# UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

# Title

Hot moments drive extreme nitrous oxide and methane emissions from agricultural peatlands

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/69d67663

# Journal

Global Change Biology, 27(20)

# ISSN

1354-1013

# Authors

Anthony, Tyler L Silver, Whendee L

# **Publication Date**

2021-10-01

# DOI

10.1111/gcb.15802

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed



Title: Hot moments drive extreme nitrous oxide and methane emissions from agricultural peatlands

Running Head: Drained peatland greenhouse gas emissions

Authors: Tyler L. Anthony<sup>1\*</sup>, Whendee L. Silver<sup>1</sup>

**ORCIDs**: MR. TYLER ANTHONY ORCID: 0000-0001-8235-6980 DR. WHENDEE SILVER ORCID: 0000-0003-0372-8745

### **Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup>Ecosystem Science Division, Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California at Berkeley

#### **Contact Info:**

\*Corresponding author: Tyler L. Anthony, Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California Berkeley, 130 Mulford Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA (t.anthony@berkeley.edu)

**Keywords:** nitrous oxide, methane, drained peatlands, agricultural peatlands, hot moments, greenhouse gas flux.

#### Abstract:

Agricultural peatlands are estimated to emit approximately one third of global greenhouse gas emissions from croplands, but the temporal dynamics and controls of these emissions are poorly understood, particularly for nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O). We used cavity ringdown spectroscopy and automated chambers in a drained agricultural peatland to measure over 70,000 individual N<sub>2</sub>O, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) fluxes over 3 years. Our results showed that N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were high, contributing 26% (annual range: 16-35%) of annual CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions. Total N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/GCB.15802</u>

averaged  $26 \pm 0.5$  kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and exhibited significant inter- and intra-annual variability with a maximum annual flux of  $42 \pm 1.8$  kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. Hot moments of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions represented  $1.1 \pm 0.2$  and  $1.3 \pm 0.2\%$  of measurements, respectively, but contributed to  $45 \pm 1\%$  of mean annual N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and to  $140 \pm 9\%$  of mean annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Soil moisture, soil temperature, and bulk soil oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) concentrations were strongly correlated with soil N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions; soil nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) concentrations were also significantly correlated with soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. These results suggest that IPCC benchmarks underestimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from these high emitting agricultural peatlands by up to 70%. Scaling to regional agricultural peatlands with similar management suggests these ecosystems could emit up to 1.86 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup> (range: 1.58-2.21 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup>). Data suggest that these agricultural peatlands are large sources of greenhouse gases, and that short-term hot moments of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> are a significant fraction of total greenhouse budgets.

# **1** | INTRODUCTION

Drained peatlands occupy only 1% of agricultural land but are estimated to emit 32% of global cropland carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)-equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e) emissions (Carlson et al., 2017; Leifeld & Menichetti, 2018). As peatland soils are drained and exposed to the atmosphere, high rates of aerobic decomposition lead to substantial CO<sub>2</sub> respiration rates relative to other ecosystems (Hemes et al., 2019; Tiemeyer et al., 2016; Veber et al., 2017). High rates of peat decomposition along with emissions of other important greenhouse gases (GHG) like methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) can result in large net GHG emissions from these agricultural ecosystems (Oertel, Matschullat, Zurba, Zimmermann, & Erasmi, 2016; Pärn et al., 2018; Petrescu et al., 2015).

Nitrogen fertilization and flood irrigation are common in peatland agriculture (Kirk, Van Kessel, Horwath, & Linquist, 2015; Pellerin, Anderson, & Bergamaschi, 2014; Verhoeven & Setter, 2010), potentially creating optimal conditions for high denitrification rates and N<sub>2</sub>O production. Drained peatlands have been shown to be significant N<sub>2</sub>O sources; the IPCC mean estimate for drained agricultural peatlands is 8 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (uncertainty range: 2-24 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>,

IPCC, 2019). However, few studies have made continuous multi-year measurements of  $N_2O$  emissions, and  $N_2O$  fluxes are often absent from long-term agricultural peatland GHG budgets (Bonn et al., 2014; Frolking et al., 2011; Günther et al., 2020; Hemes et al., 2019; Knox et al., 2015). This is partially driven by the technological challenges of conducting continuous, long-term  $N_2O$  flux measurements under field conditions (Baldocchi, 2014; Levy et al., 2017; Rochette & Eriksen-Hamel, 2008).

Most N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements are conducted intermittently with sampling frequency often ranging from once per day to once per month using traditional manual static chambers (Grace et al., 2020). This is particularly true in agricultural peatlands (H. Liu, Zak, Rezanezhad, & Lennartz, 2019; Pärn et al., 2018; Tiemeyer et al., 2016). However,  $CH_4$  and N<sub>2</sub>O are often characterized by hot spots and hot moments of GHG emissions (Krichels & Yang, 2019; Molodovskaya et al., 2012; Savage, Phillips, & Davidson, 2014), which are difficult to characterize using infrequent manual sampling approaches (Bernhardt et al., 2017; McClain et al., 2003; Sihi, Davidson, Savage, & Liang, 2020). The dynamics of soil oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), temperature, moisture, and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>) concentrations are likely to contribute to hot moments of soil N<sub>2</sub>O flux (Butterbach-Bahl, Baggs, Dannenmann, Kiese, & Zechmeister-Boltenstern, 2013), although the spatial and temporal dynamics of these events are also difficult to predict without high frequency measurement.

Potential hot moments of soil CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes are similarly difficult to capture utilizing manual chamber methods, although CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from drained agricultural peatlands are assumed to be minimal (Günther et al., 2020; Maljanen et al., 2010; Oktarita, Hergoualc'H, Anwar, & Verchot, 2017). However, management practices such as irrigation can create periods of anaerobic conditions ideal for CH<sub>4</sub> production (Hemes et al., 2019; Teh et al., 2011). Continuous eddy covariance measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at the ecosystem-scale have highlighted the influence of soil temperature, water table fluctuations, and plant activity on the exchange of CH<sub>4</sub> across the land-atmosphere interface in restored wetlands (Chamberlain et al., 2019; Oikawa et al., 2017; Sturtevant et al., 2016). In contrast, the spatiotemporal controls on the magnitude and frequency of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in irrigated agricultural soils are less well constrained.

The recent development of cavity ringdown spectroscopy and automated chamber measurements has greatly increased the ability to conduct continuous GHG flux measurements.

Continuous measurements can increase the chances of capturing hot moments of net GHG fluxes and determining their role in annual GHG budgets. In combination with continuous soil sensor data, spatiotemporally intensive measurements can also be utilized to explore potential drivers of hot moments of soil CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Bernhardt et al., 2017; Groffman et al., 2009; Sihi et al., 2020). We used cavity ringdown spectroscopy and automated chambers to make over 70,000 soil CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O flux measurements over three years from a drained agricultural maize peatland in California, USA. Flux measurements were coupled with continuous soil O<sub>2</sub>, temperature, and moisture sensors and a year-long soil N sampling campaign to better constrain the drivers and controls on hot moments of soil CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. We utilized multiple statistical approaches, including wavelet coherence analysis and a modified jackknifing technique to further explore the drivers and controls on hot moments of soil CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emission through increased substrate availability. We also hypothesized that elevated soil temperatures and soil moisture would stimulate O<sub>2</sub> depletion during the growing season, leading to increased N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> production within the soil profile and associated hot moments of GHG emissions.

## 2 | METHODS

#### 2.1 | Site Information

The study was conducted in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta region of California (38.11°N, 121.5°W). The field site was farmed continuously for over 10 years for conventional field corn (*Zea mays*). The site was periodically irrigated via spud ditches during the growing season and periodically flooded up to 30 cm above the soil surface in the winter to limit weed growth and provide habitat for migrating waterfowl (Pellerin et al. 2014). Fertilizer application rates were 118 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (*Farmer data*). The climate is Mediterranean with hot dry summers and cool wet winters. The region's historical mean annual temperature was  $15.1 \pm 6.3$  °C and mean annual rainfall averaged  $326 \pm 4$  mm (Hatala et al., 2012). This was also an Ameriflux site (Ameriflux ID: US-Bi2) with continuous eddy covariance measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and water vapor since mid-2017.

Soils are typical of the region and are classified within the Rindge series as Histosols (Soil Survey Staff, 2020). This soil type is frequently drained for agriculture due to its high agricultural

productivity (Leinfelder-Miles, 2019). Rindge soils belong to the Euic, thermic Typic Haplosaprists taxonomic class and are characterized by deep, poorly drained marsh soils formed from decomposed plant organic matter (Soil Survey Staff, 2020). Total soil C values (mean  $\pm$  standard error) at this site were  $15.2 \pm 0.4\%$  at 0-15 cm,  $15.9 \pm 0.7\%$  at 15-30 cm, and  $19.5 \pm 0.6\%$  at 30-60 cm depth (Anthony & Silver, 2020). Total soil N values were  $1.0 \pm 0.02\%$  at 0-15 cm,  $1.1 \pm 0.04\%$  at 15-30 cm, and  $1.2 \pm 0.03\%$  at 30-60 cm depth (Anthony & Silver, 2020).

### 2.2 | Automated chamber flux measurements

Surface soil fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> were measured continuously from June 30, 2017 through June 30, 2020 using an automatic chamber system. This system consisted of nine opaque automated gas flux chambers (eosAC, Eosense, Nova Scotia, Canada) connected to a multiplexer (eosMX, Eosense, Nova Scotia, Canada). The multiplexer allowed for dynamically signaled chamber deployment and routed gases to a cavity ring-down spectrometer (Picarro G2508, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Chambers were measured sequentially over a 10-min sampling period with a 1.5-min flushing period before and after each measurement.

Chambers were deployed in 10 x 10 m grid, with each chamber 5 m apart. Due to periodic flooding events, two sets of extended soil collars were utilized to maintain measurement collection and ensure chambers were not inundated. Chambers were randomly assigned to distinct physical features, beds (n = 4) or furrows (n = 5) during growing seasons and corn stover (n = 4) or bare soil (n = 5) during fallow periods. Throughout most of the year, 15 cm collars were installed with each chamber, offsetting the original chamber height by approximately 10 cm. Due to winter flooding events that raise the water table up to 30 cm above the soil surface, additional 35 cm collars were measured and used to adjust flux calculations (see below). Chambers remained installed in their original positions throughout the field campaigns except during field management activities (plowing, seeding, harvest), which typically lasted less than one week. Two additional periods of chamber removal occurred after delays in initiating corn harvest in site year 1 (18 days) and site year 3 (20 days).

To determine chamber volume, collar heights were measured approximately weekly and values were interpolated over time to account for differences in soil and water table height. Chamber volumes were used to calculate the minimum detectable flux (Courtois et al., 2018) with detection limits of 0.002 nmol  $N_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , 0.06 nmol  $CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , and 0.002 nmol  $CH_4 m^{-2} s^{-1}$  for 15 cm collars utilized during non-flooded conditions, and 0.004 nmol  $N_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , 0.12 nmol  $CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , and 0.004 nmol  $CH_4 m^{-2} s^{-1}$  for 35 cm collars utilized during flooded conditions. The minimum detectable fluxes reported here are conservative estimates, as the actual chamber volume was always smaller than the maximum theoretical volume used in detection limit calculations.

Flux calculations and fitting were first performed using Eosense eosAnalyze-AC v. 3.7.7 software, then data quality assessment and control were subsequently performed in R (RStudio, v.1.1.4633, O'Connell, Ruan, & Silver, 2018). Fluxes were removed from the final dataset if they were associated with negative gas concentrations or erroneous spectrometer cavity temperature and pressure readings outside the calibrated operating range, corresponding to instrument malfunction. Fluxes were also removed if the chamber deployment period was less than 9 min or greater than 11 min, indicative of chamber malfunction. This data filtering removed 2.4% of flux measurement periods, generating a final dataset of 71,262, 70,337, and 70,554 individual flux measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>, respectively. To calculate the impact of soil GHG fluxes on site-level global warming potential (GWP) we utilized net ecosystem exchange (NEE) eddy covariance values at the same site (Camilo, Szutu, Baldocchi, & Hemes, 2016; Hemes et al., 2019). To convert flux measurements to CO<sub>2</sub>e, we used the IPCC AR5 100-year GWP values of 28 CO<sub>2</sub>e for CH<sub>4</sub> and 298 CO<sub>2</sub>e for N<sub>2</sub>O (Myhre et al., 2013). Yield-based emission estimates were from derived flux measuring corn was harvested at 65% moisture (Hemes et al., 2019).

#### 2.3 | Quantifying hot moments of CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>

Following data filtering, the importance of very high flux events was determined to identify hot moments and their impact on yearly flux values. We defined hot moments as measurements with values greater than four standard deviations from the mean, as statistically 99.9% of the population should fall within four standard deviations of the mean. Yearly mean flux values were then calculated

for only hot moments, the entire flux dataset, and the flux dataset with not moments removed to determine the impact of very high flux events on annual GHG emissions. The term "outlier" is often used to connote values requiring removal or transformation within a dataset to maintain statistical power and limit overinflated estimates from high leverage observations (Lintott & Mathews, 2018). However, systematic elimination or data transformation ignore or underweight important processes such as hot moments of GHG flux (Benhadi-Marín, 2018; Wiggins, 2000). Given our large and continuous dataset, we could also compare mean fluxes with and without hot moments (Benhadi-Marín, 2018) to better quantify the importance of hot moments. We further explored the importance of capturing hot moments by also recalculating mean  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  flux after excluding fluxes greater than one, two, and three standard deviations from the mean.

A modified statistical jackknifing technique was used to explore the response of mean  $N_2O$ and  $CH_4$  flux estimates to changes in sampling interval by repeatedly sampling the dataset at 1-, 2-, 7-, 14-, and 28-day intervals (Barton et al., 2015). As our flux measurements exhibited a standard normal distribution, the importance of sampling frequency was further explored by calculating the minimum number of random flux measurements (*n*) needed to accurately recalculate the observed mean  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  flux values with a 95% confidence interval using equation 1:

$$n \ge \left(\frac{z^*\sigma}{MOE}\right)^2 (1)$$

Where n is minimum sample size,  $z^*$  is z-score,  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the dataset, and MOE is a chosen margin of error (MOE). Using a 95% confidence interval (z score = 1.96), we calculated the minimum number of samples needed for a margin of error of 10%, 25%, and 50% for both annual and total (three year) mean flux values of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>. Minimum sample size calculations were performed in R with the package samplingbook 1.2.4 (Manitz et al. 2020).

#### 2.4 | Weekly soil measurements

A total of 53 weekly sets of soil samples (n = 10 per week) were collected from the 0-15 cm depth from April 2018 to May 2019. Soil samples were analyzed for gravimetric soil moisture by drying 10 g of field-fresh soil to a constant weight at 105 °C, and for soil pH in a slurry of 10 g of field-fresh soil in 10 mL of distilled deionized water (McLean, 1982). Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) plus nitrite

 $(NO_2^-)$  and ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  were measured after extraction of 15 g of field-fresh soil in 75 mL of 2M potassium chloride (KCl) solution (Hart, Stark, Davidson, & Firestone, 1994). Soil KCl extracts were analyzed colorimetrically using an AQ300 analyzer (Seal Instruments, Mequon, WI).

### 2.5 | Soil sensor measurements

Two sets of soil sensors were installed from September 2018-July 2020 at depths of 10 cm, 30 cm, and 50 cm. Combination SO-110 Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) and thermistor temperature sensors (Apogee Instruments, Logan, UT) and CS616 moisture sensors (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) were connected to CR1000 dataloggers (Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) that stored data at 15 min intervals. A period of sensor removal occurred in May and June 2019 as multiple agricultural events, including tillage, planting, and discing prevented continuous installation. Sensors were also removed for 3 weeks in September-October 2019 for crop harvest and discing and for 2 weeks the following spring before planting, April to May 2020. Erroneous data corresponding to sensor malfunction were removed from the dataset, which include 0.6% (n = 295) of soil moisture measurements and 0.05% (n = 24) of soil O<sub>2</sub> and temperature measurements. Power loss also contributed to data loss, with a total of 58 days of missing data from agricultural activity or power loss during the sensor measurement period (n = 665 days).

### 2.6 | Weekly soil gas samples

To explore the potential distribution of GHG production across the soil profile, two replicate soil gas samples (n = 2 per depth per week) for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O were also taken in parallel with the soil sensors above at 10 cm, 30 cm, and 50 cm depths weekly during unflooded periods from September 2018 through November 2018, and April through December 2019. Instrument grade stainless steel 1/8" tubing (Restek, Bellefonte, PA) was installed in parallel to the soil sensors above, with approximately 15 cm of tubing installed with multiple sampling holes parallel to the soil surface. Sampling septa (Restek, Bellefonte, PA) were installed in 1/8" Swagelok unions (Swagelok Solon, OH) permanently connected to the stainless-steel tubing. Septa were changed monthly. Two gas samples were collected with 30 ml BD syringes, discarding the first sample to clear the dead volume in the sampling line. Sampling lines were removed from the field in May and June 2019 for tillage, planting, and discing. The 30 ml gas samples were stored in over-pressurized 20 mL glass vials with thick septa (Geomicrobial Technologies, Oechelata, OK) until manual sample injection analysis on a Shimadzu GC-34 (Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

### 2.7 | Statistical Analyses

Differences in soil gas concentrations,  $O_2$ , moisture, mineral N, and pH across time periods were tested with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Growing season time periods were classified as planting date to harvest date, preceded and followed by fallow periods. Unflooded periods were defined as soil moisture less than 50% at 10 cm depth. For CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, anaerobic periods were defined as any period of time where daily 10 cm  $O_2$  concentrations were equal to 0. Linear regressions were used to explore relationships between soil atmosphere GHG concentrations and net soil GHG fluxes (Figures S2-S4).

#### 2.8 | Wavelet coherence analysis

Wavelet coherence analysis was used to identify interactions between GHG fluxes and the soil variables measured (P. C. Liu, 1994; Wood, Detto, & Silver, 2013). Wavelet-coherence analysis measures the cross-correlation between two time series and allowed us to explore relationships between GHG fluxes and potential controls at daily, monthly, and annual timescales. Wavelet coherence is derived from two time series as a function of decomposed frequency (Wave.xy) and the wavelet power spectrum (Power.x, Power.y) of each individual time series (Rösch & Schmidbauer, 2018):

$$Coherence = \frac{|Wave.xy|^2}{Power.x \cdot Power.y} (2)$$

A more detailed description of the approach and calculations can be found in Rösch & Schmidbauer (2018) and Wood et al., (2013). Missing data were replaced with zeroes to compute an unbiased estimator of the wavelet variance for gappy time series (Mondal & Percival, 2010; Wood et al., 2013). Statistical significance (*p*-value) was computed using 1000 Monte Carlo simulations. All wavelet decomposition and coherence calculations were conducted using the WaveletComp 1.1 package (Rösch & Schmidbauer, 2018) in R (RStudio, v.1.1.4633).

#### 2.9 | Upscaling calculations

We conducted a hypothetical upscaling exercise to estimate the potential impact of agricultural maize peatland emissions in the region. We multiplied our annual GWP values with areal values of 40,000 ha for agricultural maize with similar management practices on peatland soils in the Rindge soil series within the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California, USA (Deverel, Ingrum, & Leighton, 2016; Soil Survey Staff, 2020). Similar management throughout the region includes conventional maize agricultural practices and winter flooding of fallow maize fields to limit weed growth and provide habitat for migrating waterfowl (Central Valley Joint Venture, 2006; Pellerin et al., 2014).

# 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Soil CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

Annual soil GHG emissions averaged  $9.20 \pm 0.04$  CO<sub>2</sub> kg m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>,  $4.08 \pm 0.10$  g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and of  $681 \pm 157$  CH<sub>4</sub> mg m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1, Table S1) representing mean annual area- and yield-scaled emissions GWP emissions of 46.7 Mg CO<sub>2</sub>e ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (range: 39.1-55.5 Mg CO<sub>2</sub>e ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and 2.88 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e kg dry yield<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (range: 2.41-3.42 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e kg dry yield<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. For N<sub>2</sub>O, annual fluxes amount to up to  $41.5 \pm 1.8$  kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> and a mean flux over the three years of  $26.0 \pm 0.5$ kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> or 26% of the GWP (Table 1). We found high intra- and interannual variability in CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes ranging from annual net consumption rates of  $-111.0 \pm 5.0$  mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> to net emission rate of  $6.1 \pm 1.4$  kg CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, or 2% of the annual GWP for this ecosystem. Soil respiration was less variable, with annual values ranging from  $6.61 \pm 0.07$  kg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> to  $10.72 \pm$ 0.09 kg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 1a, Table S1).

#### 3.2 | Quantifying hot moments of soil CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

We defined hot moments conservatively as individual flux measurements that were more than four standard deviations from the yearly mean (Table 1). Hot moment fluxes of  $N_2O$  represented only 0.64% to 1.50% of annual measurements but increased the mean flux rate by 38.5% to 76.3% (Table 1). For CH<sub>4</sub>, hot moment fluxes were only 0.06% to 0.8% of yearly measurements but increased yearly mean fluxes by 132.1% to 486.4% in site years two and three. In site year one, hot moments of  $CH_4$  consumption increased the net  $CH_4$  sink by 249.2%. The substantial hot moment driven changes in  $CH_4$  fluxes were largely due to the majority of  $CH_4$  flux measurements recorded at or near zero (Figure 1b). Hot moments of  $CO_2$  emissions had a significantly lower overall impact on mean  $CO_2$  fluxes, representing only 0.5% of all fluxes (annual range 0.3-0.6%). This increased overall mean fluxes by 5% and annual mean  $CO_2$  fluxes by 2.6 to 9.2% (Table S1).

### 3.3 | Drivers of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes

The onset of winter flooding increased soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions exponentially, with daily average fluxes of up to  $395.6 \pm 87.6 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  (p < 0.001). Irrigation and fertilizer application during the growing season also significantly increased N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Figure 2a, p < 0.001). We used arrays of soil moisture, temperature, and O<sub>2</sub> sensors and weekly soil gas and mineral nitrogen (N) measurements in combination with continuous surface flux measurements to explore potential controls on GHG fluxes. Daily mean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes increased up to two orders of magnitude shortly after the onset of winter flooding concurrent with a rise in soil moisture and a corresponding reduction in soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Figure 2b, p < 0.001) and a subsequent drop in N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Figure 2a, p < 0.001). Soil gas concentrations were taken at 10 cm, 30 cm, and 50 cm depths during non-flooded periods from September 2018-December 2019. We found that daily mean N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were significantly correlated with soil N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations across all depths (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.45-0.60, Figure S1), and likely contributed to net fluxes across the soil-atmosphere interface.

Wavelet coherence analysis suggested temporal patterns in soil moisture, soil temperature, and bulk soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations across all depths were significantly related to patterns in net N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes on a daily timescale (Figure S5, p < 0.05). Net N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes showed significant coherence with soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations across depths at the seasonal timescale of approximately 100 days, and soil moisture at the yearly scale of approximately 300 days (Figure S5, p < 0.05).

# 3.4 | Drivers of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

Significant CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes were only observed 60 days into an extended period of anoxic conditions lasting a total of 124 days. This period of anoxic conditions was associated with complete soil saturation following winter flooding (Figure 3c) and corresponded to decreased soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations across depths (Figure 3d). Short periods of elevated NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations observed during flooding were also associated with decreases in CH<sub>4</sub> production (Figure 3a and 3b). Shorter periods of sustained anoxic conditions in 2019-2020 (50 total days) did not produce hot moments of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Wavelet coherence analysis of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes suggested that soil moisture, soil temperature, and bulk soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations drove patterns in net CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at a daily time scale (Figure S5, *p* < 0.05). Only soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations across soil depths had significant coherence with CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes on a weekly timescale (Figure S5, *p* < 0.05), with no significant coherence at longer timescales.

Seasonality explained the high intra-annual variation observed in CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. Higher soil respiration rates (mean  $50.5 \pm 1.5$  g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) occurred during the growing season and following harvest (July-September). Fluxes were significantly lower ( $6.8 \pm 0.1$  g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) when soils were saturated (December-March). There was significant coherence with moisture, temperature, and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations across depths at the daily scale (Figure S6, *p* < 0.05). At weekly and seasonal scales, temperature and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations displayed significant (*p* < 0.05) coherence with soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. We compared chamber fluxes with ecosystem respiration (R<sub>eco</sub>) measurements conducted via eddy covariance in parallel at this field site (Camilo et al., 2016; Hemes et al., 2019). Similar values were observed for soil CO<sub>2</sub> chamber fluxes ( $9.20 \pm 0.04$  kg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and R<sub>eco</sub> eddy-covariance measurements ( $9.70 \pm 0.01$  kg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) across the study period (Figure S6). Soil CH<sub>4</sub> chamber fluxes ( $1.2 \pm 0.01$  g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) were lower than the eddy-covariance CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes ( $2.2 \pm 0.01$  g CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>), although eddy covariance also captured similar hot moments of CH<sub>4</sub> emission (Figure S7).

#### 3.5 | Sampling frequency effects on N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> flux estimates

Decreasing the measurement sampling interval led to significant under- or overestimates of total  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  flux. Simulating a 28-day (once monthly) sampling interval underestimated total  $N_2O$  flux by a median of -13.0% (Range of 28-day  $N_2O$  subsets: -75.1% to +129.2%, Table S2) and  $CH_4$  flux by a median of -17.4% (Range of 28-day  $CH_4$  subsets: -88.6 to + 656%, Table S3). A weekly sampling interval underestimated the total  $N_2O$  flux by a median of -2.3% (Range of weekly

subsets: -18.3% to +18.8%, Table S2) and total  $CH_4$  flux by a median of +14.1% (Range of 7-day  $CH_4$  subsets: -40.3% to 149% Table S3). A sampling interval of every other day under- or overestimated total N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes by ±2.4% but overestimated  $CH_4$  fluxes by +32.9% to +64.4% (Table S2 and 3).

We further explored the importance of missing potential hot moment fluxes by calculating the change in mean N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes after removing observations greater than one, two, or three standard deviations from the overall mean flux. Removing all observations more than one standard deviation of the mean underestimated annual N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes by 56.6%, while removing observations greater than two and three standard deviations underestimated N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes by 42.7% and 34.5%, respectively. Missing N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes greater than three standard deviations corresponded to an underestimation of annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions up to  $14.3 \pm 0.6$  kg N-N<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. For CH<sub>4</sub>, removing observations greater than one standard deviation underestimated annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes by 79%, while removing observations greater than two and three standard deviations underestimated annual CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes by 69% and 63%, respectively.

Finally, we calculated the minimum number of randomized flux measurements needed to calculate annual and total (3-year) flux values for  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  with a 95% confidence interval and margins of error of 10%, 25%, and 50% when the occurrence of hot moments are unknown (Table S4). For  $N_2O$ , an average of 8,342 (range: 2,700-8,342) individual flux measurements were needed to accurately calculate the annual mean flux within a 10% margin of error. This represents up to 35% (range: 11-35%) of the dataset. Increasing the margin of error to 25% and 50% reduced the number of measurements needed, with a range of 475 to 1,904 and 121 to 507 individual randomized measurements per year, respectively. When analyzing the total  $N_2O$  dataset, the minimum number of flux measurements needed was 6,401 with a 10% of margin error, decreasing to 1,108 and 281 for margins of error of 25% and 50%, respectively.

The minimum sample size needed for calculating annual and total mean  $CH_4$  fluxes were greater than N<sub>2</sub>O (Table S4). For annual  $CH_4$  fluxes, the minimum sample size needed to recalculate the mean flux within a 10% margin of error was at least 17,133 (range: 17,133-22,525). Increasing the margin of error to 25% and 50% reduced the minimum annual sample sizes needed to at least 7,562 (range: 7,562-18,284) and 2,525 (range: 2,525-10,770), respectively. The minimum number of flux

measurements needed for the total  $CH_4$  dataset was also higher than  $N_2O$  with 68,137, 54,419, and 31,656 for margins of error of 10%, 25%, and 50%, respectively.

#### 3.6 | Upscaling greenhouse gas emissions

We conducted an upscaling exercise to provide a first approximation of the potential impact of peatland maize agriculture on regional GHG emissions. Using the three years of field data, we upscaled these flux measurements using the total regional land area with similar soil series and management practices. We calculated a mean annual GWP of 1.86 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup> (range: 1.58-2.21 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup>) for agricultural peatlands in the region, with N<sub>2</sub>O emissions representing 0.48 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup> (range: 0.28-0.77 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup>). Assuming the field estimates measured here are representative of local management practices, N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes alone could represent 26% (annual range: 18-33%) of agricultural maize peatland CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions in this region, a significantly higher percentage than previous estimates (Deverel, Jacobs, Lucero, Dore, & Kelsey, 2017; Hemes et al., 2019). Soil types with similar organic matter content represent over 40,000 ha of agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta region (Deverel et al., 2016; Soil Survey Staff, 2020) and these soils are dominated by maize production. They are often flooded in the winter for waterfowl habitat (Delta Protection Commission, 2012; Pellerin et al., 2014).

# 4 | DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 | Annual fluxes and hot moments of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

The agricultural peatland soils in this study were extreme  $N_2O$  emitters, with mean rates that were 4-27 times greater than other non-peat cropland  $N_2O$  emissions (Ferrari Machado et al., 2020; IPCC, 2013; Jin et al., 2014; Johnson, Weyers, Archer, & Barbour, 2012). It is notable that these values for both peatland and non-peatland ecosystems were largely derived from non-continuous data that may not capture all  $N_2O$  emission hot moments. The three year average  $N_2O$  emissions were greater than the highest IPCC estimates for temperate organic cropland soils, and the peak annual  $N_2O$ emissions from this study were five times greater than the average values of 8 kg  $N_2O$ -N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> (uncertainty range: 2-24 kg  $N_2O$ -N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, IPCC, 2019). Estimated mean annual  $N_2O$  emissions of  $16.8 \pm 14.8 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$  have been reported for other drained peatlands with data derived from bulk densities (H. Liu, Wrage-Mönnig, & Lennartz, 2020). Average N<sub>2</sub>O emissions observed in this study were similar to or higher than studies of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-Delta, which ranged from  $6.6 \pm 3.8$  using model estimates (Deverel et al., 2017) to  $24 \pm$ 13 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> using shorter-term periodic manual static chamber measurements (Teh et al., 2011).

Surprisingly winter flooding, not fertilization, was the dominant driver of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Peak N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were observed shortly following winter flooding. The high NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> measured shortly after flooding likely accumulated under oxic, well-drained soil conditions as a result of N mineralization following crop harvest (Kirk et al., 2015), and may have been supplemented by iron coupled anaerobic ammonium oxidation in these iron and C-rich soils (Anthony & Silver, 2020; Golovchenko, Tikhonova, & Zvyagintsev, 2007; Martikainen, Nykänen, Crill, & Silvola, 1993; Yang & Liptzin, 2015; Yang, Weber, & Silver, 2012). Urea-ammonium-nitrate (UAN) fertilizer was applied once per year during planting. This inorganic N fertilizer application also contributed to a short-term increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, although this was not the dominant source of annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.

Denitrification was likely the main pathway of  $N_2O$  during hot moments of  $N_2O$  flux given elevated  $NO_3^-$  concentrations observed immediately prior to peak emissions, as well as the observed increases in soil moisture and decreases in soil  $O_2$  and  $NO_3^-$  concentrations during the  $N_2O$  hot moments. The  $NO_3^-$  was likely consumed during denitrification, with significant amounts of  $N_2O$ released as a byproduct of incomplete denitrification in these N-rich soils (Firestone & Davidson, 1989). The strong correlations observed between daily mean  $N_2O$  fluxes and soil atmosphere  $N_2O$ concentrations also suggest that significant  $N_2O$  production was occurring at depth and thus production throughout the profile likely contributed to the large fluxes observed.

# 4.2 | Fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>

Prolonged anaerobic conditions coupled with soil temperatures greater than 10° C appeared to drive hot moments of  $CH_4$  fluxes in these systems. Short periods of elevated  $NH_4^+$  concentrations during flooded periods could have limited methanogenesis (Chen, Cheng, & Creamer, 2008) or

temporarily shifted the methanogenic pathway (Fotidis, Karakashev, Kotsopoulos, Martzopoulos, & Angelidaki, 2013) and likely contributed to the considerable variability observed. Expectedly, patterns in soil  $CO_2$  fluxes were related to temperature and  $O_2$  concentrations at weekly and seasonal scales. Soil temperature and  $O_2$  availability are important controls on aerobic soil respiration (Kasimir-Klemedtsson et al., 1997), particularly in ecosystems such as drained agricultural peatlands where substrate availability is not likely to be limiting to heterotrophs and nutrient availability to autotrophs is high.

#### 4.3 | The role of hot moments in N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes

The large continuous data set allowed us to explore the importance of hot moments of  $N_2O$ and  $CH_4$  emission in total ecosystem GHG budgets. While hot moments represented only 0.63-1.50% and 0.06-0.76% of annual  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  flux measurements, respectively, they contributed up to 76% of total  $N_2O$  emissions and 486% of total  $CH_4$  emissions. This corresponded to  $N_2O$  hot moment emissions alone contributing up to 18% of the annual GWP of these agricultural peatlands. This highlights that missing hot moments may lead to significant underestimates of total ecosystem GHG budgets.

We also explored the effects of sampling interval on N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> flux quantification. Our results further highlighted the necessity of continuous measurements to accurately estimate total ecosystem N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Even weekly sampling intervals may underestimate annual N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes by up to 20%, a significant fraction of total GWP, even from these high emitting agricultural peatlands. While continuous automated chamber or eddy covariance measurements are ideal to capture hot moments of emissions, long-term continuous measurements are still cost prohibitive in many locations and ecosystems. If hot moments are predictable and well defined, daily flux measurements are likely effective in appropriately quantifying hot moments of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Ferrari Machado, Wagner-Riddle, MacTavish, Voroney, & Bruulsema, 2019; Reeves, Wang, Salter, & Halpin, 2016). However if the timing and controls on hot moments are unknown or sporadic, less frequent sampling may significantly underestimate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Grace et al., 2020). Our results suggest that roughly 8,000 randomized individual chamber flux measurements would be needed to accurately estimate annual N<sub>2</sub>O budgets from these agricultural peatlands with a 95% confidence

interval and 10% margin of error, assuming the drivers of hot moments were not well understood. Approximately 500 individual measurements would yield a 50% margin of error. Given the more sporadic nature of  $CH_4$  hot moments, our results suggest that it is even more difficult to accurately estimate  $CH_4$  fluxes with periodic sampling in these ecosystems. Analyses found that at least 17,000 and 2,500 individual flux measurements would be needed to estimate annual  $CH_4$  budgets within a 10% and 50% margin of error, respectively.

# 4.4 | GHG budgets and upscaling

The agricultural maize peatland soil studied here was a much larger source of soil GHG emissions than other maize agroecosystems. While agricultural peat soils are highly productive, average annual GHG emissions were 3.6-33.3 times greater on an area-scaled basis and 3-15.6 times greater on yield-scaled basis relative to other agricultural maize emissions estimates (Table S5, Chai et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2014; Johnson, Weyers, Archer, & Barbour, 2012; Linquist et al., 2012).

We conducted an upscaling exercise as a first approximation of the potential impacts of maize peatland fluxes on regional GHG budgets. Our estimates suggested that maize agriculture on similar peat soils in the region could emit an average of 1.86 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>e y<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrous oxide emissions alone accounted for approximately 26% of the total. This value is significantly higher than previous estimates for the region (Deverel et al., 2017; Hemes et al., 2019) and highlights the importance of including high frequency N<sub>2</sub>O measurements to capture hot moments in N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, the disproportionate impact N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have on agricultural peatland GHG budgets, and that these agricultural peatlands are significant N<sub>2</sub>O sources. We also found that irrigation timing and duration, not fertilization, was the predominant driver of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions and a significant source of the total GHG budget. Determining management strategies that reduce soil N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, particularly changes in flood irrigation timing and duration, could have a disproportionate impact on reducing total agricultural peatland GHG emissions (Hemes et al., 2019; Knox et al., 2015; McNicol et al., 2017; Windham-Myers et al., 2018).

# **5 | CONCLUSION**

This study presents one of the largest, longest, and most comprehensive soil flux datasets from agricultural peatlands to date. Our results provide evidence that these systems are a significant contributor to agricultural GHG emissions. The continuous dataset allowed us to explore the importance of hot moments of soil  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emissions driven by land management changes in soil moisture, soil  $O_2$ , and soil N availability. We found that irrigation timing and duration, not fertilization, was the predominant control on soil  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  emissions from these agricultural peatlands. We also found that  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  alone contributed up to 37% of the annual GWP of this system. This suggests that land management strategies that limit flooding frequency and duration may significantly reduce total agricultural peatland GHG emissions. We further demonstrate that continuous automated chamber measurements of soil GHG emissions capture hot moments of  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$  production and intensive sampling, particularly during hot moments of emission, are needed to accurately quantify GHG budgets. This is particularly important in high emitting ecosystems such as agricultural peatlands to ensure effective and targeted land management strategies that maximally limit net ecosystem GHG emissions.

#### 6 | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We appreciate assistance from Heather Dang, Tibisay Peréz, and numerous other members of both the Silver Lab and the Berkeley Biometeorology Lab at University of California, Berkeley. We thank Christine O'Connell for the initial code development for data filtering. This work was supported by a Contract by the California Department of Water Resources (award 4600011240). We thank the California Department of Water Resources and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California for research site access. T. L. Anthony was supported by the California Sea Grant Delta Science Fellowship. This material is based upon work supported by the Delta Stewardship Council Delta Science Program under Grant No. 5298 and California Sea Grant College Program Project R/SF-89. The contents of this material do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Delta Stewardship Council or California Sea Grant, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use. McIntire Stennis grant CA-B-ECO-7673-MS to W. L. Silver partially supported this work. W. L. Silver was also supported by funds from Breakthrough Strategies & Solutions, and the V. Kann Rasmussen, Oak Creek, Jewish Community, Northern Trust, and Trisons Foundations. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

### 7 | DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### 8 | REFERENCES

- Anthony, T. L., & Silver, W. L. (2020). Mineralogical associations with soil carbon in managed wetland soils. *Global Change Biology*, (March), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15309
- Baldocchi, D. (2014). Measuring fluxes of trace gases and energy between ecosystems and the atmosphere the state and future of the eddy covariance method. *Global Change Biology*, 20(12), 3600–3609. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12649
- Barton, L., Wolf, B., Rowlings, D., Scheer, C., Kiese, R., Grace, P., ... Butterbach-Bahl, K. (2015).
  Sampling frequency affects estimates of annual nitrous oxide fluxes. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15912
- Benhadi-Marín, J. (2018). A conceptual framework to deal with outliers in ecology. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 27(12), 3295–3300. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1602-2
- Bernhardt, E. S., Blaszczak, J. R., Ficken, C. D., Fork, M. L., Kaiser, K. E., & Seybold, E. C. (2017).
   Control Points in Ecosystems: Moving Beyond the Hot Spot Hot Moment Concept. *Ecosystems*, 20(4), 665–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-0103-y
- Bonn, A., Reed, M. S., Evans, C. D., Joosten, H., Bain, C., Farmer, J., ... Birnie, D. (2014). Investing in nature: Developing ecosystem service markets for peatland restoration. *Ecosystem Services*, 9, 54–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.06.011
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E. M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., & Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. (2013). Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 368(1621), 20130122. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0122

Camilo, R.-S., Szutu, D., Baldocchi, D., & Hemes, K. (2016). AmeriFlux US-Bi2 Bouldin Island

corn. United States. https://doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1419513

- Carlson, K. M., Gerber, J. S., Mueller, N. D., Herrero, M., MacDonald, G. K., Brauman, K. A., ... West, P. C. (2017). Greenhouse gas emissions intensity of global croplands. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(1), 63–68. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3158
- Central Valley Joint Venture. (2006). Central Valley Joint Venture Implementation Plan 2006, 1–261.
- Chai, R., Ye, X., Ma, C., Wang, Q., Tu, R., Zhang, L., & Gao, H. (2019). Greenhouse gas emissions from synthetic nitrogen manufacture and fertilization for main upland crops in China. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 14(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-019-0133-9
- Chamberlain, S. D., Hemes, K. S., Eichelmann, E., Szutu, D. J., Verfaillie, J. G., & Baldocchi, D. D.
   (2019). Effect of Drought-Induced Salinization on Wetland Methane Emissions, Gross
   Ecosystem Productivity, and Their Interactions. *Ecosystems*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-019-00430-5
- Chen, Y., Cheng, J. J., & Creamer, K. S. (2008). Inhibition of anaerobic digestion process: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(10), 4044–4064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.01.057
- Courtois, E. A., Stahl, C., Burban, B., Van den Berge, J., Berveiller, D., Bréchet, L., ... Janssens, I. A. (2018). Automatic high-frequency measurements of full soil greenhouse gas fluxes in a tropical forest. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2018-341
- Delta Protection Commission. (2012). Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Retrieved from http://www.delta.ca.gov/res/docs/ESP/ESP\_P2\_FINAL.pdf
- Deverel, S. J., Ingrum, T., & Leighton, D. (2016). Present-day oxidative subsidence of organic soils and mitigation in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California, USA. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 24(3), 569–586. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-016-1391-1
- Deverel, S. J., Jacobs, P., Lucero, C., Dore, S., & Kelsey, T. R. (2017). Implications for Greenhouse
   Gas Emission reductions and economics of a changing agricultural mosaic in the Sacramento San Joaquin Delta. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, *15*(3).
   https://doi.org/10.15447/sfews.2017v15iss3art2
- Ferrari Machado, P. V., Neufeld, K., Brown, S. E., Voroney, P. R., Bruulsema, T. W., & Wagner-Riddle, C. (2020). High temporal resolution nitrous oxide fluxes from corn (Zea mays L.) in response to the combined use of nitrification and urease inhibitors. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and*

Environment, 300(May), 106996. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106996

- Ferrari Machado, P. V., Wagner-Riddle, C., MacTavish, R., Voroney, P. R., & Bruulsema, T. W. (2019). Diurnal Variation and Sampling Frequency Effects on Nitrous Oxide Emissions Following Nitrogen Fertilization and Spring-Thaw Events. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 83(3), 743–750. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2018.10.0365
- Firestone, M. K., & Davidson, E. A. (1989). Microbiologial Basis of NO and N20 production and consumption in soil. *Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*, (January 1989), 7–21.
- Fotidis, I. A., Karakashev, D., Kotsopoulos, T. A., Martzopoulos, G. G., & Angelidaki, I. (2013).
  Effect of ammonium and acetate on methanogenic pathway and methanogenic community composition. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *83*(1), 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01456.x
- Frolking, S., Talbot, J., Jones, M. C., Treat, C. C., Kauffman, J. B., Tuittila, E. S., & Roulet, N. (2011). Peatlands in the Earth's 21st century climate system. *Environmental Reviews*, *19*(1), 371–396. https://doi.org/10.1139/a11-014
- Golovchenko, A. V., Tikhonova, E. Y., & Zvyagintsev, D. G. (2007). Abundance, biomass, structure, and activity of the microbial complexes of minerotrophic and ombrotrophic peatlands.
   *Microbiology*, 76(5), 630–637. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261707050177
- Grace, P. R., van der Weerden, T. J., Rowlings, D. W., Scheer, C., Brunk, C., Kiese, R., ... Skiba, U. M. (2020). Global Research Alliance N2O chamber methodology guidelines: Considerations for automated flux measurement. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 49(5), 1126–1140. https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20124
- Groffman, P. M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Fulweiler, R. W., Gold, A. J., Morse, J. L., Stander, E. K., ...
  Vidon, P. (2009). Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit phenomena (hotspots and hot moments) in denitrification models. *Biogeochemistry*, 93(1–2), 49–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-008-9277-5
- Günther, A., Barthelmes, A., Huth, V., Joosten, H., Jurasinski, G., Koebsch, F., & Couwenberg, J. (2020). Prompt rewetting of drained peatlands reduces climate warming despite methane emissions. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15499-z

- Hart, S. C., Stark, J. M., Davidson, E. A., & Firestone, M. K. (1994). Nitrogen Mineralization,
  Immobilization, and Nitrification. In R. W. Weaver, J. S. Angle, & P. S. Bottomley (Eds.), *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Microbial and Biochemical Properties* (pp. 985–1018).
  Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America.
- Hatala, J. A., Detto, M., Sonnentag, O., Deverel, S. J., Verfaillie, J., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2012).
  Greenhouse gas (CO2, CH4, H2O) fluxes from drained and flooded agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 150*, 1–18.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.01.009
- Hemes, K. S., Chamberlain, S. D., Eichelmann, E., Anthony, T., Valach, A., Kasak, K., ... Baldocchi,
  D. D. (2019). Assessing the carbon and climate benefit of restoring degraded agricultural peat soils to managed wetlands. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *268*, 202–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.017
- IPCC. (2019). N2O Emissions From Managed Soils, and CO2 Emissions From Lime and Urea Application. 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 1–48.
- IPCC, 2014. (2013). 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands, Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M. and Troxler, T.G. (eds).
- Jin, V. L., Baker, J. M., Johnson, J. M. F., Karlen, D. L., Lehman, R. M., Osborne, S. L., ... Wienhold, B. J. (2014). Soil Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Response to Corn Stover Removal and Tillage Management Across the US Corn Belt. *Bioenergy Research*, 7(2), 517–527. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-014-9421-0
- Johnson, J. M. F., Weyers, S. L., Archer, D. W., & Barbour, N. W. (2012). Nitrous Oxide, Methane Emission, and Yield-Scaled Emission from Organically and Conventionally Managed Systems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *76*(4), 1347–1357. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2012.0017
- Kasimir-Klemedtsson, Å., Klemedtsson, L., Berglund, K., Martikainen, P., Silvola, J., & Oenema, O. (1997). Greenhouse gas emissions from farmed organic soils: A review. *Soil Use and Management*, *13*(4 SUPPL.), 245–250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.1997.tb00595.x

- Kirk, E. R., Van Kessel, C., Horwath, W. R., & Linquist, B. A. (2015). Estimating annual soil carbon loss in agricultural peatland soils using a nitrogen budget approach. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121432
- Knox, S. H., Sturtevant, C., Matthes, J. H., Koteen, L., Verfaillie, J., & Baldocchi, D. (2015).
  Agricultural peatland restoration: Effects of land-use change on greenhouse gas (CO2 and CH4) fluxes in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(2), 750–765. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12745
- Krichels, A. H., & Yang, W. H. (2019). Dynamic Controls on Field-Scale Soil Nitrous Oxide Hot Spots and Hot Moments Across a Microtopographic Gradient. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *124*(11), 3618–3634. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005224
- Leifeld, J., & Menichetti, L. (2018). The underappreciated potential of peatlands in global climate change mitigation strategies. *Nature Communications*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03406-6
- Leinfelder-Miles, M. (2019). 2019 UCCE Field Corn Variety Trial Results.
- Levy, P. E., Cowan, N., van Oijen, M., Famulari, D., Drewer, J., & Skiba, U. (2017). Estimation of cumulative fluxes of nitrous oxide: uncertainty in temporal upscaling and emission factors. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 68(4), 400–411. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12432
- Linquist, B., Van Groenigen, K. J., Adviento-Borbe, M. A., Pittelkow, C., & Van Kessel, C. (2012).
   An agronomic assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from major cereal crops. *Global Change Biology*, *18*(1), 194–209. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02502.x
- Lintott, P. R., & Mathews, F. (2018). Basic mathematical errors may make ecological assessments unreliable. *Biodiversity and Conservation*. Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1418-5
- Liu, H., Wrage-Mönnig, N., & Lennartz, B. (2020). Rewetting strategies to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from European peatlands. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 1(1), 17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-00017-2
- Liu, H., Zak, D., Rezanezhad, F., & Lennartz, B. (2019). Soil degradation determines release of nitrous oxide and dissolved organic carbon from peatlands. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(9), 094009. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab3947

- Liu, P. C. (1994). Wavelet Spectrum Analysis and Ocean Wind Waves. In E. Foufoula-Georgiou & P.
  B. T.-W. A. and I. A. Kumar (Eds.), *Wavelets in Geophysics* (Vol. 4, pp. 151–166). Academic Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-052087-2.50012-8
- Maljanen, M., Sigurdsson, B. D., Guömundsson, J., Öskarsson, H., Huttunen, J. T., & Martikainen, P. J. (2010). Greenhouse gas balances of managed peatlands in the Nordic countries present knowledge and gaps. *Biogeosciences*, 7(9), 2711–2738. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2711-2010

 Martikainen, P. J., Nykänen, H., Crill, P., & Silvola, J. (1993). Effect of a lowered water table on nitrous oxide fluxes from northern peatlands. *Nature*, *366*(6450), 51–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/366051a0

- McClain, M. E., Boyer, E. W., Dent, C. L., Gergel, S. E., Grimm, N. B., Groffman, P. M., ... Pinay,
   G. (2003). Biogeochemical Hot Spots and Hot Moments at the Interface of Terrestrial and
   Aquatic Ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 6(4), 301–312. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0161-9
- McLean, E. O. (1982). Soil pH and Lime Requirement. In Page, A.L., Ed., Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, (pp. 199–224).
- McNicol, G., Sturtevant, C. S., Knox, S. H., Dronova, I., Baldocchi, D. D., & Silver, W. L. (2017).
   Effects of seasonality, transport pathway, and spatial structure on greenhouse gas fluxes in a restored wetland. *Global Change Biology*, 23(7), 2768–2782. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13580
- Molodovskaya, M., Singurindy, O., Richards, B. K., Warland, J., Johnson, M. S., & Steenhuis, T. S. (2012). Temporal Variability of Nitrous Oxide from Fertilized Croplands: Hot Moment Analysis. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 76(5), 1728. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2012.0039
- Mondal, D., & Percival, D. B. (2010). Wavelet variance analysis for gappy time series. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 62(5), 943–966. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10463-008-0195-z
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., ... Zhang, H. (2013).
   Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 659–740. https://doi.org/10.1017/ CBO9781107415324.018
- O'Connell, C. S., Ruan, L., & Silver, W. L. (2018). Drought drives rapid shifts in tropical rainforest soil biogeochemistry and greenhouse gas emissions. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1348.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03352-3

- Oertel, C., Matschullat, J., Zurba, K., Zimmermann, F., & Erasmi, S. (2016). Greenhouse gas emissions from soils - A review. *Chemie Der Erde - Geochemistry*, 76(3), 327–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2016.04.002
- Oikawa, P. Y., Jenerette, G. D., Knox, S. H., Sturtevant, C., Verfaillie, J., Dronova, I., ... Baldocchi, D. D. (2017). Evaluation of a hierarchy of models reveals importance of substrate limitation for predicting carbon dioxide and methane exchange in restored wetlands. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *122*(1), 145–167. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JG003438
- Oktarita, S., Hergoualc'H, K., Anwar, S., & Verchot, L. V. (2017). Substantial N2O emissions from peat decomposition and N fertilization in an oil palm plantation exacerbated by hotspots. *Environmental Research Letters*, *12*(10). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa80f1
- Pärn, J., Verhoeven, J. T. A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dise, N. B., Ullah, S., Aasa, A., ... Mander, Ü. (2018). Nitrogen-rich organic soils under warm well-drained conditions are global nitrous oxide emission hotspots. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03540-1
- Pellerin, B., Anderson, F. E., & Bergamaschi, B. (2014). Assessing the role of winter flooding on baseline greenhouse gas fluxes from corn fields in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay Delta. California Energy Commission.
- Petrescu, A. M. R., Lohila, A., Tuovinen, J.-P., Baldocchi, D. D., Desai, A. R., Roulet, N. T., ...
   Cescatti, A. (2015). The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure.
   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(15), 4594–4599. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1416267112
- Reeves, S., Wang, W., Salter, B., & Halpin, N. (2016). Quantifying nitrous oxide emissions from sugarcane cropping systems: Optimum sampling time and frequency. *Atmospheric Environment*, *136*, 123–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.04.008
- Rochette, P., & Eriksen-Hamel, N. S. (2008). Chamber Measurements of Soil Nitrous Oxide Flux: Are Absolute Values Reliable? *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 72(2), 331–342. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2007.0215

Rösch, A., & Schmidbauer, H. (2018). WaveletComp: Computational Wavelet Analysis. R package

version 1.1., 1–38. Retrieved from http://www.hs-

- stat.com/projects/WaveletComp/WaveletComp\_guided\_tour.pdf%0Ahttps://cran.rproject.org/package=WaveletComp
- Savage, K., Phillips, R., & Davidson, E. (2014). High temporal frequency measurements of greenhouse gas emissions from soils. *Biogeosciences*, 11(10), 2709–2720. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-2709-2014
- Sihi, D., Davidson, E. A., Savage, K. E., & Liang, D. (2020). Simultaneous numerical representation of soil microsite production and consumption of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide using probability distribution functions. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(1), 200–218. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14855
- Soil Survey Staff. (2020). Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available online. Accessed [June/08/2020].
- Sturtevant, C., Ruddell, B. L., Knox, S. H., Verfaillie, J., Matthes, J. H., Oikawa, P. Y., & Baldocchi, D. (2016). Identifying scale-emergent, nonlinear, asynchronous processes of wetland methane exchange. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *121*(1), 188–204. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003054
- Teh, Y. A., Silver, W. L., Sonnentag, O., Detto, M., Kelly, M., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2011). Large Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Temperate Peatland Pasture. *Ecosystems*, 14(2), 311–325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9411-4
- Tiemeyer, B., Albiac Borraz, E., Augustin, J., Bechtold, M., Beetz, S., Beyer, C., ... Zeitz, J. (2016).
  High emissions of greenhouse gases from grasslands on peat and other organic soils. *Global Change Biology*, 22(12), 4134–4149. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13303
- Veber, G., Kull, A., Villa, J. A., Maddison, M., Paal, J., Oja, T., ... Mander, Ü. (2017). Greenhouse gas emissions in natural and managed peatlands of America: Case studies along a latitudinal gradient. *Ecological Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.06.068
- Verhoeven, J. T. A., & Setter, T. L. (2010). Agricultural use of wetlands: Opportunities and limitations. *Annals of Botany*, 105(1), 155–163. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcp172
- Wiggins, B. C. (2000). Detecting and Dealing with Outliers in Univariate and Multivariate Contexts.Annual Meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association.

Windham-Myers, L., Bergamaschi, B., Anderson, F., Knox, S., Miller, R., & Fujii, R. (2018).
Potential for negative emissions of greenhouse gases (CO2, CH4 and N2O) through coastal peatland re-establishment: Novel insights from high frequency flux data at meter and kilometer scales. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaae74

- Wood, T. E., Detto, M., & Silver, W. L. (2013). Sensitivity of soil respiration to variability in soil moisture and temperature in a humid tropical forest. *PLoS ONE*, 8(12).
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080965
- Yang, W. H., & Liptzin, D. (2015). High potential for iron reduction in upland soils. *Ecology*, 96(7), 2015–2020. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-2097.1
- Yang, W. H., Weber, K. A., & Silver, W. L. (2012). Nitrogen loss from soil through anaerobic ammonium oxidation coupled to iron reduction. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1530

## 9 | Tables

**Table 1.** Mean ( $\pm$  standard error) annual N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes by site year (July 1 to June 30), number of measurements, number of outlier measurements, outlier mean ( $\pm$  standard error) N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, mean fluxes ( $\pm$  standard error) without outliers included, % of the outlier contribution to total mean flux, and N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> % of ecosystem GWP. Outliers were calculated separately for each year and in aggregate for the total dataset (All years).

Site Year	Mean (g N <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	Flux (n)	Hot moment flux (n)	Hot moment mean (mg N <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean no hot moments (g N <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	Hot moment % change in mean flux	N <sub>2</sub> O % GWP (g CO <sub>2</sub> e m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )
1 (2017- 2018)	$3.52 \pm 0.11$	22,247	294	321.9 ± 8.0	$2.00 \pm 0.06$	+76.3%	26.3%
2 (2018-	$6.52 \pm 0.25$	23,196	147	896.4 ± 65.5	$4.44 \pm 0.10$	+46.0%	35.0%

đ	2019)							
	(2019- 2020)	$2.35 \pm 0.04$	24,934	374	$123.8 \pm 2.0$	$1.69 \pm 0.01$	+38.5%	15.5%
	All years	$4.08 \pm 0.10$	70,377	468	535.5 ± 23.9	$2.80 \pm 0.04$	+45.6%	26.0%
	Site Year	Mean (mg CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	Flux (n)	Hot moment flux (n)	Hot moment mean (mg CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean no hot moments (mg CH <sub>4</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	Hot moment % change in mean flux	CH4 % GWP (g CO2e m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )
	1 (2017- 2018)	$-111.0 \pm 5.0$	22,255	110	$-44.4 \pm 4.1$	$-31.8 \pm 0.01$	-249.2%	-0.13%
	2 (2018- 2019)	2220.1 ± 519.7	23,358	13	6235.2 ± 1953.3	$958.7 \pm 0.18$	+132.1%	1.9%
	3 (2019- 2020)	$171.6 \pm 25.2$	24,941	189	$78.9 \pm 5.7$	$-44.40 \pm 0.02$	+486.4%	0.18%
	All years	761.4 ± 171.6	70,554	26	$3293.8 \pm 814.6$	$319\pm0.08$	+139.7%	0.7%

**10 | Figure Captions** 

<

**Figure 1.** Daily mean greenhouse gas fluxes ( $\pm$  standard error) for (a) CO<sub>2</sub> (g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), (b) CH<sub>4</sub> (mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), and (c) N<sub>2</sub>O (mg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). Black circles are daily mean flux measurements (mean n = 81 fluxes per day).

**Figure 2.** Daily mean ( $\pm$  standard error) (a) N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, (b) soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations, (c) daily mean soil moisture, and (d) daily mean soil O<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the measurement period. Soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>

measurements (0-10 cm depth) were conducted weekly from May 2018-May 2019. For (c) soil  $O_2$  concentrations and (d) soil moisture, daily average values by soil depth are labeled as squares (10 cm), open circles (30 cm), and triangles (50 cm). Flooding and fertilization events are labeled with dashed and dotted lines, respectively. Gaps represent missing data (see Methods).

**Figure 3.** Daily mean ( $\pm$  standard error) values of (a) CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, (b) soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, (c) soil moisture, and (d) soil O<sub>2</sub> over the measurement period across 10 cm (squares), 30 cm (open circles), and 50 cm (triangles) depths. Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> measurements were conducted weekly at 0-10 cm depth from May 2018 to May 2019. Flooding and fertilization events are labeled with dashed and dotted lines, respectively. Gaps between data points in (a), (c), and (d) correspond to missing data (see Methods).



gcb\_15802\_f1.png



gcb\_15802\_f2.png





gcb\_15802\_f3.png