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Ghrelin O-acyl Transferase (GOAT) is a recently discovered member of the membrane bound O-acyl transferase (MBOAT) family of enzymes. GOAT is uniquely able to catalyze the transfer of an n-octanoyl group to its substrate, the peptide hormone ghrelin. Acylated ghrelin is insulinostatic and can promote feeding behavior in higher mammals. Pharmacological inhibition of GOAT has emerged as an attractive means to modulate the ghrelin signaling pathway. GOAT is the only humanenzyme known to promote octanoylation. Ghrelin is the only substrate of GOAT, and ghrelin is the only known octanoylated hormone. Further, ghrelin and GOAT are produced principally in the digestive tract. Unlike ligands of the ghrelin receptor, a GOAT inhibitor would not need to penetrate the blood brain barrier.

Herein, we detail our efforts to develop potent, in vivo active small molecule GOAT inhibitors by a peptidomimetic approach. Towards this goal we outline experimental procedures for assaying GOAT activity in vitro, and address unique challenges overcome during this work. Our medicinal chemistry has been driven by hypotheses concerning the catalytic mechanism and binding mode of GOAT and its co-substrates ghrelin and octanoyl-CoA. We unify our understanding of structure-activity relationships for a new class of GOAT inhibitors, and examine the progression of inhibitor structure which has led to these conclusions. Multiple synthetic routes appropriate for small and large scale syntheses of GOAT inhibitors are presented.

Top performing inhibitors exhibit high nanomolar potency in vitro, and suppress circulating acyl-ghrelin in-vivo for up to three hours in a mouse. We have extensively characterized the in vivo and in vitro pharmacokinetic properties of these materials. These inhibitors lay a strong foundation for further medicinal chemistry refinement, and provide a powerful new means of interrogating ghrelin biology and assessing the therapeutic potential of GOAT inhibition.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Discovery of Ghrelin and GHS-r

Ghrelin (1) is a 28 amino acid lipopeptide, ${ }^{1}$ which has been shown to play a role in the regulation of growth hormone $(\mathrm{GH})$ release, feeding behavior, energy homeostasis, and glucose homeostasis. The discovery of ghrelin began with the identification of its receptor, originally termed the growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHS-r). ${ }^{2}$ In the 1990s, researchers at Merck prepared small molecule analogs of a short peptide motif (3), ${ }^{3}$ which was known to promote release of GH via a mechanism distinct from the canonical growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH) axis (Figure 1.1A). These molecules, typified by MK-0677 (2), were termed growth hormone secretagogues (GHSs). The cellular target of these compounds was initially unknown, however $\mathrm{a}^{35} \mathrm{~S}$ radiolabeled analog of 2 was used to identify the receptor for these molecules (GHS-r). ${ }^{2}$ As shown in in figure 1.1B, action of GHS-r ligands on GHS-r in the pituitary leads to secretion of $\mathrm{GH},{ }^{3}$ while binding in the hypothalamus promotes GHRH release, ultimately resulting in additional GH release. Small molecules agonists of this receptor received considerable interest for their potential utility in the treatment of patients with GH deficiencies. MK-0677, and other related GHSr agonists have been clinically evaluated for the treatment of a variety of GH related diseases. ${ }^{3}$

Although a suite of synthetic GHS-r ligands had been identified and their interactions with the receptor well characterized, ${ }^{3}$ the endogenous ligand for the receptor remained unknown until 1999, when Kojima and coworkers, who screened
tissue extracts for receptor activity, identified a lipidated 28 amino acid peptide hormone as the endogenous ligand for the receptor and named it ghrelin (1). ${ }^{1}$ It was determined



Figure 1.1: Ghrelin, Ibutamoren, and GHRP-6 are all agonists of GHS-r and the diverse signaling pathways originating from ghrelin. (A) Structure of three structurally distinct GHS-r agonists. (B) GHS-r is a G-protein coupled receptor, which has several functions, depending on tissue type. In the pituitary gland, GHS-r regulates production of growth hormone both directly and indirectly through additional production of GHRH. In pancreatic islets, GHS-r is coupled to a different G-protein, and receptor activation prevents accumulation of intracellular calcium, which in turn suppresses insulin release in response to glucose. Ghrelin also influences hunger, feeding behavior, and energy homeostasis, although these effects are highly context dependent.
that the active form of ghrelin required a medium chain (natively almost exclusively octanoyl) fatty acid appendage on serine-3 for endocrine activity. Ghrelin was the first octanoylated peptide identified, and it remains the only known hormone having this modification. ${ }^{4}$ At the time, the mechanism by which octanoate was appended was unknown. Select and truncated forms of the ghrelin peptide have been identified, but the
most abundant form of ghrelin is the 28 amino acid ser-3 form, which is found in the blood in both acyl and des-acyl forms. ${ }^{5}$

### 1.2 Physiological Functions of Ghrelin

Following its discovery, ghrelin was found to be linked not just to GH secretion in the hypothalamus, but also to overall metabolism and to glucose homeostasis (Figure 1.1B). It was noted that ghrelin levels rise before a meal and fall afterwards. ${ }^{6}$ This finding led to speculation that ghrelin functioned as a hunger signal, apparently antipodally to the satiety inducing hormone leptin. ${ }^{7}$ This hypothesis was supported by studies demonstrating that infusion of ghrelin peptides to mice or humans induced feeding. ${ }^{8}$ A more recent study, however, determined that in mice the amount of ghrelin required to induce a change in feeding behavior exceeds the levels of circulating ghrelin observed physiologically, even under fasting conditions. ${ }^{9}$ These data cast doubt on the physiological relevance of ghrelin as a direct mediator of the hunger response.

Whereas induction of ghrelin signaling was found to promote feeding, blockade of ghrelin signaling does not affect feeding behavior or weight gain with a standard diet. ${ }^{10,11}$ Unlike leptin knockout mice, ghrelin knockout (KO) mice under standard feeding conditions are reportedly healthy, and outwardly indistinguishable from wild type cohorts. Examination of these animals under a wide variety of non-standard feeding conditions has yielded mixed results. Some studies have shown that ghrelin KO mice have a modest resistance to weight gain when fed a high fat diet. ${ }^{12}$ This effect is directly linked to the amount of food the animal consumes, suggesting that ghrelin regulates
metabolism at multiple levels, rather than simply controlling caloric intake. Yet, other studies failed to observe this effect, even under similar feeding conditions. ${ }^{13}$

While ghrelin's role in feeding behavior and metabolism is both complex and inconsistently observed in laboratory experiments, its function in glucose homeostasis is better understood. ${ }^{14,15}$ The action of ghrelin on its receptor in pancreatic islets suppresses insulin release via a well-characterized signaling pathway (Figure 1.1B). This effect has been observed in systems ranging from isolated pancreatic islets ${ }^{16}$ to whole organism models, ${ }^{17}$ and is consistently observed across multiple studies. ${ }^{5}$ Animals lacking ghrelin or its receptor show improved performance in a glucose tolerance test (GTT), such animals are better able to clear oral or intraperitoneally administered glucose. Ghrelin signaling has also been linked to a wide variety of other disease processes. However the most compelling data are from literature linking ghrelin signaling pathways to deleterious effects on metabolism and glucose homeostasis, and our goal is to develop pharmacological tools to control ghrelin production in vivo.

Pharmacological ablation of ghrelin signaling has garnered considerable interest in the medicinal chemistry community. ${ }^{18}$ Ghrelin receptor ligands are well developed; useful small molecule agonists, antagonists, and inverse agonists of the GHSr have been reported in the literature. ${ }^{3,19,20}$ All of these molecules must penetrate the bloodbrain barrier to reach the receptor, and thus have potential to cause unintended neurological effects. Ghrelin is produced in the digestive tract, especially in the gastric oxyntic mucosa. ${ }^{1,21}$ A compound that blocked ghrelin production, rather than receptor binding, could therefore act peripherally, and might offer distinct advantages over CNSpenetrating GHSr antagonists.

### 1.3 Ghrelin O-Acyl Transferase

A
 336 SFNINTNAWVARYIFKRLKFLGNK----ELSOGLSLLFLALWHGL 358 LPCAT3 (LPC)
293 SWNLPMSYWLNNYVFKNALRLGTF-----SAVLVTYAASALLHGF 332 PORC-a,b,c \& d(Wnt)
409 TWNVVVHDWLYYYVYKDLLWFFSKRF-KSAAMLAVFALSAVVHEY 452 ACAT1 (Cholesterol)
387 NWNIPVHKWCIRHFYKPMLRHGSS---KWVARTGVFLTSAFFHEY 428 DGAT1 (Diacylglycerol)
343 YFDVGLHNFLIRYVYIPLGGSQHGLLGTLLSTATTFAFVSYWHGS 387 HHAT (Hedgehog)

$\underbrace{\text { des-acy }}_{\substack{\text { Octanoyl CoA } \\ \text { GOAT }}}$
$\gamma$


Figure 1.2: Identification of the enzyme responsible for ghrelin octanoylation. (A) Sequence alignment of select MBOATs demonstrating the high degree of conservation amongst this family of proteins. Absolutely conserved Asn and His residues are indicated. (B) Approaches to the identification of the MBOAT that transfers octanoate to ghrelin. Yang et al cloned candidate enzymes to identify an enzyme capable of performing this modification. Gutierrez et al silenced candidate enzymes to identify an enzyme or enzymes required for octanoylation of ghrelin. (C) Mechanism of GOATs action on ghrelin. No evidence of a covalent interaction between any substrate and GOAT has been reported.

Ghrelin requires post-translational octanoylation of serine-3 for its activity, but the enzyme responsible for this unique acylation was unknown until 2008. It stood to reason that if the enzyme or enzymes that mediated this lipidation could be identified, then inhibition of this pathway might provide a means to modulate ghrelin signaling without affecting receptor activity. The membrane bound O-acyl transferases (MBOATs) are a family of membrane bound enzymes that transfer long and medium chain fatty acids to a variety of peptidal and non-peptidal hydroxyl and sulfhydryl nucleophiles. The

MBOATs were originally characterized by Hofmann, who identified the family by sequence homology. ${ }^{22}$ This family of enzymes is characterized by tightly conserved histidine and asparagine residues, which are believed to participate in catalysis. Sequence alignments of several well-studied MBOATs are shown in figure 1.2A, with the conserved His and Asn residues indicated. ACAT and DGAT, in particular, have each been the subject of extensive medicinal chemistry investigation, ${ }^{23,24}$ and numerous compounds targeting each have advanced to clinical trials.

Searching for the acyltransferase responsible for the octanoylation of ghrelin, Yang et al. identified the MBOAT family of enzymes as likely candidates, and screened all sixteen MBOATs encoded by the mouse genome for the ability to acylate ghrelin. ${ }^{25}$ Each candidate MBOAT was expressed alongside ghrelin in a rat insulinoma (INS-1) cell line. Following the addition of octanoic acid to the culture medium, media and cell lysate were analyzed for the presence of octanoyl ghrelin. Acyl and des-acyl ghrelin were separated by chromatography and the eluent fractions analyzed by immunostaining (Figure 1.2B). Only transformation with MBOAT 4 conferred the ability to produce acyl ghrelin. Concurrently with this study, Gutierrez et al. identified a cell line that required only the addition of octanoic acid to produce mature acyl ghrelin. ${ }^{26}$ Reasoning that these cells must express the correct acyltransferase, siRNAs targeting a series of candidate acyltransferases were added to probe candidate genes. Only the siRNA targeting MBOAT 4 suppressed acyl-ghrelin formation (Figure 1.2C). MBOAT 4 was thus termed Ghrelin O-Acyl Transferase (GOAT). Octanoyl CoA was subsequently identified as the acyl donor in the reaction. ${ }^{27}$ Since then, no other GOAT substrates have been identified, and no other enzyme has been found which can octanoylate
ghrelin. In addition, both GOAT and ghrelin are principally and co-locally expressed in the digestive tract, especially the oxyntic portion of the stomach. ${ }^{22}$

The mutual specificity of GOAT, Ghrelin, and octanoate, and the peripheral (rather than CNS) localization of the key acylation event suggest that a small molecule inhibitor of GOAT would not need to penetrate the brain, should have no mechanismbased toxic effects, and that inhibition of related enzymes is unlikely. These facts, coupled with the potential therapeutic utility of suppressing circulating ghrelin levels, warrant the development of small molecule inhibitors of this enzyme, as several research groups have noted. ${ }^{26,27,5}$

GOAT knockout mice have been generated, and show a relatively mild phenotype. If a beneficial phenotype were observed for mice of this genetic background, it would provide strong support for the potential utility of a GOAT inhibitor. However, as was observed for ghrelin and GHS-r KO mice, GOAT knockout mice show no detectable phenotype under standard or high fat (HF) feeding conditions. ${ }^{13}$ However, a recent study using a new strain of GOAT knockout mice identified dietary characteristics required to observe a phenotypic effect. ${ }^{28}$ GOAT knockout mice fed medium chain triglyceride (MCT) or HF diets supplemented with sucrose showed marked resistance to obesity relative to WT and KO mice fed an un-supplemented HF or MCT diet. They further identified a contribution of ghrelin signaling to impulse based feeding. Most importantly, they found that knockout mice fed a sucrose supplemented high fat or medium chain triglyceride diet were measurably more resistant to weight gain than WT mice. While phenotypic differences under conditions of calorie surplus have been difficult to identify, a strong phenotype is observed under conditions of severe calorie
restriction. Zhao et al. noted that GOAT and ghrelin knockout mice were more susceptible than WT mice to hypoglycemia induced mortality. ${ }^{13}$ This effect is mediated through the GH axis of ghrelin signaling, as infusion of GH to calorie restricted GOAT KO mice was sufficient to rescue them.

### 1.4 Inhibitors of GOAT



Figure 1.3: Reported inhibitors of ghrelin O-acyl transferase. (A) Several classes of inhibitor have been reported in the literature. (B) Reported in vitro potency of GOAT inhibitors.

Shortly after GOAT was identified as the enzyme responsible for ghrelin octanoylation, Yang and coworkers reported that the enzyme was inhibited by its reaction product, octanoyl ghrelin 1-28 (1) $\left[\mathrm{IC}_{50} 7 \mu \mathrm{M}\right]$ and much more potently by octylamide analog $5\left[I C_{50} 200 \mathrm{nM}\right]$ (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{28}$ They also showed that GOAT can catalyze the octanoylation of much shorter peptides derived from the N -terminus of ghrelin. Oligomers as short as five residues are readily acylated by the enzyme. Small acylghrelin derived pentapeptides (6 and 7) also inhibit GOAT, albeit with roughly 40 fold reduced potency relative to full-length ghrelin sequences.

A


GO-CoA-TAT (11) $100 \%$ Inhibition at 100 nM





Figure 1.4: Structure and in vivo pharmacology of GO-CoA-TAT. (A) GO-CoA-TAT is a fusion peptide consisting of the first ten amino acids of acyl-ghrelin octanamide (5) with an intact CoA unit and TAT sequence appended. (B) GOAT activity in Hela cells transfected to express GOAT and ghrelin is inhibited in a dose dependent fashion by GO-CoA-Tat. (C) In mice GO-CoATat reduces the fraction of acyl ghrelin, with maximum effect observed after 6 hours; followed by slow recovery to the resting state. (D) Chronic administration of 11 to mice fed a MCT diet reduces weight gain. (E) Treatment with 11 also improves glucose tolerance test performance relative to a control group.

In addition to the peptidyl GOAT inhibitors, four other classes of GOAT inhibitors have been disclosed (Figure 1.3). In 2011 Garner and Janda reported that benzamide 8 inhibited GOAT. ${ }^{29}$ This compound was identified from a small library of compounds derived from Ugi multicomponent reactions. No further development of these small molecules has so far been reported. Hougland has identified a set of "click" reaction derived triazoles, the most potent of which is 9 , as inhibitors of GOAT. ${ }^{30}$ While these compounds utilize the same pentapeptide skeleton as 6 and 7, they demonstrate the enzyme's ability to tolerate modification of the octanoate side chain. Takeda Pharmaceuticals has patented a class of heterocycle based GOAT inhibitors. ${ }^{31}$ They
report several compounds having general structure 10 that fully inhibit GOAT activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in vitro. These compounds have thus far not been characterized further in the literature.

To date the most well-studied GOAT inhibitor was reported by Barnett et al. in 2010. ${ }^{32}$ The authors noted that, as shown in figure 1.2C, the enzyme must bind both octanoyl CoA and ghrelin during catalysis. A bisubstrate mimetic, termed GO-CoA-Tat (11), was designed to take advantage of both binding sites. GO-CoA-Tat incorporates the first ten amino acids of octanamide ghrelin 1-28 (5) and an intact CoA unit. A Tat, which promotes endocytosis, is appended to the C-terminus of the ghrelin peptide via an aminohexanoate linker. Peptide inhibitors such as 5 and 6 are inactive in cellular culture, likely due to poor membrane permeability and poor stability. By contrast, GO-CoA-Tat retains activity in cell-based assays, and reduces circulating acyl-ghrelin concentrations in vivo. Chronic administration of GO-CoA-Tat was reported to decrease weight gain in mice fed a high fat diet. Additionally, GO-CoA-Tat improved GTT performance when administered in advance of a glucose challenge. These results reinforce the pharmacological potential of GOAT inhibition, but it is unclear whether GO-CoA-Tat itself will be clinically useful. While 11 has been used in a few studies since its development, it is limited by high molecular weight and peptidal character. GO-CoA-Tat is presumably not orally available, so repeated IP dosing is required for long-term studies. In addition, the metabolic stability of this construct has not been demonstrated. To our knowledge, no other reported inhibitors have been tested in vivo.

### 1.5 Need for a Small Molecule GOAT Inhibitor

Despite the apparently conflicting results obtained with knockout animals lacking components of the ghrelin signaling pathway, interest in this hormone has persisted. Numerous authors have suggested that an inhibitor of GOAT production would serve at the very least as a useful probe of this system. The results obtained using Go-CoA-TAT suggest that such a compound may even be clinically useful. An orally available small molecule inhibitor of GOAT would be an ideal means to determine the potential of such a compound for the treatment of human disease and to answer longstanding questions in the area of ghrelin signaling as well as obesity and diabetes research.
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## 2. GOAT Assays

### 2.1 Enzyme Inhibitors

Successful medicinal chemistry programs critically depend upon a method or set of methods to score the efficacy of candidate compounds. Among many molecular features that could be scored, perhaps the most fundamental of these is the nature and strength of interaction between a given ligand and its target receptor. ${ }^{1}$ The method(s) used to evaluate this interaction underpins the entire program, and should be robust as possible, such that many compounds can be routinely screened and reproducible results obtained. ${ }^{2}$ This chapter will first detail literature methods to measure ghrelin O acyl transferase (GOAT) activity, then describe in detail the assay implemented to evaluate novel GOAT inhibitors. Despite invaluable advice and assistance from our collaborators at University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, especially Dr. Tongjin Zhao, establishing this assay proved challenging in our hands, and special attention will be paid to the pitfalls encountered and the means with which they were overcome. A detailed step-by-step protocol is included in the supporting information for this chapter.

### 2.2 Literature GOAT Activity Assays

Following the identification of ghrelin in 1999, methods were developed to rapidly quantify levels of both the acyl and des-acyl forms of ghrelin. ${ }^{3}$ The most standard and widely adopted of these is a sandwich ELISA, which has been commercialized by two
manufacturers. Both kits are reported to give reliable quantitation of ghrelin species, even in complex media such as animal plasma. While these assays have also been used for analysis of GOAT activity in vitro, their expense - a single 96 well plate costs >\$450 - limits throughput and renders this strategy cost prohibitive for use in a medicinal chemistry program.

Each of the groups having reported the development of a GOAT inhibitor has also independently developed at least one in vitro enzyme activity assay. While the reported assays are distinct, they necessarily share some common features. Natively, GOAT catalyzes the transfer of an n-octanoate fragment from octanoyl CoA to serine-3 of proghrelin. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, any enzyme assay must contain these three components, or an appropriate surrogate, and provide a means to detect product formation. ${ }^{5}$ Octanoyl CoA is commercially available in both unlabeled and radiolabeled form. Reported assay systems vary widely in the form of ghrelin used and the means to detect octanoylation (see the discussion of individual assay systems below for further details).

The MBOAT family, including GOAT, comprises integral membrane proteins. As such, recombinant enzymes must remain in a lipid membrane in order to retain catalytic activity. ${ }^{4}$ Instead membrane fractions of GOAT expressing cells are used as a source of enzyme activity. Integral membrane proteins like MBOATS generally fail to fold correctly when produced in bacterial expression vectors, and are therefore most often produced in eukaryotic cells. ${ }^{6}$ The most commonly used cell type for MBOAT production is Spodoptera frugiperda (fall armyworm) derived Sf9 cells, which may be infected with a recombinant baculovirus encoding mammalian genes such as GOAT under transcriptional control of a viral polyhedrin promoter. ${ }^{7}$ A major advantage of this
expression system relative to cells of mammalian origin is reduced background activity, most likely because insects lack endogenous GOAT. ${ }^{3}$ Low background rate of acyltransfer is essential for accurate evaluation of enzyme inhibitors. Additionally, insect cell based protein expression is generally less expensive and more scalable than mammalian derived expression systems.

The first in vitro assay of GOAT activity was reported by Yang et al. in 2008. ${ }^{8}$ In this assay format (Figure 2.1A), transfer of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-octanoate from ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-octanoyl CoA to an affinity tagged proghrelin construct is catalyzed by membranes from GOAT expressing Sf9 cells. Palmitoyl-CoA, which is not used by GOAT, is also included at high concentration in the reaction mixture. In the absence of this additive, complex kinetics are observed and the reaction is poorly reproducible. The long chain acyl-CoA is believe to act as an alternative substrate for hydrolases present in the crude Sf 9 thereby slowing degradation of radiolabeled octanoyl-CoA. Affinity purification of acyl as well as des-acyl proghrelin peptides separates product acyl ghrelin from residual ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-octanoyl CoA, and the extent of octanoylation is then quantified by liquid scintillation counting. This method was used extensively in the identification of the first inhibitors of GOAT by Yang et al., and a minor modification was used in the development of GO-CoA-Tat. ${ }^{9}$ In this implementation, GOAT-containing membrane fractions were isolated from HEK-293 cells, and synthetic biotin tagged ghrelin 1-28 used as substrate. Purification of the reaction mixture using streptavidin beads allows incorporation of labeled octanoate to be quantified by liquid scintillation counting. While this method is robust, and the use of radiometric detection provides a large dynamic range, the need to purify product away from ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-octanoyl CoA reduces sample throughput.
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Figure 2.1: Reported GOAT Activity assays. (A) A radiochemical assay format reported by Yang et al. quantifies the extent of 3 H octanoyl transfer to a tagged proghrelin construct. 8 (B) A "cat-ELCCA" assay reported by Garner and Janda relies on the ability of GOAT to utilize 7-octynoyl-CoA as co-substrate, followed by "click" crosslinking to a HRP enzyme and fluorescence readout. 10 (C) The HPLC-fluorescence based method reported utilizes a fluorescence tagged ghrelin peptide. Separation of acyl and des-acyl peptide is achieved by reverse phase HPLC. Image adapted from Zhao et al. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2015. 2800. (D) Takeda has reported the use of a FRET based assay format.

Several alternative assay formats have been reported in the literature that do not rely on radiochemical detection. The first of these was a modified ELISA format GOAT
assay (Figure 2.1B), was reported by Garner and Janda. ${ }^{10}$ In vivo, GOAT catalyzes the octanoylation of 94aa proghrelin, but will readily acylate ghrelin 1-28 or shorter ghrelin 1-5 peptides. ${ }^{8}$ A biotin tagged ghrelin pentapeptide was immobilized on streptavidin coated 96 -well plates. Addition of Sf9 derived GOAT membranes, palmitoyl CoA, and 7octynoyl CoA leads to transfer of octynoate to the immobilized ghrelin peptide. Following washing to remove excess reagents, the terminal alkyne is cross-linked to a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) alkyl-azide conjugate via a Cu promoted azide/alkyne cycloaddition. Incubation of the enzyme linked ghrelin peptides with hydrogen peroxide and amplex red, leads to the formation of fluorescent resorufin. The fluorescence is proportional to the amount of acyl-ghrelin produced during the reaction, and can be quantified using a standard fluorescence plate reader.

Another fluorescence-based system utilizing a different synthetic N -terminal ghrelin fragment was reported by Darling et al. in $2013 .{ }^{11}$ They report the synthesis of a GSSFLC peptide, which is then covalently linked via the cysteine residue to an acrylodan fluorophore to generate a fluorescent GOAT substrate (figure 2.1C). Incubation of this conjugate with Sf9 derived GOAT membranes, octanoyl CoA, and palmitoyl CoA generates a fluorescently labeled acyl-ghrelin product. The reaction mixture is then analyzed by HPLC with in-line fluorescence detection. Peaks corresponding to the octanoyl and des-acyl forms of the peptide are readily separable, and integration of the fluorescence signal from each species allows determination of their relative abundance.

Takeda Pharmaceuticals has reported the development of a series of heterocyclic GOAT inhibitors. Their patent describes a FRET based assay format based
on the HTRF platform developed by CisBio (figure 2.1D). ${ }^{12}$ A biotinylated ghrelin 1-27 peptide serves as enzyme substrate, and Sf9 GOAT membranes are used as the enzyme activity source. Addition of octanoyl CoA initiates the reaction. Following quenching of the reaction mixture, an acyl-ghrelin specific antibody is added. Double labeling by a FRET matched fluorophore-linked secondary antibody and a fluorophorestreptavidin completes the reaction. Quantitation of the FRET signal using a plate reader allows the extent of ghrelin acylation to be determined. The efficacy of this system depends heavily on the quality of the antibodies used, which appear to be proprietary. Anti-ghrelin antibodies such as those used in the ELISA ghrelin detection kits are commercially available, but to our knowledge tis assay has not been evaluated outside of Takeda.

### 2.3 Implementation of a GOAT Activity Assay

In initial efforts to develop GOAT inhibitors (see chapters three and four), we collaborated closely with the Brown and Goldstein laboratory at UTSW. Dr. Tongjin Zhao in their group graciously screened many small molecule analogs using the radioassay system described above. ${ }^{8}$ It subsequently became necessary to establish an assay system in house, and we chose to re-establish this same. Switching to a new assay system would unnecessarily interrupt our SAR progression, and would necessitate reevaluation of old inhibitors to establish consistency across formats. Additionally, because the native substrates are most closely mimicked, this system was considered to be most representative of in vivo GOAT inhibition. As described above, the reaction system utilizes four components: GOAT containing membranes from Sf 9
cells, poly-histidine tagged proghrelin, palmitoyl CoA, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-octanoyl CoA. Both CoA derivatives are commercially available, but production of GOAT containing membranes and proghrelin required the development of suitable expression systems. Dr. Zhao was generous with his time and advice in aiding our efforts to establish this assay.

### 2.4 Expression of Mouse GOAT in Sf 9 Insect Cells

Ghrelin-O-Acyl Transferase is a polytopic membrane protein that belongs to Membrane Bound O Acyl Transferases (MBOAT) superfamily of enzymes. ${ }^{13,14}$ Murine GOAT is 435 amino acids in length, and current models of its membrane architecture predict 11 transmembrane helices as well as a single reentrant loop. ${ }^{15}$ Overexpression and purification of globular proteins is routinely performed, but heterologous expression of membrane associated proteins, especially those containing large numbers of transmembrane helices such as GOAT, can be more challenging. ${ }^{6}$ Several MBOATS, including GOAT, have been successfully generated in Sf9 cells using the Bac-to-Bac baculoviral expression system developed by Monsanto and marketed by Life technologies. ${ }^{16,17,18}$ We adopted this method for its high protein expression level, robustness, and low maintenance cost. This insect cell culture system is suitable for production of mammalian proteins on relatively large scale for various studies including drug screening and structural biology. ${ }^{7}$

As shown by the workflow shown in figure 2.2 A , the gene of interest is cloned into a small bacterial vector, pFastBac, downstream of the viral polyhedrin promoter. ${ }^{19}$ The expression cassette, which includes the gene of interest and a gentamicin resistance gene, is flanked by Tn7 transposon sequences. The resultant plasmid is
transformed into a specialized E. coli strain containing a large baculovirus encoding plasmid (bacmid). The expression cassette is transferred into the bacmid via transposition, which occurs between Tn7 sites on pFastBac and attTn7 sites on the bacmid. Bacmid DNA is isolated from E. coli cells using standard plasmid purification methods, and then transfected into host Sf 9 insect cells. The host line is then able to express the gene of interest and to generate additional virus, thereby amplifying expression of the target gene throughout the cell culture. Viral titer is increased through several rounds of infection until maximal expression of the gene of interest is achieved.


Figure 2.2: Production of GOAT containing membranes in sf9 cells. (A) Workflow outlining steps required for the generation of GOAT containing membranes from Sf9. (B) Transformed and Untransformed DH10Bac E. coli were identified on the basis of gentamycin resistance and blue/white selection. (C) GOAT production, as determined by western blot, in second generation (P2) Sf9 cells varied depending on which bacmid isolate was used for transfection. 15ug of total protein were run on SDS page and transferred to a PVDF membrane, then blotted with anti-Flag M2 antibody. Each lane represents $\mathrm{Sf9}$ cells transfected with an individual bacmid isolate. The lower band reflects the presence of an alternate start codon at Met53 mGOAT. (D) Increasing GOAT expression in Sf9 cells through viral amplification. lane 1: uninfected Sf9 cells, lane 2: P2 whole cell lysate, lane 3: P3
whole cell lysate, lane 4: p4 whole cell lysate, lane 5: p4 cell membrane fractions. No GOAT production was detectable in P1 cells.

Murine GOAT containing bacmids were generated by transforming of a poly-His, FLAG tagged mGOAT construct into competent DH10Bac E. coli. Transformed colonies were identified on the basis of antibiotic resistance as well as blue/white selection (figure 2.2B). Bacmid DNA from several transformed colonies was isolated and purified using standard protocols. ${ }^{19}$ This DNA was then transformed into Sf9 insect cells using the Cellfectin II reagent. Following transfection, adherent cells were incubated for a period of 4 days at $27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the media (containing $1^{\text {st }}$ generation (P1) virus) was collected. Cells were also collected for subsequent GOAT expression analysis. P1 virus containing media was used to infect a second set of adherent insect cells, which were cultured for a further three days. P2 virus containing media and the P2 cells were then collected. To this point we had maintained parallel cultures infected with bacmid DNA originating from one of twelve selected colonies. Using western blot (anti-FLAG) analysis of these cells, we observed a range of mGOAT expression in Sf9 cells infected with virus of differing lineage (Figure 2.2C). We selected a single viral isolate giving the best expression of MGOAT, and further amplified expression through a P3 generation (figure 2.2D). Membranes were isolated from a 1L culture of Sf9 cells infected with P3 virus by ultracentrifugation. ${ }^{8}$

### 2.5 His-Tagged Proghrelin

The primary endogenous substrate of GOAT is proghrelin, a 94-amino acid form of the hormone. ${ }^{4}$ This length precludes solution or solid-phase peptide synthesis of the
hormone, though a native chemical ligation route to this material is likely possible. ${ }^{20,21}$ Instead we have relied on traditional bacterial protein expression, and adapted the recombinant pro-ghrelin preparation developed Yang et al. ${ }^{8}$


Figure 2.3: Proghrelin expression in E. coli. (A) Tagged GST-proghrelin fusion protein to be expressed in E. coli. (B) Workflow outlining steps required for the production of purified proghrelin for use in in vitro enzyme activity assay. (C) The GST-proghrelin fusion protein is poorly soluble and precipitates upon expression in bacterial host, as demonstrated by SDS PAGE. Following IPTG induction of expression, cells were harvested by centrifugation, suspended in Tris buffer and lysed by sonication. Soluble and insoluble fractions were separated by centrifugation at $10,000 \mathrm{~g}$. The insoluble portion was resuspended in 8M urea. Total protein (lane 1), Soluble fraction (lane 2), and insoluble fraction (lane 3) were run on SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue to visualize protein. A band corresponding to molecule weight of GST-proghrelin fusion protein is labeled as such.

Figure 2.3A depicts the proghrelin fusion protein used for proghrelin production. GOAT requires a $N$-terminal glycine residue for substrate recognition. ${ }^{8}$ Even conservative substitution of alanine at this position blocks enzyme activity. Translation in prokaryotes most commonly originates at a fMet start codon. Consequently, to
produce proghrelin in E. coli, an N-terminal fusion protein must be appended to the transcript and then removed post-translationally to reveal the native N-terminal Gly1 residue. The tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease is suitable for this purpose, as it recognizes a ENLYFQG sequence and cleaves between the Gln and Gly residues. ${ }^{14}$ The use of a TEV cleavage site enables the preparation of a proghrelin construct having the requisite N -terminal glycine using the double purification scheme depicted in Figure 2.3B. A C-terminal polyhistidine tag allows cleaved proghrelin to be separated from the liberated GST fragment and from residual protease. Glutathione S- Transferase (GST) was chosen as the N -terminal fusion partner to aid in expression and purification of the fusion protein. ${ }^{22}$ GST binds to immobilized glutathione, and acts as a primary affinity tag, with the His tag being used to repurify the protein following cleavage of the GST and also in the course of the GOAT activity assay.

Initial expression attempts were performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in standard LB broth using BL21 E. coli. SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining of soluble and insoluble fractions prepared from induced bacterial culture revealed that the GST-proghrelin fusion protein was insoluble and accumulated as inclusion bodies (Figure 2.3B). It has been shown in many instances that performing protein expression at a lower temperature can increase the fraction of soluble protein. ${ }^{22}$ While the amounts of protein in each fraction were difficult to directly quantify, in the case of GST-proghrelin, decreasing the temperature from $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during induction increased the yield of purified protein approximately 10 -fold.


Figure 2.3: Proghrelin purification in E. coli. (A) GST affinity purification of proghrelin fusion protein. The soluble portion of cell lysate from proghrelin producing cells (lane 1) was incubated with glutathione agarose, then washed to remove excess protein (lanes 2-5). Fusion protein was liberated by incubation of the beads with reduced glutathione in buffer (lane 6) (B) Following release from the GST beads (lane 1), proghrelin was incubated with in house produced GST-TEV protease to provide cleaved GST and free proghrelin (lane 2) alongside the enzyme. (C) Ni(II) affinity chromatography of the cleaved proteins yielded proghrelin with $>90 \%$ purity. Left lane is a molecular weight marker confirming the expected $\sim 12 \mathrm{kDa}$ mass of proghrelin-His8. Each described fraction was run on SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie blue to visualize protein.

Following induction, cells were lysed by sonication in a buffered solution containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors, and the insoluble fraction was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant was incubated with glutathione linked agarose beads for several hours to capture the GST protein. The beads were washed several times, and GST fusion was liberated by addition of free glutathione (Figure 2.4A). Commercially available TEV proteases are His-tagged, so in order to facilitate downstream purification of proghrelin, we generated a GST fused TEV protease (not shown). Incubation of the GST-fusion protein with TEV protease cleaves GST from proghrelin (Figure 2.4B), and proghrelin-His8 can then be purified by $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ chromatography. The purified protein was then concentrated and stored in buffer prior to usage in the GOAT activity assay. The final material generated by this method was $>90 \%$ pure as judged by SDS PAGE (figure
2.4C). We have routinely purified milligram quantities of proghrelin from six liters of bacterial culture, which is sufficient for several hundred in vitro enzymatic assays.

### 2.6 GOAT Activity Assay
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Figure 2.3: GOAT enzyme activity assay. (A) $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}}$ determination for proghrelin. To a solution containing the indicated concentration of proghrelin, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ palmitoyl CoA, and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of GOAT containing membranes on ice was added ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ octanoyl-CoA ( $6.5 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{fmol}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration) to a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The vessel was then transferred to a prepared $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heating block and maintained at this temperature for 10 m . The reactions were then quenched by addition of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 N HCl following by addition of 0.75 ml of 50 mM NaPi buffer containing $0.1 \%$ Triton X-100, 150 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $50 \%$ Ni-NTA slurry ( pH 7.3 ). The pro-ghrelin-His 8 was bound to $\mathrm{Ni}(I)$ resin by incubation for 1 hour in a cold room. The resin was washed 3 times with 50 mM NaPi buffer containing 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole ( pH 7.3 ). Bound pro-ghrelin was eluted with 250 mM imidazole in the same buffer. Reactions were performed in duplicate. (B) Structures of reported peptidal GOAT inhibitors alongside their measured and reported in vitro efficacy. Assays were performed as described above using the indicated concentration of inhibitor, $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ proghrelin, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ palmitoyl CoA, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of GOAT containing membranes, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}^{3} \mathrm{H}$ octanoyl-CoA ( $6.5 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{fmol}$ ).

With the key reaction components in hand, we were able to establish an in vitro assay for GOAT inhibition. We again closely followed the procedure outlined by Brown and Goldstein. To a solution containing proghrelin, palmitoyl CoA, and GOAT containing membranes on ice was added ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ octanoyl-CoA. The solutions were incubated $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, then quenched by addition of HCl . Proghrelin was recovered by incubation
of the reaction mixture with Ni-NTA beads at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resin was washed and bound proghrelin was eluted with 250 mM imidazole in phosphate buffer. The eluate was subjected to liquid scintillation counting to quantify the extent of octanoyl transfer.

Under the described conditions, we have routinely observed formation of up to 300 fmol of acyl ghrelin, similar to the results obtained by Yang et al. ${ }^{8}$ To further confirm that our system conformed to expectations, we measured a $K_{m}$ value for proghrelin (Figure 2.4A) as well as octanoyl CoA. Our measured constants ( $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for proghrelin and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for octanoyl CoA) compared favorably to previously reported values ( $6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}) .{ }^{8}$ Satisfied that our system behaved similarly to the reported protocol, we measured inhibition curves for prototype peptidal GOAT inhibitors 1 and $2 .{ }^{8} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for these two compounds again matched those reported in the literature (Figure 2.4B). With reliable methods for the production of critical assay components established and the assay itself giving results consistent with literature values, we were prepared to push towards the development of a novel class of small molecule GOAT inhibitor.
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# 3 Hypothesis Driven Design and Synthesis of In Vivo inhibitors of Ghrelin O-Acyl Transferase 

### 3.1 Approach

Our strategy for developing a small molecule GOAT inhibitor was to transform the known pentapeptide recognition sequence into a peptidomimetic - a small molecule which retained the binding properties of the parent peptide, but which had improved membrane permeability and metabolic stability. ${ }^{1,2}$ We would need to identify and enhance the critical recognition elements while trimming away superfluous polar functionality. This is a classic strategy in medicinal chemistry, which has been applied to the development of several marketed drugs. Aliskiren, which is a marketed renin inhibitor, barely resembles the angiotensinogen sequence from which it is derived. ${ }^{3}$ Small molecule growth hormone secretagogues (GHSs) (ie ligands of the growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHS-r)) such as Ibutamoren (1) are derived from peptidal GHS hexarelin. ${ }^{4}$ Both Aliskiren and Ibutamoren were derived from the parent peptides by a rational development process. The daughter small molecules had significantly improved potency and, more importantly, dramatically improved pharmacokinetic characteristics. For the development of GOAT inhibitors we sought to emulate strategy of these classic cases.




GOAT Inhibitor

Figure 3.1: Peptidomimetic small molecule drugs. Aliskiren and Ibutamoren (1) are small molecule marketed drugs derived from peptide sequences. We sought to convert ghrelin 2, the substrate of GOAT, or its amide congener 3 to a small molecule inhibitor of the enzyme.

As a primary screen for GOAT inhibition we would make use of the radiochemical assay described in chapter 2. Results from this assay would drive hypothesis generation and inhibitor design. While we were most concerned with inhibitor potency, we were also conscious of the necessity to improve inhibitor pharmacokinetic properties - as exemplified by the development of Aliskiren and Ibutamoren. Because GOAT is located in the endoplasmic reticulum, ${ }^{5}$ we would need a compound with good membrane permeability. We took advantage of the well-documented correlation of membrane permeability with readily measured or computed characteristics of the molecules themselves, such as LogD, polar surface area, number of hydrogen bond donors, and molecular weight. ${ }^{6,7}$ For the early phases of inhibitor development, we attempted to design compounds that would best match the profile of a typical small molecule drug while maintaining or improving activity against GOAT.

### 3.2 Design of GHS-r Ligand/Ghrelin Hybrids

As discussed in chapter 1, Yang et al. had demonstrated that GOAT was inhibited by octanoyl ghrelin 2 and its amidated derivative $3 .{ }^{8}$ They also showed that ghrelin 1-5 pentapeptide 4 (Figure 3.2A), but not a tetrameric ghrelin 1-4 congener, was capable of inhibiting GOAT, albeit with reduced potency relative to full-length derivative 3. Additionally, GOAT acylation of ghrelin mutants having alanine at residues 1, 3, 4 or 5 was impeded relative to the wild type sequence (Figure 3.2B).

Ghrelin is the endogenous ligand of GHS-r ligand. ${ }^{9}$ The minimal portion of ghrelin required for full activation of GHS-r has been identified (Figure 3.2C). ${ }^{10}$ Octanoylated peptides ghrelin 1-5, ghrelin 1-4, and full-length ghrelin all had similar $E_{50}$, but an octanoyl ghrelin 1-3 peptide was unable to bind the receptor. Both GOAT and GHS-r principally recognize the N-terminal five amino acids of ghrelin, and a recent study suggested that ghrelin and ibutamoren (1) occupy overlapping binding sites on GHSr. ${ }^{11}$ Taken together; these results suggested that small molecules able to bind GHS-r might also bind GOAT. We prepared a sample of 1 and found that it did not inhibit GOAT. However, hybrid compound 5 , wherein the C-terminal dipeptide of 4 is replaced with the C-terminal spiroindoline from 1, was an inhibitor of GOAT, albeit with reduced potency relative to the parent pentapeptide. Although this substitution decreased inhibitor potency, it also decreased polar surface area and the number of hydrogen bond donors. Since this fragment had been highly optimized for a different system, we thought it likely that recovery of the lost potency would be possible by optimizing this fragment for GOAT inhibition.
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Fig 3.2: Development of a ghrelin/Ghs-r agonist hybrid GOAT inhibitor. (A) Both GOAT and the ghrelin receptor [growth hormone secretagogue receptor (GHS-r)] minimally bind the N terminal 5 amino acids of ghrelin. GHS-r agonists such as 1 mimic the N -terminus of ghrelin. A GOAT inhibitor incorporating the portion of ibutamoren corresponding to the c-terminal dipeptide is expected to have improved pharmacology. (B) GOAT catalyzed octanoylation of proghrelin mutants. Enzyme activity was measured using the radiometric assay described in chapter 2, and the data are expressed as a percentage of the transfer observed for WT proghrelin. Adapted from Yang et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105. 10750. (C) Recognition of truncated ghrelin sequences by the GHS-r. Data reflect activation of GHS-r by the indicated peptides at 10 mM as a percentage of the receptor relative to the signal achieved using full length ghrelin (2). Adapted from Bednarek at al. J Med. Chem. 43. 4370. (D) Inhibition titrations of ghrelin pentapeptide 4, ibutamoren (1) and a hybrid of the two (5). Assays were conducted as described in chapter 2.

### 3.3 Syntheses of GHS/Ghrelin Hybrids





Scheme 3.1: Synthesis of GHS/Ghrelin Hybrid GOAT inhibitors. (A) Preparation of ibutamoren from isonipenaldehyde via spironindoline 7. (B) Elaboration of 7 to ibutamoren/ghrelin hybrid 5.

Syntheses of these molecules began with synthesis of the N-terminal heterocycle 7, was prepared in three steps starting with commercially available isonipenaldehyde acid (6) via a Fisher-type indolization followed by sodium borohydride reduction of the resultant imine (Scheme 3.1A). ${ }^{12}$ The aniline was derivatized as the methanesulfonamide, and the piperidyl protection was removed by treatment with hydrogen bromide in acetic acid. The amine was then elaborated to 1 according to published methods.

Amide 5 was prepared by reverse coupling of 7 with ghrelin N-terminal tripeptide 8. Deprotection of this material by hydrogenolysis afforded the targeted hybrid inhibitor. Ghrelin pentapeptide 4 was readily prepared by solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) using a Fmoc/Alloc protection strategy.

### 3.4 Linker Optimization

We next turned our attention to the central octanoylated residue. As previously discussed, substitution of serine 3 for a diaminopropionic acid (DAP) residue (ie 2 vs 3 ) resulted in a 35 -fold improvement in inhibitor potency. ${ }^{8}$ To determine if this was due to the increased stability of the amide under the reaction conditions or to increased affinity for the enzyme, carbamates 9 and 10, which differ only in heteroatom position, were prepared (Figure 3.3A). DAP derived O-hexyl carbamate 9 had potency similar to that of parent amide $4\left[\mathrm{IC}_{50}=10 \mu \mathrm{M}\right]$, while serine derived $N$-hexyl carbamate 10 was nearly inactive at the tested concentrations (Figure 2.3B). Both 9 and 10 are expected to be stable under in vitro GOAT activity assay conditions, ${ }^{13}$ so the observed difference in potency is most likely due to improved binding. An $N$-methylated derivative of 9 (see figure 3.4 below) was also inactive, further supporting this hypothesis. To account for this observation, we envisioned a mechanism of catalysis related to that of a classic serine protease (Figure 3.3C). ${ }^{14}$



Proposed Hydrogen Bond

Fig 3.3: Effects of octanoate linker structure and backbone methylation on inhibitor potency. (A) Structures of amide and carbamate linked GOAT inhibitors. (B) Inhibition titrations of 5, 9, and 10. Inhibitors were assayed normally. (C) Conserved His-338 residue is required for GOAT catalysis. Working mechanistic hypothesis of GOAT catalysis. (D) Effect of GOAT inhibitors 11 and 5 in a cellular assay. Carbamate 9 partially blocks acyl ghrelin production at 20 mM in INS-1 cells stably expressing GOAT and ghrelin, while amide 5 has no observable effect at the tested concentrations. INS-1 cells stably transfected to express ghrelin and GOAT were incubated with octanoic acid and inhibitor at the indicated concentrations. Ghrelin species were detected by western blot using antibodies specific for acyl or total ghrelin.

His-338, acting as a base in conjunction with some carboxylate residue, could facilitate attack of ghrelin's Ser3 residue on octanoyl CoA. A hydrogen bond donating residue would act to stabilize the developing oxyanion. The product ester would lack a suitable hydrogen bond donor to interact with the histidine and be ejected from the active site, allowing enzyme turnover. Amide 5 and carbamate 11, but not carbamate

12, would be able to form a hydrogen bond with His-338, thus accounting for the increased potency of 2 relative to 1 and 6 relative to $\mathbf{7}$. Unlike our previous compounds, carbamate 11 was also active in a cellular assay format (Figure 4D). At a concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ carbamate 11 decreased the production of acyl ghrelin in a line of INS-1 cells stably transfected to produce GOAT and ghrelin, ${ }^{15}$ while total ghrelin levels remained unchanged. Amide 5 did not affect ghrelin production at any tested concentration.

### 3.5 Synthesis of Carbamoyl GOAT Inhibitors



Scheme 3.2: Synthesis of GHS/Ghrelin Hybrid GOAT inhibitors 11 and 12.

Carbamoyl GOAT inhibitors 9 and 10 were prepared as shown in scheme 3.2. Spiroindoline 7 was coupled to a protected DAP derivative and the $\beta$-amino protection removed by hydrogenolysis, providing primary amine 11. Treatment of 11 with hexyl chloroformate provided the desired $O$-hexyl carbamate 12 , which was readily elaborated to 9. Amidation of 7 with Boc-serine afforded primary alcohol 13. Formation of desired carbamate 14 was achieved by treatment of this material with hexyl isocyanate and

DMAP. The C-terminal dipeptide was then appended to 14 to provide $n$-hexyl carbamate 10.

### 3.6 Methyl Scan

Systematic substitution of methyl units along a pharmacophore is a wellestablished strategy for identifying sites of interaction between a ligand and its receptor, ${ }^{16}$ especially in cases where crystallographic or other structural data are unavailable. We prepared analogs of 9 having a single methyl substitution at the positions indicated in figure 3.4A (15a-k). We sought to identify positions where substitution might be tolerated or beneficial, which we could then investigate more thoroughly. In particular, if amide $N$-methylation were tolerated, the resultant compound would have less polar surface area and fewer hydrogen bond donors. ${ }^{17}$ Figure 3.4 B shows the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the daughter, methylated compounds relative to the reference $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of parent inhibitor 9.


Fig 3.4: Sites of methylation and effect on GOAT inhibitor potency. (A) Sites selected for methylation with coloration indicating whether the methylation had a positive or negative effect on potency. (B) Plot of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of methylated derivatives relative to the reference potency of parent desmethyl inhibitor 9.

We found that monomethylation, but not dimethylation, of the N-terminal glycine residue was tolerated, as has been reported by others. ${ }^{18}$ We were surprised to find that even conservative Gly to Ala substitution led to a completely inactive inhibitor. Backbone methylation of the second residue at either N or $\mathrm{C}-\alpha$ was also not tolerated. Consistent with the observations of Darling et al., O-methylation of the serine residue was deleterious. Methylation of the DAP $\alpha$-amine proved beneficial, while as discussed above, methylation of the DAP $\beta$-amide obviated enzyme inhibition. This latter observation further supports the previously described hydrogen bond interaction of this amide bond. Finally, methylation along the O-hexyl tail was unhelpful.

### 3.7 Synthesis of Methylated GOAT Inhibitors

Methylated inhibitors 15a-k were prepared according to the methods shown in scheme 3.3. Each inhibitor, other than dimethylglycine derivative $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ has only a single site of methylation. Coupling of Boc-serine to 16 followed by deprotection afforded amino alcohol 17, which was amidated using Boc-sarcosine (18a) or Boc-alanine (18c). Deprotection afforded the target compounds 15a and 15c (Scheme 3.3A). Coupling of 16 to dimethylglycine directly provided 15b. Inhibitors having methylation on the P2 residue (17d-f) were synthesized by coupling of intermediate 16 with commercially available serine analogs having the correct methylation state (19d-f), followed by deprotection to afford amines of type 20. Glycine was then appended to provide 15d-f. DAP $\alpha-$ and $\beta-N$-methylated inhibitors were prepared from the corresponding primary amines 16 and 11 using Fukuyama's nitrobenesulfonyl alkylation chemistry via intermediates 21 and $23 .{ }^{19}$ Following removal of the auxiliary, the secondary amines
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Scheme 3.3: Synthesis of methyl scan targets. (A) Compounds 17a-f were prepared from DAP derivative 14 using solution phase peptide synthesis methods and appropriately methylated serine and glycine derivatives. (B and C) Methylation of the DAP $\alpha$ and $\beta$ amines was achieved using Fukuyama's 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl (Nosyl) alkylation chemistry, these methylated derivatives were readily elaborated to intact inhibitors 17 g and 17 h . (D) Inhibitors having methylation in the tail region were prepared from commercially available monomethylated hexanol derivatives.
could then be elaborated to target inhibitors $\mathbf{1 5 g}$ and 15h. Inhibitors having methylation along the $n$-hexyl tail region (17i-k) were derived from the corresponding methyl hexanol derivatives (25i-k). Treatment of such alcohols with phosgene afforded chloroformates of type 26. Addition of primary amine 11 to 26 afforded methylated analogs 27i-k, which could then be elaborated to the target inhibitors.

### 3.8 Conformationally Restrained Octanoate Mimics
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Fig. 3.5: Conformationally restrained octanoate mimics. (A) Structure and potency of GOAT inhibitors 28-31 having an alkyne at various position along the side chain. Saturated carbamate 9 is included in the data set as a reference. (B) 3-Butyl-cyclobutane and cyclobutene carboxylic acid containing analogs of 2-octynoic acid derivatives retain enzyme inhibitory activity. Inhibitors 32-35 are N -methylated and contain a phenylglycine residue at P 2 in place of the serine found in 9 and 28-31.

While the hexyl carbamate side chain of inhibitors such as 9 is anticipated to be flexible, upon binding of the inhibitor to GOAT, the octanoate most likely adopts a defined low energy conformation or suite of conformations. ${ }^{20}$ The use of a side chain
analog having its conformation restricted to a preferred binding mode would reduce the entropic penalty of binding, and increase inhibitor potency. We prepared a set of inhibitors (28-31) containing an alkyne at a variety of positions along the octanoate chain. Of these, hexynol derivatives 28-30 had decreased activity relative to the parent, but conjugated octynyl amide 30 (Figure 3.5 A ) was more potent than carbamate 9 .

While this result was promising, despite being more potent in vitro, 30 was inactive in a cell based assay (not shown). We noticed during the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 0}$ that it was electrophilic, readily forming an adduct with HCl , for example. Cytosol is a reducing medium ${ }^{21}$; in such an environment 30 could react with nucleophiles such as glutathione, which are present at high concentrations. We sought, therefore, to devise an analog of 30 that would adopt a similar conformation, but lack the reactivity. We envisioned that a cis- or trans-3-butylcyclobutane-carboxylic acid could approximate the geometry of the octynoic acid fragment (Figure 3.5B). Inhibitors 33-35, which incorporated cis- or transcyclobutanecarboxylic acid as well as a cyclobutene (figure 5A) fragment, each had similar potency as the alkynyl congener (32) in a phenylglycine containing series of inhibitors (see below for further discussion of the P 2 residue).

### 3.9 Representantive Syntheses of Alkynyl and Cyclobutyl Octanoate Mimics
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Scheme 3.4: Synthesis of conformationally restrained octanoate mimics. (A) Alkynyl inhibitors $\mathbf{2 8 - 3 0}$ were each prepared from hexynols of type 36 . The shown synthesis of $\mathbf{2 8}$ is representative of the route used to prepare each of these compounds. (B) Cyclobutenecarboxylic acid 41 was derived from enamine 39 and methyl acrylate. 41 was selectively reduced to either cis- (43) or trans- cyclobutanecarboxylic acid (42). Each carboxylic acid was then elaborated to GOAT inhibitors 33-35. (C) Coupling of 2-octynoic acid to an appropriate peptidal fragment provided targeted GOAT inhibitors 31 and 32.

Alkynyl GOAT inhibitors $\mathbf{2 8 - 3 0}$ were prepared using a variation of the strategy used to prepare methylated analogs 17i-k (Scheme 3.4A). Regioisomeric hexynols of type 36 were treated with phosgene to generate the corresponding chloroformates 37 .

Addition of amine 38 to each chloroformate afforded the desired amides, which were then deprotected using HCl to provide desired GOAT inhibitors 28, 29 and 30. Cis- and trans-butylcyclobutanecarboxylic acids 42 and 43 were prepared using a modification of the methods reported by Brannock and Dehmlow (Scheme 3.4B). ${ }^{22,}{ }^{23}$ Enamine 39 was condensed by Michael/Mannich cascade with methyl acrylate to provide aminocyclobutane 40 as a mixture of diastereomers. The tertiary amine could be quaternized with methyl iodide, and treatment of the resulting salt with aqueous potassium hydroxide afforded the desired 3-butylcyclobutene carboxylic acid 41. A stereoselective zinc/HCl reduction was used to prepare the trans-cyclobutane 42 with $13: 1 \mathrm{dr}$, while a rhodium catalyzed hydrogenation afforded the cis- diastereomer 43 with 9:1 dr. Cyclobutanes 42 and 43, as well as cyclobutene 41 were then coupled to phenylglycine derivative 44 and treated with HCl to provide the targeted GOAT inhibitors. Coupling of either 38 or 44 with 2-octynoic acid followed by acid promoted Boc cleavage afforded the desired octynamides 31 and 32 (Scheme 3.4C).

### 3.10 Efforts to Optimize the C-terminal Heterocycle

We had continued throughout this process using the ibutamoren derived spiroindoline (figure 3.2 A ) as a C-terminal surrogate under the assumption that we would be able to revisit this fragment and optimize it for our system. Despite extensive effort only marginal progress was made in this direction. Attempts to improve binding by altering the substitution and composition of the spiroindolyl portion of the C-terminal heterocycle led, in some cases, to new compounds with activity comparable to the parent, but none were superior.
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Fig. 3.5: Optimization of C-terminal heterocycle. (A) Inhibitors containing disubstituted piperidines inhibit GOAT with potency similar to 9 , and have reduced molecular weight. (B) Further reduction in molecular weight without loss of potency is achieved by the use of 3-phenyl azetidines.

However, efforts to prepare simplified, lower molecular weight analogs did meet with success. The spiroindoline was expected to adopt the conformation shown for 11 (Figure 3.5 A ). As a means to simplify the structure, we wondered whether the five membered ring was necessary or if simpler 4,4 disubsituted piperidines could serve as substitutes. A set of three piperidines having a range of functionality opposite the phenyl ring was elaborated to GOAT inhibitors 45a-c. (Figure 3.6A). All of the compounds prepared inhibited the enzyme with potency near $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A further reduction in molecular weight was achieved when we found that in a related series of inhibitors, 3-phenyl azetidines (48a-c, Figure 3.6B) were also effective C-terminal fragments. As was
observed for the piperidine series of inhibitors, both polar and nonpolar functional groups opposite the aryl ring were tolerated by the enzyme. Thioamidation (ie. 46, 48a, 48b) has little effect on inhibitor potency. For a more detailed discussion of the importance of thionation in GOAT inhibitors, see section 3.14 below.

### 3.11 Synthesis of Simplified C-Terminal Fragments



Scheme 3.5: Syntheses of simplified C-termini. (A) Compounds 45a-f were prepared from the corresponding piperidines. Methyl ester 45b was prepared from the commercially available nitrile 45a by a hydrolysis/esterification sequence. (B) 3-phenyl azetidine derivatives 52a-52c were prepared by addition across the central sigma bond of [1.1.0] bicyclic compound 51. (C) Reductive amination of azirines 52a-c afforded with commercially available DAP aldehyde 53 afforded tertiary amines 54a-c, which were readily elaborated to target compounds 48a-c.

Piperidyl inhibitors 45a-c were prepared from 4,4 disubsitituted piperidines 49a-c.
Methyl ester 49b was prepared by hydrolysis and esterification of commercially
available nitrile 49a. Homologation of these amines by a route analogous to that used for the preparation of 9 provided the target inhibitors.

To prepare 3,3-disubstituted azetidines, styrene was converted by the dibromination, azide displacement, elimination, and pyrolysis sequence shown in scheme $3.5 B$ to 2-phenyl-azirine (50). ${ }^{24}$ Strained [1.1.0] bicyclic intermediate 51 was prepared from $\mathbf{5 0}$ by methylene transfer using trimethylsulfonium ylide. ${ }^{25}$ We found 51 to be a versatile intermediate that could be readily transformed to a wide variety of azetidines. Reduced azetidine 52a was prepared by catalytic hydrogenation of 51, though this procedure was reported to be unsuccessful in the literature. ${ }^{25}$ Fluorinated derivative 52b was prepared in low yield by treatment of 51 with HF-pyridine, ${ }^{26}$ while hydroxylated analog 52c was synthesized by perchloric acid promoted hydration. ${ }^{25}$ These secondary amines were then appended to DAP aldehyde 53 by reductive amination using sodium cyanoborohydride to provide tertiary amines 54a-c, which were then elaborated to the shown inhibitors.

### 3.12 C-Terminal Truncation and P2 Residue Optimization

Due to the lack of selectivity we had observed for functionality within the Cterminal fragment, we speculated that its contribution to binding was modest. We wondered if an inhibitor lacking this fragment might retain activity. As demonstrated by the compounds in figure 3.5B, reduction of the amide linking the DAP residue and the heterocyclic fragment was tolerated and, as previously discussed, methylation of the DAP $\alpha$-amide was beneficial (Figure 3.4). A cyclic structure such as 55 , wherein the reduced C-terminus was connected to the $N$-alkyl substituent would mimic the DAP
stereochemistry and retain conformational control while dramatically reducing molecular weight and polar surface area (Figure 3.6A). We were pleased to find that 55 retained activity, having potency comparable to 48a (Figure 3.6B). Relative to 48a, aminomethylpyrrolidine derived inhibitors such as 55 had the additional advantages of reduced molecular weight, polar surface area, and hydrogen bonding capacity.
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Fig. 3.6: Truncation and optimization of the C-terminal heterocycle. (A) Tolerance for N methylation and DAP amide reduction coupled with broad SAR in the C-terminal fragment suggested that a truncated and cyclized inhibitor such as 55 might retain activity. (B) Comparison of the enzyme inhibitory activity of inhibitors 48a and 55. (C) Comparison of in vitro potency of a series of P2 residue variants. (D) Certain $\beta$-branched aminoalkylpyrrolidine inhibitors retain activity against GOAT.

We had noticed that in certain series of compounds, such as those in figure 3.5B, bulky and hydrophobic residues were preferred at the P2 position. Within the new aminomethylpyrrolidine scaffold, we found that this effect was even more pronounced (Figure 3.6C). A serine derivative (57) was essentially inactive, while tert-leucine containing analog 61 was the most potent compound we had so far prepared. The new scaffold also provided the opportunity to prepare branched analogs of the aminomethyl appendage, a position we had not examined in the DAP-derived inhibitors. Initially we prepared a pair of diastereomeric cyclopropanated derivatives. One of these isomers had weak activity against GOAT, and was assumed to have the shown $R$ stereochemistry at the C8 position (61). We then prepared a pair of diastereomeric 2-(1-aminoethyl)-pyrrolidine derivatives (62a and 62b). We were pleased to find that 62a, having $R$-stereochemistry at carbon 9 , had potency equivalent to 61, while $S$ isomer 62b was inactive. Larger alkyl substituents were not tolerated (62c and 62d).

### 3.13 Synthesis of Aminomethylpyrrolidine GOAT Inhibitors

(R)-1-Boc-2-aminomethylpyrrolidine (63) is commercially available in either enantiomeric form. Diamine 65 is then readily elaborated using standard solution phase peptide synthesis methods to the targeted GOAT inhibitors 56-61 (Scheme 3.6A).
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#### Abstract

Scheme 3.6: Synthesis of $\beta$-branched GOAT inhibitors. (A) Solution phase peptide synthesis methods may then be used to prepare GOAT inhibitors from monoprotected diamine 63. (B) Aminocyclopropanes are prepared by Kulinkovich cyclopropantion of 2-cyanopyrrolidine. Elaboration to inhibitors proceeded normally. (C) Other aminoalkylpyrrolidines are prepared by addition of grignard reagents to D-prolinaldehyde derived Ellman sulfimine 67. Following selective cleavage of the auxiliary and amidation with trans-cyclobutane 41, conversion to full-length GOAT inhibitors proceeded normally.


Towards the synthesis of cyclopropanated inhibitor 61, known nitrile $64^{27}$ was treated with ethylmagnesium bromide, titanium isopropoxide, and boron trifluoride under Szymoniak-Kulinkovich conditions, thus providing cyclopropylamine 65. ${ }^{28}$ Appendage of the side chain carboxylic acid was followed by benzyl deprotection to provide secondary amine 66. Sequential peptide extension with L-Boc-tert-Leucine and Boc-sarcosine provided two separable diastereomeric GOAT inhibitors. The bioactive diastereomer was assumed to have the $R$ stereochemistry shown.

Branched aminoalkylpyrrolidines 62a-d were prepared by addition of the appropriate Grignard reagent to sulfimine $67 .{ }^{29}$ Shown in scheme 3.6 C is an exemplary route to 62b. Organometallic additions proceeded in fair to good yields and with $>10: 1$
dr in each case. A single crystal of the major isomer derived from isopropyl addition was obtained, allowing assignment of the absolute and relative stereochemistry. Ethyl and methyl additions were assumed to give the same stereochemical outcome. In each case the tert-butyl sulfinamide was removed with methanolic $\mathrm{HCl},{ }^{30}$ and trans-cyclobutane 41 appended using standard amidation conditions to provide 69a-d following Boc cleavage. The latter could then be elaborated as before to inhibitors 62a-d.

### 3.14 Optimization of N -Terminal Residue
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Fig. 3.7: Optimization of the N-terminal residue for proteolytic stability. (A) Incubation of previous generation inhibitor 70 in INS-1 cell extract resulted in rapid cleavage of the N-terminal glycine residue and formation of the truncated product 71 (B) We analyzed the stability of a set of thioamidated and $N$-methylated inhibitors using in vitro measures of inhibitor stability.

Data from a previous series of inhibitors (Figure 3.7A) suggested that proteolytic cleavage of the $N$-terminal glycine residue was a major degradation pathway. We observed rapid production of truncated compound 73 when a GOAT inhibitor (72) was incubated with INS-1 cell lysate. $N$-methylation is a common method to protect peptides
against proteolysis, ${ }^{17}$ and we had already demonstrated tolerance for monomethylation of the glycine residue (Figure 3.4). Thioamidation is another modification that has been shown to improve proteolytic stability of amide bonds. ${ }^{31,} 32$ We prepared a set of inhibitors (61, 72-74) wherein the N-terminal residue had been methylated, thionated, or both to examine the effects of these modifications on inhibitor potency and on metabolism (figure 3.7B). We found that thionation effectively increased the proteolytic stability (as modeled by stability in murine plasma) ${ }^{33}$ of the inhibitor with minimal effect on potency. Incubation of the compounds with liver S9 fractions demonstrated that the introduction of a thioamide increased the rate of hepatic metabolism (as modeled by liver S9 fraction incubation), ${ }^{34}$ however the measured half-life of the thioamide inhibitors (75 and 76) under these conditions was sufficient to recommend them for in vivo examination. For a more detailed discussion of the pharmacokinetics of GOAT inhibitors, see chapter 4.

### 3.15 Synthesis of Thioamides
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Scheme 3.7: Synthesis of thioamide inhibitors. (A) Thioacylating reagent 75 was prepared from 4-nitrobenzene-1,2-diamine by the shown three-step acylation/thionation/diazotization sequence. (B) Primary amine 76 could be converted to amides 61 and 72 by peptide coupling with glycine or sarcosine followed by deptrotection. Thioamide 73 was prepared by thioamidation using 75 followed by deprotection.

Several authors have reported the use of thioacyl donor reagents as a general approach to the synthesis of thioamide containing peptides. ${ }^{35}$ We made use of the nitrotriazolyl reagents reported by Shalaby et al. ${ }^{36}$ These reagents were prepared in three steps from 4-nitrobenzene-1,2-diamine. Mixed carbonic anhydride coupling of the diamine with glycine selectively acylated the m-nitro aniline to provide the desired anilide, which was then treated with sodium carbonate and $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{10}$ to afford thioanilides 74. Diazotization of 74 with sodium nitrite and acetic acid afforded the aryldiazonium, which spontaneously cyclized to the desired triazoles 75 . Thioamide 73 was prepared from 76 by treatment with 75 followed by Boc cleavage using HCl . Peptide coupling of 76 with glycine or sarcosine followed by deprotection provided amides 61 and 72.

### 3.16 In Vitro Activity of Lead Inhibitors Compared to Reported GOAT Inhibitors
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Figure 3.8: Comparison of GOAT inhibitors, divergent SAR, and mode of inhibition determination. (A) Reported GOAT inhibitors were independently synthesized and directly compared in our radioassay format. (B) Efforts to prepare a highly potent peptidal GOAT inhibitor by application of key SAR points to the peptidal series of inhibitors failed to improve peptide binding. (C) We assayed a lead inhibitor (73) in the presence of varying ghrelin concentrations and found that our inhibitor did not affect Vmax, and our data best fit a competitive (with ghrelin) binding mode.

As described in chapter 1, several distinct classes of GOAT inhibitor have been reported. ${ }^{8,} 37,38,39$ We were able to prepare each of these according to literature methods and directly compare them in our assay system. Ghrelin 1-28 octanamide $\mathbf{3}$ is by far the most potent inhibitor so far developed. Lead inhibitor 73 was the most potent non-peptidal inhibitor, matching ghrelin pentapeptide 4. We found that GO-CoA-Tat (77)
and triazole linked inhibitor 79 had similar potency, while benzamide 78 was inactive in our assay format.

### 3.17 Competitive Inhibition and Divergent SAR of Aminomethylpyrrolidines

Our inhibitors were developed by the hypothesis driven and rational process described above from the known binding sequence of ghrelin 1-5, and were expected to bind the same active site pocket as ghrelin itself. Nonetheless, they are structurally dissimilar to the parent peptides, and our assay results did not rule out uncompetitive or non-competitive binding. ${ }^{40}$ We were able to confirm a competitive binding mode by assaying our inhibitors at varying concentrations of ghrelin. We found that Vmax was unaffected by our inhibitors and that increased initial rates were observed for higher ghrelin concentrations. Computationally, our data best fit a competitive binding model, confirming that the binding mode of our inhibitors had not been altered by the development process.

Based on these results, we wondered if it were possible to develop a highly potent short peptide GOAT inhibitor by incorporating key motifs from the aminomethylpyrrolidine series into the pentapeptide scaffold. We prepared peptides 81 and 82 containing a tert-leucine residue or a tert-leucine and the trans-cyclobutane side chain using solid phase peptide synthesis. We found that $\mathbf{4 , 8 1}$, and 82 had very similar in vitro potency, despite the importance of the tert-leucine and cyclobutane to potency in the aminomethylpyrrolidine series of inhibitors (i.e. Figure 3.6D). This suggests that the latter compounds likely adopt a binding conformation distinct from that of the parent peptides.

### 3.18 Conclusion

We have successfully developed a novel class of small molecule GOAT inhibitor. Our inhibitors match the best reported small molecule GOAT inhibitors and are significantly more drug-like. We have developed a thorough understanding of SAR within the present aminomethylpyrrolidine series of inhibitors. Preliminary evaluation of inhibitor pharmacokinetics suggested that they were likely to have acceptable in vivo performance. Our next task was, then, to identify a suitable route for the large-scale preparation of a series of lead inhibitors and to evaluate their ability to inhibit GOAT in vivo.
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## 4 In Vivo Performance of Novel Small Molecule GOAT Inhibitors

### 4.1 Selection and Preparation of In Vivo Candidates

Based on the structure-activity relationships and preliminary pharmacokinetic studies outlined in Chapter 3, we selected three candidate inhibitors and two negative control compounds for scale up and in vivo testing (Figure 4.1A). (R)aminomethylpyrrolidines 1 and 2, differing in methylation state of the N -terminal amine, and $(R, R)$ aminoethylpyrrolidine derivative 3 were identified as potential lead compounds. As a negative control, we targeted truncated compound 4, which lacks the N -terminal glycine residue.
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Figure 4.1: Synthetic approaches to lead structures 1-3. (A) Four inhibitors were selected and prepared for use in vivo, including three lead compounds and one negative control. (B) Potential strategies for introduction of the key thioamide present in 1-3.

In the course of medicinal chemistry investigation, negative control 4 had been prepared on moderate scale by standard solution phase peptide synthesis methods
without incident, and further scale-up was not expected to be problematic. For thioamides 1-3, selective and efficient introduction of the thioamide was anticipated to be the most significant challenge. Each of these compounds could be derived from a protected precursor of type 5 . We considered two possible routes for the preparation of 5, which are shown in figure 4.1B. In both cases final removal of the glycyl protecting group would then afford the desired product. The routes differed chiefly by the method used to introduce the thioamide. The most direct approach would be to use a thioacyl donor such as $\mathbf{7}^{1}$ to append a protected thioglycine to a primary amine such as $\mathbf{6}$, thus generating 5. Alternatively, late stage treatment of protected amides such as $\mathbf{8}$ with Lawesson's reagent $(9)^{2}$, would also afford 7. The latter strategy would require at least one additional synthetic step, and therefore thioacylation using 7 was explored first.


Figure 4.2: Direct thioacylation strategy for the preparation of GOAT inhibitors. The utility of the shown route was limited by poor efficiency of the initial thioacylation and by instability of the product thioamides to acidic conditions required for deprotection of the $N$-terminal Boc group.

Thioacylating reagents such as 7 had been used successfully to prepare thioamide analogs on small scale for in vitro testing (see Chap 3), but on scale these reactions suffered from key limitations exemplified by the reaction sequence shown in figure 4.2. First, thioacylations using 7 were low yielding and required multiple
equivalents of the acyl donor to reach full conversion. For example, the acylation of 10 required 3 equivalents of 7 to observe full consumption of the starting amine and formation of 11. Moreover, removal of intensely colored nitroaryl byproducts proved challenging, as they tended to co-elute with desired product during chromatography. Most critically, we and others ${ }^{3,4,5}$ have found that thioamides such as 12 are unstable to the strong acid conditions used to remove the Boc-protecting group from precursor 11 in the final step. In some cases, we were able to observe and isolate byproducts such as 13 and 14 resulting from cyclative cleavage of the tertiary amide bond by the thioamide. To avoid the latter problem, we attempted to prepare a derivative of 7 with alternative protection on the glycyl or sarcosyl fragment. However these attempts were not successful, and late stage thionation of intact amide precursors was sought as an alternative.



Figure 4.3: Regioselectivity of Lawesson's reagent in thionation reactions of aminomethylpyrrolidine containing GOAT inhibitors. (A) Model inhibitor 15 was treated with 0.5 equivalents of Lawesson's reagent in toluene. The reaction was monitored by HPLC-UV. Shown traces represent the UV absorbance at 280 nm . *18 absorbs UV only poorly, and so appears as a very small peak in this experiment, and is not considered in the tabulated product distribution at 18h. These values are not corrected for differences in extinction coefficient between products. (B) Preparation of target bis-thioamide inhibitor 19 from monoprotected tris-amide 8. (C) Reformulation of the synthetic approach to have carbamoyl protection of the side chain during application of Lawesson's reagent would ensure selective thionation of a single amide bond.

Direct monothionation of tris-amides such as 8 as shown in would only be a useful approach for the synthesis of 7 if the reaction could be selective for the glycyl amide as shown in figure 4.1B. Synthesis of thioamides using Lawesson's Reagent (9, Figure 4.1) is well studied. When multiple amides are present the regioselectivity of the reaction depends largely on the relative steric environment of each amide bond. ${ }^{1,6}$ We
were uncertain which amide in substrates such as 5 would be most reactive towards Lawesson's reagent, and set out to test this experimentally. The hindered amide between the P2 tert-leucine residue and the (R)-2-aminomethyl pyrrolidine residue was unlikely to participate, but both of the remaining amides could be reactive.

To evaluate the regioselectivity of Lawesson's reagent in the context of our substrates, cyclohexylglycine derivative 15 was treated with 0.5 equivalents of 9 in toluene at room temperature, and the reaction was monitored by HPLC-UV (Figure 4.3A). Within one hour a mixture of starting material, a major monothioamide (17), and a small amount of bis-thioamide (18) was formed. A trace amount of a second monothioamide (16) was also observed. Additional reaction time consumed the remaining starting material, and increased the fraction of bis-thioamide, but did not significantly alter the selectivity of the reaction. The major monothioamide and the bisthioamide products were purified by preparative HPLC and the sites of thionation determined using two-dimensional NMR analysis (COSY, HMBC). Monothioamide 17 was found to be thionated at the side chain amide, rather than at the desired glycyl amide bond. Bis-thioamide 18 had been thionated at both expected sites. We concluded that the thionation using 9 was moderately selective for the undesired side chain amide. A variety of mechanistically related thionation reagents have been described, but do not generally reverse the observed regioselectivity. Consequently, preparation of the desired monothioamide from a tris-amidyl starting material did not appear possible.

As discussed in chapter three, bis-thioamide 18 was found to have in vitro activity against GOAT comparable to amide 17 and monothioamide 16. This trend was expected to hold for a P2 tert-leucine containing analog, and synthesis of a bis-
thioamide by late stage thionation using Lawesson's reagent would be possible (figure 4.3B). Moreover, such a compound might have pharmacokinetic advantages over the monothioamide congeners. We elected to prepare bis-thioamide inhibitor 19 as a fifth inhibitor for in vivo study.

To achieve selective monothionation towards lead structures 1-3, a substrate with a single reactive amide would be required. Lawesson's reagent reacts with carbamates only under forcing conditions, ${ }^{1,6}$ so for our initial syntheses of 1 and $\mathbf{2}$, we elected to prepare a precursor of type $\mathbf{2 0}$, harboring a single reactive amide and a carbamoylated primary amine. Treatment of 20 with Lawesson's reagent would provide monothioamide 21. Removal of the side chain carbamate followed by acylation with trans-3-butyl-cyclobutanecarboxylic acid, and final deprotection of the material would afford inhibitors 1 and 2 (figure 4.3C).

The final detail to be considered was the choice of protection strategy. The two free amines present in 20 would need to be orthogonally protected, and acid-labile groups (i.e. Boc) would need to be avoided because of the instability of thioamides to acidic conditions. Standard orthogonal protecting groups such as carboxylbenzyl (Cbz) or Allyloxycarbonyl (Alloc) were initially ruled out because the palladium catalyst traditionally used to cleave these groups may be susceptible to poisoning by the thioamide. Ultimately, for our initial syntheses of 1 and 2, we used 2-(trimethylsilyl) ethoxycarbonyloxy (Teoc) protection on the $N$-terminal residue. ${ }^{7}$ Teoc can be readily cleaved using nucleophilic fluoride sources, thus avoiding the acid-promoted decomposition pathway. For the aminomethylpyrrolidine primary amine, we used the

Fmoc protecting group, which is typically removed by treatment with secondary amines such as piperidine.

We designed the synthetic route to 1 and 2 shown in Figure 4.4, starting with commercially available Boc-protected (R)-aminomethylpyrrolidine (22). Fmoc protection of the primary amine followed by HCl mediated removal of the Boc group, afforded secondary amine 23 as its hydrochloride. Due to the potential lability of the Fmoc group in the presence of a free secondary amine, the free base of 23 was not isolated, but instead liberated in situ. The C-terminal dipeptide was then constructed by coupling of hydrochloride salt 23 to Boc-tert-leucine. Removal of the Boc-group, then coupling with Teoc-protected sarcosine or glycine provided dicarbamoyl products 24 and 25.

In other experiments, we observed that related thioamide were thermally unstable. Thionation using 9 is most often performed in refluxing toluene or benzene due to the poor solubility of the reagent in these solvents at ambient temperatures. Nonetheless, in some cases thionation has been shown to proceed at room temperature, despite apparent insolublity of the thioanating reagent. Reaction of our tripeptides with one equivalent of 9 in toluene at room temperature for eighteen hours afforded the desired monothioamides 26 and 27 in good yields (figure 4.4). Treatment of these products with DBU and octanethiol removed the Fmoc group and gave primary amines 28 and 29. Acylation with trans-3-butylcyclobutanecarboxylic acid (31) was performed using standard amidation conditions to afford the desired products 31 and 32.

Cleavage of the Teoc protecting group proved more challenging than anticipated. Standard cleavage conditions using tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) generated the
desired product, but additional impurities were evident by NMR. We sought an alternative source of fluoride anion, and tris(dimethylamino)sulfonium difluorotrimethylsilicate (TASF) performed well. ${ }^{8}$ Use of this reagent cleanly generated the desired product, but TASF is expensive, and challenging to prepare.



Figure 4.4: Synthetic routes to the targeted GOAT inhibitors 1 and 2.

Carpino has identified the combination of tetrabutylammonium chloride and potassium fluoride hydrate as an alternative method to generate nucleophilic fluoride. ${ }^{9}$ At room temperature these reagents had no effect on our substrate, but at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the Teoc fragment was removed from 31 and 32 to generate 1 and 2 respectively, with greater purity observed by NMR. Because the material was to be used for in vivo testing, we needed to formulate the product as a pharmaceutically acceptable salt form. ${ }^{10}$ The 2:1 salts with L-tartaric acid (i.e. hemitartrates) 33 and 34 were isolated as
white solid materials, which were non-hygroscopic, free-flowing, stable to benchtop storage, and soluble (to $>10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in aqueous solutions containing $1 \%$ Tween-80 as a detergent. We were obtained a single crystal structure of the trifluoroacetate salt of 1 (Figure 4.4), confirming structural assignment and absolute configuration.

During the synthesis of 1 and 2 , we had assumed that a palladium-promoted deprotection of the $N$-terminal glycine or sarcosine would be difficult due to poisoning of the catalyst by the nucleophilic thioamide residue. Given the high cost of commercial Teoc precursor 2-trimethylsilylethanol, an Alloc or Cbz protection strategy, if viable, was appealing. Alloc is used extensively as an orthogonal protection strategy in peptide synthesis. ${ }^{11}$ Generic conditions for its removal involve the use of a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyst, or Pd(II) precatalyst, and one of several nucleophilic trapping agents to sequester the generated allyl electrophile. We prepared Alloc protected substrates 35 and 36 to evaluate potential cleavage conditions (figure 4.5A), and found that standard cleavage conditions using $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and diethylamine as the nucleophile readily cleaved the alloc group and provided the free amine with very little degradation of the material. However, purification of material generated in this fashion proved challenging, as the triphenylphosphine oxide byproduct of catalyst degradation coeluted with desired product under all tested chromatography conditions. In an effort to solve this problem, we screened a set of alternative conditions using immobilized Pd catalyst, however no product was detected in these reactions. Genet has reported an alternative catalyst system, wherein $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ is generated from palladium acetate and diethylamine in the presence of a sulfated phosphine ligand (TPPTS (39)). ${ }^{12}$ Application of these conditions to our system resulted in full conversion to product, and the increased ligand polarity
rendered chromatographic purification of the final compound straightforward. This improved protection strategy was used in the syntheses of branched inhibitor 3 and bisthioamide 20.

Aminoethylpyrrolidine 41 was synthesized as described in chapter 3, by addition of methylmagnesium bromide to Ellman type sulfimine $40 .{ }^{13}$ On large scale (figure 4.5B) this reaction provided branched product 41 in high yield and with better than 20:1 dr . Selective acid-promoted cleavage of the Ellman auxiliary in the presence of a potentially labile Boc group was achieved using HCl in methanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fmoc protection of the primary amine and cleavage of the Boc group using HCl at room temperature afforded monoprotected aminoethylpyrrolidine 42. Peptide extension as previously described was used to generate tripeptide 43. Monothionation with Lawesson's reagent proceeded in high yield to provide thioamide 44. The Fmoc protection was removed and side chain carboxylic acid 31 appended to provide protected inhibitor 45. The Alloc group was removed using the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /$ TPPTS conditions and the product amine formulated as the hemitrartrate salt (46).
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\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Product } & \text { Conditions } & \text { Result (Yield) } \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Pd }\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \\
\mathrm{THF}
\end{array} &
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Full conversion, } \\
\text { inseparable from } \\
\text { phosphine oxide }
\end{array}, \\
& 38 \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} \quad \text { No Reaction } \\
& 38 \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} \text { on polystyrene, } \\
& \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{THF} \\
& 38 \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \text {, TPPTS (39), } \\
& \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \text { Full conversion, } \\
& \text { no impurities }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Figure 4.5: Screening of Alloc cleavage conditions and synthetic routes to in vivo candidates 3, 4 and 19. (A) A variety of conditions for the deprotection of Alloc-protected GOAT inhibitors were examined. (B) Synthetic route towards aminoethylpyrrolidine inhibitor 3. (C) Negative control 4 was prepared en route to doubly thionated lead inhibitor 19.

Negative control 4, which lacks the $N$-terminal glycine and bisthioamide inhibitor
19 were prepared in a straightforward fashion from 22 (Figure 4.5C). Acylation with 30
(Figure 4.4) followed by Boc-deprotection provided secondary amine 48, which was
then acylated with Boc-tert-leucine. Acid promoted cleavage of the protecting group was followed by treatment with aqueous sodium carbonate to generate negative control 4. To prepare 19 an alloc-sarcosyl fragment was appended to free base 4 under standard peptide coupling conditions. Treatment of this tris-amidyl material with excess 9 afforded the expected bis-thioamide, which was deprotected under the Genet ${ }^{12}$ conditions to provide targeted bis-thioamide 19. Inhibitors 4 and 19 were formulated as the hemitartrate salts (48 and 49). For all pharmacological studies described below, compound numbers (ie. 1-4, 19) refer to the corresponding hemitartrate (ie. 33, 34, 46, 48, and 49).
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Figure 4.6: GOAT inhibitors evaluated in vivo. (A) Set of GOAT inhibitors to be evaluated in vivo with measured in vitro $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. (B) GO-CoA-TAT is the only other reported inhibitor of GOAT having activity in vivo. Figure reprinted from Barnett et al. Science 330(6011):1689-1692.

Once each of the candidate inhibitors had been prepared on scale and formulated as the hemitartrate salt, we re-assayed the in vitro activity of each sample to confirm potency (Figure 4.6A). These results were as we had anticipated; lead compounds 1, 2, 3 and 19 were active in vitro, having $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~S}$ of $1.1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$,
and $6.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively (Figure 4.5). Designed negative control 4 had no effect on in vitro ghrelin acylation at any tested concentration.

### 4.2 Evaluation of Inhibitor Efficacy In Vivo

With a set of candidate inhibitors in hand we aimed to determine what effect, they would have on acyl-ghrelin levels in vivo. There was little precedent on which to base our experimental design. As discussed in chapter 1, GO-CoA-TAT (50) is the only reported GOAT inhibitor that has been evaluated in vivo (figure 4.6B). ${ }^{14}$ Our protocols are similar to those reported by Barnett et al. Male C57BL/6 mice of at least 8 weeks of age were maintained on standard diet. In order to maximize the levels of circulating acyl-ghrelin prior to inhibitor administration, animals were fasted overnight before experiments were performed.

We began our in vivo analysis with lead inhibitor 2. Each of the described inhibitors was freely soluble to $>10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS containing $1 \%$ Tween-80. We began with an intraperitoneal dosage of $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Blood samples were periodically collected from anesthetized mice from 15 minutes to 24 hours after injection, and the levels of acyl and des-acyl ghrelin were quantified using commercially available ELISA assays.


Figure 4.7: In vitro effects of administration of 2 on levels of circulating ghrelin species. Open circles represent the values obtained for individual mice, while filled circles represent the average of the group. $\mathrm{N}=3$ per group. Error bars reflect standard error.

Within 15 minutes of administration, inhibitor 2 reduced the circulating concentration of acyl ghrelin below the limit of detection (Figure 4.7). Acyl ghrelin recovered to resting levels within approximately three hours. Des-acyl ghrelin levels increased markedly immediately following inhibitor injection, but quickly returned to resting levels. This latter observation could be partially explained by GOAT inhibition without the ability to produce acyl ghrelin, all of the peptide remains in des-acyl form. However, the sum of the concentration of both des-acyl and acyl ghrelin species - ie. total ghrelin level - also spiked, suggesting an alternative perturbation potentially from a simple stress response to being handled and treated with drug.


Figure 4.8: In vivo effects of administration of 1 on levels of circulating ghrelin species. Open circles represent the values obtained for individual mice, while filled circles represent the average of the group. $\mathrm{N}=3$ per group. Error bars reflect standard error.

Given inter-individual variability, ghrelin levels are more reliably expressed as a fraction of acyl-ghrelin. ${ }^{14,15}$ The acyl to des-acyl ghrelin ratio appears to be more physiologically relevant than the absolute concentrations of either species. When the above data are evaluated in this format, we see a similar trend: the acyl ghrelin fraction drops precipitously within 15 minutes and recovers after approximately three hours to resting levels, consistent with the absolute measurements.

We evaluated the performance of 1 in a similar manner (Figure 4.8). Intraperitoneal administration of the inhibitor at $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ led to a rapid decline in acyl ghrelin levels, as well as the fraction of acyl ghrelin. For this experiment, the response seemed to be somewhat better sustained than when 2 was used, although the initial
drop in acyl-ghrelin concentration was less pronounced. The absolute concentration of des-acyl ghrelin again spiked and then recovered to the resting state within three hours.

We repeated this same experiment a third time using branched inhibitor 3. As had been observed for 1 and 2, intraperitoneal treatment with $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of 3 led to a rapid decrease in the circulating concentration of acyl-ghrelin followed by recovery to resting concentrations over about three hours.


Figure 4.9: In vitro effects of administration of 3 on levels of circulating ghrelin species. Open marks represent the values obtained for individual mice, while filled marks represent the average of the group. $\mathrm{N}=3$ per group. Error bars reflect standard error.

Having evaluated the single concentration efficacy of each lead inhibitor, we sought to determine a dose-response relationship for an inhibitor. Prior experiments had been conducted using $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of intraperitoneal GOAT inhibitor. To identify the minimal efficacious dose of a GOAT inhibitor, we administered doses of 1 from $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$
to $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and monitored the effect on ghrelin species concentrations (Figure 4.10). To evaluate whether the spike in des-acyl ghrelin concentration observed in prior experiments was a specific response to our inhibitors or a more general response, a parallel group of mice injected only with vehicle were included as a control. Truncated compound 4 was also included as an additional negative control.


Figure 4.10: Dose titration of inhibitor 1 and negative control 4. $\mathrm{N}=3$ per group. Individual mice are omitted for clarity. Error bars reflect standard error.

As was observed previously, administration of $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of 1 led to a rapid and dramatic reduction in the fraction of acyl ghrelin, with the effect sustained for approximately three hours. At $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, the effect was blunted, and a dose of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ appeared to have had little effect on acyl-ghrelin concentrations. These data suggested


Figure 4.11: In vitro effects of 19 on levels of circulating ghrelin species in mice and rats. (A) A dose of $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} 22$ was administered intraperitoneally to C57BL/6 mice. Blood samples were collected at the indicated time points, and the levels of ghrelin species quantified as described above. Individual mice are omitted for clarity. N=3 per group. Error bars reflect standard error. (B) An identical experiment was performed using rats. Animals were not sacrificed at the indicated time points, rather blood was collected via a sub-orbital bleed and ghrelin species quantified. Three rats were used for the vehicle control group, and due to difficulty recovering blood from one animal; only two were used for the treatment group.
that inhibitors 1-3 could require a dose of $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for efficacy. As expected, 4 had no effect on acyl-ghrelin levels or fraction of acyl ghrelin. We observed a rapid increase in des-acyl ghrelin levels for all treatment groups, including both vehicle and inactive compound 4, suggesting that this marginal effect may be a stress response.

Bisthioamide 19, which showed reduced potency in vitro compared to the other lead inhibitors, nonetheless produced a similar response as 1-3 when administered (figure 4.11A). As with the other tested compounds, the fraction of acyl ghrelin promptly fell and recovered roughly to the resting level within about three hours.

While we were encouraged that our inhibitors appeared to have the desired effect of reducing acyl ghrelin fraction in mice, the efficacy window was deemed too short to be useful for evaluating the effects of 1-3 on phenotypes such as weight gain and glucose response. Despite their extensive use in drug development, mice are often considered to be only loose models of human biology. Ghrelin signaling, which appears to be involved at multiple levels in the overall metabolism of the animal, is likely to be quite different qualitatively in rodents than in humans. In addition, rates of drug metabolism can vary widely between mammal species. We wondered, therefore, if our compounds might have improved efficacy in an alternative model organism. The amino acid sequences of mouse and rat GOAT are 88\% identical and their mature ghrelin sequences are $100 \%$ identical, suggesting that an inhibitor of mouse GOAT was likely to be an inhibitor of rat GOAT as well. We administered 19 to rats at $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, in the hope that the effect might be more persistent. We were pleased to find that 19 was also effective in rats. However, the lifetime of the effect was not significantly extended relative to a murine model.

To briefly summarize the initial results: An immediate reduction in absolute acyl ghrelin levels as well as fraction of acyl ghrelin, in many cases to near or below the limit of detection, was observed for animals treated intraperitoneally with $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of with inhibitors 1-3 or 19. Designed negative control 4 had no observable effect on acylghrelin concentrations. A brief increase in des-acyl and total ghrelin levels was observed following treatment with a GOAT inhibitor, negative control, or vehicle. That this effect is unrelated to the effect of the treatment on acyl-ghrelin levels suggests that this increase is most likely a response to the stress of being handled and dosed.

When inhibitors 1-3 were administered intraperitoneally, the maximum sustained effect on acyl-ghrelin levels was roughly three hours. To design an experiment that would meaningfully evaluate phenotypic effects of GOAT inhibition, however, we would need a means to reduce circulating ghrelin levels for at least 12 hours. This led us to three distinct lines of inquiry: first, could we find an alternative dosing mode that would suppress acyl-ghrelin concentrations for a longer period of time? Second, what were the circulating plasma concentrations of inhibitor and did they track with the loss of effect on acyl-ghrelin? Third, by what mechanism are GOAT inhibitors cleared from circulation?


Figure 4.12: Evaluation of inhibitor efficacy with alternative dosing modes. (A) A dose of $160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of 2 dissolved at $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in PBS containing 1\% tween-80 was administered by oral gavage. Blood samples were collected at the indicated time points, and the levels of ghrelin species quantified as described above. Individual mice are omitted for clarity. $\mathrm{N}=4$ per group. Error bars reflect standard error. (B) Mice were implanted with ALZET 1003 osmotic minipump containing a solution of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} 2$ in D5W. A one time intraperitoneal injection of $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} 2$ was then administered. Blood was collected 30m after the one time injection, and then once per day subsequently. Ghrelin species were quantified normally.

To address the first point, we administered the compound in two alternative fashions intended to provide a more sustained effect. Inhibitor 2 was administered by oral gavage at $160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Given the rapid response we had seen during IP dosing, we monitored the mice for one hour in this experiment. Compound 4 was included in this experiment at the same dose a negative control. Unfortunately, even at these very doses of 2, no effect was observed on acyl ghrelin levels or fraction. We speculated that our inhibitors were poorly absorbed across the gastrointestinal tract. One method to circumvent poor GI absorption but also generate a sustained serum concentration of inhibitor would be to directly infuse inhibitor using osmotic mini-pumps. Using the maximum possible dose obtainable using this technology of $48 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{day}^{-1}$ of 1 we were unable to discern any difference between mice dosed only with vehicle and those treated with inhibitor 1 (figure 4.11B).

### 4.3 Observation of Lethargy in Mice Treated with GOAT Inhibitors.

We noted that, relative to untreated mice, mice treated intraperitoneally with $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of GOAT inhibitors 1-3, 19, or negative control 4 became lethargic approximately ten minutes after the dose was administered. This effect persisted for roughly an hour, after which the mice recovered and became as active as their untreated counterparts. This effect was also observed in GOAT knockout mice treated with 2. That this effect was observed in mice treated with negative control 4 as well as in GOAT KO mice, demonstrates that this is most likely the result some off-mechanism toxicity. We have not yet identified the cause of this effect.

### 4.4 Pharmacokinetics of GOAT Inhibitors

To better understand the results of our in vivo experiments, we sought to evaluate the pharmacokinetic behavior of our inhibitors following administration. To do this, inhibitor concentration in the plasma of mice treated with $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of IP 2 and 3 was evaluated. To evaluate the GI permeability of our compounds, we collected plasma from mice dosed with $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of PO 2. Inhibitor quantification was performed using standard LC/MS/MS analyses. The results of these experiments are shown in figure 4.12A and 4.12B. Following intraperitoneal administration of 2, we observed high initial concentrations of inhibitor of nearly $10,000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, which decayed with a $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ of 32 minutes (figure 4.12A). Inhibitor is absorbed when the compound is administered orally,


Figure 4.13: Serum concentration of GOAT inhibitors following dosing. (A) The concentration of GOAT inhibitor in mouse plasma or stomach tissue following IP or PO dosing was quantified using standard HPLC/MS/MS. (B) Comparison of plasma acyl-ghrelin fraction and inhibitor concentration.
though to a substantially lesser extent than following IP injection. This confirmed our hypothesis that 2, and likely the other related inhibitors, are poorly absorbed through the intestine. Calculated AUC for both IP and PO dosing indicated that the relative \%F (IP vs PO) was roughly $10 \%$.

We observed a direct correlation between the acyl-ghrelin ratio in mice treated with 2 or 3 and the measured concentration of inhibitor (Figure 4.13B). These data, in conjunction with the similar in vivo performance of inhibitors 1-3 and 22, suggested that each inhibitor was cleared quickly, possibly by the same mechanisms, given their very similar structures. It was, therefore, critical to evaluate the routes of clearance of GOAT inhibitors.

Following entry to the blood stream, the fate of a small molecule in vivo is canonically governed by the rates with which it is its distributed, metabolized, and excreted. ${ }^{16}$ We aimed to determine which of these routes was responsible for the rapid clearance of our inhibitors from circulation. We first examined potential metabolic degradation of the inhibitor. Prior to evaluating GOAT inhibitors 1-3 in vivo, we had examined the stability of inhibitors 1 and 2 to in vitro models of metabolism (Figure 4.14A). ${ }^{1718}$ These data suggested that both these compounds were acceptably stable in liver S9 fraction, which is a standard model of phase I hepatic metabolism, and to murine serum, which is considered representative of proteolytic metabolism. However, in vitro PK may not be predictive of in vivo results. These results were revisited, and likely degradation pathways considered.
A
B



Figure 4.14: Metabolic stability of GOAT inhibitors. (A) Summary of in vitro pharmacokinetic evaluations of inhibitors 1 and 2 as well as the amide analogs 51 and 52. (B) Potential pathways for the conversion of $\mathbf{2}$ into 4.

For peptidyl molecules such as 2 the most likely metabolic pathways would be proteolytic (ie. hydrolysis of an amide bond) or oxidative. Several possible routes of metabolism were considered (Figure 4.14B). First, O - and N -methylated drugs are often de-alkylated oxidatively via a hydroxymethyl intermediate and loss of formaldehyde. ${ }^{19}$ In this case, the product of such an oxidation would be primary amine lead compound 1. An alternative pathway might be oxidative desulfurization of the thioamide. Thioamides are known to be susceptible to oxidative degradation, and them the mechanism of this process has been studied. ${ }^{20}$ The thioamide moiety may be oxidized at sulfur to an $S$ oxide or -dioxide type intermediate, rendering the thiocarbonyl electrophilic. These oxidized species may then react with nucleophiles such as glutathione or be hydrolyzed to the amide. The latter occurs rapidly in the case of a marketed thioamide-containing drug, Quazepam. ${ }^{21,22}$ A comparison of the S9 stability of thioamides 1 and 2 relative to amides 51 and 52 (figure $4.13 A$ ) suggests that oxidation of the thioamide probably does
occur for these compounds. In the case of 2, oxidative hydrolysis per this mechanism would likely result in the formation of amide inhibitor 52.

A third likely metabolic pathway could be cleavage of the glycine or sarcosine residue. Proteolysis of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, or 52 would each generate negative control 4. Both thionation and N -methylation are expected to reduce the rate of this cleavage, ${ }^{23,24,25}$ and our in vitro data suggested that the thioamide modification reduced the rate of proteolytic degradation relative to amide congener 52.


Figure 4.15: Quantification of select degradation products. (A) The indicated metabolites generated during liver 59 digestion in vitro were quantified by LC/MS/MS. (B) These same metabolites were identified in murine plasma following administration of compound 2.

To gain information about the relative rates of these potentially competing metabolic pathways, we determined the concentration of inhibitor 2, demethylated metabolite 1 and inactive 4 in liver S9 fraction digests of 2, murine plasma digests of 2, and in serum from mice that had been dosed with 2 ( $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ IP). If cleavage of the thioamide bond by any of the three routes shown in figure 4.13 B were a major route of metabolism, we would expect to observe accumulation of 4 . When incubated with
murine plasma, neither 1 nor 4 was generated to any appreciable extent (data not shown). By contrast, when inhibitor 2 was incubated with liver S9 fractions, we observed production of both 1 and 4 (Figure 4.15A). The peak concentration of 1 in this experiment was estimated to be $\sim 300 \mathrm{nM}$ (relative to 2 mM initial concentration of 1 ) and the peak concentration of 4 was $\sim 40 \mathrm{nM}$. In vivo, significant generation of both 1 and 4 was observed (Figure 4.15B). The concentration of 1 was roughly 20\% the measured concentration of 2 at each time point, and 4 was detected at still lower concentrations. Neither 1 nor 4 accumulated significantly with time. While the metabolic degradation pathways outlined in figure 4.14 B clearly contribute to the clearance of 2 from circulation, the data do not support the hypothesis that the observed rapid clearance of 2 from circulation results from degradation of the N -terminus.


Figure 4.16: Glucuronidation and excretion of 2. (A) Glucuronic acid conjugate 53 may be formed by action of UGTs on 2. (B) Quantitiation of unmodified $\mathbf{2}$ in feces and urine from animals treated with 2.

Glucuronic acid may be appended by UDP-glucuronosyl transferases (UGTs) to primary and secondary amines such as those found in 1 and 2, generating a conjugate such as 53 (figure 4.15A). ${ }^{16}$ This conjugation renders the drug hydrophilic and promotes elimination in the feces or urine. We considered this pathway, as well as the possibility that the parent compound itself was readily excreted. In order to determine whether this
pathway was operative, mice were treated with inhibitor $\mathbf{2}(80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{IP})$ and the feces and urine were collected over 24 hours. These samples were then analyzed by LC/MS/MS to quantify the levels of $\mathbf{2}$ present as well as identify a potential glucuronic acid conjugate (figure 4.15B). Very little intact was 2 found in the feces or in urine. Trace amounts of glucuronic acid conjugate 53 were detectable by $\mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{MS}$, but only in very low abundance. These data suggest that excretion of intact inhibitor or its glucuronide do not contribute significantly to the clearance of GOAT inhibitor 2 from solution.

### 4.5 Membrane Permeability Assays

While performing preliminary pharmacokinetic analyses of our lead inhibitors, we also evaluated their efficacy in a cellular assay. Our collaborators Brown and Goldstein had developed a line of rat INS-1 cells that stably expressed ghrelin and GOAT. ${ }^{26}$ Cells of this lineage were incubated with inhibitor 2 and the levels of acyl ghrelin and total ghrelin were evaluated by western blotting. In the presence of $\mathbf{2}$, acyl-ghrelin production was inhibited with an apparent $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 4.17). Negative control 4 had no apparent effect on acyl ghrelin production. As a counter-screen for cytotoxicity or offtarget effects, we also evaluated the production of total ghrelin in these same samples. Neither $\mathbf{2}$ nor $\mathbf{5}$ affected total ghrelin production in this assay.




Figure 4.17: Activity of lead inhibitor 2 and negative control 4 in a cellular (INS-1) assay format.

While these compounds were effective in cell culture, we noted the roughly fivefold decrease in potency for the cell-based assay relative to the in vitro $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. While this could be caused by degradation of the compound in the assay mixture, our in vitro analyses of metabolic stability suggested that this was unlikely. GOAT is known to be embedded in the endoplasmic reticulum of expressing cells, so to reach the active site of GOAT an inhibitor would need to penetrate the outer cellular membrane. An alternative explanation for the divergence between cellular and in vitro efficacies was that the compound suffered low membrane permeability.

The passage of a hydrophobic small molecule from one aqueous phase to another via a lipid membrane is complex and much studied process (Figure 4.18A). ${ }^{27}$ The major barrier to permeability is the requirement for the small molecule, which is solvated by an ordered shell of many water molecules, to desolvate prior to entering the lipid phase. To enter the second aqueous phase, the molecule must then break numerous hydrophobic interactions, substituting them for water molecules. Depending on the nature of the small molecule, either of these processes can be rate limiting. For a
given small molecule, membrane permeability depends strongly on the overall lipophilicity (ie. LogD). Numerous studies have demonstrated demonstrated a strong correlation between LogD and favorable membrane permeability. ${ }^{28}$ We experimentally determined a LogD value for 2 of 1.8 at pH 7.2, indicating that our compound was within the advocated range and should be membrane permeable. ${ }^{29}$ We sought to confirm this prediction experimentally.

### 4.6 PAMPA Measurement of Inhibitor Permeability

Numerous methods have been developed to evaluate the membrane permeability of small molecules in vitro. Two of the most important methods, particularly in medicinal chemistry applications are Parallel Artificial Membrane Permeability Assay (PAMPA) and Caco-2 colorectal epithelial monolayers. 30, 31, 17 PAMPA relies on the construction of a cellular membrane surrogate, usually consisting of a phospholipid dissolved in a long chain hydrocarbon and immobilized on solid support. The artificial membrane is then sandwiched between two buffer solutions and a test compound introduced on one side of the setup. The rate of passive diffusion across the membrane can then be quantified by HPLC-MS/MS or UV spectroscopy. Permeability in a PAMPA format is well correlated with LogD and with permeability across biological membranes including cellular membranes and the GI tract. Poor permeability in a PAMPA format would suggest that inhibitor 2 was poorly cell permeable in the INS-1 cell based assay described previously.


Figure 4.18: Membrane permeability considerations for small molecules. (A) Schematic of necessary events for transport of a small molecule across a lipid membrane. (B) Results of PAMPA analysis of control molecules and 2. Results for control compounds closely match those reported in the literature.

To evaluate the PAMPA permeability of $\mathbf{2}$ we established the assay according to a published protocol. ${ }^{30}$ Briefly, a $1 \%$ solution of lecithin in dodecane was added to the filter portion of a 96 well filter plate. The lipid layer was then sandwiched between a donor buffer containing test compound and a blank acceptor phase. Following an incubation period, UV absorbance of the donor and acceptor wells were recorded. PAMPA permeability coefficients and mass balance were computed according to standard formulae using external calibration of the drug UV absorbance. Propranolol, chloroquine, and phlorizidin were included as controls having high, moderate, and low permeability, respectively.

Results for all three control compounds (figure 4.18B) closely matched the literature values, suggesting that the assay was working properly. ${ }^{32}$ The PAMPA permeability coefficient (Log Pe) of compound 2 was $\sim 5.5$, suggesting that the inhibitor was at least moderately permeable. However, only $44 \%$ of the mass could be accounted for in the donor solution and acceptor solutions. This result is common in PAMPA analyses and several common causes for the apparent apparent loss of mass
are possible. Precipitation of the inhibitor in the donor well is a frequent cause of mass loss. Alternatively, test compounds can adhere non-specifically to the plastic surfaces used for both the assay or analysis, causing an apparent loss of material. Highly lipophilic compounds may be sequestered within the lipid phase. Though we did not attempt to characterize the mechanism(s) leading to low mass recovery, these PAMPA results anticipate that inhibitor $\mathbf{2}$ will be at least moderately cell permeable.

### 4.7 Cellular Model of Inhibitor Permeability

A frequent problem in the development of small molecule therapeutics is the ability of an organism to actively export xenobiotics from both cells and circulation. ${ }^{16}$ This function is generally performed by one of several families of transport proteins. While PAMPA flux is well correlated with cellular and GI permeability, by nature it can only evaluate the passive permeability of a small molecule. To evaluate the potential active transport susceptibility of GOAT inhibitors such as 2, we utilized more sophisticated cell based systems. Monolayers of Caco-2 epithelial and Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells are standard models of small molecule GI absorption. ${ }^{32}$ These cell lines natively express a variety of transport proteins. Generally the most important among these are the multidrug resistance protein (MDR1) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP), although there are many closely related transporters that perform similar functions. ${ }^{16}$
Efflux Ratio (B to A / A to B) by Cell Line and Compound


2

| Compound | Efflux Ratio (B to A / A to B) by Cell Line and Compound |  |  | MDCK <br> (BCRP) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caco2 | MDCK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDCK } \\ & \text { (MDR1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 30 | 1.2 |
| Propranolol | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Nadolol | 2.0 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Quinidine | 1.5 | 6.7 | 70 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| Cimetidine | 4.0 | 2.3 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ | 10 |



Figure 4.19: Membrane permeability in cellular assay formats. (A) Summary of results from cellular permeability experiments. Efflux ratio is a unitless value reflecting the relative rate of small molecule transport from the basolateral reservoir to the apical media. (B) Graphical representation of select data used to derive efflux ratios. Plots show accumulation of inhibitor in receiving well through time.

Caco-2 cells are derived from intestinal epithelia, and are often used to predict intestinal absorption/efflux. When grown on solid support, the resulting monolayers mimic the structure of the small intestine. Because our compounds are administered
intraperitoneally, GI absoption was not a principle concern. However, the transport proteins expressed in Caco-2 are also present in the kidney and liver. If our inhibitor was a substrate for these transport proteins, this could also which cause it to be rapidly transferred from the blood to feces or urine. ${ }^{33}$ This could potentially account, at least in part, for the rapid clearance of our compound observed in vivo. MDCK cells are derived from kidney epithelium, and are potentially a good model for renal excetion of our inhibitors.

To examine the active transport properties of our compounds we determined the permeability of these compounds across standard Caco-2 monolayers, as well as a panel of WT, MDR1 overexpressing, or BCRP overexpressing MDCK cells. ${ }^{17}$ These assays make use of cellular monolayers grown to confluence across a polycarbonate membrane. It is important to note that both Caco-2 and MDCK cells form monolayers, with alternate faces of the monolayer mimicking the apical and basolateral portions of a membrane. Once confluence is confirmed, generally by measurement of the electrical resistance across the membrane, an analyte is introduced to either the apical or basolateral media reservoir. Compound transport can be determined by MS/MS based quantification of analyte concentration in the receptor portion of the setup. Transport directionality can be assessed by comparing the rates of transport in either direction across the membrane. We performed these analyses using inhibitor 1, and found that it had intermediate permeability in all of the tested cell lines. This result mirrored the performance of $\mathbf{2}$ in PAMPA. In Caco-2 cells, there was no apparent directionality to the permeability of 1 , suggesting a lack of active transport. However, in wild type MDCK cells, we observed significantly faster export $(B \rightarrow A)$ than import $(A \rightarrow B)$ of the
inhibitor. This was magnified in MDCK cells overexpressing MDR1, but not in cells overexpressing BCRP, suggesting that 1 is a substrate for MDR1, but not for BCRP. These results are similar to those obtained for control compound quinidine, a known substrate of MDR1, but not cimetidine, which is a known substrate of BCRP. We conclude that 1 is a substrate for MDR1, though the physiological significance of this finding, and its relevance to the short in vivo half-life we observe, is not yet known.

### 4.8 Inhibitor Plasma Binding

When in circulation through the bloodstream, small molecules drugs may exist as free fully solvated molecules, or they may be bound non-covalently to plasma proteins, such as albumin. Protein-bound drug is sequestered and unavailable to diffuse across cellular membranes or to act at its target, and is also unavailable for hepatic metabolism or renal excretion. Balancing these considerations is critical, as a compound that is poorly bound is likely to be rapidly cleared, whereas a compound that is too tightly bound it is not available to act on its target. Plasma protein binding is driven primarily by non-specific hydrophobic interactions, which is closely correlated to LogD for a given small molecule. We measured the plasma protein binding for a set of closely related but increasingly hydrophilic GOAT inhibitors (figure 4.16)
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Figure 4.20 Percentage of GOAT inhibitors bound to protein in murine plasma. IWR1, an MBOAT inhibitor with good in vivo activity and has a significantly higher bound fraction, is included for reference.

As expected, the fraction of small molecule bound to plasma was well correlated with $\log \mathrm{P}$. Most critically, we found that 2 was $84 \%$ plasma bound. While it is difficult to directly compare isolated PK parameters between targets, we considered this value to be below optimal. IWR1, which is a small molecule inhibitor of the MBOAT Wnt, serves as a useful comparison and is $>95 \%$ plasma bound. The fairly direct correlation between plasma protein binding and small molecule clearance suggests that future GOAT inhibitors may benefit from increased lipophilicity if possible.

### 4.9 Conclusions

We have developed the first class of small-molecule GOAT inhibitors with in vivo activity. We consistently observe rapid clearance of acyl-ghrelin from mice treated with GOAT inhibitor. Present generation inhibitors are limited by their short half-life in serum. Efforts to identify the cause of rapid inhibitor clearance have met with limited success, but efforts are presently underway to identify new chemical entities with improved
pharmacokinetic performance. We are also exploring new inhibitor formulations that have the potential to dramatically extend the window of efficacy.
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## Experimental Appendices

## Experimental Procedures Supporting Chapter 2

The protocols related below are derived from those originally developed and provided to us by Dr. Tongjin Zhao, in the lab of Dr. Michael Brown and Dr. Joseph Goldstein at UTSW Med Ctr. The GOAT containing pFASTBAC plasmid and the pGEX ghrelin plasmid were also gifts from the Brown/Goldstein group.

## GOAT Membrane Preparation

## A. Transformation

Summary: Small bacterial plasmid, pFASTBAC1 with cloned mouse GOAT cDNA is transformed using a standard method into DH10Bac (special for baculovirus construction) where it recombines via site-specific transposition into large bacmid (130 kbp) DNA already present in the cells. Gentamicin resistant phenotype along with Blue/white selection on Bluo-gal is used to select positive transformants. Target cells should be Gent/Kan/Tet resistant and appear white on Bluo-gal/IPTG media.

1) Prepare 500 mL of $L B$ with agar 500mL 1X LB Media 7.5 g Agar
2) Autoclave
3) Once cool enough to handle, prepare $2 x 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ in 50 mL Falcon tubes
4) To each tube add

750uL of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BluoGal in DMSO
100 uL of $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ IPTG
25 uL of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Kanamycin
10uL of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Tetracyclin
17.5 uL of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Gentamycin
5) Pour into standard Petri dish plates (should afford 6-8 plates) and allow agar to solidify for a few hours.
6) Thaw on ice one vial of DH10Bac E. Coli competent cells (Invitrogen) from -80C.
7) Chill one 5 mL culture tube on ice
8) Thaw one vial of SOC Media at rt
9) Transfer thawed cells to 5 mL culture tube, then add 1 uL of FASTBAC1-mouseGOAT plasmid
10)Incubate on ice for 30 min
11) Heat shock at 42 C for 45 s (duration matters)
12)Place on ice and incubate for 2 min
13)Add 900 uL SOC media and shake at 37 C for 4 h .
14)Place culture at room temperature, and prepare 10 -fold serial dilutions with LB media (no antibiotics) as follows
$1 \times 100 \mathrm{uL}$ of $1: 10$
$2 \times 100$ uL of 1:100 (because this is the most useful one, usually)
$1 \times 100 u \mathrm{u}$ of $1: 1000$

Remaining cells can be discarded.
15)Pipette dilutions onto plates prepared above and distribute with autoclaved glass beads
16)Cover agar plates with aluminum foil and incubate at 37 C for 2 days.

This strain grows slower than some others, and blue/white selection can be subtle. Growing in dark seems to help. If color is not clear after 2 days, try growing at 4 C for a few days. Color will eventually appear.
17)After color appears, select at least nine white colonies and one blue. Restreak onto quadrants of plates prepared above to reconfirm color selection.

## B. Isolation of Bacmid DNA

Summary: a small (4mL) culture of DH10BAc/mouseGOAT is grown in LB media supplemented with antibiotics (Gen/Kan/Tet - see above) and the Bacmid DNA is isolated using standard molecular biology techniques.

Buffer I
50mL 1M Glucose
25mL 1M Tris HCI PH 8.0
20 mL 0.5M EDTA pH 8.0
Dilute to 1000 mL filter sterilize

Buffer II
20 mL 10 M NaOH
20mL 20\% W/V SDS
Dilute to 1000 mL , filter sterilize
Buffer III
60 mL 5 M KOAc
11.5 mL AcOH
28.5 mL H 2 O

Filter sterilize

1) From either original plate or restreaked plate, grow overnight cultures in 4 mL Kan/Gent/Tet media

For 50mL
50mL 1x LB
25 uL of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Kanamycin
10uL of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Tetracyclin
17.5 uL of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Gentamycin
2) Take 2 mL of overnight culture and pellet cells at $14,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$.
3) Remover supernatant
4) Resuspend pellet in 250 uL of buffer I by pipette.
5) Add 500 uL of buffer II, mix by inversion, incubate at rt for 5 m . (Cell lysis)

Add 375 uL of buffer III, white precipitate forms. Incubate at rt for 10 m then centrifuge at $14000 \mathrm{~g} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$.
6) Transfer 1 mL of supernatant (carefully avoid solids sticking to pipette) to 1 mL of cold isopropanol. Incubate on ice for 10 m then centrifuge at $14,000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{15m}$.
7) Remove supernatant, then add 0.5 mL of $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ to wash. Wash by inversion (do not dislodge pellet) then centrifuge $14000 \mathrm{~g} \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ and remove supernatant. Blot dry, then air dry, then vacuum dry to remove any EtOH. When dry, resuspend in 50uL H2O (NO VORTEX! DO NOT FREEZE!)

Solution should be entirely clear at this point. Remove any remaining insoluble material by centrifugation and reserve DNA containing supernatant. Quantitiy and quality of the resultant DNA can be estimated by measuring A260 in a nanodrop instrument.
8) DNA should be be stored at 4C and used quickly for Sf9 transfection.

## C. Transfection

Summary: Bacmid DNA generated above is transfected into Sf9 insect cells using a transfection reagent. The bacmid DNA bears all necessary genes for viral amplification in insect cells. The transfection of bacmid DNA leads to expression of all viral protein components along with GOAT gene inserted into the virus genome.

1) For each Bacmid isolate to be transfected, prepare two wells of a six well plate containing $0.9^{*} 10^{6} \mathrm{Sf} 9$ cells in 2 mL of media. Allow cells to attach for 1 h .
2) While they attach prepare solutions of Cellfectin II reagent and DNA in sf900 media. Prepare 1ug/uL (A260) solutions of DNA in sf900 media.

For each well, prepare 8uL of cellfectin in 100uL of media
For each well, prepare 1 ug of DNA in 100 uL of sf900 media
3) Mix these two solutions (DNA binds to transfection reagent). Incubate at rt for 45 m . In addition, prepare control solutions containing DNA (1ug/200uL) only and cellfectin only. (8uL/200uL)

For example, using 8 bacmid isolates:
16 wells total, plus cells only, DNA only, and reagent only controls $=19$ wells.
$2 * 8 * 8+8=136 u \mathrm{~L}$ of cellfectin needed in 1700 uL media.
Prepared 140 uL of cellfectin in 1.75 mL media.
And $2 u L$ of DNA in $200 u L$ of media per isolate
Mixed to get 8*400uL solutions of DNA/Reagent.
Also prepared 8uL cellfectin/200uL media control and 1uL DNA/200uL media control.
4) After cells have settled for 1 h , remove the media from the cells and replace with 0.8 mL of fresh media. Then add dropwise 200uL of the DNA/Cellfectin complex per well. Swirl gently to mix, then incubate at 27C for 5 h . Wrap in parafilm to prevent dehydration.
5) Remove the media containing transfection reagent and add 2 mL fresh media. Rewrap in parafilm.
6) After $\sim 96 h$, recover the supernatant by pipette. This contains P1 virus. All viral stocks should be stored at 4C in the dark to protect the viral particles.

## D. Amplification

## P2 Generation

1) In a 6 well plate allow $2^{*} 10^{6}$ cells/well to attach in 2 mL of media ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~m}$ ). To these cells add 500uL. of p1 viral stock.
2) After 72h, harvest p2 viral stock. To each well add 400uL of lysis buffer

For 100 mL of lysis buffer
100 mL H2O
981 mg Tris HCl
10 mL of $20 \%$ SDS
pH to 6.8
3) Scrape each plate with cell scraper to detach cells. Pipette lysate into 1.5 mL tube and store at -80 before analysis by Western Blot.

## P3 Generation

1) Set up 50 mL of $\mathrm{Sf9} 9$ cells (in suspension) at $0.9 * 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$.
2) Add 2.25 mL of $P 2$ virus (because of the way p2 expression was done, this uses most of the virus generated). Values used are based on a theoretical viral titer in P2 of $1 * 10^{7}$ and MOI of 0.5 .
3) After 72 h , harvest supernatant by centrifugation of the culture at $\sim 4000 \mathrm{~g} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ (ensure that media is clear and cells are pelleted.
4) An aliquot of cells can be lysed and analyzed by Western.

## E. Final Expression and Membrane Prep

1) Set up culture of 250 mL Sf9 cells at $1^{*} 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$. To this add 25 mL of $P 3$ virus (from large scale P3). Theoretically, this is an MOI of 10.
2) After 72 h , harvest supernatant by centrifugation of the culture at $\sim 4000 \mathrm{~g} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ (ensure that media is clear and cells are pelleted.
3) Prepare Lysis Buffer (DO NOT USE DETERGENTS)

Lysis Buffer is
50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl
1 mM EDTA
1 mM DTT
10ug/ml pepstatin
10ug/ml leupeptin
$5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ aprotenin
100ug/ml bis(4-nitrophenyl) phosphate(BNPP)
1x diethyl para-nitrophenyl phosphate (from 1000x stock).
4) Cells from 1 L of culture were resuspended in 50 mL of buffer and lysed by Douncer on ice for 50 strokes. The lysate was centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 m to pellet cellular debris.
5) This gives a cloudy/yellow supernatant, which is transferred to ultracentrifuge tubes.
6) Spin at $100,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 h . This clarifies the solution and provides pelleted membranes. The supernatant can be discarded.
7) The membrane pellet is resuspended in 10 ml of storage buffer: $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi} \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{7.5}$, 150 mM NaCl and $5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol by douncer ( 10 strokes).
8) Flash freeze membrane suspension with liquid nitrogen and store at -80C until use. The membrane suspension can be freeze/thawed several times without any detectable loss of GOAT enzyme activity activity of GOAT enzyme.

## Ghrelin Production

## A. Transformation

Construct used is GST-TevCleavageSite-Proghrelin-His8.

1) Remove 3 prepared Ampicillin plates from cold room
2) Thaw on ice BL21 DE3 (pLys) competent cells
3) Chill one 5 mL culture tube on ice
4) Thaw one vial of SOC Media at rt
5) Transfer thawed cells to 5 mL culture tube, then add 1 uL of GST-TevCleavageSite-Proghrelin-His8
6) Incubate on ice for 30 m
7) Heat shock at 42 C for 45 s
8) Incubate on ice for $2 m$
9) 300 uL SOC media added and shake at 37 C for 1 h
10)Plate cell suspension on LB agar plate with ampicillin
11)11 Incubate culture at 37C overnight

## B. Expression and isolation of Ghrelin

Summary: Protocol for expression and purification of ghrelin from the previously generated E. coli.

1) Inoculate $3 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{LB}+\mathrm{Amp}+$ Cam with a few colonies directly from agar plate. Grow culture at 37 C for 6 hours and use 1 ml to inoculate $100 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{LB}+\mathrm{Amp}+\mathrm{Cm}$. Grow 200 ml culture overnight at 37C.
2) In the morning, inoculate 1 Lx 6 of LB +Amp with 10 ml overnight culture. Incubate at 37C with vigorous shaking for $\sim 4 \mathrm{~h}$. When OD600 reached 0.6-0.8 place cultures on ice for 30 min . Add 0.25 mM IPTG and grow cultures at 18 C overnight. Harvest cells and resuspend pellet in lysis buffer (see 3) for immediate use or store pellets in -20C for later use.
3) Prepare 100 mL of Lysis buffer ( 20 mM Tris, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 mM DTT, $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme, $\mathrm{pH}=7.5$ ).
4) Sonicate cells. Spin at 18000 x for 30 min to pellet cellular debris, then recover the supernatant.
5) Prepare $4-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of glutathione Agarose resin (Fisher) by pipetting 10 mL of slurry into a 25 mL chromatography column. Equilibrate resin with 5 column volumes of lysis buffer.
6) All chromatography step are performed in a cold room. Pour the supernatant from
step 4 into the column. Allow fusion protein to bind via gravity flow.
7) Wash with 50 mL of lysis buffer.
8) Elute fusion protein with 25 ml lysis buffer with 15 mM reduced glutathione.
9) To eluate (contains GST-Ghrelin-His8) add 1 ml of GST-TEV protease (see protocol below) ( $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). Incubate at 16C overnight.
10)Equilibrate 2.5 ml bed volume Ni-NTA (Qiagen) resin with buffer containing 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$.
11)Bind proghrelin-His8 on Ni-NTA via gravity
12)Wash with 75 ml of 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 40 \mathrm{mM}$ Imidazole.
13)Elute with 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 250 \mathrm{mM}$ Imidazole.
14)Dialyze against 10 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.5 / 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} / 10 \%$ w/v glycerol/0.01 \% CHAPS
15)Concentrate on Amicon filter device (Fisher) with 3,000 Da cutoff
16)Analyze purity of ghrelin by SDS-PAGE w/ Coomassie staining.

## GOAT Activity Assay

## A. Prepare buffers for the reaction

## Reaction Buffer

 50 mM HEPES pH 7.0Quench buffer
$50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi} / 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} / 10 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole $(\mathrm{pH}=7.5)$ with $0.1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{x}-100$

## Wash Buffer

$50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi} / 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{pH}=7.5)$ with 40 mM imidazole)
Elution Buffer
$50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi} / 150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{pH}=7.5)$ with 250 mM imidazole

1) Readjust the pH after addition of imidazole (it will have changed).
2) Prepare 20x solutions of candidate GOAT inhibitor in $6 \%$ DMSO from the 10 mM stock. (ie. to test an inhibitor at 20 nM prepare a 400 nM stock solution).
3) Prepare a 10 mM solution of palmitoyl CoA in H 2 O .
4) Thaw stock of ghrelin (see relevant section for prep).
5) Thaw GOAT containing membrane suspension.

## B. Run And Quench Assays

1) To the prepared reaction tubes add the following, in order. At this point the reaction is time sensitive. Concentration of all components given as final:

HEPES - to final volume 47.5 ul
Membrane suspension - $50 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{rxn}$ (measured as total protein using BCA method)
Bis(4-nitrophenyl) phosphate(BNPP) - 100 uM
Palmitoyl CoA - 100uM
Proghrelin - 5ug/reaction (measured at A280/1.06 - ext coefficient)
2.5 uL 20X inhibitor solution

Final volume $=47.5 u L$
2) Ensure that the contents of the tube is mixed and at the bottom of the tube.
3) Remove the $20 \mathrm{uM}^{3} \mathrm{H}$ octanoyl CoA ( $5.5 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{fmol}$ ) from the refrigerator and add 2.5 uL of this solution directly to the reaction mixture
4) Upon completion of the additions, close all the tubes and transfer them to preheated block at 37C.
5) 10 m after the 3 H octanoyl CoA was added transfer the reactions back to ice.
6) Add 10 ul 1 N HCl to each tube and mix.
7) Add 0.75 mL of quench buffer including 100 uM Bis(4-nitrophenyl) phosphate(BNPP) and 1 mM PMSF to each reaction.

## C. Recover proghrelin and quantify acyl-transfer

1) To each tube add 200 uL of Ni-NTA pre-equilibrated with 10 mM Imidazole/150mM $\mathrm{NaCl} / 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$.
2) Incubate tubes for 1 hour with rotation in cold room to bind proghrelin-His8.
3) Pour content of each tube into separate disposable chromatography column.
4) Allow the solution to drain completely,
5) Add a final $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ of wash buffer ( 40 mM Imidazole/150mM NaCl/ $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaPi}, \mathrm{pH}$ 7.5. Then allow it to drain completely.
6) Add 1 mL of 250 mM Imidazole elution buffer and collect into scintillation tubes. Allow this solution to drain completely.
7) Add 5 mL of scintillation fluid shake until the solution is clear and count.

## D. Data Processing:

Data can be plotted as either a straight count vs concentration or as a percent activity. $\% \mathrm{~A}=$ (measured count - count with 2 uM Ghrelin 1-28 octanamide)/No inhibitor count *100

## Engineering and Production of GST-TEV

The gene for GST protein was sub-cloned with a Gibson assembly approach from pGEX-proghrelin into pMHT vector (ref: Paul G. Blommel, Brian G. Fox. A combined approach to improving large-scale production of tobacco etch virus protease. Protein Expression and Purification 55 (2007) 53-68). The pMHT plasmid was a kind gift from Dr. Arbing (UCLA). Primers were designed to replace the MBP gene from pMHT vector with a GST gene.

The resulted plasmid with GST-TEV(234D) was transformed into the BL21Gold E. coli strain and GST-TEV protein was produced as a following:

1) Inoculate 3 ml LB+Kan with a few colonies
2) Grow at 37C with shaking for 6 hours
3) Inoculate $50 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{LB}+$ Kan with 1 ml of culture from step 2
4) Grow at 37C with shaking overnight
5) Inoculate $4 \times 1 \mathrm{~L}$ LB with 10 ml of overnight culture
6) Grow at 37 C with shaking for 4 hours or till OD600 $\sim 0.8$
7) Induce protein expression with 1 mM IPTG
8) Continue to grow for 4 hours at 37 C
9) Harvest cells by centrifugation
10)Resuspend cell pellets from 4 L culture in 200 ml of lysis buffer
11)25 mM Tris-HCI pH 8.0
10) 150 mM NaCl
11) 1 mM EDTA
12) $5 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol
13) 1 mM DTT
14) $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ lysozyme

## 17)Sonicate

18)Spin at $18,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 30 min
19)Load supernatant on column with 3 mL bed volume of glutathione resin by gravity
20)Wash with 50 ml of lysis buffer (excluding DTT and lysozyme)
21)Elute with 25 ml of buffer from step 14 with 15 mM reduced glutathione
22)Dialyze against buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl and $50 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ glycerol
23)After dialysis add 1 mM DTT and store -20C
24)Measure protein concentration using nanodrop at A280 (use ext. coeff $\sim 1.39$ for GST-TEV fusion protein)

## Experimental Procedures Supporting Chapter 3

## General Procedures for Inhibitor Synthesis or Homologation

## Amide Bond Formation

Unless otherwise noted, amide bond forming reactions were performed in acetonitrile or DMF at a concentration of 0.2-0.3M, using 2-3 equivalents of $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ as base and 1.1-1.3 equivalents of either O -(Benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N', N'-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU) or 1-
[Bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxid hexafluorophosphate (HATU) as coupling reagents. Reactions were initiated by addition of coupling reagent to the other reaction components, and stirred at room temperature until the reaction was complete, as monitored by TLC or HPLC. Once complete, the reaction was quenched by addition of the reaction solution to 1 N HCl (for products lacking basic functionality) or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (for products having a basic nitrogen). The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine. The organics were then dried over sodium or magnesium sulfate, then filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo.

## Boc-Deprotection

Unless otherwise noted, removal of a tert-butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) group was performed by dissolving the protected material in a solution of 4 N HCl in dioxane. After the reaction was complete, the solution was concentrated to provide the hydrochloride salt of the product.

## Cbz-Deprotection

Unless otherwise noted, removal of carboxybenzyl (Cbz) group was performed by dissolving the protected material in methanol. 10-20\% (w/w) of palladium on carbon ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) or Palladium hydroxide on carbon $\left(\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right)$ was then added. The solution was then placed under a hydrogen atmosphere by evacuation of the head space followed by refilling with hydrogen three times. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was filtered over celite to remove catalyst, and then concentrated.

## GHS/Ghrelin Hybrid Inhibitors

## 1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidine] hydrobromide (7)



HBr


7 was prepared according to literature protocols. Recorded spectroscopic information closely matched reported data.

Ref: Maligres PE, et al. (1997). Synthesis of the orally active spiroindoline-based growth hormone secretagogue, MK-677. Tetrahedron 53(32):10983-10992.

[^0](R)-2-amino-N-(3-(benzyloxy)-1-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)-2-methylpropanamide (1)


Ibutamoren (1) was prepared from 7 according to literature protocols (See ref above). Recorded spectroscopic information a matched that reported in the literature.

1:1 rotamers present
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) 8.66-8.55 (m, 1H), $8.24(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ (sextet, $J=7.0$, 1 H ), $4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48$ (quartet, $J=11.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.7$, $9.7,19.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ (quartet, $J=14), 3.02(\mathrm{app} d, J=7.0,3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.76-1.52 (m, 4H), 1.51-1.44 (m, 6H)

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 529.2 Obsd. 529.3

N-((S)-3-(((S)-1-amino-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)amino)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-oxopropyl)octanamide (4)


4 was prepared according to standard solid phase peptide synthesis methods using a Fmoc/Alloc protection scheme.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) $\delta: 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (bs, 3H), 7.86 (d, $J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8,1 \mathrm{H}) 5.17$ (bs, 1H), 5.06 (bs, 1H), 4.48 (ddd, $J=6.1,6.1,7.8$ ), 4.43 (ddd, $J=4.5,8.0,9.0,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.25 (quartet, $J=6.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.17 (quartet, $J=7.2$, 1 H ), 3.62-3.44 (m, 8H), 3.04 (dd, $J=4.1,13.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.77 (dd, $J=9.25,14.1,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.53 (septet, $J=6.9$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 634.4 Obsd. 634.4

N -((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-
(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)octanamide (5)


5 was prepared from 7 ( $28.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by reverse EDC mediated coupling to a N -terminal tripeptide, followed by Cbz-removal according to the general procedure.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) ~ \delta: ~ 8.36-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.05-6.98 (m, 1H), 5.02-4.85 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.4-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.9(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.5(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.56 (m, 3H), 1.48-1.37 (m, 2H), 1.26-1.12 (m, 8H), 0.85-0.77 (m, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz MeOH-D ${ }_{4}$ ) $\delta: 173.7170 .0168 .2167 .9141 .5139 .1129 .1124 .2123 .9113 .162 .5$ 59.148 .743 .142 .746 .563 .463 .035 .834 .731 .629 .829 .128 .925 .422 .614 .4

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=623.3$ Obsd. 623.3.

## Synthesis and Characterization of CarbamoyI GOAT Inhibitors

hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (9)


O-hexyl carbamate 9 was prepared by treatment of the corresponding DAP derivative ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with hexyl chloroformate ( $23 \mathrm{uL}, 0.134 \mathrm{uL}$ ) and triethylamine ( $23 \mathrm{uL}, 0.167 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane. Workup according to the general peptide procedure was followed by Boc deprotection according to the general procedure.

Rotamers (1:1) present.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{MeOH-D} 4$ ) $\delta: 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.42,8.1,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.37-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.12-7.06 (m, 1H), 5.11 $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $5.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.13,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-$ $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 625.3 Obsd. 625.3.
tert-Butyl (S)-(3-hydroxy-1-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-1-oxopropan-2-yl)carbamate (13)


Amidation of 7 ( 142 mg ) with Boc-Serine according to the general protocol for amidation afforded 13 ( 187 mg ), which was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.44,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (qd, $J=0.9,7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 454.2 Obsd. 354.1 (-Boc) and 476.1 (+Na)
(S)-2-amino-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl hexylcarbamate (14)


Triethylamine ( $107 \mathrm{uL}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hexyl isocyanate ( $83 \mathrm{uL}, 0.576 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylaminopyridine ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $13(174 \mathrm{mg})$ in chloroform $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was then heated at 60C for 24 hours, during which time starting material was consumed and product appeared (as monitored by HPLC). The product was isolated by addition of the reaction solution to 1 N HCl . The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate and brine. The organics were then dried over sodium or magnesium sulfate, then filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. The product was deprotected according to the general procedure for Boc removal, then isolated as the free base by extraction from aqueous sodium carbonate with ethyl acetate to provide the desired product $(148 \mathrm{mg})$, which appeared to be contaminated with excess hexyl isocyanate, by NMR analysis. The material was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{td}, J=1.3,7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=0.9,7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-3.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-$ $1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 454.2 Obsd. 354.1 (-Boc) and 476.1 (+Na)
(S)-2-((S)-2-(2-Aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl hexylcarbamate (10)


10 was prepared from 14 ( 148 mg ) by sequential homologation/deprotection with serine and glycine according to the general procedures. Yield: 29.4 mg following purification by preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} H$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-D ${ }_{6}$ ) : 8.58-8.34 (m, 2H), 7.96 (bs, 3H), 7.31-7.12 (m, 3H), 7.03 (ddd, J = $1.2,7.4,7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,7.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17-4.11 (m, 1H), $4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,11.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (ddd, $J=4.7,6.1,11.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.2$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 H), 1.38-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 625.3 Obsd. 625.3

## Characterization of Methyl Scan Inhibitors

5-Methylhexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15i)


1:1 diastereomers at methyl
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) $\delta: 7.42-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-$ $4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.96-2.88 (m, 1H) 1.90-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.49 (m, 3H), 1.45-1.17 (m, 4H), 0.99-0.88 (m, 6H).

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=$ 639.3 Obsd. 639.4
3-Methylhexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15j)


1:1 diastereomers at methyl
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.43-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.0,7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76$ (sextet, $J=6.5,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.59-4.47 (m, 2H), 4.26-4.12 (m, 1H), 4.01 (AB, 2H), 3.91-3.77 (m, 4H), 3.59-3.35 (m, 3H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 2.96-2.87 (m, 1H) 2.25-1.76 (m, 4H), 1.65-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.43-1.16 (m, 9H), 0.97-0.84 (m, 3H).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 639.3 Obsd. 639.3

Heptan-2-yl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15k)


1:1 diastereomers at methyl
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) ~ \delta: ~ 7.43-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.000(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$ 2.2-1.76 (m, 4 H$)$, 1.73-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.48-1.10 (m, 4H), 0.99-0.87 (m, 6H).

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)(methyl)carbamate (15h)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-$ $5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.29$ (2.99-2.82 $(7 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.27(6 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-0.8(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxy-N-methylpropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15g)


HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 639.3 Obsd. 639.3
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ §: 7.43-7.34 (m, 1H), 7.31-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.04 (m, 1H), 5.72-5.56 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.9(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.29$3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.99-0.89 (m, 3H)

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-methoxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15f)


Rotamers Present
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 8.638$ (app dd, $\left.J=7.5,14.7,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.45-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 4.91 (pentent, $J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.59 (app pentet, $J=6.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13.63,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (quartet, 5.5, 2H), 3.53-3.42 (m, 2H), 3.53-3.42 (m, 2H), . $23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75$
(quartet, $J=12.1,1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.71-1.60 (m, 3H), 1.54-1.43 (m, 2H), 1.29-1.15 (m, 8H), 0.87-0.77 (m, 3H), 2.97$2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15e)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) $\delta: 7.4$ (d, $J=8.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.38-7.29 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.23 (m, 1H), 7.13 (app sextet, $J=3.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.16-5.10 (m, 1H), 4.54 (d, J = 12.7, 1H,), 4.23-3.97 (m, 5H) 3.96-3.75 (m, 4H), 3.56 (m, 3H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 1.57 (app d, $J=9.8,3 H$ ), 1.44-1.30 (m, 6H), 0.97-0.89 (m, 3H)
${ }^{13}{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 168.9$, 167.9166 .4157 .1141 .5138 .9 128.9, 125.4 123.9, 113.3724 .4 66.964 .558 .923 .948 .843 .236 .535 .834 .831 .430 .929 .115 .522 .522 .414 .4
hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-amino-N-methylacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15d)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.38-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0)$, 4.22-3.57 (m, 10H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 3.08-3.01 (m, 1H), 2.95 (s, 3H), 2.92-2.81 (m, 1H), 2.11-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.67-1.51 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.22 (m, 6H), 0.92-0.82 (m, 3H).

HPLC/MS
Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-((S)-2-aminopropanamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15c)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) $\delta: 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .1 .55-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 H$ ), 1.30-1.15 (m, 6h), 0.86-0.76 (m, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 170.1169 .5168 .1157 .1141 .6139 .0128 .7124 .0123 .8113 .172 .671 .1$ 64.562 .158 .856 .148 .948 .543 .242 .735 .934 .631 .329 .225 .622 .414 .5

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-3-hydroxy-2-(2-(methylamino)acetamido)propanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15a)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) $\delta: 8.74$ (bs, 1 H ), 8.64 (dd, 8.11, 10.32), 8.30-8.14 (m, 1H), 7.31-7.14 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.866-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-(dimethylamino)acetamido)-3-hydroxy-N-methylpropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (15b)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.4(\mathrm{dd} J=2.8,8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{q}, J=7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66-$ $5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.98-$ 0.89 (m, 3H).

## Conformationally Restrained Inhibitors

hex-5-yn-1-yl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (28)




Hex-5-yn-1-ol was added to a solution of phosgene in toluene at 0C. After 1 h , the solution was concentrated to provide the desired chloroformate in quantitative yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.83,6.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (p, J = 6.9, 2H), 1.69 (p, J=7.5, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 83.271 .56927 .224 .317 .8$
To a room temperature solution of the DAP derivative ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(22 \mathrm{uL}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane was added hex-5-yn-1-yl carbonochloridate ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After fifteen minutes the reaction was stopped and quenched according to the general amidation procedure. The material was purified by preparative TLC to afford 23 mg of product. This material was deprotected according to the general Boc-removal procedure to afford $\mathbf{2 8}$ as the hydrochloride salt in quantitative yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) : 7.43-7.24 (m, 3H), $7.10(\mathrm{appq}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.15-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-$ $4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.54$ (m, 2H).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 621.3 Obsd. 621.3
hex-3-yn-1-yl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (29)


29 was prepared by a route analogous to that used for the preparation of 28.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) $\delta: 7.42-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.5-1.26 (m, 2H), 1.1 (app q, J = 7.3, 3H), 0.99-0.91 (m, 3H).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 621.3 Obsd. 621.3
hex-2-yn-1-yl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (30)


30 was prepared by a route analogous to that used for the preparation of 28.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.43-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{appq}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.6(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.722-3.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{app} q, J=12.0$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 621.3 Obsd. 621.3

N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)oct-2-ynamide (31)


31 was prepared by amidation of the same primary amine with 2-octynoic acid according to the general procedure, followed by deprotection according to the corresponding general procedure.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ d: 7.41-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.08 (bs, 1H), 5.12 (bs, 1H), 4.51 (bs, 2H), 4.20-4.07 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-$ $2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$ 0.96-0.87 (m, 3H).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 621.3 Obsd. 619.3

## 3-butylcyclobut-1-enecarboxylic acid (41)



Piperidine ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 202.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ether $(75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) containing 4A molecular sieves $(50 \mathrm{~g})$. The solution was cooled in an ice bath, and hexanal ( $25 \mathrm{~mL}, 202.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with stirring over 5
minutes. Stirring was stopped and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and maintained at this temperature overnight. The solution was filtered over celite (dried in an oven overnight), the filter cake washed with additional ether, and the solvent removed in vacuo. The resulting colorless oil was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 75 mL ) and methyl acrylate ( $18.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 202.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. A reflux condenser was placed on the flask, and the biphasic solution was heated at reflux for three hours. During this time the solution turned orange and became monophasic. The reaction mixture was then cooled and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting thick orange oil was dissolved in ether ( 150 mL ) and methyl iodide $(50.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 808 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The solution was mixed and then allowed to stand. After 4 days, the supernatant was poured off and the precipitate was rinsed with ether and then dried in vacuo. A solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(1.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ and water $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution stirred at room temperature until it became homogenous. The solution was then heated to reflux for 2 hours, then cooled to room temperature. 300 mL of water were added and the reaction mixture was poured into a separatory funnel, washed three times with ether, and then acidified with 12 N HCl to $\mathrm{pH}<2$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether. These organic phases were combined, washed with 1 N HCl and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford a yellow oil ( $18.94 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ yield). No further purification was required.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,4.31,1 \mathrm{H}) 2.69-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.4,1.59,1 \mathrm{H}) 1.50-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta ; 167.6,153.7,136.5,40.1,34.5,32.6,29.9,22.5,13.9$.

## Trans-3-butylcyclobutanecarboxylic acid (42)



To a solution of $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(67 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{THF}(166 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a 1 L round-bottom flask at room temperature was added $11(4 \mathrm{~g}, 25.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was placed in a room temperature water bath and zinc powder ( $13.47 \mathrm{~g}, 207.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in 10 portions at a rate such that the previous portion was almost completely dissolved prior to the next addition (total time was roughly 90 minutes). The reaction was filtered over celite then THF was removed in vacuo. The resulting aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether, the combined organic phases were washed with 1 N HCl and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) afforded 9 as a colorless oil ( $4.73 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 3.13-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~h}), 2.42-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$, $7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (sextet, $J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}) 1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 182.0,36.3,34.2,31.3,28.9,22.4,13.9$.
(cis)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid (43)


To a solution of $41(2 \mathrm{~g})$ in 95:5 EtOH/AcOH (40mL) in a Parr bomb was added Rh/C (360mg). The mixture was placed under 500psi of hydrogen with stirring overnight. Evaporation of the solvents afforded the desired product, which was used without further purification. Quantitative yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 2.96$ (pent, $\left.J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.30$ (ddd, $\left.J=2.74,8.22,16.93,2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.18$ (pentet, $J=8.0,1 H$ ), 1.87 (ddd, $J=2.4,9.73,19,2 H$ ), 1.36 (q, $J=7.3,2 H$ ), 1.26 (sextet, $J=7.3,2 H$ ), 1.19-1.12 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.86 (t, $J=7.6,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 181.9,36.3,34.2,31.6,36.4,29.9,22.5,13.9$.
$\mathrm{N}-((\mathrm{S})-2-((\mathrm{S})-2-(2-a m i n o a c e t a m i d o)-\mathrm{N}-m e t h y l-2-\mathrm{phenylacetamido})-3-(1-$
(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-3-butylcyclobut-1-ene-1carboxamide (33)


1:1 diastereomers at cyclobutane, plus likely rotamers.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, MeOH-D ${ }_{4}$ ) $\delta: 7.47-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=12,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 693.3 Obsd. 692.9
(trans)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-N-methyl-2-phenylacetamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1carboxamide (34)


Rotamers evident $\sim 2: 1$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.44-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ and $5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77$ and $5.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.2$, $8.9,1 \mathrm{H}) 4.92-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=16.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.18(\mathrm{t}, J=13.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31$ (sextet, $J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 695.3 Obsd. 694.9
(cis)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-N-methyl-2-phenylacetamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1carboxamide (35)


HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 695.3 Obsd. 694.9

Rotational isomers evident in NMR.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta:: 7.50-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.04,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63$ and $5.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0$, 1 H ), 4.45 and $4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~h}), 3.81(\mathrm{AB}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{bd}, J=13.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ and $2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{bt}, J=13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-$ $2.04(\mathrm{~m}), 1.86-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27($ Sextet, $J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathrm{N}-((\mathrm{S})-2-((\mathrm{S})-2-(2-A m i n o a c e t a m i d o)-\mathrm{N}-m e t h y l-2-p h e n y l a c e t a m i d o)-3-(1-$ (methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)-3-oxopropyl)oct-2-ynamide (32)


3:2 mixture of diastereomers.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: \quad 7.6-6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-8.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.33(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 4.05-3.50 (m, 6H), 3.12 (s, 2H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 2.98-2.94 (m, 3H), 2.82-2.70 (m, 1H), 2.55-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.00-1.24 (m, 10H), 1.00-0.92 (m, 3H).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 679.3 Obsd. 679.9

## Synthesis and Characterization of C-terminal Variants

Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(4-cyano-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (45a)


4-cyano 4-phenyl piperidine hydrochloride (250mg) was first coupled to Z-Dap(Hex)-OH according to the general procedure. The Cbz group was removed according to the general procedure and the N-terminal dipeptide appended by reverse coupling of Boc-Gly-Ser-OH according to general procedure. Following purification by column chromatography $(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl} 3) 438 \mathrm{mg}$ was isolated. 200 mg of this material was deprotected according to the general procedure to provide 153.6 mg of 45a.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28$ and $8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{bt}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-$ $7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ and $7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.4-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.33$3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{q}, J=13.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90$ and $1.79(\mathrm{td}, J=3.6,13.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.43$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=545.3$ Obsd. 545.0

## Methyl 4-phenylpiperidine-4-carboxylate (49b)



4-phenylpiperidine-4-carbonitrile hydrochloride ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in a round bottom flask and dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. A condenser was added and the solution was heated at 120C for four hours. The solution was then concentrated in vacuo to remove water. Following evaporation, water and an additional 1 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were added, and the solution was heated at reflux under argon for a further four hours. The sulfuric acid was carefully neutralized with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right.$ precipitates) and the product extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and then filtered and concentrated. 554 mg of desired product were obtained and used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 7.4-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.313 .0$, $2 H), 2.81(\mathrm{td}, J=1.9,12.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,1.89,12.5,2 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 220.1 Obsd. 220.0

Methyl 1-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(((hexyloxy)carbonyl)amino)propanoyl)-4-phenylpiperidine-4-carboxylate (45b)


45b was prepared from 49 b $(250 \mathrm{mg})$ by a route analogous to that used to prepare 45 a , affording 80 mg of 45b.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 7.47-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.5$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{d}, J=5.1,3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $11.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.97-0.89 (m, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, MeOH-D ${ }_{4}$ ) ס: 174.0, 173.6, 170.6, 167.9, 167.8, 157.3, 141.4, 128.7, 127.4, 125.6, 64.1, 62.7, 54.7, 52.3, 50.3, 49.45, 44.5, 43.5, 42.6, 41.1, 34.0, 33.2, 31.2, 28.7, 25.3, 22.4, 13.9.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 578.3 Obsd. 577.9
Hexyl ((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(4-hydroxy-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)-3-oxopropyl)carbamate (45c)

$45 c$ was prepared from 49 c , affording 48 mg of 45 b.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 8.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23$ and $8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ and $7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{td}, J=3.2,7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ and $7.00(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8,1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{p}, J=5.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, J=11.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97$ and $1.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=11.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ and $1.67(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{t}, 10.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48$ and $1.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 0.82$ and $0.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0,3 \mathrm{H})$.
(cis)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-2-cyclohexylacetamido)-3-(1-(methylsulfonyl)spiro[indoline-3,4'-piperidin]-1'-yl)propyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1carboxamide (46)


7 ( $2.046 \mathrm{~g}, 5.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DAP-aldehyde ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in MeOH $(54 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMF $(9.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at 0 C for 5 m , then acetic acid ( $725 \mathrm{uL}, 17.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After stirring for another 5 m , sodium cyanoborohydride ( $557 \mathrm{mg}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight, then poured into $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate three times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $3: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ to $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ). Yield 1.95 g of an off white foam. This material was processed according to the general procedures to afford 46.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ MeOH-D $\left.{ }_{4}\right) ~ \delta: 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=11,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 703.4 Obsd. 703.0
(1r,3R)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-2-cyclohexylacetamido)-3-(4-hydroxy-4-phenylpiperidin-1-yl)propyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (47)


47 was prepared by a route analogous to that used to prepare 46.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ) $\delta: 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94$ (bs, 1 H ), 4.67 (d, $J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.66-3.51 (m, 1H), 3.34 (dd, $J=4.5,13.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (dd, $J=9.6,14.1,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{t}, J=12.55,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,13.5)$, 2.19$2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{td}, J=4.4,13.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{td}, J=4.0,13.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 0.86$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,3 \mathrm{H}$ )

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 598.4 Obsd. 598.2


To a stirred solution of styrene $(20 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane in an ice bath was added dropwise a solution of bromine ( $30.7 \mathrm{~g}, 192 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. When addition was complete and the solution became colorless it was concentrated to afford a light pink solid. $(56.51 \mathrm{~g}) .26 .4 \mathrm{~g}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ of this solid material was dissolved in DMSO $(260 \mathrm{~m})$. A solution of sodium azide $(9.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. A solution of 4.0 g of NaOH in 4 mL of water was then added dropwise, and the solution stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and then extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed twice with water, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated to a colorless oil ( 12.4 g , $85 \%$ ). 5.0 g of this oil were dissolved in toluene and heated at 100 C for 1 h , until the evolution of gas had ceased. This solution was then concentrated to afford desired 50 in quantitative yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ 8: 7.6-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.4-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4,1 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 2.4, 1H).

3-phenyl-1-azabicyclo[1.1.0]butane (51)


Trimethylsulfonium iodide ( $11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 56.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to -40 C . nBuLi $(27 \mathrm{~mL}, 56.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at -40 C for 10 m , then at -20 C for 10 m . $50(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 51.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 15 mL ), and added dropwise to the solution of ylide over 20 m . The mixture was stirred for 30 m , then quenched by addition to water. The product was extracted 4 x with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, then filtered and concentrated. The product was distilled to afford 2.8 g of colorless crystals.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ 8: ~ 7.44-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.2)$

## 3-phenylazetidine (52a)



To a solution of 200 mg of 21 in 60 mL of THF was added 80 mg of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. The solution was transferred to a Parr bomb apparatus and 500 psi of H 2 pressure was applied overnight with stirring. The solution was then filtered and concentrated to afford the desired product ( 165 mg ) as the only observable material by crude NMR. No further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 7.37-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.9, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 143.0,128.5126 .812 .554 .739 .6$

## 3-fluoro-3-phenylazetidine (52b)



A solution of $51(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(12.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was addedm dropwise to a -78 C solution of HF-pyridine $(12.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(12.5 \mathrm{ml})$ in a plastic tube. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , then poured onto ice and NH 4 OH was added until the pH reached 13 . The product was exctracted with diethyl ether, the combined organic phase was washed with water and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography to afford 359 mg of product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, 7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.5,1.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=1.6,8.5,23.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,9.0,18.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 140.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.1), 128.4,128.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.56), 123.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6), 95.83$ (d, $J=207$ ), 59.8 (d, $J=24.2$ ).

## 3-phenylazetidin-3-ol (52c)



A solution of $51(230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to water $(220 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was stirred overnight, and then concentrated to afford the desired product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, MeOH-D ${ }_{4}$ ) $\delta: 7.63-7.55$ 7.44-7.36 7.34-7.28 $3.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.8,2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.32-3.30 (m, 1H).
(1r,3R)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-2-cyclohexyl-N-methylacetamido)-3-(3-phenylazetidin-1-yl)propyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (48a)


48a was prepared from 52a by a route analogous to that used to prepare 46.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.85,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (d, J = 4.7, 13.5, 1H), $3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.06$ (m, 11H), 0.92 (t, $J=7.3,3 H$ ).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 554.4 Obsd. 554.1
(1r,3R)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoethanethioamido)-2-cyclohexylacetamido)-3-(3-fluoro-3-phenylazetidin-1-yl)propyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (48b)


48b was prepared from 52b by a route analogous to that used to prepare 46.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta: 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.6 (bs, 1H), 3.86-3.61 (m, 4H), 3.57 (dd, $J=8.7,22.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.36 (dd, $J=5.5,14.4,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.22 (dd, $J=9.0,13.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,12.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.89-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (sextet, $J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.19-1.11 (m, 6H), $0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 588.3 Obsd. 588.3
(1r,3R)-N-((S)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoethanethioamido)-2-cyclohexylacetamido)-3-(3-hydroxy-3-phenylazetidin-1-yl)propyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (48c)


48c was prepared from 52c by a route analogous to that used to prepare 46.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta: 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.25,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.6(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,14.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99 (dd, $J=9.9,11.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (dd, $J=5.0,12.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.11$ (m, 3H), 1.96-1.87 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$, 3 H ).

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 586.4 Obsd. 586.4

## Synthesis and Characterization of Aminomethylpyrrolidine GOAT Inhibitors

(1s,3R)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (47)


To a solution of 1-Boc-2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidine ( $627 \mathrm{mg}, 3.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) was added 9 $(537 \mathrm{mg}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA $(819 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TBTU $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , then poured into 1 N NCI . The aqeous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc , and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The residue obtained was dissolved in 4 N HCl in dioxane ( 10 mL ), stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, then poured into 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether and the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 47 as a white crystalline solid. $(314 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.97$ (bs, 1 H ), 3.4 (ddd, $J=13.5,5.8,4.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.25 (ddd, $J=11.5,7.5,4.6,1 H$ ), 3.04 (ddd, $J=13.4,7.9,5.2,1 H$ ), 2.97-2.84 (m, 3H), 2.36-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.05 (bs, 1H), 2.89-1.62 (m, 5H) 1.42 (app quartet, $J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.9,57.8,46.53,43.62,36.7,36.1,31.9,30.51,30.49,29.4,29.1,25.9$, 22.6, 14.1.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=$ 239.2 Obsd. $=239.2$
(1r,3S)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-2-cyclohexylacetyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (55)


55 was readily prepared by homologation of 47 according to the general procedures.
Rotational isomers present. Major peaks characterized when not overlapping
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-$ $3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.411-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.4,3 \mathrm{H})$

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=425.3$ Obsd. $=435.3$
(1s,3R)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-1-(glycyl-L-seryl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1carboxamide (56)


56 was prepared by homologation of 47 according to the general procedures. Yield 5.6 mg of the trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta: 8.75$ and $8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88$ and $7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9$, 1 H ), 5.07 and $5.02(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ and $4.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33$ and $3.94(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ and $2.97(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.9,8.9,13.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.58-2.54 (m, 1H), 2.53 and $2.51 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19$ and $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.23 (sextet, $J=7.6,2 H$ ), 1.17-1.09 (m, 2H), $0.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=396.3$ Obsd. $=435.3$
(1s,3R)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-2-phenylacetyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (58)


58 was prepared by homologation of 47 according to the general procedures. Yield 30.2 mg of the trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}$) $\delta: 9.16$ and $9.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ and $7.68(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9$, 1 H ), $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ and $5.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37$ and 3.95 (ddd, $J=3.7$, 6.9 , and $10.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77-3.70 (m, 2H), $3.63(\mathrm{td}, J=2.7,9.3,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.33(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,12.33,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (ddd, $J$ $=6.3,8.3,14.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=6.1,10.917 .1,1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 H)$, 1.87-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.54 (m, 4H), 1.41-1.34( m, 2H), 1.27-1.19 (m, 2H), 1.17-1.10 (m, 2H), 0.84 and $0.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.4,167.8,164.9,137.0,129.2,129.1,128.6,58.1,55.9,49.3,46.8$, 40.4, 63.2, 35.9, 33.0, 31.7, 30.4, 29.4, 27.3, 23.6, 22.6, 14.6.
(1s,3R)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-2-cyclohexylacetyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (59)


59 was prepared by homologation of 47 according to the general procedures. Yield 53.6 mg of the trifluoroacetate salt.
Rotamers present
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-D ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 8.8$ and $8.77(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.67$ and $8.2(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ and $7.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ and $4.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37$ and 3.93 (app sexted, $J=4.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (ddd, $J=3.3,8.22,11.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{q}, J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.9,8.8,15.8,1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.96-2.81 (2H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 2.20-1.99 (m,3H), 1.90-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.45 (m, 10H), 1.40-1.33 (m, 2H), 1.27-1.18 (m, 2H), 1.18-1.07 (m, 5H), 1.06-0.87 (m, 2H), 0.84 and $0.83(t, J=7.2,3 H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,169.6,165.4,57.2,55.9,49.3,47.1,42.0,36.0,35.8,33.1,31.7$, 30.4, 30.3, 29.4, 29.4, 28.7, 27.4, 26.2, 26.0, 25.9, 23.6, 22.6, 14.4.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=449.3$ Obsd. $=449.0$
(1s,3R)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-(methylamino)acetamido) butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (60)


59 was prepared by homologation of 47 according to the general procedures. Yield 250.4 mg of the hemitartrate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{D}}\right) 5: 1$ rotamers, major peaks characterized. $8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $6.9,8.5,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22($ app pent, $J=7.22,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$, 3 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-D ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,174.7,169.4,168.7,71.6,57.2,56.9,52.2,47.8,36.0,35.9$, 35.2 34.9, 31.7, 30.4, 30.3, 29.4, 27.5, 27.1 26.8, 23.6, 22.6, 14.5.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=423.3$ Obsd. $=423.3$.

## Synthesis and Characterization of Branched GOAT Inhibitors

1-(1-benzylpyrrolidin-2-yl)cyclopropan-1-amine (65)


To a solution of 1-benzylpyrrolidine-2-carbonitrile (1g, 5.35 mmol ) in THF at room temperature was added titanium isopropoxide $(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by dropwise addition of ethylmagnesium bromide $(4.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2 \mathrm{M}$ in Et2O). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then boron trifluoride etherate $(1.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added all at once. This solution was stirred for 10 minutes, then 1 N NaOH was added. The basic solution was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic phases washed with brine. The organics were then dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Product was purified by column chromatography to afford 905 mg of material which was $\sim 70 \%$ pure by 1 H NMR. An analytical sample was prepared by PTLC, while the bulk of the material was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $13.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.68,7.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.8-$ 0.72 (ddd, $J=3.83,6.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.59-0.51$ (ddd, $J=4.5,5.96,10.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.52-0.45$ (ddd, $J=$ $4.12,5.96,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.32-0.25$ (ddd, $J=4.48,6.6,10.52 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.94,128.63,128.26,126.83,71.61,58.82,33.59,27.57,22.53,16.46$, 8.8

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=$ 449.3 Obsd. $=217.2$
(trans)-N-(1-(1-benzylpyrrolidin-2-yl)cyclopropyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (66)


66 was prepared from $65(910 \mathrm{mg})$ by a standard peptide coupling procedure, affording 291 mg of 66.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.19-3.08 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.88 (m, 1H), 2.71-2.58 (m, 1H), 2.48 (q, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.36-2.21 (m, 3H), 1.96 $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.3-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86$ (t, J =7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.59-0.51 (m, 1H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.78$, 129.56, 128.63, 128.62, 128.0, 71.15, 59.64, 54.42, 37.1, 36.3, $33.6,31.8,30.4,30.3,29.5,27.9,23.2,22.7,14.1,10.2$

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=355.3$ Obsd. $=355.3$
(1s,3R)-3-butyl-N-(1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-
(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)cyclopropyl)cyclobutane-1carboxamide (61a)


A solution of $66(290 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(60 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, was placed under pressure of hydrogen ( 1 atm ) for 24 hours. After 24 hours the solution was filtered and concentrated. Boc-Tle-OH and Boc-Sar-OH were appended to the resulting secondary amine to provide a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomeric Boc-protected secondary amines. These were separated by preparative HPLC. Each was independently dissolved in 1:1 dichloromethane/TFA for 30m, then concentrated to provide 61a and 61b as the trifluoroacetate salts. $R$ stereochemistry at the alpha carbon of the pyrrolidine was assigned to 61a because 61a, but not 61b was able to inhibitor GOAT.

Yield 61a: 32.8 mg
$\sim 2: 1$ mixture of rotational isomers evident. The larger peak is reported where they did not overlap.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta: 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.73,8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.803-0.687(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{MeOD}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 177.54,177.40,171.4,170.2,165.0,164.4,61.43,60.94,58.27,58.11$, 49.39, 49.14, 48.67, 46.84, 36.33, 36.06, 36.00, 35.85, 35.79, 35.13, 34.30, 34.14, 32.19, 32.14, 31.62, 31.59, 29.9, 29.7, 29.66, 29.58, 29.13, 27.47, 26.30, 25.67, 25.58, 23.84, 22.31, 24.43, 13.02, 12.55, 11.57, 11.21, 10.06

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=449.3$ Obsd. $=449.3$
(1s,3R)-3-butyl-N-(1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-
(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)cyclopropyl)cyclobutane-1-
carboxamide (61b)


See procedure for 61a. yield 61b: 38.9 mg .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ б: $4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98-2.89 (m, 1H), $2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (quart, $J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.670 .59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, MeOH-D 4 ) $\delta: 177.46,169.9,165.2,62.3,58.0,49.4,48.0,47.9,47.7,36.2,35.8$, $34.3,34.3,32.3,31.5,29.6,29.6,29.2,28.3,25.5,23.4,22.3,14.6,13.0,10.5$

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=449.3$ Obsd. $=449.4$
tert-butyl (R)-2-((E)-(((R)-tert-butylsulfinyl)imino)methyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (67)


To a dried vial was added boc-Prolinaldehyde ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), (R)-2-Methyl-2-propanesulfinamide ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and THF ( 3 mL ). This solution was cooled to 0 C and a solution of titanium ethoxide $(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly by syringe. After stirring at room temperature overnight the solution was poured into iced brine. The resultant slurry was filtered over celite, and the solution extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford 375 mg of $\mathbf{6 7}$ as a colorless oil. The material was used without further purification.

Mixture of rotational isomers
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz CDCL 3 ) $\delta: 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=3$ ) and $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6) 1 \mathrm{H}], 4.58-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.45-3.32 (m, 3H), 2.17-2.03 (m, 1H), 2.02-1.70 (m, 5H), 1.42-1.34 (m, 11H), 1.15-1.12 (m, 9H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: ~ . ~ 169.2$ and 168.75, 154.3 and $154.2,79.95$ and $79.60,60.40$ and 60.23 57.76 and $56.76,46.57$ and $46.25,30.25$ and 29.31, 28.36, 24.2 and 23.1422 .61 and 21.02, 22.34 and 22.14
tert-butyl (R)-2-((R)-1-(((R)-tert-butylsulfinyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (68a).


To a solution of $\mathrm{MeMgBr}\left(10.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.04 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.9 \mathrm{eq}\right)$ in THF ( $19 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75 \mathrm{M}$ to Grignard) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, imine ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 11.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(21 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{M})$ was added slowly. The reaction was stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction was then warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to a clear oil. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $1: 1 \rightarrow 1: 2 \rightarrow 1: 3$ $\rightarrow 1: 4 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) to give 41 as a clear oil ( $2.96 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3-0.5$ streak ( $1: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz CDCl 3 ) $\delta: 5.17$ (bs, 1H) $3.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 1.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.11 ( $\mathrm{d} J=6.2,3 \mathrm{H}$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 157.1,80.0,62.0,55.0,46.6,28.5,23.7,22.9,20.1,53.4,28.4$
HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 319.2 Obsd. 319.2
(trans)-3-butyl-N-((S)-1-((R)-pyrrolidin-2-yl)ethyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (69a)


To a solution of 68 a ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH at 0 C was added $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{~N}$ in dioxane, 0.6 mL , $2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ ). The solution was allowed to stand for 30 m (reaction progress was monitored by HPLC) until cleavage of the sulfonamide was observed, after which the reaction was quenched by addition of 800 uL $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{Net}$ and the solution was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) and 42 (163uL, 1.05 mmol ), TBTU ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( $200 \mathrm{uL}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The solution was allowed to stir for one hour, then poured into 1 N HCl , and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phases were washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The resultant residue was dissolved in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / D i o x a n e ~(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, stirred for 30 m , and then concentrated. The residue was dissolved in 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Finally, the oily residue was purified by by column chromatography to afford 69a (40mg)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ (sextet, $\left.J=6.8,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.27$ (ddd, $J=$ $5.3,7.9,13.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49$ (ddd, $J=8.23,12.65,16.47,1 H), 1.38(q, J=7.4,2 H), 1.22(p, J=7.5,2 H), 1.16(d, J=6.7,3 H), 1.16-1.09(m$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,3 \mathrm{H})$,
(trans)-3-butyl-N-((S)-1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)ethyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (62a)


69a ( 43.3 mg ) was processed according to the standard peptide coupling procedures to afford 62 a $(41.3 \mathrm{mg})$ as the trifluoroacetate salt following HPLC purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.3-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.86 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.0-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-$ $2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.0(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) ~ \delta 176.38,170.6,164.77,66.28,60.24,57.61,49.16,46.92,36.04,35.85$, $34.67,32.21,31.62,29.99,29.77,29.20,26.15,25.82,25.5,24.22,23.47,22.35,15.23,13.06$
(trans)-3-butyl-N-((R)-1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-
(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)ethyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (62b)


To methylmagnesium bromide ( $2.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.24 \mathrm{mmoL}$ ) in THF ( 6 mL ) was slowly added sulfimine 67 ( 797 mg ) in 6 mL of THF at -40 C . The reaction was stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction was then warmed to toom temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to a clear oil. The minor diastereomer of the product (lower rf) was purified by column chromatography ( 50 to $90 \%$ EtOAc in Hexanes). Yield 77 mg . This material was processed as for 62a to provide 62b.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta: 4.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (pentet, $J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.18 (ddd, $J=5.827 .211 .2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.89 (app d, $J=14.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.79 (ddd, $J=3.5,8.3,15.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.72(\mathrm{app} d, J=14.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.693 .63(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.011-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(2,3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31$ (pentet, $J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.9(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$,
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) ~ \delta: 176.4,170.6,164.7,60.3,57.6,49.1,36.0,35.9,34.7,32.2,31.6,30.0$, 29.8, 29.2, 26.2, 25.8, 25.5, 24.2, 23.5, 22.4, 15.3, 13.1

HPLC/MS Calcd. = Obsd. =
(1s,3R)-3-butyl-N-((R)-1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)propyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (62c)


62c was prepared by a route analogous to that used to prepare 62a and 62b.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.86(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.55$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63-3.55 (m, 1H), 3.38-3.3 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.89 (m, 1H), 2.57 (m, 3H), 2.16-2.08 (m, 3H), 1.89-1.63 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72$ (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-D ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 175.24,168.82,165.53,60.5,56.87,51.15,49.64,47.54,36.10,35.87$, $.0,33.26,31.53,30.15,29.46,26.75,25.44,24.88,24.32,22.62,14.46,11.39$

HPLC/MS Calcd. $=$ Obsd. $=$
tert-butyl (R)-2-((R)-1-(((R)-tert-butylsulfinyl)amino)-2-methylpropyl)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (68d)


68d ( $271.4 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ yield) was obtained in the same fashion as 68 a . The isolated material was crystalline, and a single crystal was submitted for X-Ray analysis. The structure shown below was obtained, allowing assignment of $R, R, R$ stereochemistry.

XRay Structure

(trans)-3-butyl-N-((R)-1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)-2-methylpropyl)cyclobutane-1carboxamide (62d)


62d was prepared from 68d in a fashion analogous to that used to prepare 68a-c.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.85(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62$ (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.15-4.06 (m, 1H), 3.92-3.82 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.67-3.59 (m, 1H), 3.52-3.42 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.1-2.02 (m, 1H), 1.92-1.67 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.86-0.78 (m, 9H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-D ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 174.87,170.39,165.13,58.18,56.7,56.63,49.45,47.81,36.04,35.97$, $35.77,33.17,31.85,31.17,30.24,29.92,29.45,28.87,26.73,23.72,22.6,21.28,17.47,14.47$

## Thioamide Synthesis using Thioacyl Donors

tert-Butyl (2-((2-amino-5-nitrophenyl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)carbamate (74)


Boc-Glycine (1.575g, 9mmol) was dissolved in THF (100mL) and cooled to -40C. N-methyl morpholine $(2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. Isobutyl chloroformate $(1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction stirred at -40 C for 20 m , then m -nitrophenylenediamine ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted two more times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic pahses were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. Yield: 3.03 g of anilide.
$\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{10}(5.96,13.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in THF ( 536 mL ) and stirred for 1 h , then cooled to 0 C . Anilide $(8.32 \mathrm{~g}, 26.8 \mathrm{l})$ was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for three hours. The solution was concentrated onto silica gel, then purified by column chromatography (1:1 EtOAc/Hexanes to $100 \%$ EtOAc) to afford 5.372 g of a yellow solid
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,5.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49$ (bs, 1H), 4.06 (s, 2H), 1.37 (s, 9H)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 202.9,156.4,150.9,135.6,128.2,125.4,125.2,114.1,79.1,51.7,28.6$

## Tert-butyl (2-(6-nitro-1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazol-1-yl)-2-thioxoethyl)carbamate (75)



75
$74(5.37 \mathrm{~g}, 16.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{AcOH}(117 \mathrm{~mL})$ then cooled to 0 C . Water $(6.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added then sodium nitrite $(1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 24.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions over five minutes. After stirring for 30 m , the reaction was diluted with 800 mL of water, and precipitated products was isolated by filtration as a yellow powder.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.67(\mathrm{~d} J=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{dd} J 2.3,8.81 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d} J=9.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d} J=6.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~d} J=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
(trans)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoethanethioamido)-2-cyclohexylacety) pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (73)


To the primary amine ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 21 uL ). Equivalents of 75 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of THF were then added successively as the reaction was monitored by HPLC. After 3eq had been added, the reaction was still incomplete. The reaction was concentrated and product purified by pTLC. To the resultant product was added a 4 N solution of HCl in dioxane. After 30 m then solution was concentrated and the product (73) purified by HPLC. Significant quantities of degraded byproducts having mass corresponding to the shown fragment were observed, but not isolated. 12 was isolated in low yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right) \delta: 4.12-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.9,8.11,10.11,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{AB}, 15.92$, $4.78,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{q}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$, (t, $J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 451.3 Obsd = 451.3
(trans)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (51)


A solution of 47 ( 2.1 mmol ), Boc-Tle-OH ( 2.1 mmol ), TBTU ( 2.1 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 4.2 mmol ) in acetonitrile was stirred at room temperature for four hours, then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil (1.03g) was dissolved in 4 N HCl and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. 1.05 mmol of the resultant salt was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ). To this solution was added Boc-Gly-OH (1.05mmol), TBTU ( 1.05 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. This solution was stirred at room temperature for three hours, then then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil was dissolved in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ Dioxane, and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. The free base was liberated by extraction with aqueous 1 N $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and ether, purified by column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3 ), then formulated as the hemitartate ( 127.5 mg yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{-}\right)$5:1 rotamers. Only major peak characterized. $\delta: 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ (t, $J=5.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{app}$ octet, $J=4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.6,12.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{ddd}, J=6.7,8.6,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.4,174.8,169.8,169.671 .6,57.2,56.8,47.7,42.6,36.0,35.8,35.3$, 31.8, 30.4, 31.3, 29.4, 27.5, 27.1, 26.7, 23.6, 22.6, 14.5

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=409.33$ Obsd. $=409.3$
(trans)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-
(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (52)


A solution of 19 ( 2.1 mmol ), Boc-Tle-OH ( 2.1 mmol ), TBTU ( 2.1 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 4.2 mmol ) in acetonitrile was stirred at room temperature for four hours, then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil (1.03g) was dissolved in 4 N HCl and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. 1.05 mmol of the resultant salt was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ). To this solution was added Boc-Sar-OH (1.05mmol), TBTU ( 1.05 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. This solution was stirred at room temperature for three hours, then then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil was dissolved in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ Dioxane, and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. The free base was liberated by extraction with aqueous 1 N $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and ether, purified by column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3 ), then formulated as the hemitartate ( 250.4 mg yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) 5: 1$ rotamers, major peaks characterized. $\delta: 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $6.9,8.5,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.73-1.62 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{app}$ pent, $J=7.22,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$, 3 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,174.7,169.4,168.7,71.6,57.2,56.9,52.2,47.8,36.0,35.9,35.2$ 34.9, 31.7, 30.4, 30.3, 29.4, 27.5, 27.1 26.8, 23.6, 22.6, 14.5.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd =423.3 Obsd. = 423.3

## Literature GOAT inhibitors

N-benzyl-2-(N-hexylacetamido)-2-(6-hydroxynaphthalen-2-yl)acetamide (78)


6-hydroxy-2-napthaldehyde ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hexylamine ( $309 \mathrm{uL}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic acid (94ul, 2.32 mmol ), and benzyl isonitrile ( $283 \mathrm{uL}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were refluxed in methanol for 24 h . The solution was concentrated the product purified by column chromatography. Yield 482.3 mg , yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1 \mathrm{H} 8.47(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{AB}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.43-1.34 (m, 1H), 1.33-1.21 (m, 3H), 1.06-0.83 (m, 6H), 0.67 (t, J=6.9, 3H)
(S)-N-((S)-1-amino-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-2-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3-hydroxypropanamido)-3-(4-(3-phenylpropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)propanamide (79)


79 was prepared on solid phase according to the literature protocol, and purified by preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.75,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{bt}, J=5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.102(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.48 (ddd, $J=4.64,8.14,9.07,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.55,14.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (ddd, $J=5.9,7.45,11.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20$ (ddd, $J=5.6,8.7,14.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.34 .7,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.25,14.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.6-2.52 (m, 4H), 1.84 (pentet, $J=7.5,2 H$ ), 1.59-1.51 (m, 1H), 1.50-1.41 (m,3H), $0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6,3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.80 (d, $J=6.6,3 H)$.

## Experimental Procedures Supporting Chapter 4

## Thioacylation Using Nitrotriazolyl Thioacyl Donors

For preparation of nitrotriazole 7 see supporting information for chapter 3.
tert-Butyl (2-(6-nitro-1H-benzo[d][1,2,3]triazol-1-yl)-2-thioxoethyl)carbamate (7)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(7) \delta: 9.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,8.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.44 (bs, 1H), $5.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
(cis)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-Aminoethanethioamido)-2-cyclohexylacetyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (12)


To primary amine 10 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(21 \mathrm{uL})$. Equivalents of 7 ( 40 mg , 0.12 mmol ) in 1 mL of THF were then added successively as the reaction was monitored by HPLC. After 3eq had been added, the reaction was still incomplete, but was not progressing. The reaction was concentrated and product purified by pTLC. To the resultant product was added a 4 N solution of HCl in dioxane. After 30 m then solution was concentrated and the product (12) purified by HPLC. Significant quantities of degraded byproducts having mass corresponding to the shown fragment were observed, but not isolated. 12 was isolated in low yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz MeOD) $\delta: 4.12-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03$ (ddd, $\left.J=2.9,8.11,10.11,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.89$ (AB, $J=4.78$, $15.92,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ (t, J = 7.2, 3H)

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=$ 451.3 Obsd $=451.3$.


## Evaluation of Lawesson's Reagent Selectivity
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(1r,3S)-3-Butyl-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-cyclohexyl-2-(2-(3-
(trimethylsilyl)propanamido)acetamido)acetyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1carboxamide (15)

15 was prepared by standard solution phase peptide chemistry.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{bq}, J=3.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{bt}, J=4.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-3.9(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,17.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}) 3.51-3.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.76(\mathrm{App} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}) 1.25(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$, 2H) 1.19-0.86 (m, 11H), $0.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}),-0.045(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.63,170.79,168.41,156.68,77.34,63.24,57.99,55.38,53.39,47.47$, $43.51,40.95,39.01,38.47,36.18,35.91,31.58,31.38,31.31,29.57,29.03,28.70,27.39,25.98,25.93$, 25.81, 23.19, 22.47, 17.59, 13.99, -1.61.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=579.4$ Obsd $=579.4$.
2-(Trimethylsily) ethyl (2-(((S)-2-((R)-2-(((1r,3S)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carbothioamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclohexyl-2-oxoethyl)amino)-2oxoethyl)carbamate (17)
and
2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl (2-(((S)-2-((R)-2-(((1r,3S)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-
carbothioamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclohexyl-2-oxoethyl)amino)-2thioxoethyl)carbamate (18)

To a solution of 15 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene at room temperature was added Lawesson's Reagent ( $18.52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0458 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was monitored periodically by HPLC (see chapter text). After 18h the reaction was concentrated and purified by HPLC. Yield $17=20 \mathrm{mg}$. Yield $18=10 \mathrm{mg}$. Structures of thioamides 17 and 18 were assigned by one and two dimensional NMR analyses (COSY, HMBC).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.31(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.9(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-$ $4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.81-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (ddd, $J=7.3,9.5,15.4,1 \mathrm{H})$ 3.15 (app p, $J=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}) 2.4-2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.8-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) 1.36$ (ddd, $J=1.9,7.3$, 14.5) 1.3-0.95 (m, 10H), $0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H})-0.036(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 208.67, 172.5, 169.2, 156.9, 63.9, 56.6, 55.7, 47.9, 44.4, 40.8, 36.3, 35.1, 34.7, 30.7, 29.8, 29.3, 28.6, 25.99, 25.95, 25.81, 24.0, 22.7, 17.7, 14.1, -1.5.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=595.4$ Obsd $=595.4$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta: 9.15$ (bs, 1H), $8.59(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1 \mathrm{H})$ 4.43-4.37 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99$ (ddd, $J=3.5,7.4,14.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.8,14.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,1 \mathrm{H}) 2.4-2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{t}, J=14.5,2 \mathrm{H}) 1.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.3-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}) 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.042(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCL3) $\delta: 208.7,200.2,171.0,157.6,64.4,61.8,57.1,52.25,50.89,47.98,44.38$, $40.95,63.30,35.01,34.55,30.60,29.53,29.28,29.06,25.95,25.93,23.97,22.65,17.15,14.18,-1.5$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=611.4$ Obsd $=611.4$.

## Synthesis of Lead Inhibitor 1

## (9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (R)-(pyrrolidin-2-ylmethyl)carbamate hydrochloride (23)



To a solution of 1- $\operatorname{Boc}(\mathrm{R})$-2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidine (22) (3.82g, 19.0 mmol ) in THF ( 45 mL ) and water $(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{OSu}(7.08 \mathrm{~g}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at rt for 1 h then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford 14 as pale yellow oil $(9.18 \mathrm{~g})$. The bis-carbamate was dissolved in 50 mL of 4 N HCl in Dioxane and stirred for 20 minutes at rt , then concentrated to afford 7.7 g of a yellow solid. The product was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCL} 3$ ) $\delta: 9.87$ (bs, 1H), 9.16 (bs, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,10.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,10.35$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-$ 1.70 (m, 3H).
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (((R)-1-((S)-11-(tert-butyl)-2,2-dimethyl-6,9-dioxo-5-oxa-7,10-diaza-2-siladodecan-12-oyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)carbamate (24)


The crude hydrochloride salt $23(7.7 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in DMF ( 100 mL ) along with $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(8.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Boc-Tle-OH ( $4.97 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBTU $(6.9 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were then added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 2 h . DMF was removed in vacuo. The reaction mixture was then diluted with 1 M aqueous HCl and EtOAc. The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice. The combined organics were then washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ then brine. The organics were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was then concentrated to a dark orange oil (12.98g). 3.77 g of he product was dissolved in 4 M HCl in Dioxane $(60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and stirred at rt for 25 m , then concentrated to afford a brown solid $(12.59 \mathrm{~g}) .3 .77 \mathrm{~g}$ of this material was dissolved in 30 mL of acetonitrile alongside $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$. Teoc-Gly-OH ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBTU ( $2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for two hours and then diluted with EtOAc and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to a yellow oil and used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz CDCL 3 ) $\delta$ : ROTAMERS 7.75 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.66-7.56 (m, 2H), $7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31 (t, $J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.61 (bs, 1H), 5.86 (bs, 1H), 5.21 (bs, 1H), 4.6 (d, J = 9.1, 1H), 4.34 (ddd, $J=7.7$, 10.57, 17.7), 4.25-4.1 (m,5H), $3.9(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,16.7), 3.83-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=637.3$ Obsd $=637.3$.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (((R)-1-((S)-11-(tert-butyl)-2,2-dimethyl-6-oxo-9-thioxo-5-oxa-7,10-diaza-2-siladodecan-12-oyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)carbamate (26)


To a solution of 24 ( 5.7 mmol ) in toluene at room temperature was added Lawesson's Reagent (10) $(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 4.275 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was monitored periodically by HPLC. After 48 h the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered, then concentrated to a residue and purified by column chromatography ( 0 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCL ) to afford 4.2 g of 26 as a slightly green foam ( $76 \%$ over six steps).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCL}{ }_{3}\right) \delta:(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.55$, $1.62,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.6-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.4-4.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.97$ (dd, $J=1.59,8.06,11.0), 0.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 200.36,169.1,157.3,156.9,144.1,141.38,127.7,126.98,125.25,119.86,66.76,64.24,58.33$, $55.41,52.56,48.31,47.31,42.87,35.50,28.73,26.74,25.35,24.22,21.1,17.7,-1.6$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=653.3$ Obsd $=653.3$.

2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)carbamate (28)


26 ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF. Octanethiol $(11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by DBU ( $1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant red solution was stirred at room temperature for 20 m , then concentrated and purified by column chromatography ( $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3 ). Yield 1.43 g 28 (52\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz CDCL ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5.98(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,17.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ (dd, $J=7.7$, $9.7,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.12-4.01 (m, 2H), 3.97-3.90 (m, 1H), 4.59 (ddd, $J=7.4,10.3,15.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ (dd, $J=4.9,13.0$, 1 H ), $2.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,13.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 0.96$ (ddd, $J=1.5,7.8,11,2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCL3) $\delta: 199.9,168.8,157.3,64.1,63.0,60.0,52.3,48.2,43.7,36.2,28.3,26.7$, 21.7, 17.7, -1.5.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=431.2$ Obsd = 431.2.

2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((1s,3R)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2thioxoethyl)carbamate (31)


To a solution of $28(3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 60 mL ) was added trans-3-butylcyclobutanecarboxylic acid 30 ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TBTU ( $2.718 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.55 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 90 minutes then concentrated and diluted with EtOAc and 1M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered and concentrated. Product was purified by column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). Yield ( 2.3 g as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl} 3) ~ \delta: ~ 8.58(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.3 (dd, $J=5.3,16.7,1 H$ ), 4.22-4.12 (m, 4H), 3.97-3.91 (m, 1H), 3.68-3.62 (m, 1H), 3.58 (dt, J = 4.8, $13.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=6,13.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (septet, $J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-0.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=569.3$ Obsd $=569.3$.
(trans)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide hemitartrate (1)


Solid $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCI}(5.07 \mathrm{~g}, 18.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{KF}(1.412 \mathrm{~g}, 24.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in a flask. A solution of $31(3.45 \mathrm{~g}, 6.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, followed by water (218uL, $12.16 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at 60 C for 20 hours then concentrated to remove acetonitrile. The residue was then diluted with water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted three additional times with ethyl acetate and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, then dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated ( 2.76 g crude yield). The resultant product was purified by HPLC to provide the trifluoroacetate salt, which was freed by extraction with aqueous sodium carbonate and ether. The free base was dissolved in methanol and an aqueous solution of 0.5 equivalents of sodium tartrate added. This solution was concentrated, then the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether and concentrated to provide 0.82 g of the desired hemitartate salt as an off-white powder.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta: 7.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (app sextet, $J=4.5$, 1 H ), 3.83 (ddd, $J=3.0,8.3,10.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.56 (ddd, $J=8.0,8.1,9.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.19 (ddd, $J=4.6$, $4.6,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.0,8.9,13.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-$
$1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23$ (app sextet, $J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 199.2,175.3,174.1,167.8,72.0,63.1,57.1,49.1,48.0,36.1,36.01,35.9$, $31.8,30.42,30.24,29.42,27.527 .3,26.9,23.5,22.6,14.5$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=425.3$ Obsd $=425.3$.
X-Ray Crystal Structure.
A single crystal of 1 (trifluoroacetate salt) was obtained upon concentration of a methanolic solution of 1. The crystal was submitted for X-ray analysis and the shown structure obtained. We thank Dr. Saeed Khan for his assistance. Data are presented in tabular form below.

## Synthesis of Lead Inhibitor 2

## 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((()9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (27)



The crude hydrochloride salt 23 was dissolved in DMF ( 100 mL ) along with $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(8.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. Boc-Tle-OH ( $4.97 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBTU $(6.9 \mathrm{~g}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were then added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 2 h . DMF was removed in vacuo. The reaction mixture was then diluted with 1 M aqueous HCl and EtOAc. The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice. The combined organics were then washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ then brine. The organics were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was then concentrated to a dark orange oil ( 12.98 g ). The product was dissolved in 4 M HCl in Dioxane ( 60 mL ) and stirred at rt for 25 m , then concentrated to afford a brown solid $(12.59 \mathrm{~g}) .8 .81 \mathrm{~g}$ of this material was dissolved in 30 mL of acetonitrile alongside $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ $(7.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.07 \mathrm{mmol})$. Teoc-Sar-OH ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 13.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBTU ( $3.05 \mathrm{~g}, 18.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for two hours and then diluted with EtOAc and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to a yellow oil and used without further purification. Yield 9.51 g of $\mathbf{2 5}$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=$ 623.3 Obsd $=623.3$.

To a solution of 25 ( 13.3 mmol ) in toluene at room temperature was added Lawesson's Reagent (10) $(4.03 \mathrm{~g}, 9.975 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was monitored periodically by HPLC. After 18 h the reaction appeared to have stalled at $\sim 80 \%$ conversion. An additional 2 g of 10 was added and the reaction stirred for an additional 24 h . The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to a residue and purified by column chromatography ( 0 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCL}_{3}$ ) to afford 6.4 g of 27 as a slightly green foam ( $76 \%$ over six steps).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.47,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(1.2$, $2.4,7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.03-3.97 (m, 1H), 3.67-3.61 (m, 1H), 3.58-3.50 (m, 1H), 3.43-3.27 (m, 2H), 2.97 (s, 3H), 2.02-1.91 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07$ (s, 9H), 1.04-0.97 (m, 2H), 0.02 (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 168.7,168.4,157.0,152.7,144.1,141.3,127.6,127.1,125.3,119.9,66.9$, $66.6,64.8,58.3,53.5,48.3,47.3,43.3,35.5,38.8,16.7,25.3,24.0,17.9,-1.5$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=667.3$ Obsd $=323.1$ (Fragmentation of Tle-pyrrolidine amide).

2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (29)

$27(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF. Octanethiol ( $17.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 96.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by DBU ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant red solution was stirred at room temperature for 20 m , then concentrated and purified by column chromatography ( $0-15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3 ). Yield 3.4 g 29 (79\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCL} 3$ ) $\delta: 5.07$ (bs, 1 H$), 4.38(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=7.03,10.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-202(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~h}), 2.00-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(2,9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO) $\delta: 200.6,169.9,158.2,64.8,63.6,61.1,58.7,53.3,48.6,44.3,35.8,29.3$, 26.7, 23.9, 17.9, -1.5.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=445.3$ Obsd $=445.3$.

2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((1r,3S)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2thioxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (32)


To a solution of $29(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 7.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 50 mL ) was added trans-3-butylcyclobutanecarboxylic acid $30(1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, TBTU $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 8.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(1.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.45 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 90 minutes then diluted with EtOAc and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered and concentrated. Product was purified by column chromatography $\left(0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Yield 3.87 g of 32 as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz CDCL 3 ) $\delta: 8.80(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03$ (bs, 1H) $4.30(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4.25-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.97-3.91 (m, 1H), 3.68-3.60 (m, 1H), $3.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.7,13.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.87$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (septet, $J=$ $7.3,2 H), 1.21-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.033(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz CDCL 3 ) $\delta: 199.1,176.1,169.6,157.9,64.8,63.6,61.24,58.08,53.46,48.27,42.59$, $38.50,36.64,36.13,35.51,31.91,31.51,31.37,29.45,29.18,26.69,24.07,22.68,17.91,14.16,-1.46$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=583.36$ Obsd $=605.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.
(trans)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-
(methylamino)ethanethioamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane carboxamide hemitartrate (2)


Solid $\mathrm{nBu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}(5.54 \mathrm{~g}, 19.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{KF}(1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 26.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in a flask. A solution of $31(3.87 \mathrm{~g}, 6.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 100 mL ) was added, followed by water ( 239 uL , 13.3 mmol ). The solution was stirred at 60 C for 20 hours then concentrated to remove acetonitrile. The residue was then diluted with water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted three additional times with ethyl acetate and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, then dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated ( 2.72 g crude yield). The resultant product was purified by HPLC to provide the trifluoroacetate salt, which was freed by extraction with aqueous sodium carbonate and ether. The freebase was dissolved in methanol and an aqueous solution of 0.5 equivalents of sodium tartrate added. This solution was concentrated, then the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether and concentrated to provide 1.13 g of the desired hemitartate salt as an off-white powder.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta: 7.56$ (t, $J=5.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.18 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.04 (s, 1H), 3.96 (app sextet, $J=4.5$, 1 H ), 3.83 (ddd, $J=3.3,8.4,10.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.64 (s, 2H), 3.56 (ddd, $J=8.0,8.0,9.4,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.19 (ddd, $J=4.7$, $4.7,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,8.7,13.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-$ $1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,8.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{app}$ sextet, $J=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO) $\delta: 199.6,175.3,174.2,168.0,72.1,62.4,60.7,57.4,47.9,36.10,36.01$, 35.9, 35.4, 31.8, 30.39, 30.25, 29.4, 27.5, 27.2, 26.9, 25.5, 22.6, 14.5.

HPLC/MS: $M+1 / Z$ Calcd $=439.3$ Obsd $=439.3$

## Pd Mediated Alloc Cleavage

Allyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((1s,3R)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carbothioamido)methy))pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (35)


The corresponding tris-amidyl substrate ( $1.077 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene. Lawesson's reagent ( $880 \mathrm{mg}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The reaction was concentrated and purified by column chromatography ( $0-1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3 ) to afford 1.024 g of the desired product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz CDCL 3 ) $\delta: 9.28$ (bs, 1H), 8.54 (bs, 1H), 5.90 (ddd, $J=5.4,10.8,17.1,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.42 (bs, 1 H ), 5.32 (dd, $J=1.4,17.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,10.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.75,2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.49-4.36 (m, 1H), 4.31-4.15 (m, 2H), 4.04-3.96 (m, 1H), 3.92-3.77 (m, 3H), 3.70 (ddd, J = 7.4, 9.8, 15.2, 1 H ), 3.57 (ddd, $J=3.8,9.5,14.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{p}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-$ $1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCI3) ס: 209.1, 199.8, 170.6, 157.1, 132.1, 118.4, 66.5, 63.1, 57.1, 52.7, 51.5, $48.3,45.1,36.0,33.11,33.04,30.38,29.68,29.6,26.7,24.0,22.8,14.1$.

Allyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((1s,3R)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carbothioamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (36)


Monothioamide 36 was prepared by the shown sequence. Action of Lawesson's reagent on a monothioamide was followed by peptide extension using standard solution phase Boc peptide chemistry to provide 36.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz CDCL 3 ) $\delta: 9.38(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dddd} J=1.8,5.5,11.1,16.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.47(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.0,17.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.208(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.1,10.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 4.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.0-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,1 \mathrm{H}) 3.39$ (pent, $J=8.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.51-2.36 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

X-Ray Crystal Structure: A suspension of $\sim 40 \mathrm{mg}$ of 1 in hexane was prepared in a scintillation vial. A minimal volume of dichloromethane was added until 1 just dissolved. The vessel was sealed with a septa cap, which was punctured with a needle. The solvent was allowed to slowly evaporate overnight. By
morning crystals of 1 appeared as clustered colorless needs. The crystal was submitted for X-ray analysis and the shown structure obtained. We thank Dr. Saeed Khan for his assistance. Data presented in tabular form below.

(1s,3R)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-Aminoethanethioamido)-3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutanecarbothioamide (37)


To a solution of 35 ( $985 \mathrm{mg}, 1.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a degassed solution of acetonitrile ( 3 mL ), water ( 2 mL ) and diethylamine ( 4 mL ) was added triphenylphosphine-3,3',3"-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt (128.22mg, $0.224 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(25.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 m , then poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc and the combined organic phases were washed with brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Purification by column chromatography ( $100 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 37 ( $503.8 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 10.08(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.8,7.55,10.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,9.3,13.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32($ app pentet, $J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.45-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.16-2.06 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.78 (m, 6H), $1.52(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.4$ (app quartet, $J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.22 (app pentet, $J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.23,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 208.8,201.9,170.5,62.1,56.8,52.5,51.5,48.4,45.0,35.8,35.6,32.98$, 32.96, 30.3, 29.6, 29.3, 26.8, 24.0, 22.6, 14.2.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=441.3$ Obsd $=441.3$.

N-((S)-1-((R)-2-(((1s,3R)-3-Butylcyclobutane-1-carbothioamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-(methylamino)acetamide (38)


To a solution of $36(664 \mathrm{mg}, 1.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a degassed solution of acetonitrile ( 5 mL ), water ( 0.8 mL ) and diethylamine ( 5 mL ) was added triphenylphosphine- $3,3^{\prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt ( 30 mg , $0.224 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 m , then poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc and the combined organic phases were washed with brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Purification by column chromatography ( $100 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 38 as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCL} 3$ ) $\delta: 9.57(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ (ddd, $J=2.4$, $5.0,9.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ (ddd, $J=2.0,9.1,18.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.6,10.4,14.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.4-$ $3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 208.7,172.5,172.4,56.6,56.2,52.14,48.28,45.0,44.7,34.6,34.2,33.0$, 32.9, 30.3, 29.6, 29.3, 26.5, 23.9, 22.7, 14.1.

## Synthesis of Lead Inhibitor 3

## tert-butyl (R)-2-((E)-(((R)-tert-butylsulfinyl)imino)methyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (40)



To a dried vial was added Boc-Prolinaldehyde ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( R )-2-Methyl-2-propanesulfinamide ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and THF ( 3 mL ). This solution was cooled to 0 C and a solution of titanium ethoxide ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly by syringe. After stirring at room temperature overnight the solution was poured into iced brine. The resultant slurry was filtered over celite, and the solution extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried with sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford 375 mg of 40 as a colorless oil. The material was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz CDCL ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=3)$ and $\left.7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6) 1 \mathrm{H}\right], 4.58-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.45-3.32 (m, 3H), 2.17-2.03 (m, 1H), 2.02-1.70 (m, 5H), 1.42-1.34 (m, 11H), 1.15-1.12 (m, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ : Mixture of rotational isomers. 169.2 and 168.8, 154.3 and 154.2, 80 and 79.6, 60.4 and 60.257 .8 and 56. 76, 46.6 and 46. 2, 30.2 and 29.3, 28.4, 24.2 and $23.14,22.6$ and 21.0, 22.3 and 22.1 .
tert-butyl (R)-2-((R)-1-(((R)-tert-butylsulfinyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (41)


To a solution of $\mathrm{MeMgBr}\left(10.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.04 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.9 \mathrm{eq}\right)$ in THF ( $19 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75 \mathrm{M}$ to Grignard) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, imine $(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 11.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(21 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{M})$ was added slowly. The reaction was stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction was then warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to a clear oil. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(1: 1 \rightarrow 1: 2 \rightarrow 1: 3$ $\rightarrow 1: 4 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) to give 41 as a clear oil $(2.96 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.3-0.5$ streak ( $1: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCL}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5.17$ (bs, 1H) $3.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), 1.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.11 (d, J = 6.2, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 157.1,80.0,62.0,55.0,46.6,28.5,23.7,22.9,20.1,53.4,28.4$.
HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 319.2 Obsd. 319.2
tert-butyl (R)-2-((R)-1-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (42).


To a solution of sulfinamine $(2.79 \mathrm{~g}, 8.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(60 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15 \mathrm{M})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in Dioxane, $7.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 30 minutes until TLC indicated that starting material had been consumed. The reaction mixture was then diluted with THF ( 25 $\mathrm{mL})$ and water $(25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.08 \mathrm{M})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3.68 \mathrm{~g}, 43.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes then Fmoc-OSu ( $3.28 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction was then stirred overnight ( $\sim 16 \mathrm{hrs}$ ). The yellow solution was then diluted with brine and extracted with EtOAc. The aqueous layer was washed with EtOAc twice. The combined organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to an orange oil. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $10: 1 \rightarrow 4: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) to afford a yellow oil ( $2.99 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ 0.34 (4:1 Hex/EtOAc). To carbamate $42(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HCl}$ in dioxane ( $4 \mathrm{M}, 17 \mathrm{~mL}, 68 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 10.5$ eq) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated that starting material was consumed ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$ ). The reaction mixture was then concentrated to a brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz CDCL 3 ) ): 10.26 (bs, 1 H ), 8.83 (bs, 1H), 7.93-7.64 (m, 4H), 7.39-7.27 (m, 4H), 6.92 (bd, $J=7.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.8-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 337.2 Obsd. $=337.2$.

Allyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-((R)-1-(((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (43)


The crude hydrochloride salt was then dissolved in MeCN ( $32 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ). Boc-Tle-OH (1.51g, $6.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq) and TBTU $(2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ were then dissolved in the reaction mixture followed by addition of $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{Net}(5.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature overnight ( $\sim 16 \mathrm{hrs}$ ). The reaction mixture was then diluted with 1 M aqueous HCl and EtOAc. The aqueous layer was then washed with EtOAc twice. The combined organics were then washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ then brine. The organics were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was then concentrated to a dark orange oil. The crude was purified by column chromatography $\left(0 \rightarrow 0.5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to afford a yellow-orange foam ( $2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ over two steps) The carbamate ( $2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 5.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 4 M HCl in dioxane ( $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 52 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated consumption of starting material ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{hr}$ ). The reaction was then concentrated to a yellow foam (crude weight: $2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). The material was used directly in the next step. The hydrochloride salt ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in MeCN ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ). Alloc-Sar-OH $(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and TBTU $(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ were then dissolved in the reaction followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$. The reaction was then stirred overnight ( $\sim 16 \mathrm{hrs}$ ) at room temperature. The reaction was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice and the combined organics were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was
concentrated to an orange oil and was purified by column chromatography $(0 \rightarrow 0.5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) to afford a yellow foam ( $0.717 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.37\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.12$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.1-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.8(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z3}$ Obsd $=605.3$ Calcd $=605.3$.

Allyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-((R)-1-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (44)


To a solution of amide ( $0.6994 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( $6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ), Lawesson's reagent $(0.4716 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16$ mmol, 1 eq ) was added in one portion. The reaction was stirred for 24 hrs . HPLC analysis indicated that some starting material remained in the reaction, so more Lawesson's reagent $(0.2345 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ eq) was added. The reaction was stirred another 16 hours. The reaction mixture was then filtered and the solids were washed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography $\left(0 \rightarrow 0.5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) to give a gray foam ( $0.669 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta: 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.08,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.28,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97-5.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.9(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.88-3.8 (m, 1H), 3.77-3.64 (m, 2H), 3.13-2.8 (m, 4H), 2.19-1.67 (m, 5H), 1.29-1.16 (m, 3H), 1.060.94 (m, 9H).

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=621.3$ Obsd $=643.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

Allyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-((R)-1-((1s,3R)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamido)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-thioxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (45)


To a solution of carbamate ( $0.5383 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and octanethiol ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 9 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$, DBU ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated that starting material SM consumed ( $\sim 15$ minutes). The reaction was then concentrated and purified by column chromatography $\left(0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ to afford a yellow oil $(0.2929 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$. To a solution of amine ( $0.2629 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), trans-acid $(0.1088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\sim 1 \mathrm{eq})$, $\mathrm{HOBt}(0.1101 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$, and EDC-HCl $(0.1449 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ in MeCN ( 4 mL , 0.2 M ), $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(0.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. The reaction was stirred overnight ( $\sim 16 \mathrm{hrs}$ ). The reaction was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous layer was then washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
twice and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to a yellow oil and purified by column chromatography $\left(0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ to afford a light brown oil ( $0.2303 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta: 8.73$ (bs, 1H), 7.01 (bs, 1H), 5.94 (ddd, J = 5.1, 11.2, 16.6, 1H), 5.32 (d, J = $17.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=537.3$ Obsd $=537.3$.
(trans)-3-butyl-N-((R)-1-((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-(methylamino)ethanethioamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)ethyl)cyclobutane-1carboxamide (3)


To a degassed solution of carbamate ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$, and $\mathrm{MeCN}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$, ligand ( $0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes until the mixture was homogenous. Then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.0046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added. The reaction was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature until TLC indicated consumption of starting material. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ followed by brine. The organics were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to an orange oil. The crude was purified by column chromatography ( $0 \rightarrow 0.5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}^{2} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) to give a pale orange oil ( $0.1331 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCL} 3) ~ \delta: ~ 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (ddd, $\left.J=3.3,7.1,8.36,1 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.07$ (ddd, $J=6.7,8.12$, $13.0,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (ddd, $J=4.3,8.6,10.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.82 (ddd, $J=7.75,10.7,15.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.55 (s, 2H), 2.86 (ddd, $J=5.8,9.3,11.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=2.66,5.39,6.55$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H})$, $(\mathrm{ddd} J=1.1,7.6,15.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 200.0,175.4,170.9,62.4,61.3,50.1,47.9,36.8,16.4,36.2,36.1,34.6$, 30.4, 31.2, 29.5, 28.6, 26.8, 23.6, 22.7, 19.5, 14.1 .

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=453.3$ Obsd $=453.3$.

## Synthesis of Negative Control 4 and Lead Inhibitor 19

(trans)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (47)


To a solution of 1-Boc-2-(aminomethyl)pyrrolidine ( $627 \mathrm{mg}, 3.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) was added 9 ( $537 \mathrm{mg}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $819 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and TBTU $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqeous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The residue obtained was dissolved in 4 N HCl in dioxane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, then poured into 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether and the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 47 as a white crystalline solid. ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5.97$ (bs, 1 H ), 3.4 (ddd, $J=4.6,5.8,13.5,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.25 (ddd, $J=4.6,7.5,11.5$, 1 H ), 3.04 (ddd, $J=5.2,7.9,13.4,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.97-2.84 (m, 3H), 2.36-2.25 (m, 1H), 2.05 (bs, 1H), 2.89-1.62 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}) 1.42$ (app quartet, $J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.9,57.8,46.53,43.62,36.7,36.1,31.9,30.51,30.49,29.4,29.1,25.9$, 22.6, 14.1.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 239.2 Obsd. = 239.2
(trans)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3butylcyclobutanecarboxamide (4)


To a solution of $12(1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added S-Boc-tert-Leucine ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 5.36$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt ( $820 \mathrm{mg}, 5.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC ( $1.027 \mathrm{~g}, 5.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h , then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The residue obtained was dissolved in 4 N HCl in dioxane ( 25 mL ), stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, then poured into 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether and the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Purification by column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 13 as a pale yellow oil. ( $932 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 8: 7.29 (bt, $J=3.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.36-4.26 (m, 1H), 3.66-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.45 (ddd, $J=$ $3.9,5.2,13.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24$ (ddd, $J=4.1,9.3,13.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
2.10-1.86 (m, 3H) 1.86-1.73 (m, 3H), $1.63(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (app quartet, $J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.98$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 176.3,175.4,60.4,56.8,47.5,45.1,36.7,36.0,35.2,31.9,30.44,30.41$, 29.4, 29.1, 26.3, 24.0, 22.7, 14.1.

HPLC/MS: M+1/Z Calcd = 352.3 Obsd. = 352.3

Allyl(2(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((trans)-3-butylcyclobutanecarboxamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)carbamate (14)


To a solution of 13 ( $932 \mathrm{mg}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) was added Alloc-Glycine ( 422.9 mg , 2.66 $\mathrm{mmol})$, DIPEA ( $713 \mathrm{uL}, 3.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt ( $407 \mathrm{mg}, 2.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC ( $510,2.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h , then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford 14 as pale yellow oil (1.08g, $82 \%$ yield). The product was used without further purification. To a solution of 14 ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 10 mL ) was added Lawesson's reagent ( $880.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h , and then concentrated to an oil. Purification by column chromatography (100\% $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 15 (1.024g, $89 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. To a solution of 15 ( 985 mg , $1.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a degassed solution of acetonitrile $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diethylamine ( 4 mL ) was added triphenylphosphine-3, $3^{\prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt ( $128.22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(25.1 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.112 mmol ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 m , then poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with EtOAc and the combined organic phases were washed with brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Purification by column chromatography $\left(100 \% \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ to $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) afforded 16 ( $503.8 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as a white foam.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 10.08(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.8,7.55,10.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,9.3,13.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (app pentet, $J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.45-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.16-2.06 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.78 (m, 6H), $1.52(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.4$ (app quartet, $J=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.22 (app pentet, $J=7.2,2 H), 1.17-1.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.23,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 208.8,201.9,170.5,62.1,56.8,52.5,51.5,48.4,45.0,35.8,35.6,32.98$, 32.96, 30.3, 29.6, 29.3, 26.8, 24.0, 22.6, 14.2.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=441.3$ Obsd. $=441.3$
(trans)- $\mathrm{N}-(((\mathrm{R})-1-((\mathrm{S})-2-(2-a m i n o e t h a n e t h i o a m i d o)-3,3-d i m e t h y l b u t a n o y l) p y r r o l i d i n-2-$ yl)m ethyl)-3-butylcyclobutanecarbothioamide hemitartrate (19)


To a vial containing 19 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and solid tartaric acid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added 1:1 $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water with stirring until the solution became homogenous. The solution was then concentrated in vacuo, then dissolved in ether and concentrated again. The solid thus obtained was used without further purification for biological testing.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta: 9.65$ (bt, $J=5.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.03 (bs, 3 H ), $5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ (ddd, $J=1.34,7.6$, $14.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.94 (s, 1H), 3.89 (ddd, $J=2.4,8.5,10.9,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (d, $J=2.2,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.65-3.52 (m, 3H), 3.45$3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42$ (quartet, $J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24$ (pentet, $J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , DMSO) $\delta: 208.7,200.7,174.6,168.7,71.8,62.7,56.5,50.7,48.0,46.8,43.7,36.2$, $35.7,33.13,33.09,30.5,29.6,21.9,26.9,23.7,22.6,14.5$.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=441.3$ Obsd. $=441.3$

## Characterization of Amidyl Inhibitors for Pharmacokinetic Analysis

(trans)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide (51)


A solution of 19 ( 2.1 mmol ), Boc-Tle-OH ( 2.1 mmol ), TBTU ( 2.1 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 4.2 mmol ) in acetonitrile was stirred at room temperature for four hours, then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil (1.03g) was dissolved in 4 N HCl and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. 1.05 mmol of the resultant salt was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ). To this solution was added Boc-Gly-OH (1.05mmol), TBTU ( 1.05 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. This solution was stirred at room temperature for three hours, then then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil was dissolved in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ Dioxane, and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. The free base was liberated by extraction with aqueous 1 N Na 2 CO 3 and ether, purified by column chromatography $(0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3$)$, then formulated as the hemitartate ( 127.5 mg yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz,CDCI3) 5:1 rotamers. Only major peak characterized. $\delta: 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{app}$ octet, $J=4.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 3.24 (dt, $J=4.6,12.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (ddd, $J=6.7,8.6,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.92-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.64 (m, 4H), $1.39(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.4,174.8,169.8,169.671 .6,57.2,56.8,47.7,42.6,36.0,35.8,35.3$, $31.8,30.4,31.3,29.4,27.5,27.1,26.7,23.6,22.6,14.5$

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=409.33$ Obsd. $=409.3$
(trans)-3-butyl-N-(((R)-1-((S)-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2-(methylamino)acetamido)butanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)cyclobutane-1-carboxamide (52)


A solution of 19 ( 2.1 mmol ), Boc-Tle-OH ( 2.1 mmol ), TBTU ( 2.1 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile was stirred at room temperature for four hours, then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer
was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil (1.03g) was dissolved in 4 N HCl and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. 1.05 mmol of the resultant salt was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ). To this solution was added Boc-Sar-OH (1.05mmol), TBTU ( 1.05 mmol ), and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. This solution was stirred at room temperature for three hours, then then poured into 1 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The resultant oil was dissolved in $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} /$ Dioxane, and stirred at room temperature for 30 m , then concentrated. The free base was liberated by extraction with aqueous 1 N Na 2 CO 3 and ether, purified by column chromatography $(0-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in CHCl 3$)$, then formulated as the hemitartate ( 250.4 mg yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) 5:1 rotamers, major peaks characterized. $\delta: 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.2(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $6.9,8.5,12.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{app}$ pent, $J=7.22,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 175.3,174.7,169.4,168.7,71.6,57.2,56.9,52.2,47.8,36.0,35.9,35.2$ 34.9, 31.7, 30.4, 30.3, 29.4, 27.5, 27.1 26.8, 23.6, 22.6, 14.5.

HPLC/MS: $\mathrm{M}+1 / \mathrm{Z}$ Calcd $=423.3$ Obsd. $=423.3$

## Crystallography

(trans)-N-(((R)-1-((S)-2-(2-aminoacetamido)-3,3-dimethylbutanoyl)pyrrolidin-2-yl)methyl)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carboxamide trifluoroacetate


Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1}$

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
har1502b
C24 H40 F3 N4 O4 S
537.66

100(2) K
$1.54178 \AA$
Orthorhombic
P2 ${ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{a}=13.8434(4) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} . \\ \mathrm{b}=24.9214(7) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} . \\ \mathrm{c}=25.0377(7) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} .\end{array}$
8637.9(4) $\AA^{3}$

12
$1.240 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$1.466 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
3444

Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=67.679^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma( I ] $]$
$R$ indices (all data)
Absolute structure parameter
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
$0.200 \times 0.100 \times 0.050 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
2.501 to $69.206^{\circ}$.
$-16<=\mathrm{h}<=16,-29<=\mathrm{k}<=30,-29<=1<=29$
50842
$15594[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0599]$
99.2 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.79 and 0.60

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
15594 / 1339 / 1122
1.071
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0601, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1601$
$R 1=0.0765, w R 2=0.1698$
0.052(8)
n/a
0.536 and $-0.322 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for alloc. $U(e q)$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U^{i j}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(1) | 3196(1) | 2869(1) | 3181(1) | 55(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1338(3) | 1216(2) | 2651(2) | 63(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 5154(3) | 429(2) | 1968(2) | 67(1) |
| N(1) | 2671(3) | 3582(2) | 2279(2) | 44(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1639(3) | 2343(2) | 2832(2) | 42(1) |
| N(3) | 2718(3) | 1145(2) | 3126(2) | 52(1) |
| N(4) | 3572(4) | 271(2) | 2000(3) | 78(2) |
| C(1) | 1990(4) | 3131(2) | 2334(2) | 41(1) |
| C(2) | 2256(4) | 2757(2) | 2789(2) | 40(1) |
| C(3) | 1689(4) | 1940(2) | 3253(2) | 46(1) |
| C(4) | 761(4) | 1935(2) | 3603(3) | 55(1) |
| C(5) | 742(5) | 2451(3) | 3923(3) | 65(2) |
| C(6) | -167(4) | 1892(3) | 3267(3) | 67(2) |
| C(7) | 826(6) | 1451(3) | 3985(3) | 75(2) |
| C(8) | 1903(4) | 1401(2) | 2983(3) | 50(1) |
| C(9) | 3422(4) | 1324(2) | 3524(3) | 56(1) |
| C(10) | 4263(4) | 931(2) | 3432(3) | 61(2) |
| C(11) | 3762(4) | 421(2) | 3230(3) | 64(2) |
| C(12) | 2976(4) | 631(2) | 2865(3) | 56(1) |
| C(13) | 3339(5) | 751(2) | 2298(3) | 64(2) |
| C(14) | 4456(4) | 164(3) | 1827(3) | 57(2) |
| C(15) | 4583(4) | -290(3) | 1420(4) | 93(3) |
| C(16) | 5207(15) | -119(6) | 922(6) | 131(5) |
| C(17) | 5666(9) | -672(5) | 938(5) | 96(4) |
| C(18) | 5331(10) | -730(5) | 1507(4) | 87(4) |
| C(19) | 5350(13) | -1073(5) | 526(5) | 113(5) |
| C(20) | 5860(9) | -1596(5) | 613(6) | 101(4) |
| C(21) | 5556(13) | -2056(6) | 251(7) | 111(5) |
| C(22) | 6042(14) | -2587(7) | 380(9) | 102(6) |
| C(16A) | 5502(14) | -298(12) | 1081(11) | 69(6) |
| C(17A) | 5702(17) | -864(10) | 1295(12) | 74(7) |


| C(18A) | 5037(18) | -734(9) | 1759(10) | 83(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(19A) | 5300(20) | -1280(13) | 904(13) | 84(8) |
| C(20A) | 5100(30) | -1520(19) | 357(14) | 133(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6060(30) | -1814(13) | 329(19) | 118(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22 \mathrm{~A})$ | 5810(40) | -2408(16) | 330 (20) | 89(10) |
| S(2) | 1752(1) | 5606(1) | 1580(1) | 51(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1562(3) | 4020(2) | 1506(2) | 55(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -1591(3) | 4693(1) | 2724(2) | 53(1) |
| N(5) | 3188(3) | 5646(2) | 2487(2) | 44(1) |
| N(6) | 2915(3) | 4804(2) | 1302(2) | 40(1) |
| N(7) | 507(3) | 4472(2) | 1005(2) | 57(1) |
| N(8) | -933(4) | 4071(2) | 2179(3) | 68(2) |
| C(23) | 3488(3) | 5294(2) | 2043(2) | 44(1) |
| C(24) | 2717(3) | 5212(2) | 1624(2) | 42(1) |
| C(25) | 2269(4) | 4626(2) | 880(2) | 45(1) |
| C(26) | 2811(4) | 4260(3) | 471(2) | 54(1) |
| C(27) | 3176(5) | 3734(3) | 720(3) | 66(2) |
| C(28) | 3660(6) | 4570(3) | 244(3) | 77(2) |
| C(29) | 2099(6) | 4122(4) | 16(3) | 77(2) |
| C(30) | 1415(4) | 4349(2) | 1147(2) | 47(1) |
| C(31) | 196(5) | 4863(3) | 597(3) | 75(2) |
| C(32) | -905(6) | 4888(4) | 680(5) | 102(3) |
| C(33) | -1152(5) | 4331(3) | 898(4) | 92(3) |
| C(34) | -300(4) | 4211(3) | 1280(3) | 67(2) |
| C(35) | -423(5) | 4445(3) | 1833(3) | 73(2) |
| C(36) | -1432(4) | 4217(2) | 2610(3) | 48(1) |
| C(37) | -1775(4) | 3760(2) | 2960(2) | 61(2) |
| C(38) | -957(8) | 3568(4) | 3331(4) | 74(3) |
| C(39) | -1421(7) | 3882(3) | 3792(3) | 63(2) |
| C(40) | -2332(6) | 3946(5) | 3460(4) | 88(4) |
| C(41) | -1485(11) | 3635(4) | 4351(4) | 99(4) |
| C(42) | -1882(12) | 4015(6) | 4778(4) | 121(5) |
| C(43) | -1169(12) | 4439(5) | 4963(5) | 108(4) |
| C(44) | -1570(11) | 4862(6) | 5320(5) | 152(5) |
| C(38A) | -1348(16) | 3668(16) | 3519(7) | 74(7) |
| C(39A) | -2240(20) | 3935(12) | 3766(6) | 70(7) |


| C(40A) | -2752(11) | 3870(8) | 3229(9) | 69(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(41 \mathrm{~A})$ | -2550(30) | 3745(12) | 4331(10) | 89(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42 \mathrm{~A})$ | -2590(30) | 4240(13) | 4692(12) | 103(8) |
| C(43A) | -1740(40) | 4324(9) | 5058(10) | 139(11) |
| S(3) | 8249(1) | 5538(1) | 3988(1) | 57(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 7403(3) | 7471(2) | 3668(2) | 49(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 4080(3) | 6615(2) | 2466(2) | 57(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 9610(3) | 5547(2) | 3033(2) | 45(1) |
| N(10) | 8651(3) | 6581(2) | 3867(2) | 40(1) |
| N(11) | 6239(3) | 6900(2) | 3940(2) | 44(1) |
| N(12) | 4840(3) | 7362(2) | 2746(2) | 46(1) |
| C(45) | 9733(4) | 5998(2) | 3410(3) | 48(1) |
| C(46) | 8838(4) | 6074(2) | 3757(2) | 42(1) |
| C(47) | 7916(4) | 6754(2) | 4248(2) | 42(1) |
| C(48) | 8355(5) | 7076(2) | 4713(2) | 51(1) |
| C(49) | 8990(6) | 6699(3) | 5036(3) | 70(2) |
| C(50) | 8963(5) | 7554(2) | 4529(3) | 55(1) |
| C(51) | 7537(5) | 7273(3) | 5068(3) | 67(2) |
| C(52) | 7152(4) | 7069(2) | 3929(2) | 43(1) |
| C(53) | 5838(4) | 6443(3) | 4239(3) | 57(2) |
| C(54) | 4804(4) | 6410(3) | 4036(3) | 63(2) |
| C(55) | 4549(4) | 6988(3) | 3894(3) | 59(2) |
| C(56) | 5480(4) | 7203(2) | 3648(2) | 46(1) |
| C(57) | 5551(4) | 7078(2) | 3054(2) | 45(1) |
| C(58) | 4159(4) | 7109(2) | 2465(2) | 44(1) |
| C(59) | 3542(4) | 7462(2) | 2113(2) | 50(1) |
| C(60) | 3901(4) | 7437(3) | 1526(3) | 59(2) |
| C(61) | 3124(4) | 7014(3) | 1422(2) | 57(1) |
| C(62) | 2598(4) | 7180(3) | 1931(2) | 57(2) |
| C(63) | 2573(5) | 7035(3) | 893(3) | 68(2) |
| C(64) | 3221(6) | 7012(4) | 398(3) | 93(2) |
| C(65) | 3991(10) | 6588(5) | 346(8) | 85(5) |
| C(66) | 3414(14) | 6074(7) | 282(7) | 94(6) |
| C(65A) | 3504(19) | 6403(7) | 379(9) | 110(8) |
| C (66A) | 3970(20) | 6227(10) | -141(9) | 143(12) |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 6436(3) | 3348(2) | 1704(3) | 108(2) |


| $\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $6355(3)$ | $4077(2)$ | $1273(2)$ | $83(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{F}(3)$ | $6555(3)$ | $4097(2)$ | $2099(2)$ | $100(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $4637(3)$ | $3451(2)$ | $2022(2)$ | $53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $4610(3)$ | $4291(1)$ | $1691(2)$ | $57(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(67)$ | $5001(3)$ | $3860(2)$ | $1820(2)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(68)$ | $6089(4)$ | $3833(2)$ | $1724(3)$ | $50(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(4)$ | $1989(3)$ | $7404(2)$ | $3205(2)$ | $94(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(5)$ | $1062(3)$ | $7071(2)$ | $3800(2)$ | $69(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(6)$ | $1308(4)$ | $7912(2)$ | $3775(2)$ | $95(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $-348(3)$ | $7238(1)$ | $3102(2)$ | $45(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $390(3)$ | $7970(2)$ | $2797(2)$ | $60(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(69)$ | $311(4)$ | $7573(2)$ | $3086(2)$ | $46(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(70)$ | $1168(4)$ | $7483(2)$ | $3464(3)$ | $55(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(7)$ | $1962(6)$ | $4668(3)$ | $4044(3)$ | $153(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(8)$ | $1459(4)$ | $3989(2)$ | $3587(3)$ | $149(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(9)$ | $538(4)$ | $4662(2)$ | $3713(3)$ | $129(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $2480(3)$ | $4524(2)$ | $2877(2)$ | $68(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $1534(3)$ | $5238(2)$ | $3015(2)$ | $52(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(71)$ | $1420(5)$ | $4510(3)$ | $3608(4)$ | $98(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(72)$ | $1835(4)$ | $4778(2)$ | $3107(3)$ | $52(1)$ |

Allyl (2-(((S)-1-((R)-2-(((trans)-3-butylcyclobutane-1-carbothioamido)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)(methyl)carbamate (36)



Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 36.

| Identification code | har1303s |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C26 H44 N4 O4 S |
| Formula weight | 508.71 |
| Temperature | 100(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=9.782(4) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=9.800(4) \AA \quad b=91.305(5)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.421(6) \AA \quad \mathrm{A}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1382.1(10) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.222 Mg/m ${ }^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.154 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 552 |
| Crystal size | $0.50 \times 0.05 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 4.05 to $28.61{ }^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-13<=h<=13,-13<=k<=13,-18<=\mid<=19$ |
| Reflections collected | 17845 |
| Independent reflections | $6976[\mathrm{R}$ (int) $=0.0404]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=28.61^{\circ}$ | 99.1 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9954 and 0.8812 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6976 / 1 / 320 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.045 |
| Final R indices [l>2sigma( l ]] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0431, w R 2=0.0929$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0591, w R 2=0.1001$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | ? |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.318 and -0.288 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for bisthio. $U(e q)$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U^{i j}$ tensor.

|  | X | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S(1) | 10782(1) | 1989(1) | 4974(1) | 49(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 8270(1) | 3130(1) | 1715(1) | 19(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 10179(1) | 6849(1) | 99(1) | 21(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 13343(1) | 3740(1) | -1400(1) | 26(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 13662(2) | 5845(1) | -2010(1) | 28(1) |
| N(1) | 9181(2) | 2330(2) | 3506(1) | 25(1) |
| N(2) | 7632(2) | 4883(2) | 2596(1) | 17(1) |
| N(3) | 9260(2) | 4776(2) | 433(1) | 16(1) |
| N(4) | 12268(2) | 5603(1) | -836(1) | 18(1) |
| C(1) | 12718(3) | -6040(3) | 2742(2) | 50(1) |
| C(2) | 11650(3) | -4939(3) | 2689(2) | 44(1) |
| C(3) | 12191(2) | -3489(2) | 2632(2) | 36(1) |
| C(4) | 11071(2) | -2434(3) | 2518(2) | 38(1) |
| C(5) | 11526(2) | -962(2) | 2511(2) | 36(1) |
| C(6) | 11882(2) | -341(2) | 3464(2) | 31(1) |
| C(7) | 10419(2) | 218(2) | 3497(2) | 32(1) |
| C(8) | 10317(3) | 56(3) | 2439(2) | 42(1) |
| C(9) | 10103(2) | 1567(2) | 3948(2) | 30(1) |
| C(10) | 8505(2) | 3471(2) | 3941(1) | 24(1) |
| C(11) | 7284(2) | 3978(2) | 3376(1) | 20(1) |
| C(12) | 6376(2) | 4877(2) | 3985(1) | 24(1) |
| C(13) | 6025(2) | 6129(2) | 3404(1) | 26(1) |
| C(14) | 7284(2) | 6302(2) | 2819(1) | 21(1) |
| C(15) | 7996(2) | 4362(2) | 1788(1) | 16(1) |
| C(16) | 8060(2) | 5249(2) | 921(1) | 15(1) |
| C(17) | 6732(2) | 5156(2) | 304(1) | 17(1) |
| C(18) | 6864(2) | 6199(2) | -485(1) | 22(1) |
| C(19) | 5480(2) | 5529(2) | 865(1) | 22(1) |
| C(20) | 6538(2) | 3723(2) | -99(1) | 22(1) |
| C(21) | 10243(2) | 5594(2) | 119(1) | 17(1) |
| C(22) | 11506(2) | 4824(2) | -174(1) | 21(1) |


| C(23) | $13101(2)$ | $4959(2)$ | $-1412(1)$ | $19(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C(24)$ | $14461(2)$ | $5248(2)$ | $-2737(2)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $C(25)$ | $13580(3)$ | $4960(3)$ | $-3558(2)$ | $46(1)$ |
| $C(26)$ | $13732(4)$ | $5516(3)$ | $-4379(2)$ | $72(1)$ |

## In Vivo Evaluation of GOAT Inhibitors

Inhibitors 1,2,4, and 19 were originally evaluated by Dr. Tongjin Zhao at UTSW. We have since reproduced much of this work at UCLA.

## Efficacy Evaluation by IP Dosing

A cohort of 27-33 age matched C57BL/6 mice was acclimatized for one week prior to each experiment. All animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room under a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle and under pathogen-free conditions. All mice were fed a standard diet.

Prior to experimentation, mice were fasted overnight. For IP dosing, $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of test inhibitor was prepared in $1 \%$ tween 80 in PBS. For PO dosing, compounds were suspended in cellulose prior to oral gavage. Following compound administration, blood samples were collected at the indicated time points.

For measurement of inhibitor concentration, blood was collected by cardiac puncture from anesthetized mice using EDTA coated needles and transferred to blood tubes containing EDTA as an anticoagulant. Plasma was recovered after centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 5 m and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, then stored at -80C. Inhibitor quantitation was performed by the group of Dr. Noelle Williams (Univ. Tex. Southwestern Med. Ctr). To 100uL of collected plasma was added 100uL of acetonitrile containing $0.2 \%$ formic acid and $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ benzylbenzamide or tolbutamide as an internal standard. These samples were centrifuged at 13000 rpm and the supernatant analyzed by LC/MS/MS.

These samples were analyzed for the species indicated in the chapter text. Where possible external calibration curves were prepared using authentic drug or metabolite standards to allow precise quantitation of the analyte.

For quantitation of ghrelin species, a portion of the whole blood was transferred to tubes containing 5uL of a 100 mM p-hydroxymercuribenzoic acid in PBS at pH 7.4. Plasma was isolated by centrifugation at 3500 rpm for 10 min . Freshly prepared plasma was acidified with $1 / 10$ volume of 1 N HCl then centrifuged again at 3500 rpm for 5 min . The supernatant was then analyzed for acyl- and des-acyl ghrelin species using commercially available ELISA kits (Cayman).

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Research Advisory Committee of the University of California Los Angeles.

## Inhibitor Quantitation in Mouse Excrement

A cohort of 9 age matched C57BL/6 mice were housed in metabolic cages (3 mice/cage) for one day prior to experimentation. Feces and urine were collected during this period to serve as blank samples. They were maintained on a standard diet before and during the experiment. No fasting was conducted. A $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution of Inhibitor 2 was prepared in $1 \%$ tween 80 in PBS. Inhibitor was administered intraperitoneally at $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ to each mouse, and they were returned to cages. Feces and urine were collected separately from each cage. 0-4 hour, 4-7 hour, and 7-24 hour time period samples from each cage were collected and analyzed separately. Following collection, samples were frozen at -80 C. Inhibitor concentration was determined by the group of Dr. Noelle Williams (Univ. Tex. Southwestern Med. Ctr). Feces homogenates were prepared by homogenizing in a 5 -fold volume of PBS (total volume of homogenate in ml $=6 x$ weight in g.). For a 1:5 dilution, mixed 40 microliter of blank feces with 10 microliter of sample and for a $1: 10$ dilution, mixed 45 microliter of blank feces with 5 microliter of sample. To each sample, add 100 microliters of acetonitrile containing $0.15 \%$ formic acid and $75 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ IS ( $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ final conc.).The samples were vortexed 15 seconds, incubated at room temp for 10 min and spun twice at $13,200 \mathrm{rpm}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a standard microcentrifuge. The supernatant was then analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Analyte counts were compared to a standard curve for parent inihibitor. Presence of
glucuronidated metabolites was evaluated by searching for expected mass transitions corresponding to the glucuronidated product.

## INS-1 Cellular Assay

A line of INS-1 cells stably expressing GOAT and ghrelin was established by Dr. Tongjin Zhao at UTSW. To evaluate the effects of a candidate GOAT inhibitor on ghrelin production in these cells, cells were plated at $1.5^{*} 10^{6}$ cells in a 10 cm dish. After 96 hours the cells were treated with 50uM octanoic acid and the indicated concentration of inhibitor. After 24 hours the cells were lysed and the protein run on SDS-PAGE. The relative abundance of ghrelin species was evaluated by western blot using antibodies specific for acyl or total ghrelin concentration. In some cases GOAT or SCAP levels were analyzed as a control for loading or cytotoxicity.

## PAMPA Permeability

10 mM solutions of 2, propranolol, and phlorizidin in DMSO. A solution of 5 mM chloroquine in 1:1 DMSO/Water was prepared. These stock solutions were used to prepare test solutions of the listed compounds at 100 uM concentration in 5\% DMSO. A suspension of $1 \%$ lecithin in dodecane was prepared and $5 u \mathrm{~L}$ of this solution was added to the center of each used well of a Millipore Multiscreen filter plate. 150uL of test solution was added to each well of the filter plate and the filter plate (donor plate). A Teflon receiver plate was filled with 300 uL per well of blank $5 \%$ DMSO/PBS solution. The donor plate was placed into the acceptor plate such that the bottom surface of the filter was in full contact with the receiving solution. The donor plate was covered and the apparatus placed in a closed bag with a wet paper towel to minimize evaporation. After sixteen hours the plates were separated and the donor and acceptor solutions transferred to Greiner UV-star 96 well plates. A solution containing the theoretical equilibrium concentration (ie. For 100 uM donor, theoretical equilibrium concentration is 33 uM ) of inhibitor in $5 \%$ DMSO/PBS was prepared separately. The UV absorbance spectrum for each well was recorded using a Tecan M1000 plate reader. Each
compound was analyzed in triplicate, and the results of each determination averaged. The equilibrium solution was used to determine a UVmax for each analyzed compound. Comparison of the absorption in donor and acceptor solutions at the UVmax for each compound according to the formula
$\operatorname{LogPe}=\log \left(C^{*}-1^{*} n^{*}\left(1-A_{A} / A_{E}\right)\right.$
Where $\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{*}$ Area*time

Mass recovery was computed according to the formula
Mass Recovery $=\left(A_{D}{ }^{*} V_{D}+A_{A}{ }^{*} V_{A}\right) /\left(A_{E Q}{ }^{*}\left(V_{D}+V_{A}\right)\right)$

## Permeability in Caco-2 and MDCK Monolayers

Analyses of permeability in Caco2 and MDCK Cell types were performed by Changguang Wang in the group of Dr. Noelle Williams at UTSW. Caco2, WT MDCK, MDCK MDR1, and MDCK BCRP cells were cultured on solid support until they reached confluence. The monolayers were rinsed three times with 0.5\% FBS in Hank's buffered salt solution, then 5 uM test compound in $0.5 \% \mathrm{FBS} / \mathrm{HBSS}$ (1, cimetidine, nadolol, quinidine, or propranolol) was added to the apical or basolateral portion of the culture media (depending the desired transport direction). Samples (300uL from apical portion, or 1 mL from basolateral portion) were collected from the receiving portion of the setup at the indicated time points and the sample volume replaced with blank media. 100uL of the sample was diluted with 200 ul of MeOH containing $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ benzylbenzamide as internal standard, 2 mM ammonium formate, and $0.15 \%$ formic acid. Following centrifugation to clear protein, analyte was quantified by LC/MS/MS.

## Plasma Binding Measurement

Inhibitor stocks were prepared at 2 mM in DMSO. 2uL of stock was added to 400 uL of commercially available mouse plasma, then vortexed to afford a 10 uM test solution. Two 50ul aliquots were removed and placed into Eppendorf tubes. To one was added 50ul of water and 200uL of MeOH/internal standard solution (time zero control). The
second aliquot was incubated for twenty minutes at 37 C , and then processed in the same fashion (plasma stability control). The remaining solution was placed in a centrifree device. The upper and lower chambers were separately weighed, then the device was incubated at 37 C for 20 min , and centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 minutes. 50 uL samples from the upper and lower chamber were collected and processed as before. Inhibitor concentration was quantified by LC/MS/MS and the data processed as described in the reference below.

Reference: Wang, C. and N.S. Williams (2013) A mass balance approach for calculation of recovery and binding enables the use of ultrafiltration as a rapid method for measurement of plasma protein binding for even highly lipophilic compounds. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis 75: 112-117. PMCID: PMC3545278

Compiled In Vitro GOAT Inhibition Assay Results

## Dr. Tongjin Zhao Results

| Compound | Structure Page | In Vitro Data Page | Cellular Data Page | In Vivo Data Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEN 1-101 | 1 | 7 | 1 |  |
| AEN 1-111 | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| AEN 1-121 | 1 | 1,3 |  |  |
| AEN 1-129 | 1 | 1,3 |  |  |
| AEN 1-163 | 1 | 9 |  |  |
| AEN 1-179 | 1 | 10 |  |  |
| AEN 1-225-2 | 1 | 12 |  |  |
| AEN 1-235 |  | 12 |  |  |
| AEN 1-257-2 | 1 | 14 |  |  |
| AEN 1-295-d | 1 |  |  |  |
| AEN 1-295-e | 1 | 18 |  |  |
| AEN 2-32 | 1 | 24 |  |  |
| AEN 2-41 | 2 | 24 |  |  |
| AEN 2-48 | 2 | 25 |  |  |
| AEN 2-63 | 2 | 27 |  |  |
| AEN 2-66 | 2 | 27 |  |  |
| AEN 2-80 | 2 | 31,32 |  |  |
| AEN 2-88 | 2 | 33 |  |  |
| AEN 2-89 | 2 | 33 |  |  |
| AEN 2-92 | 2 | 22 |  |  |
| BK1114 |  | 1,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11 | 1,2 |  |
| BRB 1-148 |  | 8 |  |  |
| CRN 1-107 | 3 | 24 |  |  |
| CRN 1-130 | 3 |  |  |  |
| CRN 1-148 | 3 | 62 |  |  |
| CRN 1-155 | 3 | 40 |  |  |
| CRN 1-89-a | 3 | 21 |  |  |
| CRN 1-89-b | 3 | 21 |  |  |
| CRN 1-93 | 3 | 22 |  |  |
| CWF 1-61 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| HD 5-240 | 35 |  |  |  |
| HD 5-259-2A | 35 |  |  |  |
| HD 5-259-2B | 35 |  |  |  |


| LHX 1-100 | 28 | 13 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHX 1-109 | 8 | 13 |  |  |
| LHX 1-11 | 8 | 1,3 |  |  |
| LHX 1-113 | 8 | 14 |  |  |
| LHX 1-114 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 14,15,17,18,22,23,24,25,26 \\ , 27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,3 \\ 5,36,37,38,53 \end{gathered}$ | 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9 |  |
| LHX 1-115 | 8 | 14 | 2 |  |
| LHX 1-119 | 8 | ,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,3 | 3,4,5, |  |
| LHX 1-137 | 8 | 15 |  |  |
| LHX 1-138 | 8 | 15 | 4,5 |  |
| LHX 1-144 | 9 | 17 | 5 |  |
| LHX 1-153 | 9 | 17 |  |  |
| LHX 1-160 | 9 | 17 |  |  |
| LHX 1-161 | 9 | 17 |  |  |
| LHX 1-162 | 9 | 17 |  |  |
| LHX 1-166 |  | 18 |  |  |
| LHX 1-168 | 9 |  |  |  |
| LHX 1-177 | 9 | 18 |  |  |
| LHX 1-178 | 9 | 18 |  |  |
| LHX 1-186 | 10 |  |  |  |
| LHX 1-187 | 10 |  |  |  |
| LHX 1-188 | 10 |  |  |  |
| LHX 1-19 | 28 | 4 |  |  |
| LHX 1-192 | 10 | 21 |  |  |
| LHX 1-195 | 10 | 21 |  |  |
| LHX 1-198 | 10 | 21 | 6 |  |
| LHX 1-204 | 11 | 22 |  |  |
| LHX 1-207 | 11 | 22 | 6 |  |
| LHX 1-217 | 11 | 23 |  |  |
| LHX 1-218 | 11 | 23 |  |  |
| LHX 1-221 | 11 | 23 |  |  |
| LHX 1-229 | 11 | 23 |  |  |
| LHX 1-230 | 11 | 23 |  |  |


| LHX 1-231 | 11 | 23 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHX 1-236 | 12 | 23 |  |  |
| LHX 1-243 | 12 | 25 |  |  |
| LHX 1-245 | 12 | 25 |  |  |
| LHX 1-246 | 12 | 25 |  |  |
| LHX 1-249 | 12 | 26 |  |  |
| LHX 1-252 | 12 | 26 |  |  |
| LHX 1-254 | 12 | 26 |  |  |
| LHX 1-257 | 12 | 26 |  |  |
| LHX 1-262 | 13 | 27 |  |  |
| LHX 1-273 | 13 | 28 |  |  |
| LHX 1-278 | 13 | 28 |  |  |
| LHX 1-286 | 13 | 19 |  |  |
| LHX 1-287 | 13 | 19 |  |  |
| LHX 1-292 | 13 | 30 |  |  |
| LHX 1-293 | 13 | 30 |  |  |
| LHX 1-296 | 13 | 30 |  |  |
| LHX 1-45 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
| LHX 1-46 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
| LHX 1-47 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
| LHX 1-52 | 6 | 10 |  |  |
| LHX 1-64 | 6 | 10 |  |  |
| LHX 1-65 | 6 | 10,11,12,13,14 | 2 |  |
| LHX 1-69 | 6 | 10 |  |  |
| LHX 1-81 | 6 |  |  |  |
| LHX 1-87 | 7 | 12 |  |  |
| LHX 1-96 | 7 | 12 |  |  |
| LHX 1-97B | 7 | 14 |  |  |
| LHX 2-100 | 10 | 36 |  |  |
| LHX 2-10A |  | 31,32 |  |  |
| LHX 2-10B |  | 31,32 |  |  |
| LHX 2-124 | 10 | ,47,48,49,50,51,52,54,55,5 |  |  |
| LHX 2-135 | 15 | 39 |  |  |


| LHX 2-138 | 15 | 39 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHX 2-152 | 15 | 62 |  |  |
| LHX 2-181 | 15 | 40 |  |  |
| LHX 2-189 | 15 | 41 |  |  |
| LHX 2-18A | 4 | 33 |  |  |
| LHX 2-2 | 4 | 31,32 |  |  |
| LHX 2-203 | 4 | 42 | 8 |  |
| LHX 2-211 |  | 43 |  |  |
| LHX 2-226 | 4 | 45 | 8 |  |
| LHX 2-230 | 4 | 44 |  |  |
| LHX 2-233 | 4 | 44 |  |  |
| LHX 2-237 | 5 | 44 |  |  |
| LHX 2-251 | 5 | 45 |  |  |
| LHX 2-270 | 5 | 46 |  |  |
| LHX 2-279 | 5 | 47 |  |  |
| LHX 2-280 | 5 | 47 |  |  |
| LHX 2-281 | 5 | 47 |  |  |
| LHX 2-294 | 5 | 48 |  |  |
| LHX 2-295 | 5 | 48 |  |  |
| LHX 2-36 | 28 | 34 |  |  |
| LHX 2-37 | 28 | 34 |  |  |
| (mixed Dists) | 28 | 34 |  |  |
| LHX 2-39 | 28 | 34 |  |  |
| LHX 2-4 | 4 | 31,32 |  |  |
| LHX 2-42 | 28 | 34 |  |  |
| diastereomer A | 29 | 34 |  |  |
| diastereomer B | 29 | 34 |  |  |
| LHX 2-47 | 28 | 35 |  |  |
| LHX 2-51 | 29 | 35 |  |  |
| LHX 2-60 | 15 |  |  |  |


| LHX 2-79 | 15 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHX 2-8 | 4 | 31,32 |  |  |
| LHX 2-90 |  | 37 |  |  |
| LHX 2-96 | 15 | 36 |  |  |
| LHX 3-105 | 29 | 55 | 17 |  |
| LHX 3-114 | 29 | 55 | 17 |  |
| LHX 3-117 | 29 | 56 | 18,19 |  |
| LHX 3-118 | 29 | 56 | 18,19 |  |
| LHX 3-14 | 29 | 63 |  |  |
| LHX 3-140 |  | 57 |  |  |
| LHX 3-15 | 30 | 63 |  |  |
| LHX 3-151 | 30 | 58 | 20,21 |  |
| LHX 3-176 | 30 | 60 |  |  |
| LHX 3-177A | 30 | 60 |  |  |
| LHX 3-177B | 30 | 60 |  |  |
| LHX 3-185 | 31 | 61 |  |  |
| LHX 3-196A | 31 | 61 |  |  |
| LHX 3-30 | 31 | 64 |  |  |
| LHX 3-31B | 31 | 64 |  |  |
| LHX 3-40A | 31 |  |  |  |
| LHX 3-40B | 31 |  |  |  |
| LHX 3-42 | 32 |  |  |  |
| LHX 3-71 | 32 | 50 |  |  |
| LHX 3-72 | 32 | 50 | 12 |  |
| LHX 3-73 | 32 | 50 | 10,11,12,13,15 |  |
| LHX 3-74 | 32 | 50 |  |  |
| LHX 3-79 | 32 | 51 |  |  |
| LHX 3-88 | 32 | 52 | $3,14,15,16,17,18$ | ,19,20,22 |
| LHX 3-89 | 32 | 52 | 11 |  |
| LHX 3-90A | 23 | 52 |  |  |
| LHX 3-90B | 23 | 52 | 11 |  |
| LHX 3-96A | 23 | 54 |  |  |
| LHX 3-96B | 23 | 54 | 14 |  |
| LHX 3-97A | 23 | 54 |  |  |
| LHX 3-97B | 23 | 54 | 14,15,16 |  |



| RAH 2-131 | 21 | 66 | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAH 2-19 | 19 | 57 |  |  |
| RAH 2-20 | 19 | 57 | 21 |  |
| RAH 2-201 | 22 | 67 | 24,27 |  |
| RAH 2-202 | 21 | 67 | 24,27 |  |
| RAH 2-204 | 21 | 67 |  |  |
| RAH 2-22 | 19 | 57 | 21 |  |
| RAH 2-270 | 22 | 77 |  |  |
| RAH 2-272 | 22 | 77 | 28 |  |
| RAH 2-274 | 25 | 68,70,73,74 | 28 |  |
| RAH 2-276 | 25 | 68 |  |  |
| RAH 2-277 | 25 | 68 |  |  |
| RAH 2-288 | 25 | 69 |  |  |
| RAH 2-40 | 25 | 58 | 19 |  |
| RAH 2-57 | 18 | 65 | 22 |  |
| RAH 2-58 | 18 | 65 |  |  |
| RAH 2-58B | 18 | 65 | 22 |  |
| RAH 2-58T | 18 | 65 | 22 |  |
| RAH 2-59 | 25 | 65 | 22 |  |
| RAH 2-60 | 25 | 65 | 22 |  |
| RAH 2-61 | 25 | 65 | 22 |  |
| RAH 2-77 | 20 | 59 |  |  |
| RAH 2-81 | 20 | 59 |  |  |
| RAH 3-134 | 35 |  |  |  |
| RAH 3-163 | 33 | 75 |  |  |
| RAH 3-164 | 33 | 75 |  |  |
| RAH 3-167 | 33 | 75 |  |  |
| RAH 3-203 | 35 |  |  |  |
| RAH 3-209 | 35 |  |  |  |
| RAH 3-220 | 35 |  |  |  |
| RAH 3-224 | 35 |  |  |  |
| RAH 3-228 | 35 |  |  |  |
| RAH 3-28 | 33 | 70 |  |  |
| RAH 3-30 | 33 | 70 |  |  |
| RAH 3-59 | 33 | 71,73 |  |  |


| RAH 3-60 | 33 | 71,73 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAH 3-63 | 33 | 71,72,73 |  |  |
| RAH 3-64 | 33 | 73 |  |  |
| RAH 3-65 | 33 | 74 |  |  |
| RAH 3-79 | 33 | 74 |  |  |
| RAH 3-88 | 33 | 76 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-33 | 26 | 77 | 28,30 |  |
| RVQ 1-47 | 26 | 77 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-72 1 | 26 | 69 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-72 2 | 26 | 70 | 28,29 |  |
| RVQ 1-75 | 26 | 70,71 | 29 |  |
| RVQ 1-95 d1 | 26 | 74 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-95 d2 | 26 | 74 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-96 d1 | 27 | 74 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-96 d2 | 27 | 74 |  |  |
| RVQ 1-99 | 26 | 74 |  |  |
| RW 1-131 | 16 | 39 |  |  |
| RW 1-175 | 16 | 40 | 7 |  |
| RW 1-176 | 16 | 40 | 7 |  |
| RW 1-182 | 16 | 41 |  |  |
| RW 1-184 | 16 | 41 |  |  |
| RW 1-191 | 16 | 42 |  |  |
| RW 1-197 | 16 | 42 |  |  |
| RW 1-206 |  | 43 |  |  |
| RW 1-220 | 16 | 46 |  |  |
| RW 1-258-1 | 17 | 49 | 10 |  |
| RW 1-258-2 | 17 | 49 |  |  |
| RW 1-260 | 17 | 49 |  |  |
| TR 1-296A | 34 | 53 |  |  |
| TR 1-296B | 34 | 53 |  |  |
| TR 1-296C | 34 | 53 |  |  |
| TR 1-296D | 34 | 53 |  |  |
| TR 1-296E | 34 | 53 |  |  |
| TR 2-108 | 34 | 58 | 19,20 |  |
| TR 2-98A | 34 | 58 | 19,20,22 |  |
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LHX-162


LHX-177


LHX-153


LHX-161



LHX-178


LHX-186


LHX-188


LHX-195


LHX-2-100


LHX-187


LHX-192


LHX-198


LHX-2-124


LHX-2-135


LHX-2-152




LHX-2-138


LHX-2-181



LHX-2-96

LHX-204

LHX-207


LHX-218


LHX-221


LHX-230


LHX-217


LHX-229


LHX-231


LHX-236


LHX-246


LHX-249



LHX-243


LHX-245


LHX-252


LHX-257


LHX-262


LHX-278


LHX-293


LHX-273


LHX-286


LHX-292


LHX-296


PHB238


PHB242A


PHB248


PHB273A


PHB241


PHB243


PHB249


PHB260B


LHX-2-135


LHX-2-152



LHX-2-138


LHX-2-181



RW131


RW176


RW184


RW197


RW175


RW182


RW191


RW220
18


RW258-1


RW260


RW258-2


RAH-1-46



RAH 2-57


RAH-1-50


RAH-1-89


20


RAH 2-19

RAH 2-106A

RAH 2-106B

21


RAH 2-102


RAH 2-100


RAH 2-77


RAH 2-101


RAH 2-81


RAH 2-109




LHX_3_90A



LHX_3_97A


LHX_3_90B
$\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$


LHX_3_96B


LHX_3_97B



RAH 1-150


RAH-1-178
нс1
-



RAH 1-127


RAH-1-165


RAH-1-179
HCl






RAH 2-277




RAH 2-276


RAH 2-288

27


RVQ 1-72


RVQ 1-47



RVQ 1-95


RVQ 1-33


RVQ 1-75


RVQ 1-99


RVQ 1-96


NE 1-124

NE 1-116

29

LHX-100


LHX_2_36


LHX_2_38
(mixture of two diastereomers)



LHX-19


LHX_2_37


LHX_2_39


LHX_2_47

LHX_2_43A diastereomer A

LHX_2_51


- $2 \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$


- $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$


- 2 HCl


LHX_3_14



LHX 3-177A



LHX_3_15



LHX 3-176


LHX 3-177B


$2 \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$



$2 \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$

$2 \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$


LHX_3_42


LHX_3_72


LHX_3_74





- 2 HCl
- 2 HCl



RAH 3-59


RAH 3-30



RAH 3-163


RAH 3-60


RAH 3-65


RAH 3-79


RAH 3-164


RAH 3-64

TFA


RAH 3-63


RAH 3-88



RAH 3-167


TR 2-98A
GO-CoA-Tat



TR 2-108
GO-CoA-Tat
G1A, F4A mutant


TR 1-296E


TR 1-296B


TR 1-296A


TR 1-296C


TR 1-296D



2






















21


22





26




LHX_262


- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$

LHX-114
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## 41
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CRN1-148
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## 70
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## 76



77


```
Deyo: set up 3q3-1-12 (GonFTT, Pmprogbelin) of \(1.5 \times 10 \% 10 \mathrm{~mm}\) dish.
```



```
    Blally, \(\mathrm{AENH}-10 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{PH}-8-224 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{PH}-\mathrm{B}-24, \mathrm{PH}-6-242 \mathrm{~A}\) and \(\mathrm{PH}-8-299\).
Day5: Marvent the cello.
```

Ghasion (Totel:)


Ginelin Cocianny $($ -


Pay O : Set up 3 3pa- -13 at $1.5 \times 10^{6} /$ disb.
Day 3: Chenge Mediun.
Day 4: Treat cells with $0,10,20$. 40 , Mm of Bkil14. Lnx-6 $4 x-100$. $\ln x-114$ and $1 / m$
Day 5. Howert cells.

octaragl -


10/7-10/11
TJ-150 test LHX-11 and LHX-119 in the stomach tumor cells
Day 0 , set up 1672 s at $600 \mathrm{~K} / 12 \mathrm{ml}$ in DHG $(10 \%$ FCS, $1 \%$ ITS $)$
Day 2 , combine the cells, spin down .
Resuspend the cells in 22 ml DMEM ( no serum,no glucose, no sodium pyruvate), $1 \%$ ITS, add 49 ul $45 \%$ glucose stock. Add 110 ul C8-FA.
Aliqout 16 ml of the cells into 16 wells, treat the cells with different concentrations of LHX-114 or LHX-119.


Day 0: Set up $3930-1.12$ (pryghenion. GadT-TI) at $1.5 \times 10^{\circ} /$ dish.
Day 3: Change medium
Day 4: Feat all with 0,5,10,20 and 4rMm LHK-114. 119 and 138 .
Day 5: Harvest cells.



Day5. Haruest cells.

$\pi J^{-121}$
Day 0: set up 3930-1-12 (prepraghelin and GonT-77) at $1.5 \times 10^{6} /$ disk.
Day 3: Refeed cells.
Day 4: Treat cells with $D, 10,20,40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Lix-198 or LHx-207. With Litr-114 as Day 5: Harvest cells 16 h offer treatment.



9


10



12


13


14

TJ-313

TJ-313

Dayo, set up TR3930-1-12 ales at $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ colls $/$ dish.
Dog 2, clange medium. Treat celts with $0,0.635,1.25,2.5$, and 5 ph of 440-3-88, 3-117 or 3-118.

Day 3. Hawest cills 16h afthe treatrent.
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## 22



23


24




шп!̣рәю әчł и! и!!әдч פ
$\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 0.0 & 0.5 & 1.0 & 1.5 \\ & & & 2.0 \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \end{array}$




 Compound ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ )
Compounds are toxic to the cells.
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## 29

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
5 / 1 / 12-5 / 7 / 12 \\
\text { Test NE-1-124 and PVO-1-75 in the IWS-1 calls }
\end{array} \\
& \text { mayo, set up TR-3930-1-12 cells, } 1.5 \times 10^{6} \text { calls } / \text { dish. } \\
& \text { Day 3, charge medium } \\
& \text { Treat cells with } 0,1.25,2.5 \text { and } 5 \mathrm{\mu m} \text { of } \\
& \text { the indicated compounds for } 16 \mathrm{~h} \text {. } \\
& \text { Day, Harvest the cells. }
\end{aligned}
$$




## Dr. David Strugatsky Results

| Compound | Structure Page | In Vitro Data Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ghrelin 1-28 octanamide |  | 14 |
| BS 1-27 | 1 | 1 |
| BS 1-78 | 1 | 2 |
| BS 1-120 | 1 | 13 |
| BS 1-123 | 1 | 13 |
| BS 1-138 | 1 | 13 |
| BS 1-149 | 1 | 8 |
| BS 1-150 | 1 | 8 |
| BS 1-151 | 1 | 8 |
| BS 1-152 | 1 | 8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Go-CoA-TAT } \\ & \text { TR2-98A } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10 |
| LHX 1'-65 | 1 | 21 |
| LHX 1-114 | 1 | 21 |
| LHX 3'-88 | 1 | 11 |
| RAH 2-109 | 1 | 10 |
| RAH 2-274 | 1 | 4 |
| RAH 2-277 | 1 | 13 |
| RAH 3-64 | 1 | $5,14,15,16,20$ |
| RAH 3-131 | 1 | 11 |
| RAH 3-163 | 1 | $4,16,17,18,25,27$ |
| RAH 3-181 | 1 | 7, 9, 10 |
| RAH 3-209 | 1 | 11 |
| RAH 3-285 | 1 | 12 |
| RAH 4-40 | 2 | 2,13 |
| RAH 4-84' | 2 | 7 |
| RAH 4-89 | 2 | 11 |
| RAH 4-143 | 2 | 2 |
| RAH 4-144 | 2 | 1 |
| RAH 4-147 | 2 | 12 |
| RAH 4-199 | 2 | 3 |


| RAH 4-247 | 2 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAH 4-252 | 2 | 10, 14 |
| RAH 4-253 | 2 | 10 |
| RAH 4-272A | 2 | 15 |
| RAH 4-272B | 2 | 15 |
| RAH 4-277 | 2 | 15 |
| RAH 4-278A | 2 | 15 |
| RAH 4-278B | 2 | 15 |
| RAH 4-295-1 | 2 | 16 |
| RAH 4-295-2 | 2 | 16 |
| RAH 5'-82R | 3 | 17, 18, 19 |
| RAH 5'-85 | 3 | 17 |
| RAH 5-98iPR | 3 | 19 |
| RAH 5-98Et | 3 | 19 |
| RAH 5'-99 | 3 | 19 |
| RAH 5-101A | 3 | 20 |
| RAH 5-101B | 3 | 20 |
| RAH 5-116 | 3 | 21 |
| RAH 5-118A | 3 | 22 |
| RAH 5-118B | 3 | 22 |
| RAH 5-118C | 3 | 22 |
| RAH 5-118D | 3 | 23 |
| RAH 5-118E | 3 | 23 |
| RAH 5-125A | 4 | 25 |
| RAH 5-125B | 4 | 25 |
| RAH 5-131 | 4 | 25 |
| RAH 5-142A | 4 | 26 |
| RAH 5-142B | 4 | 26 |
| RAH 5-142C | 4 | 26 |
| RAH 5-142D | 4 | 26 |
| RAH 5-142E2 | 4 | 27 |
| RAH 5-142E3 | 4 | 27 |
| RAH 5-145 | 4 | 27 |
| TKA 1-79 | 4 | 3 |


| TKA 1-92 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| TKA 1-217 | 4 | 3,6 |
| TKA 1-218 | 4 | 5,6 |
| TKA 1-244A | 4 | 6 |
| TKA 1-244B | 4 | 4,6 |
| TKA 3-195 | 5 | 23 |
| TKA 3-196 | 5 | 24 |
| TKA 3-197 | 5 | 24 |
| TKA 3-198 | 5 | 24 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |









rah 5-116



5-125A


5-125B



5-142D



5-145



TKA 1-79



TKA 1-92



TKA
3-196



TKA 3-197



|  | dpm |  | avr. Dpm fmol |  | fmol | \% activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2652 | 2602.5 | 387 | 391 | 100 |
|  |  | 2553 |  | 372 |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 2672 | 2606 | 390 | 392 | 100 |
|  |  | 2540 |  | 370 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2477 | 2527.5 | 360 | 380 | 97 |
| RAH4-40 |  | 2578 |  | 376 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 2686 | 2660.5 | 392 | 400 | 102 |
|  |  | 2635 |  | 384 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 2623 | 2723.5 | 382 | 410 | 105 |
|  |  | 2824 |  | 413 |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 2451 | 2472 | 357 | 372 | 95 |
|  |  | 2493 |  | 363 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2150 | 2065 | 311 | 311 | 79 |
| RAH4-143 |  | 1980 |  | 286 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1639 | 1631 | 234 | 245 | 63 |
|  |  | 1623 |  | 232 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 1264 | 1189.5 | 178 | 179 | 46 |
|  |  | 1115 |  | 156 |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 2149 | 2120.5 | 311 | 319 | 82 |
|  |  | 2092 |  | 303 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1959 | 1886 | 283 | 284 | 73 |
| BS1-78 |  | 1813 |  | 261 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1451 | 1473.5 | 206 | 222 | 57 |
|  |  | 1496 |  | 213 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 700 | 665 | 93 | 100 | 26 |
|  |  | 630 |  | 83 |  |  |



| control | dpm |  | fmol | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% activity } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1857 | 271 |  |
|  |  | 1885 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2049 | 313 | 115 |
|  |  | 2236 |  |  |
| TKA1-217 | 3 | 2021 | 298 | 110 |
|  |  | 2072 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 2253 | 308 | 114 |
|  |  | 1970 |  |  |
|  | 30 | 1817 | 283 | 105 |
|  |  | 2084 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1996 | 313 | 116 |
|  |  | 2301 |  |  |
| TKA1-79 | 3 | 2049 | 298 | 110 |
|  |  | 2038 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 1860 | 249 | 92 |
|  |  | 1582 |  |  |
|  | 30 | 1474 | 218 | 80 |
|  |  | 1562 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1974 | 290 | 107 |
|  |  | 2017 |  |  |
| RAH4-199 | 3 | 1770 | 277 | 102 |
|  |  | 2044 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 1839 | 259 | 96 |
|  |  | 1740 |  |  |
|  | 30 | 1821 | 272 | 100 |
|  |  | 1924 |  |  |



















|  | $\mathrm{dpm1}$ |  | dpm 2 |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fmol |  |  |  |  |
| $3-181$ | 0 | 2423 | 2610 | 381 |
|  | 0.1 | 2328 | 2254 | 347 |
|  | 0.5 | 1558 | 1512 | 233 |
|  | 2 | 624 | 590 | 92 |
|  | 10 | 114 | 106 | 17 |









| control | dpm |  | avr. | \% of activity 100.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2142 | 2109 |  |
|  |  | 2235 |  |  |
|  | 50 | 838 | 660 | 31.3 |
|  |  | 641 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 428 | 348 | 16.5 |
| A- Dap3 |  | 427 |  |  |
|  | 1000 | 132 | 29 | 1.4 |
|  |  | 85 |  |  |
|  | 5000 | 84 | 4 | 0.2 |
|  |  | 84 |  |  |
|  | 50 | 1870 | 1995 | 94.6 |
|  |  | 2280 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 2097 | 1951 | 92.5 |
| B-4-252 |  | 1964 |  |  |
|  | 1000 | 925 | 882 | 41.8 |
|  |  | 998 |  |  |
|  | 5000 | 181 | 129 | 6.1 |
|  |  | 236 |  |  |
|  | 50 | 1884 | 1954 | 92.6 |
|  |  | 2183 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 2024 | 1852 | 87.8 |
| C-3-64 |  | 1840 |  |  |
|  | 1000 | 901 | 792 | 37.5 |
|  |  | 842 |  |  |
|  | 5000 | 192 | 108 | 5.1 |
|  |  | 184 |  |  |

















 $367$












[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-D $_{6}$ ) $\delta: 8.6(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ $(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=1.9,7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.3-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,14.1$, 2H), 1.8 ( $J=14,2 \mathrm{H}$ )

