UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Spectral watertight surface reconstruction

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7pb947nv

ISBN 978-1-4503-7466-8

Authors

Kolluri, Ravi Krishna Shewchuk, Jonathan Richard O'Brien, James F

Publication Date 2003-07-27

DOI

10.1145/965400.965442

Peer reviewed

Spectral Watertight Surface Reconstruction

Ravi Krishna Kolluri University of California at Berkeley rkolluri@cs.berkeley.edu Jonathan Richard Shewchuk University of California at Berkeley jrs@cs.berkeley.edu James F. O'Brien University of California at Berkeley job@cs.berkeley.edu

Introduction

We use spectral partitioning to reconstruct a watertight surface from point cloud data. This method is particularly effective for noisy and undersampled point sets with outliers, because decisions about the reconstructed surface are based on a global view of the model.

Algorithm

To reconstruct a surface from an unorganized point set S, we create a point set S^+ that adds the vertices of a cubical bounding box, then compute the Delaunay triangulation T and Voronoi diagram Q of S^+ . We form a graph G whose nodes are vertices of Q, and use a spectral graph partitioning algorithm to cut this graph into two pieces, the inside and outside subgraphs. Because every Voronoi vertex in Q represents a tetrahedron in T, these labels are affixed to the tetrahedra too. If the points in S are sampled densely enough from a simple closed surface, then the surface is approximated reasonably well by the faces of T that separate the inside tetrahedra from the outside tetrahedra.

Our algorithm first identifies the set V of Voronoi vertices called poles [?], which are likely to lie near the medial axis of the surface being recovered. The algorithm then constructs a sparse *pole graph* G = (V, E). The set E of edges is defined as follows: for each sample point s with poles u and v, (u, v) is an edge in E, and for each edge (s, s') of the Delaunay tetrahedralization T, the poles of s and s' are connected by edges in E.

The edge weights are based on observations of Amenta et al. [?]. If a sample *s* has a long, thin Voronoi cell, the likelihood is high that its poles are on opposite sides of the surface. For each sample *s* with poles *u* and *v*, we assign a negative weight to the edge (u, v). Let t_u and t_v be the tetrahedra in *T* whose duals are *u* and *v*. The circumscribing spheres of t_u and t_v intersect at an angle ϕ . We assign (u, v) a weight of $w_{u,v} = -e^{4+4\cos\phi}$. Next, let (u, v) be an edge of *E* that is not assigned a negative weight. For all such edges (u, v), we assign a weight of $w_{u,v} = e^{4-4\cos\phi}$. If ϕ is close to 180° , *u* and *v* are likely to lie on the same side of the surface, so we use a large, positive edge weight.

We know *a priori* that tetrahedra with vertices on the bounding box must be labeled outside. Hence, we fix their labels prior to the partitioning step by collapsing the poles dual to such tetrahedra into a single *supernode* z, yielding a modified graph G'.

From the modified pole graph G', we construct a *pole matrix* L = (D-A). Here, A is the weighted adjacency matrix of graph G' and D is a diagonal matrix whose entries are the row sums $D_{ii} = \sum_{i \neq i} |A_{ij}|$.

We partition G' by finding the eigenvector x associated with the smallest eigenvalue λ of the generalized eigensystem $Lx = \lambda Dx$. Because L is a sparse matrix, we compute x using TRLAN, an implementation of the Lanczos algorithm [?]. When this method is applied to smooth, well-sampled surfaces, we find that x is relatively polarized: most of its entries are clearly negative or clearly positive. Noisy models are more ambiguous; see Figure ??. Each entry of x corresponds to one node of G'. Suppose the entry corresponding to the supernode z is positive; then the nodes of G' whose entries are positive are labeled outside, and the nodes whose entries are negative are labeled inside.



Figure 1: Reconstruction of the Stanford dragon from noisy raw data that includes outliers (upper right). At lower right are the sorted entries of the eigenvector used to reconstruct the model. 1,770,421 input points, 3,031,078 triangles, 229 minutes.

Spectral partitioning labels each tetrahedron whose dual Voronoi vertex is a pole. To label a tetrahedron *t* whose dual vertex *v* is not a pole, we examine the poles of its four vertices. If *t* has a vertex *u* that has a pole *p* that is labeled inside, and $\angle vup < 90^\circ$, we label *t* inside. Otherwise, we label *t* outside. We output every triangle at which an inside tetrahedron meets an outside tetrahedron. This is the reconstructed surface.

An alternative is to use power cells (all of which dualize to poles) instead of Delaunay tetrahedra, like Amenta et al.'s power crust algorithm. Power cells offer better reconstruction of sharp corners, but pay for it by generating many extra vertices in the surface.

The goal of our algorithm is to produce the same labeling as the provably good power crust algorithm when the surface is wellsampled and the samples are noiseless, but to behave more robustly otherwise. Because the spectral partitioner has a global view of the samples, it can fill large holes and correct for noise and undersampling in circumstances where the local labeling algorithm that Amenta et al. use fails. Outliers are usually removed: if every tetrahedron adjoining an outlier is labeled outside (or every tetrahedron is labeled inside), the outlier does not appear in the final surface.

The spectral algorithm is not infallible. The global eigenvector computation takes longer time than the labeling algorithm in power crust. Given point sets that represent non manifold geometries with internal cells, the spectral method can confuse the inside and the outside of a model. However, given point sets sampled from manifold surfaces, spectral surface reconstruction is remarkably robust against noise, outliers, and undersampling. In particular, the spectral algorithm performs well on the output of range scanners.

References

- AMENTA, N., CHOI, S., AND KOLLURI, R. 2001. The Power Crust, Unions of Balls, and the Medial Axis Transform. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications 19*, 2–3 (July), 127–153.
- POTHEN, A., SIMON, H. D., AND LIOU, K.-P. 1990. Partitioning Sparse Matrices with Eigenvectors of Graphs. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 11, 3 (July), 430–452.