UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Observation of a structure at 1.84 GeV/c2 in the 3(π + π -) mass spectrum in J/ ψ \rightarrow γ 3(π + π -) decays

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7v18743g

Journal Physical Review D, 88(9)

ISSN

2470-0010

Authors

Ablikim, M Achasov, MN Albayrak, O <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2013-11-01

DOI 10.1103/physrevd.88.091502

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Observation of a structure at 1.84 GeV/c² in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ mass spectrum in $J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ decays

M. Ablikim¹, M. N. Achasov^{6,a}, O. Albayrak³, D. J. Ambrose³⁹, F. F. An¹, Q. An⁴⁰, J. Z. Bai¹, R. Baldini Ferroli^{17A}, Y. Ban²⁶, J. Becker², J. V. Bennett¹⁶, M. Bertani^{17A}, J. M. Bian³⁸, E. Boger^{19,b}, O. Bondarenko²⁰, I. Boyko¹⁹, R. A. Briere³, Y. Ban²⁶, J. Becker², J. V. Bennett¹⁶, M. Bertani^{17A}, J. M. Bian³⁸, E. Boger^{19,b}, O. Bondarenko²⁰, I. Boyko¹⁹, R. A. Briere³, V. Bytev¹⁹, H. Cai⁴⁴, X. Cai¹, O. Cakir^{34A}, A. Calcaterra^{17A}, G. F. Cao¹, S. A. Cetin^{34B}, J. F. Chang¹, G. Chelkov^{19,b}, G. Chen¹, H. S. Chen¹, J. C. Chen¹, M. L. Chen¹, S. J. Chen²⁴, X. Chen²⁶, X. R. Chen²¹, Y. B. Chen¹, H. P. Cheng¹⁴, Y. P. Chu¹, D. Cronin-Hennessy³⁸, H. L. Dai¹, J. P. Dai¹, D. Dedovich¹⁹, Z. Y. Deng¹, A. Denig¹⁸, I. Denysenko¹⁹, M. Destefanis^{43A,43C}, W. M. Ding²⁸, Y. Ding²², L. Y. Dong¹, M. Y. Dong¹, S. X. Du⁴⁶, J. Fang¹, S. S. Fang¹, L. Fava^{43B,43C}, C. Q. Feng⁴⁰, P. Friedel², C. D. Fu¹, J. L. Fu²⁴, O. Fuks^{19,b}, Y. Gao³³, C. Geng⁴⁰, K. Goetzen⁷, W. X. Gong¹, W. Gradl¹⁸, M. Greco^{43A,43C}, M. H. Gu¹, Y. T. Gu⁹, Y. H. Guan³⁶, A. Q. Guo²⁵, L. B. Guo²³, T. Guo²³, Y. P. Guo²⁵, Y. L. Han¹, F. A. Harris³⁷, K. L. He¹, M. He¹, Z. Y. He²⁵, T. Held², Y. K. Heng¹, Z. L. Hou¹, C. Hu²³, H. M. Hu¹, J. F. Hu³⁵, T. Hu¹, G. M. Husang⁴, G. S. Husang⁴⁰, I. S. Husang¹², L. Husang¹², X. T. Husang²⁸, Y. Husang⁴², C. S. Husang⁴⁰, D. B¹ M. Greeo^{13,4,43C}, M. H. Gu¹, Y. T. Gu², Y. H. Guan³⁶, A. Q. Guo²⁵, L. B. Guo²³, T. Guo²³, Y. P. Guo²⁵, Y. L. Han¹,
F. A. Harris³⁷, K. L. He¹, M. He¹, Z. Y. He²⁵, T. Held³, Y. K. Heng¹, Z. L. Hou¹, C. Hu², H. M. Hu¹, J. F. Hu¹⁵, T. Hu¹,
G. M. Huang⁴, G. S. Huang⁴⁰, J. S. Huang¹¹, L. L. Jiang¹, X. S. Jiang¹, J. B. Jiao²⁸, Z. Jiao¹⁴, D. P. Jin¹, S. Jin¹, F. F. Jing³³,
N. Kalantar-Nayestanaki²⁰, M. Kavatsyuk²⁰, B. Kopf², M. Kornice³⁷, W. Kuehn³⁵, W. Lai, J. S. Lange³⁵, M. Lara¹⁶, P. Larin¹¹, M. Leyhe⁵, C. H. Li¹, Cheng Li¹⁰, Cui Li⁴⁰, D. M. Li⁴⁰, F. Li¹, G. Li¹, H. B. Li¹, J. C. Li¹, K. Li¹⁰, Lei Li¹,
Q. J. Li¹, S. L. Li¹, W. D. Li¹, W. G. Li¹, X. L. Li²⁸, X. N. Li¹, X. Q. Li²⁰, X. R. Li²⁷, Z. B. Li³², H. Liang⁴⁰, Y. F. Liang³⁰, Y. T. Liang³⁵, G. R. Liaa³³, X. T. Liao¹, D. Lin¹¹, B. J. Liu¹, K. Lu¹³, K. Y. Liu²², P. L. Liu²⁸, G. Liu³⁶, S. B. Liu⁴⁰,
Y. H. Lu¹⁰, H. B. Liu⁹, H. M. Liu¹, H. W. Liu¹, J. P. Lu⁴⁴, X. C. Lu³⁷, X. L. Luo^{11, C}, G. R. Lu¹², H. J. Lu¹⁴, J. G. Lu¹,
Q. W. Lu²⁹, X. R. Lu³⁶, Y. Lu¹, C. L. Lu²³, M. X. Luo⁴⁵, T. Luo³⁷, X. L. Luo¹, M. Lv¹, G. L. Lu³⁶, G. N. Muchn^{66,4}, H. Muramatt³⁰, Y. Mefedov¹⁹, C. Nicholson³⁶, I. B. Nikolave^{66,4}, Z. Nin⁴, S. L. Olsen³⁷,
Z. P. Mao¹, J. G. Meesschendorp²⁰, J. Min¹, T. J. Min¹, R. E. Mitchell¹⁵, X. H. Mo¹, H. Moeini²⁰, C. Morales Morales¹¹,
K. Moriy⁴⁶, N. Yu. Muchn^{66,44}, H. Muramatt³⁰, Y. Mefedov¹⁹, C. Nicholson³⁶, I. B. Nikolave^{66,4}, Z. Nin⁴, S. L. Olsen³⁷,
Q. Ouyang¹, S. Pacetti^{17B}, J. W. Park³⁷, M. Pelizaeus², H. P. Peng⁴⁰, K. Peters⁷, J. L. Ping²³, R. G. Ping¹, R. Poling³⁸,
E. Prencipe¹⁸, M. Qi²⁴, S. Gian¹, C. F. Qiao³⁶, L. Q. Qin³², X. S. Qin¹, Y. Gin³⁵, Z. S. Sun¹, Y.

(BESIII Collaboration)

¹ Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China ² Pashum Pahm University, D //780, Peoplem Commany

Bochum Ruhr-University, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

³ Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

⁴ Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China

⁵ China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

⁶ G.I. Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS (BINP), Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

⁷ GSI Helmholtzcentre for Heavy Ion Research GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany

⁸ Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, People's Republic of China

⁹ GuangXi University, Nanning 530004, People's Republic of China

¹⁰ Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China

¹¹ Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

¹² Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, People's Republic of China

¹³ Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang 471003, People's Republic of China

¹⁴ Huangshan College, Huangshan 245000, People's Republic of China

- ¹⁵ Hunan University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China
 - ¹⁶ Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA

¹⁷ (A)INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044, Frascati,

Italy; (B)INFN and University of Perugia, I-06100, Perugia, Italy

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

1

2

3

4 5

61	¹⁸ Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Johann-Joachim-Becher-Weg 45, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
62	¹⁹ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia
63	²⁰ KVI, University of Groningen, NL-9747 AA Groningen, The Netherlands
64	²¹ Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China
65	²² Liaoning University, Shenyang 110036, People's Republic of China
66	²³ Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, People's Republic of China
67	²⁴ Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
68	²⁵ Nankai university,
69	²⁶ Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China
70	²⁷ Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-747 Korea
71	²⁸ Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China
72	²⁹ Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, People's Republic of China
73	³⁰ Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China
74	³¹ Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China
75	³² Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China
76	³³ Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China
77	³⁴ (A)Ankara University, Dogol Caddesi, 06100 Tandogan, Ankara, Turkey; (B)Dogus
78	University, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey; (C)Uludag University, 16059 Bursa, Turkey
79	35 Universitaet Giessen, D-35392 Giessen, Germany
80	³⁶ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China
81	³⁷ University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA
82	³⁸ University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA
83	³⁹ University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA
84	⁴⁰ University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China
85	⁴¹ University of South China, Hengyang 421001, People's Republic of China
86	⁴² University of the Punjab, Lahore-54590, Pakistan
87	43 (A)University of Turin, I-10125, Turin, Italy; (B)University of Eastern
88	Piedmont, I-15121, Alessandria, Italy; (C)INFN, I-10125, Turin, Italy
89	⁴⁴ Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, People's Republic of China
90	⁴⁵ Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China
91	⁴⁶ Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, People's Republic of China
92	^a Also at the Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia
93	^b Also at the Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow 141700, Russia
94	^c Also at University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75083, USA
95	^d Also at the PNPI, Gatchina 188300, Russia
96	e Present address: Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

With a sample of 225.3 million J/ψ events taken with the BESIII detector, the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow$ $\gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ is analyzed. A structure at 1.84 GeV/c² is observed in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ invariant mass spectrum with a statistical significance of 7.6σ . The mass and width are measured to be $M = 1842.2 \pm 4.2^{+7.1}_{-2.6} \text{ MeV/c}^2$ and $\Gamma = 83 \pm 14 \pm 11 \text{ MeV}$. The product branching fraction is determined to be $B(J/\psi \to \gamma X(1840)) \times B(X(1840) \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) = (2.44 \pm 0.36^{+0.60}_{-0.74}) \times 10^{-5}$. No η' signals are observed in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ invariant mass spectrum, and the upper limit of the branching fraction for the decay $\eta' \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ is set to be 3.1×10^{-5} at a 90% confidence level.

Within the framework of Quantum Chromodynamics112 97 (QCD), the existence of gluon self-coupling suggests that₁₁₃ 98 in addition to conventional meson and baryon states,114 99 there may exist bound states such as glueballs, hybrid₁₁₅ 100 states and multiquark states. Experimental searches for₁₁₆ 101 glueballs and hybrid states have been carried out for117 102 many years, and so far no conclusive evidence has been118 103 found. The establishment of new forms of hadronic mat-119 104 ter beyond simple quark-antiquark system remains one120 105 of the main interests in experimental particle physics. 121 106

Decays of the J/ψ particle have always been regarded¹²² 107 as an ideal environment in which to study light $hadron^{123}$ 108 spectroscopy and search for new hadrons. At BESII, im-¹²⁴ 109 portant advances in light hadron spectroscopy were made¹²⁵ 110 using studies of J/ψ radiative decays [1–3]. Of interest is¹²⁶ 111

the observation of the X(1835) state in $J/\psi \to \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$ decay, which was confirmed recently by BESIII [4] and CLEO-c [5]. Since the discovery of the X(1835), many possible interpretations have been proposed, such as a $p\bar{p}$ bound state [6–9], a glueball [10, 11], or a radial excitation of the η' meson [12, 13]. In the search for the X(1835) in other J/ψ hadronic decays, BESIII reported the first observation of the X(1870) in $J/\psi \rightarrow$ $\omega \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$ [14]. More recently, BESIII performed spinparity analyses of threshold structures, the $X(p\bar{p})$, observed in $J/\psi \to \gamma p\bar{p}$ [15], and the X(1810), observed in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \omega \phi$ [16]. The spin-parity of the $X(p\bar{p})$ is found to be 0^{-+} and the X(1810) is confirmed to be a 0^{++} state. To understand their nature, further study is strongly needed, in particular, in searching for new decay

modes. 127

Since the X(1835) was confirmed to be a pseudoscalar 128 particle [4] and it may have properties in common with 129 the η_c . Six charged pions is a known decay mode of the 130 η_c ; therefore, J/ψ radiative decays to $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ may be a 131 favorable channel to search for the X states in the 1.8 -132 1.9 GeV/c^2 region. 133

In this letter, we present results of a study of $J/\psi \rightarrow$ 134 $\gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ decays using a sample of $(225.3 \pm 2.8) \times 10^6$ 135 J/ψ events [18] collected with the BESIII detector [19]. 136 A structure at 1.84 GeV/ c^2 (denoted as X(1840) in this 137 letter), is clearly observed in the mass spectrum of six 138 charged pions. Meanwhile in an attempt to search for 139 η' decaying into six charged pions, no η' signals are ob-140 served. The upper limit on the decay branching fraction 141 is set at a 90% confidence level. 142

The BESIII detector is a magnetic spectrometer lo-143 cated at BEPCII [20], a double-ring e^+e^- collider with 144 the design peak luminosity of 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ at a cen-145 ter of mass energy of 3.773 GeV. The cylindrical core 146 of the BESIII detector consists of a helium-based main 147 drift chamber (MDC), a plastic scintillator time-of-flight¹⁸³ 148 system (TOF), and a CsI(Tl) electromagnetic calorim-¹⁸⁴ 149 eter (EMC), which are all enclosed in a superconduct-185 150 ing solenoidal magnet providing a 1.0 T magnetic field.¹⁸⁶ 151 The solenoid is supported by an octagonal flux-return¹⁸⁷ 152 voke with resistive plate counter muon identifier mod-188 153 ules interleaved with steel. The acceptance of charged189 154 particles and photons is 93% over 4π solid angle, and¹⁹⁰ 155 the charged-particle momentum resolution at 1 GeV/c is¹⁹¹ 156 0.5%. The EMC measures photon energies with the reso-192 157 lution of 2.5% (5%) at 1 GeV in the barrel (endcaps). 193 158 Monte Carlo (MC) simulations are used to estimate¹⁹⁴ 159

the backgrounds and determine the detection efficiency.¹⁹⁵ 160 Simulated events are processed using GEANT4 [21, 22],¹⁹⁶ 161 where measured detector resolutions are incorporated. 197 162

Charged tracks are reconstructed using hits in the¹⁹⁸ 163 MDC and are required to pass within ± 10 cm from the¹⁹⁹ 164 interaction point in the beam direction and ± 1 cm in²⁰⁰ 165 the perpendicular plane to the beam. The polar angle²⁰¹ 166 of the charged tracks should be in the region $|\cos \theta| < 202$ 167 0.93. Photon candidates are selected from showers in the²⁰³ 168 EMC with the energy deposit in the EMC barrel region²⁰⁴ 169 $(|\cos \theta| < 0.8)$ greater than 25 MeV and in the EMC²⁰⁵ 170 endcap region (0.86 < $|\cos \theta| < 0.92$) greater than 50²⁰⁶ 171 MeV. The photon candidates should be isolated from the₂₀₇ 172 charged tracks by an opening angle of 10° . 173 208

Candidate events are required to have six charged₂₀₉ 174 tracks with zero net charge and at least one photon. All₂₁₀ 175 the charged tracks are assumed to be pions. The candi-211 176 date events are required to successfully pass a primary₂₁₂ 177 vertex fit. A four-momentum constraint (4C) kinematic₂₁₃ 178 fit is performed to the $J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ hypothesis, and²¹⁴ 179 the χ^2_{4C} is required to be less than 30. If the number of 215 180 photon candidates is more than one, the $\gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ com-216 181 bination with the minimum χ^2_{4C} is selected. To suppress₂₁₇ 182



10³

10²

FIG. 1. Distribution of the invariant mass of $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ from $J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ events. The dots with error bars are data; the histogram is phase space events with an arbitrary normalization.

background events with multi-photons in the final states, $P_{t\gamma}^2 = 2|\vec{P}_{\rm miss}|^2(1-\cos\theta_{\rm miss})$ is required to be less than $0.0004 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2/\mathrm{c}^2$, where \vec{P}_{miss} is the missing momentum of the six charged tracks and $\theta_{\rm miss}$ is the angle between the missing momentum and the momentum of the radiative photon. To further reject backgrounds with additional photons in the final state, the χ^2_{4C} of four-constraint kinematic fit in the hypothesis of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ is required to be less than that of the $\gamma\gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ hypothesis, and the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass in the $\gamma\gamma3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ hypothesis is required to be $|M(\gamma\gamma) - M(\pi^0)| > 0.01 \text{ GeV/c}^2$. To suppress background events with $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ in the final state, K_S candidates are reconstructed from secondary vertex fits to all oppositely charged track pairs. The invariant mass $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ must be within the range $|M(\pi^+\pi^-) - M(K_S)| < 0.005 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, where the $M(K_S)$ is the nominal K_S mass [17]. The number of K_S candidates is required to be less than 2.

Figure 1 shows the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ invariant mass spectrum for events that survive the above selection criteria, where a clear η_c peak is observed around 2.98 GeV/c², no evident η' signal is observed, and a distinct enhancement is seen around 1.84 GeV/c². In Fig. 2, the $M(3(\pi^+\pi^-))$ distribution is plotted in the range [1.55, 2.15] GeV/c^2 .

To investigate possible backgrounds, we use a MC sample of 225 million simulated J/ψ decays, in which the decays with known branching fractions [17] are generated by BESEVTGEN [23] and unmeasured J/ψ decays by the Lundcharm model [24]. With the same selection criteria, we find no evident structure at 1.84 GeV/c^2 . The background resulting from other, incorrectly reconstructed event topologies is mainly from $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$, which show no structure at 1.84 GeV/c² in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ mass spectrum. To estimate this contribution, we reconstruct the $J/\psi \to \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ decay from data and



FIG. 2. The fit of mass spectrum of $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$. The dots with²⁶⁷ error bars are data; the solid line is the fit result. The dashed line represents all the backgrounds, including the background²⁶⁸ events from $J/\psi \to \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ (dash-dotted line, fixed in²⁶⁹ the fit) and a third-order polynomial representing other back-²⁷⁰ grounds.²⁷¹

273

then re-weight the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ invariant mass spectrum by 274 218 a multiplicative weighting factor $\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_2$, where ε_1 and ε_{2275} 219 are the efficiencies for $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MC events₂₇₆ 220 to pass $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ se-277 221 lection criteria, respectively. The selection criteria for₂₇₈ 222 $J/\psi \to \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ are similar to those applied to $J/\psi \to_{279}$ 223 $\gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ except for the requirement of an additional₂₈₀ 224 photon. The background analysis shows that the struc-281 225 ture at 1.84 GeV/c² in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ mass spectrum does₂₈₂ 226 not come from background events. 283 227

To extract the number of signal events associated with₂₈₄ 228 the peaking structure, an unbinned maximum likelihood₂₈₅ 229 fit is applied to the six pion mass spectrum. The fit in-286 230 cludes three components: a signal shape, shapes for the287 231 $J/\psi \to \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ background and other backgrounds,288 232 which have the same final states, but not contribute to₂₈₉ 233 the structure around 1.84 GeV/c^2 . The signal shape is₂₉₀ 234 described with a Breit-Wigner function modified by the291 235 effects of the phase space factor and the detection effi-292 236 ciency, which is determined by a phase-space MC simu-293 237 lation of $J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$. The Breit-Wigner function²⁹⁴ 238 is convolved with a Gaussian function to account for the²⁹⁵ 239 detector resolution (5.1 MeV/c^2 , determined from MC_{296} 240 simulation). For the background shape, the contribution₂₉₇ 241 from the $J/\psi \to \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ background, which is fixed₂₉₈ 242 in the fit and shown by the dash-dotted line in Fig. 2, is₂₉₉ 243 represented by the re-weighted $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ invariant mass₃₀₀ 244 spectrum, while other contributions are represented by a₃₀₁ 245 third-order polynomial. The total background is shown³⁰² 246 as the dashed line in Fig. 2. 303 247

The fit yields 632 ± 93 events in the peak at $1842.2\pm4.2_{304}$ MeV/c² and a width of $\Gamma=83\pm14$ MeV. The statistical₃₀₅

significance of the signal is determined from the change in log likelihood and the change of number of degrees of freedom (d.o.f) in the fit with and without the structure X(1840). Different possibilities have been studied by varying the fit range and the background shapes and by removing the phase space factor. Among all possibilities the smallest statistical significance was 7.6 σ corresponding to -2Δ lnL=67 and Δ d.o.f=3. With the detection efficiency, (11.5±0.1)%, obtained from the phase space MC simulation, the product branching fraction is measured to be $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X(1840)) \times B(X(1840) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) =$ (2.44±0.36) × 10⁻⁵, where the error is statistical only.

No η' events are observed in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ mass spectrum. The upper limit at the 90% confidence level is 2.44 events with the confidence intervals suggested in Ref. [25]. The detection efficiency in the mass region [0.928, 0.988] GeV/c² is determined to be $(7.8 \pm 0.1)\%$ from the MC simulation. Since only the statistical error is considered when we obtain the 90% upper limit of the number of events, the upper limit of the number of events is shifted up by one sigma of the total systematic uncertainty shown below in Table I. With the number of J/ψ events and the measured $B(J/\psi \to \gamma \eta') = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ [17], the upper limit of the branching fraction is obtained to be $B(\eta' \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) < 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$.

Sources of systematic errors and their corresponding contributions to the measurement of the branching fractions are summarized in Table I. The uncertainties in tracking and photon detection have been studied [26] and the difference between data and MC is about 2%per charged track and 1% per photon, which is taken as the systematic error. Uncertainty associated with the 4C kinematic fit comes from the inconsistency between data and MC simulation of the fit; this difference is reduced by correcting the track helix parameters of MC simulation, as described in detail in Ref. [27]. In this analysis, we take the efficiency with correction as the nominal value, and take the difference between the efficiencies with and without correction as the systematic uncertainty from the kinematic fit. The background uncertainty is determined by changing the background functions and the fit range. The uncertainties from the mass spectrum fit include contributions from the variation of the phase space factor and the possible impact of other resonances (eg. $f_2(2010)$). The systematic error for the $P_{t\gamma}^2$ selection criterion is estimated with the sample of $J/\psi \to \pi^0 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ by comparing the efficiency of this requirement between MC and data. For the detection efficiency uncertainty due to the unknown spin-parity of the structure, we use the difference between phase space and a pseudoscalar meson hypothesis. The uncertainties from MC statistics, the branching fraction of $J/\psi \to \gamma \eta'$ [17] and the flux of J/ψ events [18] are also considered. We assume all of these sources are independent, and take the total systematic error to be their sum in quadrature.

The systematic uncertainties on mass and width are

estimated from the mass scale, background shape, fit-306 ting range, mass spectrum fit, and possible biases due to 307 the fitting procedure. The uncertainty from the detector 308 resolution is checked by using a double Gaussian func-309 tion as the resolution function, and the change is found 310 to be negligible. The uncertainty from the mass scale 311 is estimated by fitting the η_c resonance in $M(3(\pi^+\pi^-))$ 312 spectrum. Uncertainties from the background shape and 313 fitting range are estimated by varying the functional form 314 used to represent the background and the fitting range. 315 Uncertainties from mass spectrum fit include contribu-316 tions from the variation of the phase space factor and 317 the possible impact of other resonances (eg. $f_2(2010)$). 318 Possible biases due to the fitting procedure are estimated 319 from differences between the input and output of the 320 mass and width values from MC studies. Adding these 321 sources in quadrature, the total systematic error on the 322 mass is $^{+7.1}_{-2.6}$ MeV/c² and on the width is ± 11 MeV. 323

TABLE I. Summary of the systematic uncertainties in the345branching fractions (in unit of %).346

Sources	X(1840)	η'
MDC tracking	12	12
Photon detection	1	1
$P_{t\gamma}^2$ cut	2.0	2.0
Kinematic fit	4.3	5.1
Background uncertainty	17.1	-
Mass spectrum fit	$^{+10.3}_{-20.3}$	-
Detection efficiency	6.1	-
MC statistics	0.9	1.3
$B(J/\psi \to \gamma \eta')$	-	2.9
Number of J/ψ events	1.2	1.2
Total	$^{+24.6}_{-30.2}$	13.7

358 359

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

In summary, we studied the decay $J/\psi \to \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)_{360}$ 324 with a 225.3 million J/ψ event sample [18] accumu-₃₆₁ 325 lated at the BESIII detector. A structure at 1.84₃₆₂ 326 GeV/c^2 is observed in the $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ mass spectrum with₃₆₃ 327 a statistical significance of 7.6 σ . Fitting the structure₃₆₄ 328 X(1840) with a modified Breit-Wigner function yields₃₆₅ 329 $M = 1842.2 \pm 4.2^{+7.1}_{-2.6} \text{ MeV/c}^2 \text{ and } \Gamma = 83 \pm 14 \pm 11_{366}$ 330 MeV. The product branching fraction is determined to₃₆₇ 331 be $B(J/\psi \to \gamma X(1840)) \times B(X(1840) \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) =_{368}$ 332 $(2.44 \pm 0.36^{+0.60}_{-0.74}) \times 10^{-5}$. The comparison to the BESIII₃₆₉ 333 results of the masses and widths of the X(1835) [4],370 334 $X(p\bar{p})$ [15], X(1870) [14], and X(1810) [16] are displayed₃₇₁ 335 in Fig. 3, where the mass of X(1840) is in agreement with₃₇₂ 336 those of X(1835) and $X(p\bar{p})$, while its width is signifi-373 337 cantly different from either of them. However, we do not₃₇₄ 338 include the BESII result in Fig. 3 as a more precise study₃₇₅ 339 of the X(1835) in BESIII [4] indicates that one must₃₇₆ 340 consider the presence of additional resonances above 2_{377} 341 GeV/c^2 that were not apparent in the BESII analysis₃₇₈ 342 to obtain an accurate determination of the width of the₃₇₉ 343 X(1835). Therefore, based on these data, one cannot₃₈₀ 344



FIG. 3. Comparisons of observations at BESIII. The error bars include statistical, systematic, and, where applicable, model uncertainties.

determine whether X(1840) is a new state or the signal of a $3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ decay mode of an existing state. Further study, including an amplitude analysis to determine the spin and parity of the X(1840), is needed to establish the relationship between different experimental observations in this mass region and determine the nature of the underlying resonance or resonances.

A search for $\eta' \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$ is also performed, but no η' signal is observed. The upper limit on the branching fraction for the decay at the 90% confidence level is $B(\eta' \to 3(\pi^+\pi^-)) < 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$, which is improved by one order of magnitude compared to the previous measurement [28].

The BESIII collaboration thanks the staff of BEPCII and the computing center for their strong support. This work is supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China under Contract No. 2009CB825200; National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contracts Nos. 10625524, 10821063, 10825524, 10835001, 10935007, 11125525, 11175189, 11235011; Joint Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contracts Nos. 11079008, 11179007; the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Large-Scale Scientific Facility Program: CAS under Contracts Nos. KJCX2-YW-N29, KJCX2-YW-N45; 100 Talents Program of CAS; German Research Foundation DFG under Contract No. Collaborative Research Center CRC-1044; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Italy; Ministry of Development of Turkey under Contract No. DPT2006K-120470; U. S. Department of Energy under Contracts Nos. DE-FG02-04ER41291, DE-FG02-05ER41374, DE-FG02-94ER40823; U.S. National Science Foundation; University of Groningen (RuG) and the Helmholtzzentrum fuer Schwerionenforschung GmbH (GSI), Darmstadt; National Research Foundation of Korea Grant No. 2011-0029457 and WU Grant No. R32-10155.

406 407 408

424

426

[1] J. Z. Bai et al. [BES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 91,409 022001 (2003).

381

382

405

- 410 [2] M. Ablikim et al. [BES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett.411 383 384 **95**, 262001 (2005). 412
- [3] M. Ablikim et al. [BES Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett.413 385 **96**, 162002 (2006). 386 414
- [4] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev.415 387 Lett. 106, 072002 (2011). 388 416
- [5] J. P. Alexander et al. [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. 417 389 D 82, 092002 (2010). 390 418
- [6] G. J. Ding and M. L. Yan, Phys. Rev. C $\mathbf{72},\ 015208_{419}$ 391 (2005); G. J. Ding and M. L. Yan, Eur. Phys. J. A 28, 420 392 351 (2006). 393 421
- [7] J. P. Dedonder, B. Loiseau, B. El-Bennich and₄₂₂ 394 S. Wycech, Phys. Rev. C 80, 045207 (2009). 395 423
- [8] C. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C 53, 413 (2008). 396
- [9] Z. G. Wang and S. L. Wan, J. Phys. G 34, 505 (2007). 397 425
- [10] B. A. Li, Phys. Rev. D 74, 034019 (2006). 398
- [11] N. Kochelev and D. P. Min, Phys. Lett. B 633, 283427 399 (2006).400 428
- [12] T. Huang and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 73, 014023 (2006).429 401
- [13] J. S. Yu, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D₄₃₀ 402
- 83, 114007 (2011). 403 431 M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. [14]404 Lett. 107, 182001 (2011).

- [15] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 112003 (2012).
- [16] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 87, 032008 (2013).
- [17] J. Beringer et al. [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 86, 010001 (2012).
- [18] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **36**, 915 (2012).
- [19] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 614, 345 (2010).
- [20] J. Z. Bai et al. [BES Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 458, 627 (2001).
- [21] S. Agostinelli et al. [GEANT4 Collaboration], Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [22]J. Allison et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53, 270 (2006).
- [23] R. G. Ping, Chin. Phys. C 32, 599 (2008).
- [24] J. C. Chen, G. S. Huang, X. R. Qi, D. H. Zhang and Y. S. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 62, 034003 (2000).
- [25] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998).
- [26]M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 83, 112005 (2011).
- M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D [27]87, 012002 (2013)
- P. Naik et al. [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. [28]**102**, 061801 (2009).