UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Influence of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium ssrB on Colonization of Eastern Oysters (Crassostrea virginica) as Revealed by a Promoter Probe Screen

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8ff1z9mg

Journal Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 82(1)

ISSN 0099-2240

Authors

Cox, Clayton E Wright, Anita C McClelland, Michael <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2016

DOI

10.1128/aem.02870-15

Peer reviewed



Influence of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium *ssrB* on Colonization of Eastern Oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) as Revealed by a Promoter Probe Screen

Clayton E. Cox,^a Anita C. Wright,^b Michael McClelland,^c Max Teplitski^a

Interdisciplinary Ecology Program, Department of Soil and Water Science, University of Florida—Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Gainesville, Florida, USA^a; Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Florida—Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Gainesville, Florida, USA^b; Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology and Department of Pathology, University of California, Irvine, California, USA^c

Although *Salmonella* has been isolated from 7.4 to 8.6% of domestic raw oysters, representing a significant risk for food-borne illness, little is known about the factors that influence their initial colonization by *Salmonella*. This study tested the hypothesis that specific regulatory changes enable a portion of the invading *Salmonella* population to colonize oysters. An *in vivo* promoter probe library screen identified 19 unique regions as regulated during colonization. The mutants in the nearest corresponding downstream genes were tested for colonization defects in oysters. Only one mutation, in *ssrB*, resulted in a significantly reduced ability to colonize oysters compared to that of wild-type *Salmonella*. Because *ssrB* regulates *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2)-dependent infections in vertebrate macrophages, the possibility that *ssrB* mediated colonization of oyster hemocytes in a similar manner was examined. However, no difference in hemocyte colonization was observed. The complementary hypothesis that signal exchange between *Salmonella* and the oyster's native microbial community aids colonization was also tested. Signals that triggered responses in quorum sensing (QS) reporters were shown to be produced by oyster-associated bacteria and present in oyster tissue. However, no evidence for signal exchange was observed *in vivo*. The *sdiA* reporter responded to salinity, suggesting that SdiA may also have a role in environmental sensing. Overall, this study suggests the initial colonization of live oysters by *Salmonella* is controlled by a limited number of regulators, including *ssrB*.

MArket surveys in the United States have isolated Salmonella in 7.4 to 8.6% of raw, market-ready oysters, with local contamination rates as high as 77% within a single sample (1–3). Because oysters are typically consumed raw or lightly cooked, they present a significant risk for exposure to live pathogens (4, 5). Oysters are capable of sustaining high filtration rates and are known to concentrate bacteria, including pathogens, present in the environment (6–8). Colonization is rapid; detectable contamination occurs in as little as 15 min following exposure to water containing Salmonella (9). Despite the clear evidence that Salmonella colonizes oysters, the mechanisms mediating Salmonella colonization of, and persistence inside, shellfish remain unclear.

Oysters are typically colonized by a diverse microbial community, with 90% of the inhabiting bacteria being associated with the digestive tract. The composition of oyster microbiota is derived, but clearly differs, from the surrounding seawater and becomes progressively dissimilar from the seawater from the stomach to the lower intestine (10). This divergence in community structure indicates selection for certain species and suggests that the ability to resist shedding is responsible for the enhanced persistence. Experimental contamination of oysters by Salmonella typically results in a rapid initial colonization at a density 1- to 2-log-fold below that of the inoculum, followed by a decrease within the first 24 to 48 h and then persistence by a remnant population, which stays stable or only slowly declines over time (6, 11-15). However, Salmonella is more persistent than other enteric bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, and can survive within oysters at detectable levels for at least 60 days (6, 11, 12). This ability could allow Salmonella contamination to persist beyond harvest closures and could lead to postharvest exposure following reopening of shellfish harvesting areas (15).

The factors that allow certain bacterial species to become established on and persist in oysters while others are shed or destroyed are generally unknown, as few studies of the genetic determinants of bacterial colonization of oysters have been reported. Targeted studies have identified possible roles for surface attachment via pili, cell surface proteins such as OmpU, and virulence factors like metalloproteases in the persistence of *Vibrio* spp. on oysters (16–19). The only known study of the persistence of *Salmonella* in live oysters investigated effectors within *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 1 (SPI-1) and SPI-2 but found no effect (20).

Interspecies and even interkingdom signaling systems are used by bacteria to alter their gene expression in response to the recognition of specific signal molecules. The production of and interference with signal molecules are both common strategies employed during surface colonization in the marine environment (21). "Eavesdropping" on the signals of established microbial communities is another way for invading bacteria to gain an advantage when colonizing a new host (22). It remains unknown if

Received 3 September 2015 Accepted 20 October 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 23 October 2015

Citation Cox CE, Wright AC, McClelland M, Teplitski M. 2016. Influence of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium *ssrB* on colonization of Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) as revealed by a promoter probe screen. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:328–339. doi:10.1128/AEM.02870-15.

Editor: J. Björkroth

Address correspondence to Max Teplitski, maxtep@ufl.edu.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1128 /AEM.02870-15.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

cell-to-cell signaling occurs within the oyster microbiome; however, it seems likely, as many genera associated with oysters are known to produce *N*-acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs) and autoinducer 2 (AI-2) quorum-sensing signals. *Salmonella* is able to sense, but not produce, AHLs via the receptor encoded by *sdiA* and possesses a fully functional AI-2 signaling system encoded by the synthase *luxS* and receptor *lsrR* adjacent to the *lsrACDBFG* operon (23–25).

The primary goal of this study was to identify factors that allow Salmonella to become established within oysters and potentially contribute to seafood-related food-borne illness. We hypothesized that specific genetic elements would allow a portion of the Salmonella population to be established within oysters, by either resisting shedding or avoiding oyster defenses, which are responsible for the reduction of colonization density within the first 24 h following contamination (6, 11, 13). Because so little is currently known about how Salmonella interacts with marine invertebrates, the first approach was to screen a promoter probe library to identify possible promoters that are differentially regulated during the initial colonization of live oysters. The second approach examined the role of the GacS/GacA two-component system as well as quorum sensing via the AHL, AI-2, and putative QseB/C signaling systems during colonization. The in vivo expression of the selected promoters was determined using recombinase-based in vivo expression technology (RIVET) reporters. Defined mutants of these systems were used to determine a competitive phenotype compared to the wild-type strain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and culture. Salmonella strains were grown at 37°C in Luria broth (LB) with antibiotics as necessary. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations; ampicillin, 200 µg/ml; kanamycin, 50 µg/ml; and tetracycline, 10 µg/ml. All strains and plasmid used in this study are listed in Table 1. All primers used are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. Oyster agar (OA) was prepared using a modified protocol (1, 2). Briefly, aseptically shucked oyster meats were blended in 2 volumes of sterile 1/2-strength artificial seawater (ASW) and extracted by boiling for 30 min. The resulting broth was filtered through mesh screens and 11-µm cellulose filter paper under vacuum (Whatman no. 1; GE) to remove coagulated proteins and debris. The filtrate was brought up to the original volume with deionized water, 1.5% agar (wt/vol) was added, and the medium was autoclaved. ASW (1/2 strength) was prepared from commercial aquarium salts in distilled water at a concentration of 16 ppt (either 15.23 g/liter of Instant Ocean [Aquarium Systems, Inc., Mentor, OH] or 17.47 g/liter of Coral Pro Salt [Red Sea, Eilat, Israel] salt mix was used depending on availability from local suppliers). Recovered samples were plated on xylose lysine deoxycholate agar (XLD) with antibiotics as necessary for the identification of Salmonella.

Oyster maintenance. Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) were obtained from commercial sources in Apalachicola Bay or Cedar Key, FL, and transported to Gainesville, FL, in coolers with ice. Upon receipt, oyster shells were scrubbed under running tap water to remove mud and debris and acclimated in 1/2-strength ASW (16 ppt) at 22°C. Acclimation tanks were filtered (Whisper 10i; Tetra) and aerated to maintain water quality. Prior to use in assays, oysters were removed from the acclimation tank and rinsed under distilled water. Assays were performed in polystyrene bins with 5 liters of 1/2-strength ASW unless noted. All oyster infections were incubated at 22°C in 5-liter bins without filtration for 24 h before harvest. Oysters were considered active when either the shells were observed to open and close or feces were excreted into the bin.

gfp-labeled promoter probe library screen. The previously constructed *Salmonella* promoter probe library consisted of random small fragments of the *S. enterica* 14028 genome cloned upstream of the pro-

moterless gfp obtained by removing the Tn5 promoter from the commercial pTurboGFP-B reporter plasmid (26). A negative control, pGFP-OFF, was created by self-ligating the promoterless pTurboGFP-B. A plasmid isolated from a colony with strong constitutive expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP) was selected as a positive control (pGFP-ON) and was later identified by sequencing as carrying a *dppA* promoter upstream of the promoterless GFP gene (27). Prior to the screening in live oysters, a 1-ml aliquot of the promoter probe library was amplified in 10 ml of LB with ampicillin at 37°C overnight. A 1-ml aliquot was analyzed via fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) using a FACSAria flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) in the University of Florida ICBR core lab to select for inactive promoters. Sorting gates for FACS were established using the positive and negative controls. 1,870,000 LB "off" cells were recovered, concentrated and grown overnight in 5 ml of LB at 37°C. A 500 µl sample of the population was analyzed by FACS to confirm promoter inactivity, and aliquots were saved as glycerol stocks for future screens.

The LB "off" library was subjected to three subsequent screens in live oysters. A 1-ml aliquot of the LB "off" library was amplified via overnight growth in 5 ml LB, washed and concentrated to 1 ml in 1/2-strength ASW. Two oysters in 10 liters of 1/2-strength ASW were exposed to the library via natural filtration. The library was recovered following 24 h incubation at 22°C by homogenizing the oysters in a blender with 50 ml of phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) for 60 s. The resulting homogenate was placed on ice and sequentially filtered through mesh screens and 11-µm cellulose filter paper (Whatman no. 1; GE) under vacuum to prepare for FACS. Active reporters were recovered, washed in LB to remove the capture buffer, and stored as a glycerol stock between infections. After the final selection, the recovered cells were washed and concentrated in 250 µl of LB. Serial dilutions (1/10) were prepared, and 10-µl aliquots were plated on LB ampicillin agar. Opaque (gfp off) colonies were saved for further analysis by transfer to 96-well plates (see Fig. S1 and S2 in the supplemental material).

The recovered colonies were subjected to further selection for oysterspecific activity by analyzing for the differential fluorescence activity of each strain following growth in LB or oyster homogenate for 24 h at 37°C. Ninety-six-well plates were inoculated from the master stock, and their fluorescence was analyzed using a multimode microtiter plate reader (Victor³; PerkinElmer, Fremont, CA), equipped with Wallac1420 manager workstation software. To select the promoters most strongly associated with oysters, colonies with *gfp* activity that was 1 standard deviation above or below the in-plate mean when they were grown in oyster homogenate compared to LB were selected for further study. The reporter plasmids were recovered from the selected colonies of interest using a QIAprep Spin miniprep kit (Qiagen) and the putative promoters were identified from the plasmid by sequencing and alignment to the *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2 genome using BLAST.

Construction of *Salmonella* **mutants.** *Salmonella* deletion mutants were constructed via Datsenko and Wanner mutagenesis by removing the entire open reading frame (ORF) between the start and stop codon and replacing it with the *frt-kan-frt* cassette, which was amplified from plasmid pKD4 (28). The mutations were confirmed via PCR and transduced into *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium ATCC 14028 via phage P22 generalized transduction. To check for possible growth defects that could affect the coinfections, growth of each mutant was tested at either 22°C or 37°C for 12 or 8 h, respectively. Each hour, a 1-ml aliquot was removed from the culture, and the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) was recorded using a spectrophotometer (Biospec Mini; Shimadzu).

RIVET reporters were constructed using the method of either Osorio et al. (29) (pGOA1193) or Merighi et al. (30) (λ *red*), as adapted for *Salmonella*. For pGOA1193 constructs, proper orientation of *tnpR* was confirmed via sequencing of the final construct using primer MT59. The orientation of λ *red* constructs was confirmed via PCR with the primer K2 and an internal primer specific to each construct (28). RIVET reporters constructed via the pGOA1193 method are ampicillin-resistant merodip-

TABLE 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain or plasmid	Genotype or description	Source or reference
Strains		
DH5a	E. coli ϕ 80 lacZ Δ M15 deoR endA1 gyrA96 hsdR17 recA1 relA1 supE44 thi-1 Δ (lacZYA-argF)U169	Life Technologies
DH5α λ <i>pir</i>	DH5 α λpir phage lysogen	60
BW20767	E. coli K-12 RP4-2-tet::Mu-1kan::Tn7 integrant leu-63::IS10 recA1 creC510 hsdR17 endA1 zbf-5	61
	$uidA(\Delta MluI)::pir^+ thi$	
14028	Wild-type S. enterica serovar Typhimurium	American Type Culture Collection
JS246	14028 yjeP8103::res1-tetAR-res1	30
MJW129	ssrB::Cm ^r	62
MHM51	Δ SPI-2 (Δ ssrB-ssaU::Kan ^r)	M. H. de Moraes, submitted for
		publication
MM_011_C12	14028 <i>AphsA</i> ::FRT-Kan'-FRT	63
MM_009_E04	14028 ASTM0306::FRT-Kan'-FRT	63
MM_019_C10	$14028 \Delta lm X CD RE, EDT KamT EDT$	65 (2
MM_075_D12 MM_015_C07	14028 AlerCoEDT Kap ^r EDT	63
BA612	14028 sdiA··Tn 3	23
BA736	14028 sirA: Kap ^r	64
INS3206	IS246 sraF-tnpR-lacZY-Kan ^r	65
INS3216	IS246 sdiA-tntR-lacZY-Kan ^r	27
INS3226	IS246 srgE-tnbR-lacZY-Kan ^r sdiA::Tn3	65
JNS3236	JS246 csrB-tnpR-lacZY-Kan ^r	B. Ahmer, unpublished data
CEC0015	JS246 lsrG::tnpR-lacZY Amp ^r	43
CEC0018	JS246 lsrG::tnpR-lacZY ΔluxS::FRT-Kan ^r -FRT Amp ^r	43
CEC0026	JS246 P _{luxS} -tnpR-lacZY ΔluxS::FRT-Kan ^r -FRT	43
CEC0035	14028 $\Delta lsrACDBF \Delta luxS::FRT-Kan^r-FRT$	43
CEC0001	JS246 <i>ssrB::tnpR-lacZY</i> Amp ^r	This study
CEC0002	JS246 <i>csiE::tnpR-lacZY</i> Amp ^r	This study
CEC0003	JS246 yggB-tnpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0004	JS 246 STM4596:: <i>tnpR-lacZY</i> Amp ^r	This study
CEC0005	JS246 pckA::tnpR-lacZY Amp ^r	This study
CEC0006	JS246 yhdG-tnpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0007	JS246 STM0306::tnpR-lacZY Amp ⁴	This study
CEC0008	JS246 glk::tnpR-lacZY Amp ²	This study
CEC0009	JS246 qor::tnpR-lacZY Kan	This study
CEC0010	JS246 YJJO::mpR-mcZ1 Amp IS246 STM11470uten D.lccZV Amp ^r	This study
CEC0011 CEC0012	IS246 pahC::tupR-lacZV Amp ^r	This study
CEC0012	IS246 dkgA::tnpR-lacZY Amp ^r	This study
CEC0014	IS246 rfaZ-tntpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0019	IS246 vibL-tnpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0020	JS246 udp-tnpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0021	JS246 dppA-tnpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0022	JS246 phsA-tnpR-lacZY Kan ^r	This study
CEC0023	14028 $\Delta yihX$::FRT-Kan ^r -FRT	This study
CEC0024	JS246 qseC-tnpR-lacZY	This study
CEC0025	JS246 qseC-tnpR-lacZY $\Delta luxS$	This study
CEC0027	14028 Δ <i>pabC</i> ::FRT-Kan ^r -FRT	This study
CEC0028	14028 $\Delta yibL::FRT-Kan^{r}-FRT$	This study
CEC0029	$14028 \Delta udp::FRT-Kan^{r}-FRT$	This study
CEC0030	14028 $\Delta qseC::FRT-Kan^{1}-FRT$	This study
CEC0031	$14028 \Delta yggB::FRT-Kan'-FRT$	This study
CEC0033	14028 <i>Dynd</i> G::FR1-Kan ⁺ -FR1	This study
Plasmids		
pTurboGFP-B	P_{trad} -TurboGFP (Amp ^r)	Evrogen
pdppA-gfp	GFP "on" control	27
pCR2.1-TOPO	General cloning vector $lacZ\alpha$ (Kan ^r Amp ^r)	Invitrogen
- pGOA1193	pIVET5n with promoterless $tnpR$ -lacZY α (Amp ^r)	29
pKD4	oriR6K bla rgnB FRT-Kan ^r -FRT (Kan ^r)	28
pKD46	$repA101(Ts) \ oriR101 \ araC \ P_{araB} \ \lambda red(\gamma - \beta - exo) - tL3 \ (Amp^r)$	28
pCP20	$repA101(Ts) \lambda_{pR}$ -Flp $ci857 (Amp^r Kan^r)$	66
pCE70	oriR6K FRT-promoterless tnpR-lacZYa (Kan ^r)	30
pCE71	oriR6K FRT-promoterless tnpR-lacZYα (Kan ^r)	30

loids. The RIVET reporters constructed by the λred method are kanamycin resistant and consist of *tnpR* placed just downstream of the stop codon of the intact gene. The *qseBC* RIVET reporter, CEC0024, was constructed using the λred method, placing *tnpR* immediately after the stop codon of *qseC* to avoid interrupting the operon. Strain CEC0025 was constructed by transducing the *qseC-tnpR*-Kan^r cassette from CEC0024 into the same JS46 $\Delta luxS$::FRT (Flp recombination target) intermediate used to construct CEC0026. Genotypes of strains used and constructed are listed in Table 1.

Promoter regulation in live oysters measured via RIVET assays. Recombinase-based *in vivo* expression technology (RIVET) reporters utilize a heritable antibiotic-resistance phenotype which can "record" low levels of gene expression or signals which may occur only transiently during host colonization and have been shown to provide sensitive quantification of gene expression during *Salmonella* colonization of host environments (31). Results of RIVET assays are plotted as percent resolution. "Resolution" refers to expression of *tnpR* within the constructed RIVET reporter strains. In the RIVET reporters, *tetR* is flanked by two *res* sites. Once expressed, TnpR catalyzes recombination between the *res* sites, thus excising the tetracycline resistance marker. The loss of tetracycline resistance creates a permanent marker that is also passed to daughter cells. Because the resulting tetracycline-sensitive phenotype is inheritable, subsequent analysis of recovered cells provides a record of the portion of the population in which the target gene was expression *in vivo*.

Single oysters were inoculated with 1 ml of washed overnight culture. The *Salmonella* reporters were recovered by blending the shucked oyster as before and then plated on XLD with ampicillin or kanamycin at 37°C to select for RIVET reporters. Fifty colonies were patched from the recovery plate onto LB tetracycline at 37°C to determine the ratio of resolved colonies. As a control for the specificity of resolution to oyster colonization, 10 μ l of the RIVET inoculum was also spotted onto LB agar, oyster agar, and 1/2-strength artificial seawater 0.3% (soft) agar (1/2 ASW) and incubated at 22°C for 24 h. Samples were streaked onto XLD with a sterile wire loop and analyzed in the same manner as the oyster samples.

Competitive fitness/coinfection assays in live oysters. The *in vivo* competitive fitness of each strain versus the wild type was determined by calculating a competitive index as described previously (32). Briefly, three oysters per 5-liter bin were inoculated with a roughly 50:50 mix of mutant to wild type prepared from 1/100-diluted overnight cultures. After 24 h, individual oysters were harvested by stomaching in Whirl-Pak bags (Nasco, Fort Atkinson, WI) with 50 ml of PBS in a Stomacher 4000 circulator (Seward, West Sussex, United Kingdom) at 260 rpm for 1 min. The resulting homogenate was plated on XLD at 42°C to limit growth of oyster commensals. A 1,000-fold dilution of the original inoculum was plated on XLD to determine the initial mutant-to-wild type ratio. Fifty individual colonies were patched from XLD to LB kanamycin, and the number of mutants was determined by counting the number of kanamy-cin-resistant colonies.

Changes in the mutant-to-wild type ratio between the inoculum and recovered samples were used to calculate a competitive index (CI) according to the following equation: $CI = (M_{out}/WT_{out})/(M_{in}/WT_{in})$, where M is the number of mutant cells and WT is the number of the wild-type cells in the inoculum (in) or in the recovered samples (out). The CI values were log transformed to allow even comparison between increases and decreases in competitive fitness. Significance was determined by Dunnett's *t* test, which is more conservative than individual pairwise *t* tests, using coinfections between *S*. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and *S*. Typhimurium JS246, which is an isogenic derivative of *S*. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and contains a neutral tetracycline resistance marker, as the control.

Expression of *sdiA-tnpR* **in response to NaCl concentration.** In order to test the effect of salt concentration on the expression of *sdiA-tnpR*, saltless LB was prepared, and NaCl was added to concentrations of 0.5 M (2.922 g/liter), 0.1 M (5.844 g/liter), 0.25 M (14.61 g/liter), and 0.5 M (29.22 g/liter) as either a liquid broth, soft agar (0.3% [wt/vol]), or solid

agar (1.5% [wt/vol]). Overnight cultures were grown from glycerol stock in standard LB Lennox broth (5g NaCl/liter) with antibiotics. Prior to assays, cells were washed to remove tetracycline. Soft agar overlay plates were prepared by first allowing 10 ml of LB agar (1%) to solidify in a petri plate and then overlaying 7 ml of soft agar (0.3%). The prepared plates were inoculated with 10 μ l of reporter culture via stab into the soft agar. For liquid culture assays, 5 ml of fresh LB broth was inoculated with a 1/10,000 dilution of washed reporter culture. All assays were incubated for 24 h at either 22°C or 37°C. Resolution of the reporter was then quantified as above.

Hemocyte assays. The colonization of oyster hemocytes was examined by adapting a method previously reported for *Vibrio splendidus* (33). A plasmid that constitutively expressed gfp was transformed into both wild-type S. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and an ssrB mutant (MJW129) by electroporation. Green fluorescence was used to quantify hemocyte infection following either 2-h incubation with freshly drawn hemolymph or a 24-h exposure to live oysters. The 24-h exposure to live oysters was established in the same manner as RIVET reporter infections. For in vitro assays, hemolymph drawn from the pericardial cavity of freshly shucked oysters using a 22-gauge needle was mixed 50:1 with reporter culture and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Fifty-microliter samples were prepared for microscopy, and 1-ml samples were used for FACS analysis. The reporter strains were prepared by diluting overnight cultures 1/100 into fresh LB and growing at 37°C for 2 h. The colonization of hemocytes was visualized via fluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX41). The proportion of colonized hemocytes was examined via flow cytometry in the UF ICBR core lab. Uninfected hemolymph and reporter culture were used as controls to set the sorting gates.

To determine if Salmonella organisms that had been internalized into hemocytes remained viable, gentamicin protection assays were performed using S. enterica MJW129, MHM51, and ATCC 14028 as a control. The concentration of hemocytes in freshly drawn hemolymph was determined using a Reichert Bright-Line hemocytometer. Overnight cultures of Salmonella were diluted 1/100 into LB prepared with 1/2-strength ASW and grown for 3 h at 37°C. The resulting culture was washed three times with 1/2-strength ASW, and 1 ml of a 1/1,000 dilution of Salmonella was mixed with 1 ml of hemolymph in 24-well plates at a Salmonella:hemocyte an approximate multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5:1 and incubated at room temperature. Hemocytes were washed 3 times with 1/2-strength ASW to remove noninternalized Salmonella and then incubated in 1 ml of 1/2strength ASW with 100 µg/ml gentamicin for another hour. Cells were washed 3 three times with 1/2-strength ASW to remove gentamicin and incubated in 1 ml 1/2-strength ASW for 30 min to allow for the possible digestion of internalized Salmonella. The hemocytes were lysed by incubating in 500 µl of 1% Triton X-100 for 15 min. A 10-fold dilution series was then plated onto LB and incubated overnight at 42°C. No growth was noted in hemocyte-only controls.

Quorum sensing reporter assays. Three complementary QS reporter assays were used: Agrobacterium tumefaciens NT4 pZLR4 (34), Chromobacterium violaceum CV026, and C. violaceum CV017 (35). C. violaceum CV026 and A. tumefaciens NT4 pZLR4 do not make their own QS signals and thus respond to exogenous agonists with short or long acyl side chains (respectively). C. violaceum CV017 produces its own C₆-HSL and is especially useful for detecting QS antagonists, including long-chain AHLs capable of interfering with the perception of the cognate AHL signal. Reporters were handled essentially as described previously (32, 36-38). Oysters were checked for native AHL activity by testing either organic extracts or direct overlays of dissected tissues. For tissue tests, the gills, labial palps, digestive glands, anus, and adductor muscle were dissected from freshly shucked oysters. The samples were placed into petri plates and set using medium with 1.5% agar. Oyster extracts were prepared by homogenizing oysters in a blender and then performing organic extractions with two equal volumes of acidified ethyl acetate. Phase separation occurred slowly due to the formation of gelled organic matter. The organic fraction was dried in a rotary evaporator at 45°C and stored at

 -20° C. Extracts were resuspended in 200 µl methanol, and 50 µl was spotted to glass fiber discs and allowed to dry prior to the assay overlays. Production of AHLs by typical oyster microbiota was examined by collecting isolates grown from oyster homogenate plated onto either XLD, oyster agar, thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose agar (TCBS), or *Pseudomonas* selective isolation agar (PSIA) (39). Glycerol stocks of the saved colonies were plated directly to LB agar and grown for 48 h at 30°C. Plates were saved at 4°C until needed and prior to use were exposed to UV light for 10 min to inactivate the oyster-associated bacterial colonies. A 1/100 dilution of reporter culture in either LB 0.3% agar (*C. violaceum*) or M9 sucrose 1.5% agar (*A. tumefaciens*) was then poured over the prepared plates containing either tissue, extract, or colonies. The resulting plates were incubated at 30°C, and pictures were taken for comparison at 0 and 24 h.

RESULTS

Identification of AHL activity in oyster tissue and oyster-associated bacteria. The presence of activities capable of activating QS reporters was tested for with *A. tumefaciens* NT4 pZLR4 and *C. violaceum* CV026; *C. violaceum* CV017 (which makes its own AHLs) was used to detect AHL-inhibitory activity. Assays of oyster organic extracts with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* NT4 pZLR4 were positive for AHL activity (Fig. 1A). Tests of dissected oyster tissue with a *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026 overlay showed the strongest AHL activity within the gills and lower levels of activity in the digestive glands and labial palps (Fig. 1A and B). Because production of AHLs is autoregulated in a QS-dependent fashion, it is reasonable to hypothesize that microbial populations build up to quorate levels. Within digestive glands and labial palps, AHLproducing bacteria were either absent or present at prequorate levels of AHLs.

Of the 51 bacterial strains isolated from homogenized oysters, at least five were capable of inducing responses in C. violaceum CV026 and A. tumefaciens NT4 pZLR4, likely due to the production of AHL signals (Fig. 1C and E). Because A. tumefaciens NT4 pZRL4 assays involve the cleavage of X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-B-D-galactopyranoside) by LacZ produced by the QSresponsive reporter *traG::lacZ*, the presence of β-galactosidase activity in the samples may confound interpretation of the results. Therefore, we carried out control experiments in which oyster isolates were tested on plates containing X-Gal, but in the absence A. tumefaciens NT4 pZRL4. As shown in Fig. 1F, none of the oyster isolates that triggered A. tumefaciens NT4 pZRL4 reporter were able to cleave X-Gal. Two oyster isolates inhibited AHL-mediated pigment production (but not growth) of C. violaceum CV017. These results indicate that bacteria capable of producing QS signals reside within oysters, and QS activities within oysters are readily extractable and detectable with common QS bioassays. While the chemical nature of these signals has not been elucidated, these studies provide a rationale for the hypothesis that the detection of QS signals from other bacteria by Salmonella may be important for its survival within oysters and their associated microbiota. This hypothesis was subsequently tested.

Identification of oyster-specific promoters using a *gfp* promoter probe library. To determine which *Salmonella* genes were differentially regulated during colonization of oysters, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) was carried out. FACS was consistently able to isolate a large population of *Salmonella* with active promoters (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). A total of 576 individual colonies selected as downregulated in LB liquid culture and upregulated during three subsequent passages



FIG 1 Detection of AHL activity using soft agar overlays of plates containing AHL reporter strains *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026 and CV017 and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* NT4 pZRL4. (A) Oyster organic extracts with the *A. tumefaciens* reporter overlay. Blue color indicates activation of the *traG-lacZ* AHL reporter by activities present in extracts. (B) Oyster tissue with *C. violaceum* CV026 overlay. Purple color indicates activation of the reporter. (C) Oyster-associated bacterial colonies with *C. violaceum* CV026 overlay showing activity in one isolate. (D) Oyster-associated bacterial colonies with *C. violaceum* CV017 overlay. Because *C. violaceum* CV017 makes its own AHLs and therefore violacein, the lack of purple color indicates strong inhibition of AHL quorum sensing by one colony and moderate inhibition by another two. (E) Oyster-associated bacterial colonies with *A. tumefaciens* reporter overlay. Two colonies show activity. (F) Control overlay without the *A. tumefaciens* reporter. The absence of blue color indicates no endogenous *lacZ* activity from these isolates in soft agar containing X-Gal.

through live oysters were recovered. They were then individually confirmed not to fluoresce on LB agar. Of those, 41 were selected based on strong differential fluorescence during growth in oyster homogenate versus LB. Sequencing of the selected colonies revealed 19 independent putative promoters (Table 2). Three of the sequences, *ssrB*, *rfaZ*, and *pagN*, identified regions that are internal to genes and oriented in the antisense direction, which could represent *cis*-encoded regulatory elements which have a role in post-transcriptional regulation.

Differential expression during in vitro RIVET assays. To verify results of the FACS and to quantify expression in vivo, singleTABLE 2 Fragments recovered in the promoter-probe screen^a

Category and putative function	Nearest gene	Probe orientation		
General metabolism				
Glucogenesis (down regulated)	STM3500 (pckA)	← ୖ→		
Folate biosynthesis	STM1198 (pabC)	$\rightarrow \Rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$		
Thiosulfate Reductase (down regulated)	STM2065 (phsA)	← <u>_</u> _→		
Glucokinase	STM2403 (glk)	━→		
Ascorbate biosynthesis	STM3165 (dkgA)	\rightarrow		
Pyrimidine biosynthesis (down regulated)	STM3968 (udp)	\rightarrow		
Quinone oxidoreductase	STM4245 (gor)	₩,		
Call annulance and atmosp mean and				
Cell envelope and stress response				
	STM0306(pagiv)			
Osmotic stress	STM3067 (yggB)	· ←		
Predicted transport protein (down regulated)	STM3630 (dppA)			
O-antigen attachment	STM3715 (<i>rfaZ</i>)	→		
Peroxide resistance in bioflms	STM4379 (<i>yjfO</i>)	_ _		
Putative inner membrane protein	STM4596			
Regulatory				
SPI-2 regulator	STM1391 (ssrB)	←⇒		
Carbon starvation induced regulator	STM2553 (csiE)			
	,			
Protein synthesis and degradation		—		
tRNA-dihydrouridine synthase B	STM3384(yhdG)	\rightarrow		
Unknown				
Hypothetical protein	STM1147	\rightarrow		
Hypothetical protein (down regulated)	STM3689 (yibL)	\leftarrow		
Predicted phosphatase (down regulated)	STM4026 (yihX)	\rightarrow		
)			

^{*a*} Recovered fragments inducing promoterless *gfp* (and its orientation in relation to the *gfp* gene) are shown with white arrows, the nearest genes (listed in the second column) are shown with black arrows, and adjacent ORFs are shown with gray arrows.

copy chromosomal RIVET reporters were constructed for 18 of the 19 identified promoters. Several attempts were made to construct a *pckA-tnpR* reporter but were unsuccessful. *pckA* encodes phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, which catalyzes the ratecontrolling step of glucogenesis. Because of its central metabolic function and lack of a significant role in virulence during infection of mice (40), pckA was not examined further. Statistically significant differential regulation between the reporter in live oysters and on agar plates was detected in only four reporters, udp on LB agar, qor on 1/2-strength ASW soft agar, and yibL on LB and 1/2strength ASW (Fig. 2A to C). These genes as well as ssrB, yggB, yhdG (dusB), pagN, pabC, yibL, udp, and phsA were selected as candidates for subsequent competition assays to determine whether they contribute to the survival in oysters. Despite detection of significant upregulation on 1/2-strength ASW soft agar, qor was not selected for subsequent competition assays as it encodes quinone oxidoreductase, an enzyme which plays a central role in electron transfer by catalyzing the oxidation of NADH to NAD⁺. gor is known to be upregulated by RpoS-inducing conditions, including starvation and growth at 23°C, which likely accounts for the response on 1/2-strength ASW (41, 42).

Of the signaling-associated genes tested via RIVET, *csrB* and *luxS* were strongly expressed under all conditions (Fig. 2D). The *qseC* reporter was essentially not expressed under all conditions tested, regardless of the *luxS* background. *lsrG* had similar levels of expression in live oysters, oyster agar, and 1/2-strength ASW but had increased expression on LB. The expression of the *lsrG* reporter was essentially eliminated in the

luxS mutant background, as has been shown in other systems (43). Only the *sdiA* reporter showed a significant difference in regulation, between live oysters and LB agar. Although *sdiA*'s downstream target, *srgE*, was also expressed on LB, the difference was not significant.

Competition assays. To follow up on the results of the RIVET assays and to test the hypothesis that *Salmonella* QS genes are involved in oyster colonization, fitness of the mutants with changes in QS and QS-regulated genes (*sdiA*, *rck*, *luxS*, *lsrACDBF*, and *qseC*) as well as the global regulator *sirA* and genes identified in a FACS screen (*pabC*, *udp*, *phsA*, *yhdG*, *yggB*, *pagN*, *ssrB*, and *yibL*) was tested in live oysters. Mutants were coinoculated in a 1:1 ratio with the parental wild-type strain *S*. Typhimurium ATCC 14028. To determine statistical significance of the pairwise fitness experiments, control experiments using tetracycline-tagged *S*. Typhimurium JS246 were carried out.

During competitive coinfection of live oysters, no signaling mutant showed a fitness phenotype (Fig. 3A). Of the eight mutants in the genes associated with the cloned regulatory region and selected from the RIVET screen, only an *ssrB* mutant was significantly less competitive than the wild type (P < 0.0001) (Fig. 3B). Because the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2) *ssrB* is required for intracellular survival in vertebrate macrophages, the hypothesis that *Salmonella* colonizes oyster hemocytes, the immunocompetent cells responsible for pathogen recognition and killing via phagocytosis in bivalves (44), in an *ssrB*-dependent manner and uses SPI-2 as a conserved mechanism for persistence within oyster hemocytes was tested.



FIG 2 Resolution of *tnpR* RIVET reporters during 24-h incubation in live oysters or on oyster agar, LB agar, or 1/2-strength ASW soft agar at 22°C. RIVET provides a heritable market of promoter expression during growth *in vivo* by determining the percentage of the recovered population that has lost a selectable marker. Live oysters were incubated in 5 liters of 1/2-strength ASW containing 10⁵ CFU/ml of reporter culture. A 10-µl sample of a 10⁹-CFU/ml reporter culture was spot plated onto agar plates in parallel with the oyster infections. Error bars present standard deviations. *n* is 3 except for *upd*, where *n* is 4. An asterisk denotes a significant difference (Student's *t* test; $P \le 0.05$) compared to the value for the same reporter in live oysters. The charts show genes picked up by the promoter probe screen and associated with general metabolism (A), cell envelope and stress response (B), and other processes (C). Reporters shown in bold were selected for competition assays. (D) Genes selected for study due to their association with quorum sensing.



FIG 3 Competitive fitness of defined mutants versus wild-type *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium ATCC 14028 in live oysters. (A) Quorum-sensing system mutants. (B) Mutants corresponding to the promoters identified by the GFP promoter probe library screen. The log CI (competitive index) was calculated as follows: $(M_{out}/WT_{out})/(M_{in}/WT_{in})$. The log transformation allows even comparison between increases and decreases in CI. Gray diamonds represent analysis of variance, where the middle line is the mean and the upper and lower lines show the 95% confidence intervals. Dunnett's *t* test was used to test for significance at a *P* value of <0.05, using coinfections between ATCC 14028 and the tetracycline-resistant *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain JS246 as the control. The *ssrB* mutant was significantly less competitive than wild-type *Salmonella* (*P* < 0.0001). *n* is \geq 8 for all mutants. Live oysters were incubated in 5 liters of 1/2-strength ASW containing 10⁵ CFU/ml of reporter culture.

ssrB does not contribute to hemocyte invasion in oysters. To test the hypothesis that *ssrB* is involved in interactions with hemocytes, the wild-type *S*. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 and an isogenic *ssrB* mutant MJW129 were tagged with the same fluorescent plasmid (pGFP-ON). FACS analysis of whole hemolymph drawn from oysters exposed to either *S*. Typhimurium ATCC 14028 pGFP-ON or an MJW129 (*ssrB*) pGFP-ON identified only a similarly small portion of the hemocyte population as GFP positive, suggesting that few hemocytes were colonized by either strain (Fig. 4). In order to simplify the infection, the same reporters were incubated with hemolymph harvested from live oysters for 2 h. Although FACS analysis identified a much higher percentage of the hemocyte population as GFP positive, the profiles for wild-type *Salmonella* and the *ssrB* mutant were again very similar (Fig. 5).

Subsequent examination by fluorescence microscopy showed a spectrum of colonization densities for the mutant and the wild type, from no associated bacteria to highly colonized individual hemocytes within each sample (Fig. 5; representative highly colonized hemocytes are shown). The hemocytes that were highly colonized by either strain also showed similar bacterial density, suggesting that the *ssrB* genotype did not impair *Salmonella*'s ability to enter hemocytes. The lack of an observed difference due to the *ssrB* genotype as well as the differences in the percentage of hemocytes colonized between the *in vitro* and *in vivo* infections indicate that if hemocyte invasion was responsible for the deficiency in competitive fitness of the *ssrB* mutant, then it has fundamentally different dynamics *in vivo*.

Because false-positive selection for GFP expression during FACS is theoretically possible if the GFP protein remained intact after internalized *Salmonella* was killed, gentamicin protection assays were used to quantify the percentage of cells that remained viable after internalization into hemocytes. The survival of both the *ssrB* mutant and an additional strain lacking all of SPI-2 (MHM51) were compared to wild-type *Salmonella* over 60 min after being internalized by hemocytes. Both the *ssrB* and SPI-2 mutants experienced lower survival than the wild type, but the difference was not significant (Fig. 6). In all cases, average survival was lower than 10%, indicating that oyster hemocytes were able to effectively degrade *Salmonella* under the test conditions.

Growth at 22°C and moderate NaCl concentrations do not impair sdiA. In the experiments whose results are presented in Fig. 2D, the AHL receptor *sdiA* was differentially regulated inside ovsters and under in vitro conditions. Changes in temperature and salinity were hypothesized to be the conditions most likely to influence sdiA activation and SdiA activity. To test this hypothesis, expression of sdiA-tnpR and srgE-tnpR reporters was examined in soft agar with NaCl concentrations corresponding to freshwater (15 mM), human body fluids (150 mM), estuarine water (275 mM) and oceanic salt water (600 mM) at 22 and 37°C with or without AHLs. Growth at 37°C repressed sdiA-tnpR expression at all salinity levels. At 22°C, the sdiA-tnpR reporter was significantly more active at 150 mM and 275 mM (Fig. 7). As expected, the presence of AHL in the growth medium did not significantly alter sdiA-tnpR expression. Because sdiA was not expressed to an appreciable extent at 37°C, assays of the responses of the SdiA-dependent srgE-tnpR reporter were carried out only at 22°C.

In the absence of *sdiA* (with or without 3-oxo- C_6 -HSL), at 22°C *srgE-tnpR* was essentially inactive (Fig. 8). With *sdiA*, the *srgE-tnpR* reporter was more active in the presence of AHLs at all salinities, as expected (36). Interestingly, resolution of *srgE-tnpR* was not significantly lower at 15 mM NaCl, as was the case for *sdiA*, suggesting that there was sufficient SdiA to activate *srgE* or that additional regulators could compensate for the lack of SdiA-mediated regulation. At 600 mM NaCl, *srgE-tnpR* resolution was significantly repressed regardless of the *sdiA* background. This effect could be due to the poor growth of *Salmonella* in general under these conditions.

DISCUSSION

The high prevalence of *Salmonella* in oysters raised questions about ecology of this pathogen outside its traditional hosts as well as the food safety consequences of its persistence in nontraditional hosts. Even though *Salmonella* is often recovered from live oysters, little is known about its persistence inside the animal or interactions with the native microbiota. *Salmonella* typically colonizes oysters at a density 1- to 2-log-fold below that of the inoculum (6, 11–15). A similar trend was observed in this study; the water in the oyster incubation tanks was inoculated with approximately 10⁴ CFU/ml, and *Salmonella* was typically recovered from oysters at



FIG 4 FACS analysis of hemocytes following a 24-h exposure of *gfp*-labeled *S. enterica* 14028 wild type or MJW129 (*ssrB* mutant) to live oysters. Live oysters were incubated in 5 liters of 1/2-strength ASW containing 10^5 CFU/ml of reporter culture. The bacteria-only plots show the population profile of the inoculum prior to oyster exposure. The bacteria-only control is unlabeled ATCC 14028. The hemocyte plots show the population profile of the cells selected as hemocytes by the sorting gates shown in the total hemolymph plots. The hemocyte control shows the analysis of hemolymph from an oyster which has not been exposed to *Salmonella*. All experiments repeated at least four times. Results are for representative samples. fsc, forward scatter; ssc, side scatter; FL1, fluorescence intensity.

 10^2 to 10^3 CFU/g at 24 h postinoculation. Although long-term persistence of *Salmonella* in oysters is known to occur, it is unlikely that significant growth of *Salmonella* occurs within living oysters, as no study has observed a population expansion above the initial inoculation (6, 11–15).

The survival of *Salmonella* in oysters seems to be marked by a small population of initial colonizers which is able to persist but unable to expand and which slowly declines over time. Thus, it is likely that only a small portion of the total exposure population will be present in oysters postharvest, stressing the importance of understanding initial colonization factors in maintaining the microbial quality of live oysters. Therefore, with this study, we focused on the genes that could be involved in the initial colonization of oysters.

In an attempt to identify *Salmonella* genes that are involved in oyster colonization, we carried out a FACS screen as well as RIVET assays, followed by assays of defined mutants. Of the putative promoter regions identified by the screen, only one, *ssrB*, was linked

to a competitive fitness phenotype in oysters. It is of note that the recovered ssrB fragment is in the antisense orientation. ssrB is part of the SsrAB two-component system that regulates genes encoded on Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2). SPI-2 is required for intracellular survival within vertebrate macrophages, and ssrB mutants are avirulent in mice (45, 46). We hypothesized that oyster hemocytes could be targeted by Salmonella in a similar manner as a strategy to establish a persistent infection. A similar strategy is utilized by V. splendidus, which specifically targets oyster hemocytes via agglutination to OmpU in order to establish intercellular infections (33). However, specific testing of this hypothesis by FACS using GFP-labeled wild type and isogenic mutants failed to demonstrate a difference in hemocyte colonization or a substantial population of hemocytes remaining colonized at 24 h in live oysters, suggesting that SPI-2-mediated colonization of hemocytes is not responsible for the ssrB fitness phenotype.

A previous study looked at the persistence of Salmonella enterica serovar Newport, the most common serotype isolated from



FIG 5 Colonization of hemocytes by *gfp*-labeled wild-type *S. enterica* ATCC 14028 and the mutant MJW129 (*ssrB*) during a 2-h exposure *in vitro*. Whole freshly drawn hemolymph was inoculated 50:1 with a 10^7 CFU/ml *Salmonella* reporter culture. Total volume per sample was 50 µl for microscopy samples and 1 ml for FACS samples. The white-light and UV-light images show the same hemocyte (imaged at $40\times$) for each *Salmonella* strain. The FACS displays the population distribution of hemocytes which contain *gfp*-expressing bacteria. At least 10,000 cells were counted. All experiments repeated at least four times. Results are for representative samples.

contaminated oysters, in live oysters over time (2, 20, 47, 48). After 15 days *Salmonella* is predominately located in the connective tissue, including the associated hemocytes (20). Because of the association with hemocytes, the long-term survival related to SPI-2 was specifically examined using an *ssaV* mutant deficient in the ability to secrete a SPI-2 effector protein (20). However, after 30 days postexposure, no discernible differences in population size were observed between the mutant and the wild-type *Salmonella*, indicating that SPI-2-mediated persistence did not affect the long-term persistence of *Salmonella* in live oysters (20). The differences in the effects of SPI-2.



FIG 6 Gentamicin protection assay of oyster hemocytes exposed to *Salmonella* strains. The MOI varied between 5:1 and 1:1 in the independent experiments. Samples from the same independent experiment are represented by the same marker shape. There were no significant differences versus the wild-type control ATCC 14028, as determined by Dunnett's test. *ssrB*-, lacking *ssrB*; SPI-2-, lacking SPI-2.



FIG 7 Resolution of the *sdiA-tnpR* RIVET reporter at either 22 or 37°C, on LB 0.3% agar containing 15, 150, 275, or 600 mM NaCl with (+) or without (-) 1 μ M 3-oxo-C6 (AHL). Black dots represent individual samples from at least three independent experiments. *n* is \geq 9 for all treatments. Diamonds show means and 95% confidence intervals. Lowercase gray letters indicate significance groups within each plot, as determined by the Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) test.



FIG 8 Resolution of the *srgE-tnpR* RIVET reporter in either an *sdiA*⁺ or *sdiA* mutant (sdiA-) background at 22°C on LB 0.3% agar containing 15, 150, 275, or 600 mM NaCl with (+) or without (-) 1 μ M 3-oxo-C6 (AHL). Black dots represent individual samples from at least three independent experiments. *n* is ≥9 for all treatments. Diamonds show mean and 95% confidence intervals. Lowercase gray letters indicate significance groups within each plot, as determined by the Tukey HSD test.

Although SPI-2 does not appear to play a role in the initial colonization or long-term persistence of *Salmonella* in oysters, *ssrB* could contribute to the fitness of *Salmonella* in oysters through regulatory mechanisms which are not currently understood. Studies show that *ssrB* can respond to multiple environmental signals, including acidic pH, low Mg⁺ and PO₄³⁻ concentrations, and nutrient limitations (49–51). Extracts from *Bifidobacterium bifidum*, considered a probiotic strain, downregulated expression of an *ssrB-luxCDABE* reporter (52). An *ssrAB* mutant showed tissue- and inoculation method-specific differences in colonization during the infection of pigs (53). In addition, *ssrB* can alter gene expression through countering DNA silencing by H-NS, a global regulator which preferentially silences acquired DNA in *Salmonella* while allowing transcription of virulence factors (51).

Interestingly, our library screen identified a putative *cis*-encoded regulatory element within *ssrB*, which showed highly variable resolution in the RIVET assay, possibly indicating that this region has a regulatory role which could finely modulate expression of *ssrB* in response to environmental conditions altering downstream targets other than SPI-2. However, this hypothesis was not tested.

Our secondary hypothesis focused on the role of QS in the establishment of *Salmonella* within oyster-associated microbiota. Results of the AHL detection assays clearly show that QS activities are present within certain oyster tissues and that culturable members of the oyster microbiome can produce detectable QS signals *in vitro*. *Salmonella* SdiA is the receptor of AHLs produced by other bacteria, and although signal reception has been observed in several systems, its relevance to *in vivo* regulatory changes is less

clear (32, 37, 38). The SdiA regulon in *Salmonella* is known to include *srgE* and the *rck* operon (23). SrgE is an effector secreted by a type 3 secretion system (54). The *rck* operon encodes resistance to complement killing and enables cellular invasion via a non-TTSS-dependent zipper mechanism (55). Both functions of *rck* appear to be mediated by cell surface properties (55). The regulation of both loci appears to be sensitive to environmental conditions (38, 55). However, inside oysters, *sdiA* and *srgE* were expressed at very low levels, while the corresponding mutants did not have reduced fitness within oysters.

Previous studies suggest that environmental conditions can interfere with expression of sdiA (32). Conditions within an oyster shell are strongly influenced by the external estuarine water. In vitro examination of the effect of temperature and salinity on expression of *sdiA-tnpR* showed stronger resolution at 22°C than at 37°C, indicating that SdiA is expressed at environmentally relevant temperatures, not solely the body temperature of vertebrate hosts. At 22°C, resolution of sdiA-tnpR was significantly higher on medium with 150 mM and 275 mM NaCl. This could indicate a salinity-specific response but could also be due to growth inhibition at the lowest and highest salinities. Decreased resolution of sdiA-tnpR on 1/2-strength ASW soft agar and oyster agar at 22°C, compared to LB agar, suggests that nutrient conditions may also affect the regulation of sdiA. The presence of AHL did not significantly alter sdiA-tnpR resolution, confirming that AHLs do not regulate sdiA. AHL-mediated expression of srgE at 22°C required sdiA, consistent with previous reports (36). Expression of srgE*tnpR* at 22°C appears to decline at salinities above 150 mM but still demonstrates a response to AHLs in an SdiA-dependent manner at 275 mM. Taken together, these results suggest that the temperatures and salinities likely to be encountered by Salmonella when it colonizes an oyster host do not inhibit activation of sdiA or srgE. However, the lack of resolution of both *sdiA-tnpR* and *srgE-tnpR* in oysters, compared to on LB agar without AHLs, suggests either that the SdiA signal detection system is not active when oysters are being colonized or that the RIVET system employed here is not sufficiently sensitive. The absence of significant in vivo competitive fitness phenotypes for $\Delta sdiA$, Δrck , and $\Delta sdiA$ Δrck mutants further supports the conclusion that SdiA-mediated detection of AHLs does not contribute to the colonization of oysters by Salmonella.

Interestingly, Rck is related to PagN, which was identified during the library screen but also showed no fitness phenotype during competitive coinfection of live oysters. A *pagN* mutation severely attenuates invasion of human epithelial cells and survival in mice, although the complete invasion mechanism is not currently understood (56–58).

A study which examined the fate of enteric pathogens exposed to live oysters found that most cells are shed intact in feces and remained viable, suggesting that oysters are able to prevent an active expansion of the *Salmonella* population via elimination from the digestive tract but do not necessarily induce significant pathogen mortality (59). This low mortality combined with a lack of growth, possibly due to limited nutrients, seems to place low selective pressure on the *Salmonella* population which remains in the oyster and could account for the small number of genes associated with a colonization phenotype during this study. Ultimately, *Salmonella* colonization of and persistence in oysters may be more a function of environmental adaptations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

C.E.C. was supported by an NSF graduate research fellowship, a USDA NIFA postdoctoral fellowship, AFRI competitive grant no. 2012-67012-19708, and Current Research Information System project FLA-SWS-005175. M.M. was supported in part by NIH grants AI039557 AI052237, AI073971, AI075093, AI077645, and AI083646, USDA grants 2009-03579 and 2011-67017-30127, and the Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund.

We thank Tommy Ward in Apalachicola Bay, FL, and Cooke's Oysters and Seafood in Cedar Key, FL, for providing oysters. We are grateful to B. M. M. Ahmer for sharing *Salmonella* strains used in this study. We thank Steffen Porwollik for assistance with strains and helpful discussions.

FUNDING INFORMATION

National Science Foundation provided funding to Clayton E. Cox. USDA NIFA Postdoctoral Fellowship provided funding to Clayton E. Cox under grant number 2012-67012-005175. USDA AFRI provided funding to Anita C. Wright under grant number 2012-67012-19708.

REFERENCES

- 1. Heinitz ML, Ruble RD, Wagner DE, Tatini SR. 2000. Incidence of *Salmonella* in fish and seafood. J Food Prot **63**:579–592.
- Brands DA, Inman AE, Gerba CP, Maré CJ, Billington SJ, Saif LA, Levine JF, Joens LA. 2005. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in oysters in the United States. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:893–897. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AEM.71.2.893-897.2005.
- DePaola A, Jones JL, Woods J, Burkhardt W, Calci KR, Krantz JA, Bowers JC, Kasturi K, Byars RH, Jacobs E, Williams-Hill D, Nabe K. 2010. Bacterial and viral pathogens in live oysters: 2007 United States market survey. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:2754–2768. http://dx.doi.org /10.1128/AEM.02590-09.
- 4. Center for Science in the Public Interest. 2004. Closing the gap in our federal food-safety net. Center for Science in the Public Interest, Washington, DC.
- Glynn JR, Bradley DJ. 1992. The relationship between infecting dose and severity of disease in reported outbreaks of *Salmonella* infections. Epidemiol Infect 109:371–388. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800050366.
- 6. Janssen WA. 1974. Oysters: retention and excretion of three types of human waterborne disease bacteria. Health Lab Sci 11:20–24.
- 7. Prieur D, Mevel G, Nicolas J, Plusquellec A, Vigneulle M. 1990. Interactions between bivalve molluscs and bacteria in the marine environment. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 28:277–352.
- 8. Newell RIE, Langdon CJ. 1996. Mechanisms and physiology of larval and adult feeding, p 185–230. *In* Kennedy VS, Newell RIE, Eble AF (ed), The Eastern oyster: Crassostrea virginica. Maryland Sea Grant College, College Park, MD.
- 9. Timoney JF, Abston A. 1984. Accumulation and elimination of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* by hard clams in an in vitro system. Appl Environ Microbiol 47:986–988.
- Kueh CS, Chan KY. 1985. Bacteria in bivalve shellfish with special reference to the oyster. J Appl Bacteriol 59:41–47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-2672.1985.tb01773.x.
- 11. Morrison CM, Armstrong AE, Evans S, Mild RM, Langdon CJ, Joens LA. 2011. Survival of *Salmonella* Newport in oysters. Int J Food Microbiol 148:93–98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.05.006.
- 12. Nishio T, Nakamori J, Miyazaki K. 1981. Survival of *Salmonella* Typhi in oysters. Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg B 172:415–426.
- Hartland BJ, Timoney JF. 1979. *In vivo* clearance of enteric bacteria from the hemolymph of the hard clam and the American oyster. Appl Environ Microbiol 37:517–520.
- Murphree RL, Tamplin ML. 1995. Uptake and retention of Vibrio cholerae O1 in the Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica. Appl Environ Microbiol 61:3656–3660.
- Plusquellec A, Beucher M, Le Lay C, Gueguen D, Le Gal Y. 1994. Uptake and retention of *Salmonella* by bivalve shellfish. J Shellfish Res 13:221–227.
- Pruzzo C, Gallo G, Canesi L. 2005. Persistence of vibrios in marine bivalves: the role of interactions with haemolymph components. Environ Microbiol 7:761–772. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00792.x.
- 17. Duperthuy M, Binesse J, Le Roux F, Romestand B, Caro A, Got P, Givaudan A, Mazel D, Bachère E, Destoumieux-Garzón D. 2010. The

major outer membrane protein OmpU of *Vibrio splendidus* contributes to host antimicrobial peptide resistance and is required for virulence in the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Environ Microbiol 12:951–963. http://dx.doi.org /10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02138.x.

- Saulnier D, De Decker S, Haffner P, Cobret L, Robert M, Garcia C. 2010. A large-scale epidemiological study to identify bacteria pathogenic to Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and correlation between virulence and metalloprotease-like activity. Microb Ecol 59:787–798. http://dx.doi.org /10.1007/s00248-009-9620-y.
- Srivastava M, Tucker MS, Gulig PA, Wright AC. 2009. Phase variation, capsular polysaccharide, pilus and flagella contribute to uptake of *Vibrio vulnificus* by the Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*). Environ Microbiol 11:1934–1944. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01916.x.
- Morrison CM, Dial SM, Day WA, Joens LA. 2012. Investigations of Salmonella enterica serovar Newport infections of oysters by using immunohistochemistry and knockout mutagenesis. Appl Environ Microbiol 78: 2867–2873. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.07456-11.
- 21. Dobretsov S, Teplitski M, Paul V. 2009. Mini-review: quorum sensing in the marine environment and its relationship to biofouling. Biofouling 25:413–427. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08927010902853516.
- Atkinson S, Williams P. 2009. Quorum sensing and social networking in the microbial world. J R Soc Interface 6:959–978. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1098/rsif.2009.0203.
- Ahmer BMM, van Reeuwijk J, Timmers CD, Valentine PJ, Heffron F. 1998. *Salmonella typhimurium* encodes an SdiA homolog, a putative quorum sensor of the LuxR family, that regulates genes on the virulence plasmid. J Bacteriol 180:1185–1193.
- Taga ME, Semmelhack JL, Bassler BL. 2001. The LuxS-dependent autoinducer AI-2 controls the expression of an ABC transporter that functions in AI-2 uptake in *Salmonella* Typhimurium. Mol Microbiol 42:777–793.
- Ahmer BMM. 2004. Cell-to-cell signalling in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmo-nella enterica*. Mol Microbiol 52:933–945. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j .1365-2958.2004.04054.x.
- Arrach N, Zhao M, Porwollik S, Hoffman RM, McClelland M. 2008. Salmonella promoters preferentially activated inside tumors. Cancer Res 68:4827–4832. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0552.
- Noel JT, Arrach N, Alagely A, McClelland M, Teplitski M. 2010. Specific responses of *Salmonella enterica* to tomato varieties and fruit ripeness identified by *in vivo* expression technology. PLoS One 5:e12406. http://dx .doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012406.
- Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:6640–6645. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297.
- Osorio CG, Crawford JA, Michalski J, Martinez-Wilson H, Kaper JB, Camilli A. 2005. Second-generation recombination-based in vivo expression technology for large-scale screening for *Vibrio cholerae* genes induced during infection of the mouse small intestine. Infect Immun 73:972–980. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.2.972-980.2005.
- Merighi M, Ellermeier CD, Slauch JM, Gunn JS. 2005. Resolvase-*in vivo* expression technology analysis of the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium PhoP and PmrA regulons in BALB/c mice. J Bacteriol 187:7407– 7416. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.21.7407-7416.2005.
- Angelichio MJ, Camilli A. 2002. In vivo expression technology. Infect Immun 70:6518–6523. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.70.12.6518-6523 .2002.
- Noel JT, Joy J, Smith JN, Fatica M, Schneider KR, Ahmer BMM, Teplitski M. 2010. Salmonella SdiA recognizes N-acyl homoserine lactone signals from *Pectobacterium carotovorum in vitro*, but not in a bacterial soft rot. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 23:273–282. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094 /MPMI-23-3-0273.
- 33. Duperthuy M, Schmitt P, Garzón E, Caro A, Rosa RD, Le Roux F, Lautrédou-Audouy N, Got P, Romestand B, de Lorgeril J, Kieffer-Jaquinod S, Bachère E, Destoumieux-Garzón D. 2011. Use of OmpU porins for attachment and invasion of *Crassostrea gigas* immune cells by the oyster pathogen *Vibrio splendidus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:2993– 2998. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1015326108.
- Cha C, Gao P, Chen YC, Shaw PD, Farrand SK. 1998. Production of acyl-homoserine lactone quorum-sensing signals by gram-negative plantassociated bacteria. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 11:1119–1129. http://dx .doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.1998.11.11.1119.
- McClean KH, Winson MK, Fish L, Taylor A, Chhabra SR, Camara M, Daykin M, Lamb JH, Swift S, Bycroft BW, Stewart GSA, Williams BP. 1997. Quorum sensing and *Chromobacterium violaceum*: exploitation of

violacein production and inhibition for the detection of N-acylhomoserine lactones. Microbiology 143:3703–3711. http://dx.doi.org /10.1099/00221287-143-12-3703.

- Smith JN, Ahmer BMM. 2003. Detection of other microbial species by Salmonella: expression of the SdiA regulon. J Bacteriol 185:1357–1366. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.4.1357-1366.2003.
- 37. Dyszel JL, Smith JN, Lucas DE, Soares JA, Swearingen MC, Vross MA, Young GM, Ahmer BMM. 2010. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium can detect acyl homoserine lactone production by Yersinia enterocolitica in mice. J Bacteriol 192:29–37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB .01139-09.
- 38. Smith JN, Dyszel JL, Soares JA, Ellermeier CD, Altier C, Lawhon SD, Adams LG, Konjufca V, Curtiss R, Slauch JM, Ahmer BMM. 2008. SdiA, an N-acylhomoserine lactone receptor, becomes active during the transit of *Salmonella enterica* through the gastrointestinal tract of turtles. PLoS One 3:e2826. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002826.
- Krueger CL, Sheikh W. 1987. A new selective medium for isolating *Pseudomonas* spp. from water. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:895–897.
- Tchawa Yimga M, Leatham MP, Allen JH, Laux DC, Conway T, Cohen PS. 2006. Role of gluconeogenesis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle in the virulence of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium in BALB/c mice. Infect Immun 74:1130–1140. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.2.1130 -1140.2006.
- Weber H, Polen T, Heuveling J, Wendisch VF, Hengge R. 2005. Genome-wide analysis of the general stress response network in *Escherichia coli*: σS-dependent genes, promoters, and sigma factor selectivity. J Bacteriol 187:1591–1603. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.5.1591-1603.2005.
- White-Ziegler CA, Um S, Pérez NM, Berns AL, Malhowski AJ, Young S. 2008. Low temperature (23°C) increases expression of biofilm-, coldshock- and RpoS-dependent genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12. Microbiology 154:148–166. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2007/012021-0.
- Cox CE, McClelland M, Teplitski M. 2013. Consequences of disrupting Salmonella AI-2 signaling on interactions within soft rots. Phytopathology 103:352–361. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-09-12-0237-FI.
- Canesi L, Gallo G, Gavioli M, Pruzzo C. 2002. Bacteria-hemocyte interactions and phagocytosis in marine bivalves. Microsc Res Tech 57: 469–476. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jemt.10100.
- 45. Hensel M, Shea JE, Waterman SR, Mundy R, Nikolaus T, Banks G, Vazquez-Torres A, Gleeson C, Fang FC, Holden DW. 1998. Genes encoding putative effector proteins of the type III secretion system of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 are required for bacterial virulence and proliferation in macrophages. Mol Microbiol 30:163–174. http://dx.doi .org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01047.x.
- 46. Cirillo DM, Valdivia RH, Monack DM, Falkow S. 1998. Macrophagedependent induction of the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 type III secretion system and its role in intracellular survival. Mol Microbiol 30: 175–188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.01048.x.
- Brands DA, Billington SJ, Levine JF, Joens LA. 2005. Genotypes and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* Newport isolates from U.S. market oysters. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2:111–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089 /fpd.2005.2.111.
- Brillhart CD, Joens LA. 2011. Prevalence and characterization of Salmonella serovars isolated from oysters served raw in restaurants. J Food Prot 74:1025–1029. http://dx.doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-10-443.
- Deiwick J, Nikolaus T, Erdogan S, Hensel M. 1999. Environmental regulation of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 gene expression. Mol Microbiol 31:1759–1773. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01312.x.
- 50. Löber S, Jäckel D, Kaiser N, Hensel M. 2006. Regulation of *Salmonella* pathogenicity island 2 genes by independent environmental signals. Int J

Med Microbiol **296:4**35–447. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2006.05 .001.

- Walthers D, Carroll RK, Navarre WW, Libby SJ, Fang FC, Kenney LJ. 2007. The response regulator SsrB activates expression of diverse Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 promoters and counters silencing by the nucleoid-associated protein H-NS. Mol Microbiol 65:477–493. http://dx.doi .org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05800.x.
- Bayoumi MA, Griffiths MW. 2010. Probiotics down-regulate genes in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium pathogenicity islands 1 and 2. J Food Prot 73:452–460.
- 53. Boyen F, Pasmans F, Van Immerseel F, Morgan E, Botteldoorn N, Heyndrickx M, Volf J, Favoreel H, Hernalsteens J-P, Ducatelle R, Haesebrouck F. 2008. A limited role for SsrA/B in persistent Salmonella Typhimurium infections in pigs. Vet Microbiol 128:364–373. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.10.031.
- Habyarimana F, Sabag-Daigle A, Ahmer BMM. 2014. The SdiAregulated gene *srgE* encodes a type III secreted effector. J Bacteriol 196: 2301–2312. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01602-14.
- Rosselin M, Virlogeux-Payant I, Roy C, Bottreau E, Sizaret P-Y, Mijouin L, Germon P, Caron E, Velge P, Wiedemann A. 2010. Rck of *Salmonella enterica*, subspecies enterica serovar enteritidis, mediates zipper-like internalization. Cell Res 20:647–664. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cr.2010.45.
- Heithoff DM, Conner CP, Hanna PC, Julio SM, Hentschel U, Mahan MJ. 1997. Bacterial infection as assessed by *in vivo* gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:934–939. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.3.934.
- Lambert MA, Smith SGJ. 2009. The PagN protein mediates invasion via interaction with proteoglycan. FEMS Microbiol Lett 297:209–216. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01666.x.
- Conner CP, Heithoff DM, Julio SM, Sinsheimer RL, Mahan MJ. 1998. Differential patterns of acquired virulence genes distinguish *Salmonella* strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:4641–4645. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073 /pnas.95.8.4641.
- Rowse AJ, Fleet GH. 1982. Viability and release of Salmonella charity and Escherichia coli from oyster feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 44:544–548.
- Macinga DR, Parojcic MM, Rather PN. 1995. Identification and analysis of *aarP*, a transcriptional activator of the 2'-N-acetyltransferase in *Providencia stuartii*. J Bacteriol 177:3407–3413.
- Metcalf WW, Jiang W, Daniels LL, Kim SK, Haldimann A, Wanner BL. 1996. Conditionally replicative and conjugative plasmids carrying *lacZα* for cloning, mutagenesis, and allele replacement in bacteria. Plasmid 35: 1–13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/plas.1996.0001.
- Worley MJ, Ching KHL, Heffron F. 2000. Salmonella SsrB activates a global regulon of horizontally acquired genes. Mol Microbiol 36:749–761.
- Santiviago CA, Reynolds MM, Porwollik S, Choi SH, Long F, Andrews-Polymenis HL, McClelland M. 2009. Analysis of pools of targeted Salmonella deletion mutants identifies novel genes affecting fitness during competitive infection in mice. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000477. http://dx.doi.org /10.1371/journal.ppat.1000477.
- 64. Ahmer BMM, van Reeuwijk J, Watson PR, Wallis TS, Heffron F. 1999. *Salmonella* SirA is a global regulator of genes mediating enteropathogenesis. Mol Microbiol 31:971–982. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958 .1999.01244.x.
- Ahmer BMM, Smith JN, Dyszel JL, Lindsay A. 2007. Methods in cellto-cell signaling in *Salmonella*. Methods Mol Biol 394:307–322. http://dx .doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-512-1_15.
- 66. Cherepanov PP, Wackernagel W. 1995. Gene disruption in *Escherichia coli*: Tc^R and Km^R cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance determinant. Gene 158:9–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00193-A.