018) The multidimensional ionotropic receptors of *Drosophila* melanogaster. Insect molecular biology 27 (1):1–7. doi:10.1111/imb.12347 [PubMed: 28857341]
- Joseph RM, Carlson JR (2015) *Drosophila* chemoreceptors: A molecular interface between the chemical world and the brain. Trends in genetics : TIG 31 (12):683–695. doi:10.1016/ j.tig.2015.09.005 [PubMed: 26477743]
- Freeman EG, Dahanukar A (2015) Molecular neurobiology of *Drosophila* taste. Current opinion in neurobiology 34:140–148. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2015.06.001 [PubMed: 26102453]
- 51. Jiao Y, Moon SJ, Wang X, Ren Q, Montell C (2008) Gr64f is required in combination with other gustatory receptors for sugar detection in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 18 (22):1797–1801. doi:10.1016/ j.cub.2008.10.009 [PubMed: 19026541]
- Jiao Y, Moon SJ, Montell C (2007) A *Drosophila* gustatory receptor required for the responses to sucrose, glucose, and maltose identified by mRNA tagging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104 (35):14110–14115. doi:10.1073/pnas.0702421104 [PubMed: 17715294]
- 53. Slone J, Daniels J, Amrein H (2007) Sugar receptors in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 17 (20):1809–1816. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.09.027 [PubMed: 17919910]
- 54. Miyamoto T, Amrein H (2014) Diverse roles for the *Drosophila* fructose sensor Gr43a. Fly (Austin) 8 (1):19–25. doi:10.4161/fly.27241 [PubMed: 24406333]
- 55. Miyamoto T, Slone J, Song X, Amrein H (2012) A fructose receptor functions as a nutrient sensor in the *Drosophila* brain. Cell 151 (5):1113–1125. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.024 [PubMed: 23178127]

- 56. McGinnis JP, Jiang H, Agha MA, Sanchez CP, Lange J, Yu Z, Marion-Poll F, Si K (2016) Immediate perception of a reward is distinct from the reward's long-term salience. eLife 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.22283
- 57. Miyamoto T, Chen Y, Slone J, Amrein H (2013) Identification of a *Drosophila* glucose receptor using Ca2+ imaging of single chemosensory neurons. PLoS One 8 (2):e56304. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0056304 [PubMed: 23418550]
- Wang Z, Singhvi A, Kong P, Scott K (2004) Taste representations in the *Drosophila* brain. Cell 117 (7):981–991. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.06.011 [PubMed: 15210117]
- Thorne N, Chromey C, Bray S, Amrein H (2004) Taste perception and coding in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 14 (12):1065–1079. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.05.019 [PubMed: 15202999]
- 60. Thoma V, Knapek S, Arai S, Hartl M, Kohsaka H, Sirigrivatanawong P, Abe A, Hashimoto K, Tanimoto H (2016) Functional dissociation in sweet taste receptor neurons between and within taste organs of *Drosophila*. Nature communications 7:10678. doi:10.1038/ncomms10678
- Murata S, Brockmann A, Tanimura T (2017) Pharyngeal stimulation with sugar triggers local searching behavior in *Drosophila*. The Journal of experimental biology 220 (Pt 18):3231–3237. doi:10.1242/jeb.161646 [PubMed: 28684466]
- Yapici N, Cohn R, Schusterreiter C, Ruta V, Vosshall LB (2016) A taste circuit that regulates ingestion by integrating food and hunger signals. Cell 165 (3):715–729. doi:10.1016/ j.cell.2016.02.061 [PubMed: 27040496]
- 63. Joseph RM, Sun JS, Tam E, Carlson JR (2017) A receptor and neuron that activate a circuit limiting sucrose consumption. eLife 6. doi:10.7554/eLife.24992
- Lee Y, Moon SJ, Montell C (2009) Multiple gustatory receptors required for the caffeine response in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106 (11):4495–4500. doi:10.1073/pnas.0811744106 [PubMed: 19246397]
- 65. Moon SJ, Kottgen M, Jiao Y, Xu H, Montell C (2006) A taste receptor required for the caffeine response *in vivo*. Curr Biol 16 (18):1812–1817. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.07.024 [PubMed: 16979558]
- Lee Y, Kim SH, Montell C (2010) Avoiding DEET through insect gustatory receptors. Neuron 67 (4):555–561. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.07.006 [PubMed: 20797533]
- 67. Shim J, Lee Y, Jeong YT, Kim Y, Lee MG, Montell C, Moon SJ (2015) The full repertoire of Drosophila gustatory receptors for detecting an aversive compound. Nature communications 6:8867. doi:10.1038/ncomms9867
- Poudel S, Kim Y, Gwak JS, Jeong S, Lee Y (2017) Gustatory receptor 22e is essential for sensing chloroquine and strychnine in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Insect biochemistry and molecular biology 88:30–36. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2017.07.007 [PubMed: 28751111]
- Poudel S, Lee Y (2016) Gustatory receptors required for avoiding the toxic compound coumarin in Drosophila melanogaster. Molecules and cells 39 (4):310–315. doi:10.14348/molcells.2016.2250 [PubMed: 26912085]
- 70. Poudel S, Kim Y, Kim YT, Lee Y (2015) Gustatory receptors required for sensing umbelliferone in Drosophila melanogaster. Insect biochemistry and molecular biology 66:110–118. doi:10.1016/ j.ibmb.2015.10.010 [PubMed: 26524963]
- Lee Y, Moon SJ, Wang Y, Montell C (2015) A *Drosophila* gustatory receptor required for strychnine sensation. Chemical senses 40 (7):525–533. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjv038 [PubMed: 26187906]
- 72. Sang J, Rimal S, Lee Y (2019) Gustatory receptor 28b is necessary for avoiding saponin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. EMBO Rep 20 (2). doi:10.15252/embr.201847328
- 73. Rimal S, Lee Y (2019) Molecular sensor of nicotine in taste of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 111:103178. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.103178 [PubMed: 31226410]
- 74. Sung HY, Jeong YT, Lim JY, Kim H, Oh SM, Hwang SW, Kwon JY, Moon SJ (2017) Heterogeneity in the *Drosophila* gustatory receptor complexes that detect aversive compounds. Nature communications 8 (1):1484. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01639-5
- 75. Delventhal R, Carlson JR (2016) Bitter taste receptors confer diverse functions to neurons. eLife 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.11181

- 76. Walker SJ, Corrales-Carvajal VM, Ribeiro C (2015) Postmating circuitry modulates salt taste processing to increase reproductive output in *Drosophila*. Current biology : CB 25 (20):2621– 2630. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.08.043 [PubMed: 26412135]
- 77. Kim H, Jeong YT, Choi MS, Choi J, Moon SJ, Kwon JY (2017) Involvement of a *Gr2a*-expressing *Drosophila* pharyngeal gustatory receptor neuron in regulation of aversion to high-salt foods. Molecules and cells 40 (5):331–338. doi:10.14348/molcells.2017.0028 [PubMed: 28535667]
- 78. Devineni AV, Sun B, Zhukovskaya A, Axel R (2019) Acetic acid activates distinct taste pathways in *Drosophila* to elicit opposing, state-dependent feeding responses. Elife 8. doi:10.7554/ eLife.47677
- Deshpande SA, Yamada R, Mak CM, Hunter B, Soto Obando A, Hoxha S, Ja WW (2015) Acidic food pH increases palatability and consumption and extends *Drosophila* lifespan. The Journal of nutrition 145 (12):2789–2796. doi:10.3945/jn.115.222380 [PubMed: 26491123]
- Chen Y, Amrein H (2014) Enhancing perception of contaminated food through acid-mediated modulation of taste neuron responses. Curr Biol 24 (17):1969–1977. doi:10.1016/ j.cub.2014.07.069 [PubMed: 25131671]
- Ai M, Min S, Grosjean Y, Leblanc C, Bell R, Benton R, Suh GS (2010) Acid sensing by the Drosophila olfactory system. Nature 468 (7324):691–695. doi:10.1038/nature09537 [PubMed: 21085119]
- 82. Joseph RM, Devineni AV, King IF, Heberlein U (2009) Oviposition preference for and positional avoidance of acetic acid provide a model for competing behavioral drives in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106 (27):11352–11357. doi:10.1073/pnas.0901419106 [PubMed: 19541615]
- Abuin L, Bargeton B, Ulbrich MH, Isacoff EY, Kellenberger S, Benton R (2011) Functional architecture of olfactory ionotropic glutamate receptors. Neuron 69 (1):44–60. doi:10.1016/ j.neuron.2010.11.042 [PubMed: 21220098]
- Vargas MA, Luo N, Yamaguchi A, Kapahi P (2010) A role for S6 kinase and serotonin in postmating dietary switch and balance of nutrients in *D. melanogaster*. Curr Biol 20 (11):1006– 1011. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.04.009 [PubMed: 20471266]
- Ribeiro C, Dickson BJ (2010) Sex peptide receptor and neuronal TOR/S6K signaling modulate nutrient balancing in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 20 (11):1000–1005. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.03.061 [PubMed: 20471268]
- 86. Park J, Carlson JR (2018) Physiological responses of the *Drosophila* labellum to amino acids. Journal of neurogenetics 32 (1):27–36. doi:10.1080/01677063.2017.1406934 [PubMed: 29191065]
- 87. Yang Z, Huang R, Fu X, Wang G, Qi W, Mao D, Shi Z, Shen WL, Wang L (2018) A post-ingestive amino acid sensor promotes food consumption in *Drosophila*. Cell Res 28 (10):1013–1025. doi:10.1038/s41422-018-0084-9 [PubMed: 30209352]
- Dus M, Lai JS, Gunapala KM, Min S, Tayler TD, Hergarden AC, Geraud E, Joseph CM, Suh GS (2015) Nutrient sensor in the brain directs the action of the brain-gut axis in *Drosophila*. Neuron 87 (1):139–151. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.032 [PubMed: 26074004]
- Masek P, Keene AC (2013) *Drosophila* fatty acid taste signals through the PLC pathway in sugarsensing neurons. Plos Genetics 9 (9). 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003710
- 90. Kim H, Kim H, Kwon JY, Seo JT, Shin DM, Moon SJ (2018) *Drosophila* Gr64e mediates fatty acid sensing via the phospholipase C pathway. PLoS genetics 14 (2):e1007229. doi:10.1371/ journal.pgen.1007229 [PubMed: 29420533]
- 91. Fischler W, Kong P, Marella S, Scott K (2007) The detection of carbonation by the *Drosophila* gustatory system. Nature 448 (7157):1054–1057. doi:10.1038/nature06101 [PubMed: 17728758]
- Yang CH, Belawat P, Hafen E, Jan LY, Jan YN (2008) *Drosophila* egg-laying site selection as a system to study simple decision-making processes. Science 319 (5870):1679–1683. doi:10.1126/ science.1151842 [PubMed: 18356529]
- 93. Zug R, Hammerstein P (2015) Wolbachia and the insect immune system: what reactive oxygen species can tell us about the mechanisms of Wolbachia-host interactions. Frontiers in microbiology 6:1201. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01201 [PubMed: 26579107]

- 94. Apel K, Hirt H (2004) Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annual review of plant biology 55:373–399. doi:10.1146/ annurev.arplant.55.031903.141701
- 95. Yanagawa A, Couto A, Sandoz JC, Hata T, Mitra A, Ali Agha M, Marion-Poll F (2019) LPS perception through taste-induced reflex in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Journal of insect physiology 112:39–47. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2018.12.001 [PubMed: 30528842]
- 96. Yanagawa A, Guigue AM, Marion-Poll F (2014) Hygienic grooming is induced by contact chemicals in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Front Behav Neurosci 8:254. doi:10.3389/ fnbeh.2014.00254 [PubMed: 25100963]
- 97. Min S, Ai M, Shin SA, Suh GS (2013) Dedicated olfactory neurons mediating attraction behavior to ammonia and amines in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110 (14):E1321–1329. doi:10.1073/pnas.1215680110 [PubMed: 23509267]
- Delventhal R, Menuz K, Joseph R, Park J, Sun JS, Carlson JR (2017) The taste response to ammonia in *Drosophila*. Scientific reports 7:43754. doi:10.1038/srep43754 [PubMed: 28262698]
- 99. Lee Y, Poudel S, Kim Y, Thakur D, Montell C (2018) Calcium taste avoidance in *Drosophila*. Neuron 97 (1):67–74.e64. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.11.038 [PubMed: 29276056]
- 100. LeDue EE, Mann K, Koch E, Chu B, Dakin R, Gordon MD (2016) Starvation-induced depotentiation of bitter taste in *Drosophila*. Current biology : CB 26 (21):2854–2861. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.08.028 [PubMed: 27720624]
- 101. Youn H, Kirkhart C, Chia J, Scott K (2018) A subset of octopaminergic neurons that promotes feeding initiation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PloS one 13 (6):e0198362. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0198362 [PubMed: 29949586]
- 102. Inagaki HK, Panse KM, Anderson DJ (2014) Independent, reciprocal neuromodulatory control of sweet and bitter taste sensitivity during starvation in *Drosophila*. Neuron 84 (4):806–820. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.09.032 [PubMed: 25451195]
- 103. Inagaki HK, Ben-Tabou de-Leon S, Wong AM, Jagadish S, Ishimoto H, Barnea G, Kitamoto T, Axel R, Anderson DJ (2012) Visualizing neuromodulation *in vivo*: TANGO-mapping of dopamine signaling reveals appetite control of sugar sensing. Cell 148 (3):583–595. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.12.022 [PubMed: 22304923]
- 104. Wang QP, Lin YQ, Zhang L, Wilson YA, Oyston LJ, Cotterell J, Qi Y, Khuong TM, Bakhshi N, Planchenault Y, Browman DT, Lau MT, Cole TA, Wong AC, Simpson SJ, Cole AR, Penninger JM, Herzog H, Neely GG (2016) Sucralose promotes food intake through NPY and a neuronal fasting response. Cell metabolism 24 (1):75–90. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.06.010 [PubMed: 27411010]
- 105. Dahanukar A, Foster K, van der Goes van Naters WM, Carlson JR (2001) A Gr receptor is required for response to the sugar trehalose in taste neurons of *Drosophila*. Nat Neurosci 4 (12):1182–1186. doi:10.1038/nn765 [PubMed: 11704765]
- 106. Hiroi M, Marion-Poll F, Tanimura T (2002) Differentiated response to sugars among labellar chemosensilla in *Drosophila*. Zoolog Sci 19 (9):1009–1018. doi:10.2108/zsj.19.1009 [PubMed: 12362054]
- 107. Wisotsky Z, Medina A, Freeman E, Dahanukar A (2011) Evolutionary differences in food preference rely on Gr64e, a receptor for glycerol. Nat Neurosci 14 (12):1534–1541. doi:10.1038/ nn.2944 [PubMed: 22057190]
- 108. Marella S, Fischler W, Kong P, Asgarian S, Rueckert E, Scott K (2006) Imaging taste responses in the fly brain reveals a functional map of taste category and behavior. Neuron 49 (2):285–295. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2005.11.037 [PubMed: 16423701]
- 109. Yavuz A, Jagge C, Slone J, Amrein H (2014) A genetic tool kit for cellular and behavioral analyses of insect sugar receptors. Fly (Austin) 8 (4):189–196. doi:10.1080/19336934.2015.1050569 [PubMed: 25984594]
- 110. Raad H, Ferveur JF, Ledger N, Capovilla M, Robichon A (2016) Functional gustatory role of chemoreceptors in *Drosophila* wings. Cell reports 15 (7):1442–1454. doi:10.1016/ j.celrep.2016.04.040 [PubMed: 27160896]

- 111. Freeman EG, Wisotsky Z, Dahanukar A (2014) Detection of sweet tastants by a conserved group of insect gustatory receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111 (4):1598–1603. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1311724111 [PubMed: 24474785]
- 112. Meunier N, Marion-Poll F, Rospars JP, Tanimura T (2003) Peripheral coding of bitter taste in *Drosophila*. J Neurobiol 56 (2):139–152. doi:10.1002/neu.10235 [PubMed: 12838579]
- 113. Lacaille F, Hiroi M, Twele R, Inoshita T, Umemoto D, Maniere G, Marion-Poll F, Ozaki M, Francke W, Cobb M, Everaerts C, Tanimura T, Ferveur JF (2007) An inhibitory sex pheromone tastes bitter for *Drosophila* males. PLoS One 2 (7):e661. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000661 [PubMed: 17710124]
- 114. French AS, Sellier MJ, Ali Agha M, Guigue A, Chabaud MA, Reeb PD, Mitra A, Grau Y, Soustelle L, Marion-Poll F (2015) Dual mechanism for bitter avoidance in *Drosophila*. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 35 (9):3990–4004. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1312-14.2015 [PubMed: 25740527]
- 115. Du EJ, Ahn TJ, Choi MS, Kwon I, Kim HW, Kwon JY, Kang K (2015) The mosquito repellent citronellal directly potentiates *Drosophila* TRPA1, facilitating feeding suppression. Molecules and cells 38 (10):911–917. doi:10.14348/molcells.2015.0215 [PubMed: 26447139]
- 116. Al-Anzi B, Tracey WD Jr., Benzer S (2006) Response of *Drosophila* to wasabi is mediated by painless, the fly homolog of mammalian TRPA1/ANKTM1. Curr Biol 16 (10):1034–1040. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.04.002 [PubMed: 16647259]
- 117. Park JH, Chen J, Jang S, Ahn TJ, Kang K, Choi MS, Kwon JY (2016) A subset of enteroendocrine cells is activated by amino acids in the *Drosophila* midgut. FEBS Lett 590 (4):493–500. doi:10.1002/1873-3468.12073 [PubMed: 26801353]
- 118. Toshima N, Tanimura T (2012) Taste preference for amino acids is dependent on internal nutritional state in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Exp Biol 215 (Pt 16):2827–2832. doi:10.1242/ jeb.069146 [PubMed: 22837455]





There are three types of taste sensillum in the different taste organs: taste bristles (blue dots), taste pegs (green dots), and pharyngeal hairless sensillum (magenta dots). The taste bristles are distributed in the anterior wing margins (blue in **A**), the distal segment of the legs (brown in **B**), and the labellum (black, blue and red in **C**). The taste pegs are located between pseudotrachea in the labellum (green in **D**). The hairless sensilla are located in the three internal pharyngeal taste organs: labral sense organs (LSO), ventral and dorsal cibarial sense organs (VCSO and DCSO) (magenta in **E**). (**F**-**H**) Schematic diagrams showing the structures of three types of taste sensillum. All of them have a terminal pore (arrows) that allows tastants making contact with the taste neurons in each sensillum. The taste bristle has 2–4 gustatory receptor neurons (GRNs) (4 GRNs in this schematic example) whose dendrites extend up to the tip of the taste sensillum (**F**). The taste peg has one GRN (**G**). Both taste bristles and taste pegs have one mechanosensory neuron (MN) at the base of each sensillum (black in **F** and **G**). The pharyngeal hairless sensillum. The number of GRNs in

the pharyngeal hairless sensillum can vary from 1-8 (8 GRNs in this schematic example) (**H**).

Table 1.

Receptors, neurons and taste responses in adult Drosophila.

Tastant	Receptor	Transgenic reporter	Example ligands	Taste organs	Physiological measurement	Behavioral measurement	Reference
Sweet	Gr5a, Gr43a, Gr61a, Gr64a-f		Trehalose, sucrose, glucose, fructose, glycerol and other sugars	Labellum	Tip recording	PER, food choice	[13,52,105,51,106,107,9]
	Gr5a, Gr61a, Gr64a-f			Labellum	None	PER	[17]
		Gr5a-GAL4		Labellum	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice	[108]
		Gr5a-GAL4			None	PER	[58]
	Gr43a			Brain	Ca ²⁺ imaging	PER, CAFE	[17,55]
		Gr61a- GAL4		Tarsi	Ca ² + imaging	PER	[109,57]
		Gr43a- GAL4		Pharynx	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice, food consumption	[19]
		Tub-GAL4		Wing	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Aggregation	[110]
		Gr21a- GAL4, Gr63a- GAL4		Ectopic expression system in olfactory sensilla	Tip recording	None	[111]
				Tarsi	Tip recording	None	[10]
	Ir60b		Sucrose, glucose	Pharynx	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food consumption, FLIC	[63]
Bitter			Caffeine, quinine, denatonium, DEET, 7- tricosene, and other bitter compounds	Labellum, tarsi	Tip recording	Food choice	[112,12,9,113]
	Gr32a, Gr33a, Gr66a, Gr93a			Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, courtship	[64,65,20,66,74]
	Gr2a, Gr10a, Gr22b, Gr28a, Gr28b.a, Gr36a, Gr58c, Gr59c	Gr89a- GAL4		Ectopic expression system in labellum	Tip recording	None	[75]
		Gr66a- GAL4		Labellum	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice	[108]
		Gr66a- GAL4	Strychnine, L- canavanine	Labellum, tarsi	Tip recording	Food choice, CAFE, PER	[114]
		Gr66a- GAL4	Caffeine, quinine, denatonium, berberine		None	PER	[58]
	Gr8a, Gr66a, Gr98b		L-canavanine	Labellum	T ip recording	Food choice	[67]
		Gr9a-GAL4	L-canavanine	Pharynx	None	Food choice	[18]

Tastant	Receptor	Transgenic reporter	Example ligands	Taste organs	Physiological measurement	Behavioral measurement	Reference
	Gr47a		Strychnine	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, PER	[71]
	Gr22e		Strychnine, chloroquine	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, PER	[68]
	Gr33a, Gr66a, Gr93a		Umbelliferone, coumarin	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, oviposition	[70,69]
	Gr28b		Saponin	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, PER	[72]
	Gr10a		Nicotine	Labellum	Tip recording	PER	[73]
	TrpAl		N-methylmale imide	Labellum	Tip recording	CAFE	[115]
	TrpAl		Aristolochic acid	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[37]
	TrpL		Camphor	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[38]
	Painless		Isothiocyanate	Labellum, tarsi, pharynx, wing	None	Food choice, PER	[116]
Salt	Ir76b		Sodium chloride	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[23,39]
		Ir76b-GAL4		Tarsi	None	PER	[76]
	Gr2a			Pharynx	None	Food choice	[77]
				Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[9]
		Gr64f- GAL4, Gr66a- GAL4, ppk23- GAL4, Ir94e-GAL4		Labellum	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice	[7]
Acid	Ir7a		Acetic acid	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, PER	[46]
		Gr64f- GAL4, Gr66a- GAL4	Acetic acid	Labellum	Ca ²⁺ imaging	PER	[78]
	Ir25a, Ir76b		Carboxylic acids, HCl	Tarsi	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Oviposition	[42]
		Gr89a- GAL4	Carboxylic acids, HCl	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, PER	[11]
Amino acids/Yeast	Ir76b		Serine, threonine, phenylalanine, alanine, glycine, yeast extract	Tarsi	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice	[25]
		Ir76b-GAL4	Yeast	Labellum, taste pegs	Ca ²⁺ imaging	FlyPAD	[24]
		Gr66a- GAL4	Tryptophan, phenylalanine	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[86]
		AstC-, Npf-, and Dh31- GAL4 (EE- GAL4)	Amino acids/ yeast	Gut	CaLexA	None	[117]

Tastant	Receptor	Transgenic reporter	Example ligands	Taste organs	Physiological measurement	Behavioral measurement	Reference
			Amino acids	Labellum, Tarsi	None	Food choice, PER, CAFE	[118]
Carbonated		E409-GAL4	Sodium bicarbonate, cesium bicarbonate (pH 5–6.5)	Taste pegs	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice	[91]
water	Ir56d			Labellum, taste pegs	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice, Positional preference, PER, Expresso, FlyPAD	[21]
Fatty acids	Ir56d		Hexanoic acid, octanoic acid, and other fatty acids	Taste pegs, tarsi	Ca ²⁺ imaging	PER	[44,43]
	Gr64e			Labellum	Tip recording	PER	[90]
		Gr33a ^[GAL4]	Hexanoic acid	Tarsi	Ca ²⁺ imaging	PER	[43]
Polyamines	Ir76b	Gr66a- GAL4	Putrescine, cadaverine	Labellum	Ca ²⁺ imaging, tip recording	Oviposition	[45]
UV/H ₂ O ₂	TrpAl	Gr66a- GAL4	UV/H ₂ O ₂	Labellum	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Oviposition	[34]
	TrpAl	Gr66a- GAL4	UV/H ₂ O ₂	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[36]
LPS	TrpAl	Gr66a- GAL4	LPS	Pharynx	Ca ²⁺ imaging	Food choice, PER, oviposition	[35]
		Gr64f- GAL4, Gr5a- GAL4, Gr33a- GAL4, Gr66a- GAL4, Ir76b-GAL4	LPS	Wing	None	Grooming	[95]
		Gr33a- GAL4	LPS	Wing, tarsi	Tip recording	Grooming	[96]
Ammonia		Gr66a- GAL4	Ammonium chloride	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice, food consumption	[98]
Calcium	Ir62a	ppk23- GAL4	Calcium chloride	Labellum	Tip recording	Food choice	[99]

CAFE, capillary feeder assay; CaLexA, calcium-dependent nuclear import of LexA; DEET, N,N-Diethyl-meta-toluamide; *EE-GAL4*, *enteroendocrine-GAL4*; Expresso, an automated feeding assay for quantification of real time food ingestion; FLIC, fly liquid food interaction counter; flyPAD, fly proboscis and activity detector; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; PER, proboscis extension response.