Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Correction: Water Upconing in Underground Hydrogen Storage: Sensitivity Analysis to Inform Design of Withdrawal

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/55s8p1g8

Journal Transport in Porous Media, 151(4)

ISSN

0169-3913

Authors

Oldenburg, Curtis M Finsterle, Stefan Trautz, Robert C

Publication Date

2024-03-01

DOI

10.1007/s11242-024-02066-z

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

1	Corrigendum to Water Upconing in Underground Hydrogen Storage:		
2	Sensitivity Analysis to Inform Design of Withdrawal*		
3 4 5	Curtis M. Oldenburg ^{1*} Stefan Finsterle ² Robert C. Trautz ³		
6 7 8	¹ Oldenburg PhD and Energy Geosciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), Berkeley, California <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0132-6016</u>		
9 10 11	² Finsterle GeoConsulting, LLC, Kensington, California <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4446-9906</u>		
12 13	³ Electrical Power Research Institute (EPRI), Palo Alto, California		
14			
15	*Corresponding author. <u>cmoldenburg@lbl.gov</u>		
16			
17	January 25, 2024		
18 19 20 21 22	<i>Correction to Table 2</i> There are three numbers in Table 2 of the original paper (Oldenburg et al., 2024*) that were incorrect. Specifically, the value of the density of hydrogen (H ₂) for the DB model and the values of density and viscosity of H ₂ for the TOUGH2 model listed in Table 2 of the original paper were incorrect.		
23 24 25 26	A corrected Table 2 is shown below. The erroneous values in Table 2 were not used in any of the modeling and simulation. Accurate values for density and viscosity in the modeling and simulation come from CoolProp for the DB model and from EOS7CH for the TOUGH2 simulations.		
27			
28	*Citation to published paper:		
29 30 31	Oldenburg, C.M., Finsterle, S. and Trautz, R.C., 2024. Water Upconing in Underground Hydrogen Storage: Sensitivity Analysis to Inform Design of Withdrawal. Transport in Porous		

31 Media, 151(1), pp.55-84.

- *Table 2 (corrected). Properties of the H*₂*-water upconing system for comparison against the DB*
- *model*.

Property	DB model	Used for TOUGH2
Gas cap thickness, total reservoir thickness, and radial extent (outer radius) of the reservoir	infinite, infinite, infinite	50 m, 100 m (with open boundary at bottom), 100 m (open boundary condition)
Porosity (ϕ)	0.10	0.10
Permeability (k_H)	$1.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{m}^2$	$1.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{m}^2$
Permeability (k_V)	$1.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{m}^2$	$1.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{m}^2$
Relative permeability (k_{rel})	Not applicable	Linear with $S_{lr} = 0.99$
Distance from well to H_2 -water interface (d)	10 m	10 m
Extraction rate of rate of $H_2(Q_m)$	-5.5 kg s ⁻¹	-5.5 kg s ⁻¹
Density of water	996 kg m ⁻³	996 kg m ⁻³
Density of H ₂	7.32 kg m ⁻³	7.87 kg m ⁻³
Viscosity of water	$6.54 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Pa} \mathrm{s}$	$5.11 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{Pa} \mathrm{s}$
Viscosity of H ₂	$9.31 \times 10^{-6} \text{Pa s}$	$9.53 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{Pa} \mathrm{s}$