Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Optical Control of Dopamine D2-like Receptors with Cell-Specific Fast-Relaxing Photoswitches.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/327103zg

Journal Journal of the American Chemical Society, 145(34)

Authors

Hetzler, Belinda Donthamsetti, Prashant Peitsinis, Zisis <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2023-08-30

DOI

10.1021/jacs.3c02735

Peer reviewed



pubs.acs.org/JACS

Article

Optical Control of Dopamine D2-like Receptors with Cell-Specific Fast-Relaxing Photoswitches

Belinda E. Hetzler, \triangle Prashant Donthamsetti, *, \triangle Zisis Peitsinis, Cherise Stanley, Dirk Trauner, * and Ehud Y. Isacoff*



■ INTRODUCTION

using only one wavelength of light.

The neuromodulator dopamine plays a central role in physiology and behavior (movement, learning, cognition, and metabolism),^{1,2} and dysregulation of dopamine signaling has been implicated in a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders.³⁻⁵ Dopaminergic signaling is mediated by five G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that are classified into two categories: the D1-like receptors (D1R and D5R), which couple to the stimulatory G proteins $G_{\text{s/olf}}$ and the D2-like receptors (D2R, D3R, and D4R), which couple to the inhibitory G proteins G_{i/o/z}.⁶ Among dopamine receptors, D2R is particularly notable as a therapeutic target. Motor impairments in Parkinson's disease can be ameliorated with D2R agonists, antipsychotic medications are either D2R antagonists or weak partial agonists,⁸⁻¹⁰ and D2R is a putative target for treating addiction.¹¹ Nevertheless, D2R medications have several features that lower the clinical efficacy, quality of life, and medication compliance. First, D2R is widely expressed in the central and peripheral nervous system, having distinct functions in different locations and cell types.^{2,12} Because D2R medications are freely diffusible, they cannot differentiate between the D2Rs that confer clinical benefit versus the D2Rs linked to adverse side effects. Second, once administered, D2R medications are persistently active until they are gradually metabolized or removed by the body, making it difficult to control the precise timing and level of D2R drug action at the target site. Finally, D2R medications bind off-target proteins, such as other GPCRs and ion channels.

The alternatives to conventional D2R medications are also limited in their utility in vivo. Genetic manipulation of D2R (knockout, knockdown, overexpression) occurs over long time scales and can lead to compensatory and possibly deleterious effects in neural circuits. Chemogenetic DREADDs (mutant GPCRs exclusively activated by designer ligands) and optogenetic opto-XRs (chimeras of a naturally light-sensitive opsin and a GPCR of interest) can be used to promote G protein signaling with cell type and spatiotemporal precision, but these tools are artificial proteins that cannot fully mimic the actions of endogenous receptors and their natural ligands.^{13,14} Membrane-anchored chemical ligands (DARTs) have been used to target AMPA and GABA_A receptors with tethered agonists and antagonists that limit action to genetically targeted cells and this approach could, in principle, be used to target endogenous D2Rs in specific locations.^{15,16} However, DARTs are chronically active, turning off gradually over hours to days as their protein component is removed by the cell, and thus, do not allow for temporal control to match the dynamics of dopamine signaling. LumiToxins (lightsensitive membrane-anchored peptides) can be turned on and off with light, but take minutes to turn off and are difficult

Received: March 17, 2023 **Published:** August 16, 2023



© 2023 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society to develop for dopamine receptors, which bind small chemical ligands.¹⁷ Taken together, there remains a great need for improved therapeutics that target D2R.¹⁸

Photopharmacology offers a means to toggle protein function on and off with precise spatiotemporal control, whereby a ligand's affinity and/or efficacy for its endogenous biological target is modulated through a synthetic photoswitch. Remote control of D2R has been achieved with diffusible photocaged agonists based on dopamine itself and antagonists based on eticlopride or sulpiride (Figure S1A).¹⁹⁻²⁵ However, photouncaging is irreversible, making it difficult to reliably and repeatedly turn on and off the target receptor, especially in living animals. In contrast, reversibly caged D2R modulators have been developed that contain photoswitches such as dithienylethenes or fulgimides.²⁰ These are small molecules that undergo a reversible change from their thermodynamically stable trans state to their cis state within milliseconds in response to light, and then return to the trans state either in a light-driven manner or through thermal relaxation in the dark.²⁶ Similarly, when appended to or incorporated within existing receptor ligands, azobenzenes can switch the ligands from the off-state to the on-state and back by reversibly distorting the ligand shape and ability to bind and activate or inhibit the target.

Proximity photopharmacology enables selective protein control with spatiotemporal and cell type specificity. In one version, the photoswitchable ligand is attached to an engineered version of the receptor via a self-labeling protein tag (e.g., SNAP-tag, CLIP-tag, or HaloTag) placed at a site in the receptor that is distal to the ligand binding site.²⁷⁻³¹ This Photoswitchable Orthogonally Tethered Ligand (PORTL) has at one end an azobenzene that switches the receptor ligand between an on- and off-state, at the other end a moiety for covalent attachment to the protein tag (e.g., benzylguanine, benzylcytosine, haloalkane), and in the middle a polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker that allows the photoswitchable ligand to reach for its attachment point to the ligand binding site on the target protein. While this technology has enabled light-control of dopamine receptors and a variety of other proteins that are fused to a protein tag, 27,28,30,32-41 insertion of the tag into the receptor requires modification of the receptor primary amino acid sequence, which could alter its function and requires either overexpression or genetic knock-in.

We recently solved this problem with our Membraneanchored Photoswitchable Remotely Tethered Ligand (MP) approach, which combines the cell type-specific control of endogenous receptors afforded by DARTs with the temporal precision of photopharmacology.^{29,40} Instead of attachment to an engineered receptor, the PORTL (P) is covalently bound to an engineered membrane-anchor protein (M) that consists of an externally facing self-labeling protein tag fused to a singlepass transmembrane segment (Figure 1A). When the M is expressed in the target cell and conjugated to the P to form the MP (Figure 1A), the MP can interact with its endogenous receptor target by lateral diffusion in the plasma membrane (Figure 1B). Recently, we developed a two-wavelength (one wavelength to turn on and another wavelength to turn off) D1R/D5R-selective MP agonist called MP-D1_{ago} that consists of a SNAP-tag M and a SNAP-reactive D1 PORTL agonist and applied its spatial, temporal, and cellular specificity to study the role of striatal D1Rs in the control of movement. Here, we extended the MP approach to the D2-like dopamine receptors using a new HaloTag membrane anchor. We generated three



Figure 1. MP design. (A) MP is composed of two parts. The first component is the P, which contains a receptor ligand, a photoisomerizable azobenzene derivative, a long PEG linker, and reactive moiety. The second component is the "M", which is a membraneanchored protein tag that captures and restricts the P via the reactive moiety to a specific cell type and location. The M consists of a protein-tag that is anchored to the plasma membrane via a single-pass transmembrane segment (TM), a lift peptide that optimally positions the protein-tag at the cell surface, and an endoplasmic reticulum export signal (ERE) that boosts M expression at the cell surface. (B) By placing azobenzene close to or within the receptor ligand, the MP can be rapidly and reversibly driven by light between two states, one that has no or low affinity for the receptor (the off-state) and one that can bind the receptor (the on-state).

HaloTag-reactive (chloroalkane) D2 PORTLs: an agonist $(MP-D2_{ago})$, a partial agonist $(MP-D2_{p,ago})$, and an antagonist $(MP-D2_{block})$. Importantly, by incorporating a red-shifted fast-relaxing azobenzene into the pharmacophores used in each PORTL, we obtained one-wavelength photocontrol of D2R, with a light-driven turn-on and a rapid turn-off in the dark. These novel MPs could be used to provide cell type-specific and spatiotemporally precise control of endogenous D2R *in vivo*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A variety of synthetic pharmacophores have been developed over the years to mimic the interaction of dopamine with its receptors in the orthosteric binding site.⁴² Recent progress has led to the development of bitopic compounds, which consist of a primary pharmacophore that binds the orthosteric binding site, a hydrophobic secondary pharmacophore that binds a secondary binding site, and an aliphatic linker that forms a bridge between the two pharmacophores.^{43,44} Importantly, the identity of the secondary pharmacophore and the length and substitution pattern of the aliphatic linker can influence receptor affinity, subtype selectivity, and signaling bias.45-50 While most of the privileged scaffolds for the bitopic D2R ligands show polypharmacology across other non-dopaminergic GPCRs, our MP approach will only render off-target activity to GPCRs of concern that are expressed on cells targeted by the M.^{51,52} The hydrophobic secondary



Figure 2. Synthesis of P-D2_{ago} and P-D2_{ago}-2X. (A) Synthesis of P-D2_{ago}. (B) Synthesis of branched, photoswitchable aminoindane dimer P-D2_{ago}-2X.

pharmacophore stood out as an ideal unit for azologization, as previous work has shown that a fulgimide or diarylethene photoswitch can be incorporated at this position (Figure S1B).^{20,53} Furthermore, it has been shown that bitopic compounds can be elongated beyond the secondary pharmacophore with a remote fluorophore or a second ligand,^{54–36} hinting that an analogous extension with a PEG linker and covalent binding to the M anchor protein could be tolerated (Figure 1).

Parent azobenzene transitions from a thermostable transstate to a higher energy cis-state in response to UV-A light and back to the *trans*-state in response to visible light or by slow thermal relaxation in the dark. The need for potentially toxic and poorly tissue penetrating UV light and two colors has sparked interest in photoswitches with greater biocompatibility. This led to the development of red-shifted, fast-relaxing azobenzenes through modification of their substitution pattern on their aromatic cores. For instance, through introduction of an electron-donating group in para-position to an electronwithdrawing group, the relaxation half-life of the photoswitch can be decreased from hours to milliseconds.^{57,58} Push-pull photoswitches are operated by one wavelength of light-the trans-state switches to the cis-state with visible light instead of UV light, and the cis-state rapidly relaxes back to the trans-state in the dark.59

An additional advantage of red-shifted, fast-relaxing azobenzenes is their photostationary state (PSS). Unsubstituted azobenzene is an isomeric mixture under visible light (~95% trans: ~5% cis) or UV-A light (~20% trans: ~80% cis), limiting the dynamic range of photoswitching.⁶⁰ This limitation also applies to variety of other commonly used photoswitches (fulgi(mi)de, diarylethene, stilbene, hemithioindigo, spiropyrane and the LOV2 peptide).^{61–67} In contrast, since many azobenzenes are purely trans when left to relax for long enough in the dark,⁵⁷ azobenzene variants that undergo

this thermal relaxation rapidly provide the advantage of being able to toggle between light activated majority *cis* and fully *trans* in the dark. Therefore, if the *trans*-configuration was inert and the light-driven *cis*-configuration was bioactive, the photoswitch would avoid a finite floor of activation in the light-driven off state. This can be particularly useful in the MP approach, where the concentration of the genetically encoded M anchor protein may be difficult to control *in vivo*. Therefore, we based our design of the D2R photoswitches on a redshifted, fast-relaxing azobenzene moiety that is flanked by an amine and amide (a push–pull azobenzene), analogous to that in a glutamate receptor photoswitch that we developed previously.^{27,68}

SYNTHESIS AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF MP-D2_{AGO}

In effort to generate an MP D2R agonist, we chose 2aminoindane as a primary pharmacophore because it is a full D2R agonist that can tolerate chemical modification.^{56,69,70} A 4-carbon chain was installed by acylation of N-propyl 2aminoindane (2) with freshly prepared acid chloride from acid 1 (Figure 2A). Upon Finkelstein reaction of 3, the in situ formed primary iodide underwent S_N2-reaction with commercial 4,4'-azodianiline. The reduction of disubstituted amide 4 to tertiary amine 5 proceeded in moderate yields but was tolerated by the azobenzene moiety. A heterobifunctional, carboxylic acid terminated PEG[24]-linker was then installed by a HATU-mediated coupling with azoaniline 5, followed by the unveiling of the primary amine 6 by Fmoc-deprotection. Lastly, a HATU-mediated coupling with the chloroalkane substrate for the HaloTag (HaloTag-COOH) furnished the final construct $P-D2_{ago}$.⁷¹ Motivated by the recent success of branched PORTLs bearing multiple photoswitchable glutamates in increasing the effective concentration and sensitivity to light, we also synthesized a branched analog of $P-D2_{ago}$ with



Figure 3. Photophysical characterization of $P-D2_{ago}$. (A) UV Vis absorbance spectra of $P-D2_{ago}$ (20 μ M in DMSO, 24 °C) in the dark and under 415 nm irradiation. (B) Wavelength scan for $P-D2_{ago}$ (20 μ M, DMSO, 24 °C). Each wavelength is applied for 2 min. A maximum photostationary state (PSS) is reached by irradiation with 440 nm. (C) Reversible switching of $P-D2_{ago}$ (20 μ M, DMSO, 24 °C) by alternating irradiation with 415/600 nm, 90 s irradiations. (D) Thermal relaxation of $P-D2_{ago}$ in DMSO (20 μ M) after 1 min of irradiation with 415 nm at 37 °C. The thermal relaxation half-life of *cis* isomer is <1 s.

two PEG[24]-azobenzene-2-aminoindanes (P-D2_{ago}-2X, Figure 2B).⁴¹ This was achieved in a single operation by linking primary amine **6** with bis-NHS ester 7, followed by Boc deprotection and amide coupling with the HaloTag carboxylic acid.

UV/vis studies confirmed the desired switching properties of $P-D2_{ago}$: the absorption maximum of $P-D2_{ago}$ in DMSO is 424 nm and maximal switching into the *cis*-configuration proceeds at 440 nm (Figure 3A, B; Figure S2). The *trans* isomer absorbance maximum shifted to 444 nm in 10% DMSO (Figure S2A). $P-D2_{ago}$ can be reversibly switched without fatigue (Figure 3C) and thermally relaxes back into the *trans*-configuration with a half-life of 0.78 s in DMSO (Figure 3D). In aqueous environment (10% DMSO in PBS), the thermal back-relaxation proceeds more rapidly, exceeding the detection limit of the UV/vis spectrophotometer (Figure S2C). The photostationary state of $P-D2_{ago}$ was determined by NMR (10 mM, DMSO-d6). Under *in situ* irradiation with a 415 nm highpower LED, 20% *cis* content was achieved (Figure S2D).

Our original M anchor protein contains an extracellularly facing SNAP-tag anchored to the plasma membrane via a single-pass transmembrane segment, a rigid α -helical "lift" peptide between the transmembrane segment and the SNAP-tag that optimizes the positioning of the SNAP-tag "above" the cell surface, and an intracellular endoplasmic reticulum export signal (ERE) that enhances surface expression.²⁹ To provide orthogonality with our D1MP, we switched the SNAP-tag to the HaloTag, which reacts with P-D2_{ago} (Figure 1).

We measured the effect of $P-D2_{ago}$ tethered to the M (MP-D2_{ago}) on D2R in HEK293T cells using a coexpressed G protein-activated inwardly rectifying K⁺ (GIRK) channel as an effector (Figure S3). Cells were cotransfected with D2R, the M, and GIRK and then labeled with P-D2_{ago}. Following washout of unbound P-D2_{ago}, the cells were patch-clamped in whole-cell configuration in high external K+ (120 mM), held at a negative holding potential (-80 mV), and switched from the dark to 440 nm (blue) light and then back again to the dark. Consistent with receptor activation and consequent opening of GIRK channels, blue light (to drive isomerization to the *cis* configuration) elicited a large inward current that was rapidly reversed by turning off the light (allowing return to the *trans* configuration) (Figure 4A). The magnitude of the light-driven current approached that elicited by a concentration of dopamine (1 μ M) that fully saturates the receptor (89% of dopamine current; Figure 4A, C), indicating that MP-D2_{ago} is a near full D2R photoagonist.

We turned to the branched version of the photoswitch, P- $D2_{ago}$ -2X, which bears two azobenzene-2-aminoindanes photoagonists per M anchoring site. P- $D2_{ago}$ -2X tethered to the M (MP- $D2_{ago}$ -2X) maximally activated D2R (101% of dopamine current) and had no effect on dopamine-induced current (Figure 4B, C), indicating that it is a full photoagonist of D2R. Furthermore, MP- $D2_{ago}$ -2X photoactivated D2R with greater sensitivity to light (~5-fold) and faster activation kinetics (~2fold) than the single photoagonist MP- $D2_{ago}$ (Figure 4D, E), consistent with the expected higher effective concentration.

Consistent with the photophysical properties of its parent compound, P-D2_{ago} (Figure 3A, B), P-D2_{ago}-2X maximally photoactivated D2R in response to 440 nm light (Figure S4A, B) in a manner that was rapid, reversible, and repeatable over multiple light exposures (Figure S4C, D). In addition, P-D2_{ago}-2X had no effect on HEK293T cells lacking either D2R (Figure S4E, F) or the M (Figure S4G, H). Taken together, these results indicate that MP-D2_{ago}-2X works as intended.

To be useful as a tool for controlling D2R, it is critical that $MP-D2_{ago}-2X$ be completely inactive in its *trans*-configuration in the dark. To measure the activity of $MP-D2_{ago}-2X$ in the dark, we applied the D2R inverse agonist spiperone, which is a competitive inhibitor that also suppresses constitutive receptor activity. As expected, in HEK293T cells expressing D2R and GIRK, spiperone elicited a reduction in *inward* current, consistent with inhibition of constitutive D2R activity (Figure



Figure 4. Photoactivation of D2R by MP-D2_{ago} and MP-D2_{ago}-2X. (A, B) Representative traces of D2R activation by a photoagonist with one branch point, MP-D2_{ago} (A) or two branch points, MP-D2_{ago}-2X (B). (C) Summary of the maximal photoactivation of D2R by MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago}-2X relative to a saturating concentration of dopamine (1 μ M). Unpaired two-sided *t* test, *p* = 0.33, *n* = 10 cells for MP-D2_{ago} and 7 cells for MP-D2_{ago}-2X. (D) Summary of the light sensitivity of D2R activation in response to MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago}-2X. (E) Summary of the on- and off-kinetics of D2R activation in response to MP-D2_{ago} or MP-D2_{ago} -2X. (F) Summary of the maximal photoactivation and photoblock of various receptors by MP-D2_{ago}-2X relative to a saturating concentration of agonist for each receptor. DA = dopamine.

S5A, B). Importantly, there was no difference between the effect of spiperone in the dark on cells that contained or lacked **MP-D2**_{ago}-**2X** (Figure S5C), indicating that the photoswitch is completely inactive unless photoconverted to the *cis*-configuration with blue light.

We next characterized the binding of P-D2_{ago}-2X to M by measuring the kinetics of complex formation. Using flow cytometry, we found that the M is fully labeled by 1 μ M compound in just 10 min (Figure S6A), ~4 times faster than the binding of our previous D1R photoswitch to SNAP-tag.⁴⁰ We also measured the concentration dependence of P-D2_{ago}-2X labeling. Interestingly, although flow cytometry indicated that 10 nM of P-D2_{ago}-2X was required to approximately halflabel the M (Figure S6B), only 1 nM of compound was needed to reach approximately half-maximal photoactivation of D2R in the GIRK assay (Figure S6C), suggesting that there was an excess of P-D2_{ago}-2X, likely due to a combination of the inherent high affinity of the agonist moiety, 2-aminoindane, for D2R and an excess of M. This is in contrast to PORTLs developed previously for D1R and metabotropic glutamate receptors that require micromolar levels of compound to achieve full receptor activation under similar M expression and photoswitch labeling conditions.^{27,40} P-D2_{ago}-2X was about 10-fold more potent when labeling was extended from 1 h to overnight labeling (Figure S6C). The ability to work at low labeling concentrations could be particularly beneficial when P-D2_{ago}-2X is applied to the brain *in vivo*.

We next compared the effect of $P-D2_{ago}-2X$ on D2R when attached to two different positions: (i) to the HaloTag in the M anchor protein of $MP-D2_{ago}-2X$, where it is not physically attached to D2R (Figure 1), and must encounter it when M and the receptor diffuse into proximity of one another, and (ii) to a HaloTag that was genetically fused to the extracellular Nterminus of D2R (HaloTag-D2R:P-D2_{ago}-2X) (Figure S7A), placing it in permanent close proximity to the receptor's orthosteric binding site. Interestingly, the magnitude and kinetics of photoactivation of D2R by P-D2_{ago}-2X in these two configurations were similar (Figure S7B–D). This indicates that the M density in the membrane is sufficient for the P to reach the target receptor.

We screened for the effect of MP-D2_{ago}-2X on the other members of the D2-like receptor subfamily. MP-D2_{ago}-2X was a strong partial photoagonist of D3R (Figure 4F), the closest homologue of D2R (78% identity), and a weak partial photoagonist of D4R (Figure 4F), which is less homologous (50% identity). In contrast to its effect on the D2-like receptors, MP-D2_{ago}-2X had no effect on either D1-like receptor, D1R or D5R, nor on other receptors that are coexpressed with D2R in the same neurons in the brain, including selected muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, metabotropic glutamate receptors, cannabinoid receptors, and GABA receptors (Figure 4F). Some D2 antagonists and agonists have off-target actions on biogenic amine receptors such as 5HT2A serotonergic receptors and alpha adrenergic receptors. However, neither $\alpha_{1A}AR$ nor $\alpha_{2A}AR$ nor $5HT_{2A}R$ is coexpressed with D2R in either the striatum or cortex, so that the cell-specific targeting of the MP-D2s can avoid such potential crosstalk.⁷²⁻⁷⁵ Thus, MP-D2_{ago}-2X is a selective D2-like receptor photoagonist.

SYNTHESIS AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF MP-D2_{P.AGO}

To complement our cell-specific D2R photoagonist, we designed a tethered photopartial agonist of D2R. We employed the same photoswitch architecture used in **P-D2**_{ago} but replaced the 2-aminoindane headgroup with 2,3-dichlorophenylpiperazine, which is present in a variety of D2R partial agonists including the antipsychotic medication aripiprazole.⁷⁶ 2,3-dichloro-phenylpiperazine was appended to a fast-relaxing azobenzene, a 3-carbon aliphatic linker, a PEG[24] linker, and the chloroalkane HaloTag substrate, resulting in **P-D2**_{p.ago} (Figure 5A, top, and Figure S8A). In the GIRK assay, in response to blue light (to photoswitch to the *cis* configuration), **P-D2**_{p.ago} tethered to the M (**MP-D2**_{p.ago}) partially activated D2R (57% of dopamine current), an effect that was reversed by switching back to the dark (allowing return to the *trans* configuration; Figure 5B, D).

 $MP-D2_{p.ago}$ had almost no effect on saturating dopamine in response to blue light (3% reduction in dopamine current; Figure 5B, D), raising the possibility that the photoswitch is a full agonist at subsaturating concentrations rather than a true partial agonist that stabilizes a partially active conformational

Article



Figure 5. Partial photoactivation of D2R by MP-D2_{p.ago} and MP-D2_{p.ago}-2X. (A) Structure of P-D2_{p.ago} and P-D2_{p.ago}-2X. (B, C) Representative trace of D2R activation with a partial photoagonist with one branch point, MP-D2_{p.ago} (B), or two branch points, MP-D2_{p.ago}-2X (C). (D) Summary of the maximal photoactivation of D2R by MP-D2_{p.ago} or MP-D2_{p.ago}-2X relative to a saturating concentration of dopamine (1 μ M). DA = dopamine.

state in the receptor. To test this, we increased the effective concentration by synthesizing a branched variant with two 2,3dichloro-phenylpiperazines per attachment point, resulting in $P-D2_{p.ago}-2X$ (Figure 5A, bottom and Figure S8B). $P-D2_{p.ago}-2X$ attached to the M (MP-D2_{p.ago}-2X) partially activated the receptor to a similar degree as the monovalent analogue (50% of dopamine current) but also partially inhibited dopamineinduced receptor activation (46% reduction in dopamine current; Figure 5C, D). This is consistent with the actions of a partial agonist that can outcompete dopamine at high enough concentrations.

SYNTHESIS AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF MP-D2_{BLOCK}

To develop a photoantagonist of D2R, we turned to the pharmacophore 2-methoxy-phenylpiperazine, which our previous work suggested to be much less efficacious than 2,3dichloro-phenylpiperazine.⁴³ We also tuned the length of the aliphatic linker between the 2-methoxy-phenylpiperazine and azobenzene, as we showed previously that subtle changes in this linker can dramatically impact activity.^{43,48} We synthesized 2-methoxy-phenylpiperazine analogs with a three-carbon linker, $P-D2_{block}(C3)$, a four-carbon linker, $P-D2_{block}(C4)$, or a five-carbon linker, P-D2_{block}(C5) (Figure 6A and Figure S9). $P-D2_{block}(C3)$ tethered to the M, or $MP-D2_{block}(C3)$, inhibited dopamine-induced D2R activation in the GIRK assay (14% reduction in dopamine current; Figure 6B, G) and weakly activated the receptor in the absence of dopamine (4% of dopamine current; Figure 6B, G), consistent with the fact that 2-methoxy-phenylpiperazine pharmacophore is itself a

weak partial agonist.⁴³ In contrast, P-D2_{block}(C4) tethered to the M, or MP-D2_{block}(C4), more robustly inhibited the receptor than MP-D2_{block}(C3) (41% reduction in dopamine current) and had no agonist activity (-1% of dopamine current; Figure 6C, G). This behavior is analogous to our previous finding that extension of the aliphatic linker can stabilize phenylpiperazines in an antagonist binding mode within the orthosteric binding site of the receptor.⁴³ P-D2_{block}(C5) tethered to the M, or MP-D2_{block}(C5), was less effective than MP-D2_{block}(C4) (~14% reduction in dopamine current; Figure 6D, G), indicating that 4-carbons is the ideal aliphatic linker length for photoantagonism at D2R.

To enhance photoantagonism further, we synthesized a branched analogue of $P-D2_{block}(C4)$ with two 2-methoxyphenylpiperazine moieties $(P-D2_{block}(C4)-2X)$ (Figure 6E). $P-D2_{block}(C4)-2X$ tethered to the M (MP-D2_{block}(C4)-2X) enhanced photoblock ~1.5-fold compared to its monovalent counterpart (63% reduction in dopamine current; Figure 6F, G).

In summary, we developed a toolkit of photoswitchable ligands to control D2R in a cell-specific manner. These include the full photoagonist $MP-D2_{ago}-2X$, the partial photoagonist $MP-D2_{p,ago}-2X$, and the photoantagonist $MP-D2_{block}-2X$.

CONCLUSION

Dopaminergic signaling spans a variety of physiological processes. The five dopamine receptors are expressed in diverse patterns in the CNS and periphery, making it challenging to pinpoint the exact role of each receptor in each cell type or neural circuit. While many approaches have

Article



Figure 6. Photoantagonism of D2R by **MP-D2**_{block} and **MP-D2**_{block}-**2X**. (A) Structure of **P-D2**_{block}(**C3**), **P-D2**_{block}(**C4**), and **P-D2**_{block}(**C5**). (B–D) Representative trace of D2R activation by **MP-D2**_{block}(**C3**) (B), **MP-D2**_{block}(**C4**) (C), and **MP-D2**_{block}(**C5**) (D). (E) Structure of **P-D2**_{block}(**C4**)-**2X**. (F) Representative trace of D2R activation by **MP-D2**_{block}(**C4**)-**2X**. (G) Summary of the maximal photoactivation of D2R by various **MP-D2**_{block} variants relative to a saturating concentration of dopamine (1 μ M). One-way ANOVA, *F* = 23.2, Tukey, **p* < 0.5, ***p* < 0.01. *n* = 4, 7, 5, and 10 cells from left to right. DA = dopamine.

been developed over the years to control a receptor of interest, none have provided control over endogenous receptors with cellular and spatiotemporal precision. The combination of membrane-anchored ligands for cell and spatial specificity with photopharmacology for precise temporal control provides a solution and offers a powerful means to study GPCR signaling.⁷⁷ The tools described here complement our previously described tethered D1R photoagonist since they can be tagged to orthogonal fusion proteins (SNAP-tag versus HaloTag) and have distinct wavelength sensitivity, potentially allowing for multiplexed experiments in complex biological systems.

For *in vivo* use, the gene encoding the M component must be delivered to the desired brain region and cell type. In rodents, this can be accomplished with established genetic approaches, such as the Cre-lox system, that enable ectopic expression of the M protein in specific neurons.⁷⁸ For example, we recently targeted striatal D1R-expressing direct-pathway medium spiny neurons (dMSNs) by injecting mice expressing Cre recombinase in this cell type (D1-Cre mice) with a Credependent adeno-associated virus (AAV) encoding the SNAP-tag version of M that goes with P-D1_{ago}.⁴⁰ Along these lines, the HaloTag version of M developed here for the P-D2 switches can be targeted to D2R-expressing neurons in the striatum, including indirect pathway-medium spiny neurons (iMSNs) using A2a-Cre mice, or to cholinergic interneurons (ChIs) using ChAT-Cre mice, or to dopamine neurons that innervate the striatum using DAT-Cre mice.^{79,80} Once the M is expressed, a canula is implanted into the brain and used to infuse the photochemical P and guide the placement of the the P, as well as by local illumination. Several technical advancements could further increase the functionality of the MP system. The delivery of both the AAV encoding the M gene and the P would be considerably simplified by versions that cross the blood-brain barrier (although this would then mean that confinement of control to the brain region relies more heavily on spatial distribution of light from the optical fiber). To optimize expression of M, one can adjust the AAV titer or boost M cell surface targeting with ER export signals. In the future, it will be interesting to concentrate M in presynaptic terminals or postsynaptically using specific subcellular targeting motifs to boost M density and increase the specificity of the modulation. In addition, while tethering the P to the M protein in specific cells avoids unwanted effects in off-target cells, it could still bind off-target receptors in those target cells. This could be solved through the substitution of photoligands that bind in the orthosteric site with more selective allosteric ligands.⁸¹ It is also interesting to consider development of biased P variants that selectively activate specific signaling proteins downstream of D2R (e.g., via G proteins versus arrestins), since cellularly and spatiotemporally precise control of one such pathway at a time could greatly enhance our understanding of the relationship between this receptor and behavior. Such an advance would require the development of new assay systems that can detect the activation of specific signaling proteins in response to MP stimulation with light. Finally, our D2R MPs could be combined with neuronal activity reporters to enable the simultaneous control of dopamine receptor signaling and detection of neural activity. This challenge has been partly solved by the advent of reporters for calcium, voltage, or neural signal release (e.g., dopamine and glutamate) that operate in red/infrared light and are thus orthogonal to our blue-light sensitive D2R MPs.^{82,83}

The MPs developed here have the potential to help uncover the role of D2R in various dopamine-associated diseases and could potentially be used as precision therapeutics. For example, Parkinson's disease is a movement disorder that results from the degeneration of dopamine neurons that project from the substantia nigra compacta to the striatum, leading to the under-activation of striatal dopamine receptors that control movement.⁸⁴ Dopamine replacement with D2R agonists (e.g., pramipexole) is a standard-of-care for treatment of Parkinson's disease.^{84,85} However, as classical drugs, D2R agonists have two major problems: they reduce therapeutic efficacy and increase adverse side effects. First, they bind onand off-target proteins beyond the striatum. Second, it is difficult, if not impossible, to apply the ideal therapeutic dose of D2R agonists once they are administered and metabolized by the body. These problems could be solved by targeting MP-D2_{ago}-2X specifically to the striatum and fine-tuning its dose using light to mimic the actions of the dopamine that is lost in Parkinson's disease. In addition to Parkinson's disease, MP-D2_{p.ago}-2X and/or MP-D2_{block}-2X could potentially be used to treat schizophrenia by targeting therapeutically relevant D2Rs in the brain, thereby avoiding off-target effects in both the central nervous system and peripheral organs (e.g., pancreas, heart, gut).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.3c02735.

Additional experimental details, materials, and methods, including supporting electrophysiology experiments, chemical design, synthesis and analysis (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Prashant Donthamsetti Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Present Address: Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 37235, USA; Email: p.donthamsetti@vanderbilt.edu
- Dirk Trauner Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, New York 10003, United States; Department of Chemistry and Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, United States; Email: dtrauner@upenn.edu
- Ehud Y. Isacoff Molecular and Cell Biology and Weill Neurohub, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; Molecular Biophysics & Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States; oricid.org/0000-0003-4775-9359; Email: ehud@berkeley.edu

Authors

- Belinda E. Hetzler Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, New York 10003, United States; Present Address: Vir Biotechnology, Inc. 499 Illinois Street, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA, 94158, USA; orcid.org/0000-0002-0372-9126
- Zisis Peitsinis Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, New York 10003, United States Cherise Stanley – Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.3c02735

Author Contributions

 \triangle B.E.H. and P.D. contributed equally.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01NS108151, D.T.; RF1MH123246, E.Y.I. and D.T.). The McKnight Endowment for Neuroscience (048240; E.Y.I. and D.T.), the European Research Council (268795; D.T.) and Weill Neurohub (E.Y.I.). B.E.H. and Z.P. were supported by MacCracken Fellowships. We thank New York University for financial support. NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance III 600 MHz using a TCI cryoprobe supported by the National Institutes of Health (S10 Award OD016343).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nynke A. Vepřek for her assistance in acquiring the NMR data for the photophysical characterization. We also thank Adam Hoagland and the rest of the Isacoff lab for helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

(1) Beaulieu, J.-M.; Espinoza, S.; Gainetdinov, R. R. Dopamine Receptors – IUPHAR Review 13. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2015, 172 (1), 1– 23.

(2) Beaulieu, J.-M.; Gainetdinov, R. R. The Physiology, Signaling, and Pharmacology of Dopamine Receptors. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2011, 63 (1), 182–217.

(3) Ntetsika, T.; Papathoma, P.-E.; Markaki, I. Novel Targeted Therapies for Parkinson's Disease. *Mol. Med.* **2021**, *27* (1), 17.

(4) Lewis, R. G.; Serra, M.; Radl, D.; Gori, M.; Tran, C.; Michalak, S. E.; Vanderwal, C. D.; Borrelli, E. Dopaminergic Control of Striatal Cholinergic Interneurons Underlies Cocaine-Induced Psychostimulation. *Cell Rep.* **2020**, *31* (3), No. 107527.

(5) Bonci, A.; Hopf, F. W. The Dopamine D2 Receptor: New Surprises from an Old Friend. *Neuron* **2005**, 47 (3), 335–338.

(6) Missale, C.; Nash, S. R.; Robinson, S. W.; Jaber, M.; Caron, M. G. Dopamine Receptors: From Structure to Function. *Physiol. Rev.* **1998**, 78 (1), 189–225.

(7) Brooks, D. J. Dopamine Agonists: Their Role in the Treatment of Parkinson's Disease. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **2000**, *68* (6), 685–689.

(8) Seeman, P. Dopamine D2 Receptors as Treatment Targets in Schizophrenia. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses* **2010**, *4* (1), 56–73.

(9) Martel, J. C.; Gatti McArthur, S. Dopamine Receptor Subtypes, Physiology and Pharmacology: New Ligands and Concepts in Schizophrenia. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *11*, DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2020.01003.

(10) Lieberman, J. A. Dopamine Partial Agonists. CNS Drugs 2004, 18 (4), 251–267.

(11) Johnson, P. M.; Kenny, P. J. Dopamine D2 Receptors in Addiction-like Reward Dysfunction and Compulsive Eating in Obese Rats. *Nat. Neurosci* **2010**, *13* (5), 635–641.

(12) Kreitzer, A. C. Physiology and Pharmacology of Striatal Neurons. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 2009, 32, 127–147.

(13) Urban, D. J.; Roth, B. L. DREADDs (Designer Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs): Chemogenetic Tools with Therapeutic Utility. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2015**, *55* (1), 399–417.

(14) Airan, R. D.; Thompson, K. R.; Fenno, L. E.; Bernstein, H.; Deisseroth, K. Temporally Precise in Vivo Control of Intracellular Signalling. *Nature* **2009**, 458 (7241), 1025–1029.

(15) Shields, B. C.; Kahuno, E.; Kim, C.; Apostolides, P. F.; Brown, J.; Lindo, S.; Mensh, B. D.; Dudman, J. T.; Lavis, L. D.; Tadross, M. R. Deconstructing Behavioral Neuropharmacology with Cellular Specificity. *Science* **201**7, *356* (6333), No. eaaj2161.

(16) Shields, B. C.; Yan, H.; Lim, S. S. X.; Burwell, S. C.; Fleming, E. A.; Cammarata, C. M.; Kahuno, E. W.; Vagadia, P. P.; Loughran, M. H.; Zhiquan, L.; McDonnell, M. E.; Scalabrino, M. L.; Thapa, M.; Hawley, T. M.; Reitz, A. B.; Schiltz, G. E.; Hull, C.; Field, G. D.; Glickfeld, L. L.; Tadross, M. R. Thousandfold Cell-Specific Pharmacology of Neurotransmission. *bioRxiv* October 21, 2022, 2022.10.18.512779.

(17) Schmidt, D.; Tillberg, P. W.; Chen, F.; Boyden, E. S. A Fully Genetically Encoded Protein Architecture for Optical Control of Peptide Ligand Concentration. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5* (1), 3019.

(18) Gallo, E. F. Disentangling the Diverse Roles of Dopamine D2 Receptors in Striatal Function and Behavior. *Neurochem. Int.* **2019**, *125*, 35–46.

(19) Gienger, M.; Hübner, H.; Löber, S.; König, B.; Gmeiner, P. Structure-Based Development of Caged Dopamine D2/D3 Receptor Antagonists. *Sci. Rep* **2020**, *10* (1), 829.

(20) Lachmann, D.; Studte, C.; Männel, B.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P.; König, B. Photochromic Dopamine Receptor Ligands Based on Dithienylethenes and Fulgides. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2017**, *23* (54), 13423–13434.

(21) Asad, N.; McLain, D. E.; Condon, A. F.; Gore, S.; Hampton, S. E.; Vijay, S.; Williams, J. T.; Dore, T. M. Photoactivatable Dopamine and Sulpiride to Explore the Function of Dopaminergic Neurons and Circuits. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2020**, *11* (6), 939–951.

(22) Robinson, B. G.; Bunzow, J. R.; Grimm, J. B.; Lavis, L. D.; Dudman, J. T.; Brown, J.; Neve, K. A.; Williams, J. T. Desensitized D2 Autoreceptors Are Resistant to Trafficking. *Sci. Rep* **2017**, *7* (1), 4379.

(23) Hagen, V.; Kilic, F.; Schaal, J.; Dekowski, B.; Schmidt, R.; Kotzur, N. [8-[Bis(Carboxymethyl)Aminomethyl]-6-Bromo-7-Hydroxycoumarin-4-Yl]Methyl Moieties as Photoremovable Protecting Groups for Compounds with COOH, NH2, OH, and C=O Functions. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75 (9), 2790–2797.

(24) Araya, R.; Andino-Pavlovsky, V.; Yuste, R.; Etchenique, R. Two-Photon Optical Interrogation of Individual Dendritic Spines with Caged Dopamine. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2013**, *4* (8), 1163–1167.

(25) Lee, T. H.; Gee, K. R.; Ellinwood, E. H.; Seidler, F. J. Combining 'Caged-Dopamine' Photolysis with Fast-Scan Cyclic Voltammetry to Assess Dopamine Clearance and Release Autoinhibition in Vitro. J. Neurosci. Methods **1996**, 67 (2), 221–231.

(26) Broichhagen, J.; Frank, J. A.; Trauner, D. A Roadmap to Success in Photopharmacology. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48* (7), 1947–1960.

(27) Broichhagen, J.; Damijonaitis, A.; Levitz, J.; Sokol, K. R.; Leippe, P.; Konrad, D.; Isacoff, E. Y.; Trauner, D. Orthogonal Optical Control of a G Protein-Coupled Receptor with a SNAP-Tethered Photochromic Ligand. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2015**, *1* (7), 383–393.

(28) Farrants, H.; Gutzeit, V. A.; Acosta-Ruiz, A.; Trauner, D.; Johnsson, K.; Levitz, J.; Broichhagen, J. SNAP-Tagged Nanobodies Enable Reversible Optical Control of a G Protein-Coupled Receptor via a Remotely Tethered Photoswitchable Ligand. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2018**, *13* (9), 2682–2688.

(29) Donthamsetti, P. C.; Broichhagen, J.; Vyklicky, V.; Stanley, C.; Fu, Z.; Visel, M.; Levitz, J. L.; Javitch, J. A.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Y. Genetically Targeted Optical Control of an Endogenous G Protein-Coupled Receptor. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141 (29), 11522–11530. (30) Levitz, J.; Broichhagen, J.; Leippe, P.; Konrad, D.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Y. Dual Optical Control and Mechanistic Insights into Photoswitchable Group II and III Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2017, 114 (17), E3546–E3554.

(31) Morstein, J.; Romano, G.; Hetzler, B. E.; Plante, A.; Haake, C.; Levitz, J.; Trauner, D. Photoswitchable Serotonins for Optical Control of the 5-HT2A Receptor^{**}. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2022**, *61* (18), No. e202117094.

(32) Volgraf, M.; Gorostiza, P.; Numano, R.; Kramer, R. H.; Isacoff, E. Y.; Trauner, D. Allosteric Control of an Ionotropic Glutamate Receptor with an Optical Switch. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2006**, 2 (1), 47–52.

(33) Fortin, D. L.; Dunn, T. W.; Fedorchak, A.; Allen, D.; Montpetit, R.; Banghart, M. R.; Trauner, D.; Adelman, J. P.; Kramer, R. H. Optogenetic Photochemical Control of Designer K+ Channels in Mammalian Neurons. J. Neurophysiol. **2011**, *106* (1), 488–496.

(34) Sandoz, G.; Levitz, J.; Kramer, R. H.; Isacoff, E. Y. Optical Control of Endogenous Proteins with a Photoswitchable Conditional Subunit Reveals a Role for TREK1 in GABAB Signaling. *Neuron* **2012**, 74 (6), 1005–1014.

(35) Tochitsky, I.; Banghart, M. R.; Mourot, A.; Yao, J. Z.; Gaub, B.; Kramer, R. H.; Trauner, D. Optochemical Control of Genetically Engineered Neuronal Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors. *Nature Chem.* **2012**, *4* (2), 105–111.

(36) Lin, W.-C.; Davenport, C. M.; Mourot, A.; Vytla, D.; Smith, C. M.; Medeiros, K. A.; Chambers, J. J.; Kramer, R. H. Engineering a Light-Regulated GABAA Receptor for Optical Control of Neural Inhibition. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2014**, *9* (7), 1414–1419.

(37) Berlin, S.; Szobota, S.; Reiner, A.; Carroll, E. C.; Kienzler, M. A.; Guyon, A.; Xiao, T.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Y. A Family of Photoswitchable NMDA Receptors. *eLife* **2016**, *5*, No. e12040.

(38) Levitz, J.; Pantoja, C.; Gaub, B.; Janovjak, H.; Reiner, A.; Hoagland, A.; Schoppik, D.; Kane, B.; Stawski, P.; Schier, A. F.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Y. Optical Control of Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors. *Nat. Neurosci* **2013**, *16* (4), 507–516.

(39) Donthamsetti, P. C.; Winter, N.; Schönberger, M.; Levitz, J.; Stanley, C.; Javitch, J. A.; Isacoff, E. Y.; Trauner, D. Optical Control of Dopamine Receptors Using a Photoswitchable Tethered Inverse Agonist. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139 (51), 18522–18535.

(40) Donthamsetti, P.; Winter, N.; Hoagland, A.; Stanley, C.; Visel, M.; Lammel, S.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Cell Specific Photoswitchable Agonist for Reversible Control of Endogenous Dopamine Receptors. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12* (1), 4775.

(41) Acosta-Ruiz, A.; Gutzeit, V. A.; Skelly, M. J.; Meadows, S.; Lee, J.; Parekh, P.; Orr, A. G.; Liston, C.; Pleil, K. E.; Broichhagen, J.; Levitz, J. Branched Photoswitchable Tethered Ligands Enable Ultra-Efficient Optical Control and Detection of G Protein-Coupled Receptors In Vivo. *Neuron* **2020**, *105* (3), 446–463.e13.

(42) Szabo, M.; Klein Herenbrink, C.; Christopoulos, A.; Lane, J. R.; Capuano, B. Structure–Activity Relationships of Privileged Structures Lead to the Discovery of Novel Biased Ligands at the Dopamine D2 Receptor. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57 (11), 4924–4939.

(43) Newman, A. H.; Beuming, T.; Banala, A. K.; Donthamsetti, P.; Pongetti, K.; LaBounty, A.; Levy, B.; Cao, J.; Michino, M.; Luedtke, R. R; Javitch, J. A.; Shi, L. Molecular Determinants of Selectivity and Efficacy at the Dopamine D3 Receptor. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55* (15), 6689–6699.

(44) Michino, M.; Beuming, T.; Donthamsetti, P.; Newman, A. H.; Javitch, J. A.; Shi, L. What Can Crystal Structures of Aminergic Receptors Tell Us about Designing Subtype-Selective Ligands? *Pharmacol Rev.* **2015**, *67* (1), 198–213.

(45) Männel, B.; Jaiteh, M.; Zeifman, A.; Randakova, A.; Möller, D.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P.; Carlsson, J. Structure-Guided Screening for Functionally Selective D2 Dopamine Receptor Ligands from a Virtual Chemical Library. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2017**, *12* (10), 2652–2661.

(46) Chen, X.; Sassano, M. F.; Zheng, L.; Setola, V.; Chen, M.; Bai, X.; Frye, S. V.; Wetsel, W. C.; Roth, B. L.; Jin, J. Structure–Functional Selectivity Relationship Studies of β -Arrestin-Biased Dopamine D2 Receptor Agonists. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *55* (16), 7141–7153.

(47) Shonberg, J.; Herenbrink, C. K.; López, L.; Christopoulos, A.; Scammells, P. J.; Capuano, B.; Lane, J. R. A Structure–Activity Analysis of Biased Agonism at the Dopamine D2 Receptor. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56* (22), 9199–9221.

(48) Michino, M.; Donthamsetti, P.; Beuming, T.; Banala, A.; Duan, L.; Roux, T.; Han, Y.; Trinquet, E.; Newman, A. H.; Javitch, J. A.; Shi, L. A Single Glycine in Extracellular Loop 1 Is the Critical Determinant for Pharmacological Specificity of Dopamine D2 and D3 Receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2013**, *84* (6), 854–864.

(49) Zou, M.-F.; Keck, T. M.; Kumar, V.; Donthamsetti, P.; Michino, M.; Burzynski, C.; Schweppe, C.; Bonifazi, A.; Free, R. B.; Sibley, D. R.; Janowsky, A.; Shi, L.; Javitch, J. A.; Newman, A. H. Novel Analogues of (R)-5-(Methylamino)-5,6-Dihydro-4H-Imidazo-[4,5,1-Ij]Quinolin-2(1H)-One (Sumanirole) Provide Clues to Dopamine D2/D3 Receptor Agonist Selectivity. *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59* (7), 2973–2988.

(50) Lane, J. R.; Donthamsetti, P.; Shonberg, J.; Draper-Joyce, C. J.; Dentry, S.; Michino, M.; Shi, L.; López, L.; Scammells, P. J.; Capuano, B.; Sexton, P. M.; Javitch, J. A.; Christopoulos, A. A New Mechanism of Allostery in a G Protein-Coupled Receptor Dimer. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2014**, *10* (9), 745-752.

(51) Möller, D.; Banerjee, A.; Uzuneser, T. C.; Skultety, M.; Huth, T.; Plouffe, B.; Hübner, H.; Alzheimer, C.; Friedland, K.; Müller, C. P.; Bouvier, M.; Gmeiner, P. Discovery of G Protein-Biased Dopaminergics with a Pyrazolo[1,5-a]Pyridine Substructure. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *60* (7), 2908–2929.

(52) Pirzer, A. S.; Lasch, R.; Friedrich, H.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P.; Heinrich, M. R. Benzyl Phenylsemicarbazides: A Chemistry-Driven Approach Leading to G Protein-Biased Dopamine D4 Receptor Agonists with High Subtype Selectivity. *J. Med. Chem.* **2019**, *62* (21), 9658–9679.

(53) Morstein, J.; Awale, M.; Reymond, J.-L.; Trauner, D. Mapping the Azolog Space Enables the Optical Control of New Biological Targets. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2019**, *5* (4), 607–618.

(54) Allikalt, A.; Purkayastha, N.; Flad, K.; Schmidt, M. F.; Tabor, A.; Gmeiner, P.; Hübner, H.; Weikert, D. Fluorescent Ligands for Dopamine D2/D3 Receptors. *Sci. Rep* **2020**, *10* (1), 21842.

(55) Pulido, D.; Casadó-Anguera, V.; Gómez-Autet, M.; Llopart, N.; Moreno, E.; Casajuana-Martin, N.; Ferré, S.; Pardo, L.; Casadó, V.; Royo, M. Heterobivalent Ligand for the Adenosine A2A–Dopamine D2 Receptor Heteromer. *J. Med. Chem.* **2022**, *65* (1), 616–632.

(56) Ullmann, T.; Gienger, M.; Budzinski, J.; Hellmann, J.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P.; Weikert, D. Homobivalent Dopamine D2 Receptor Ligands Modulate the Dynamic Equilibrium of D2Monomers and Homo- and Heterodimers. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2021**, *16* (2), 371–379.

(57) Poloni, C.; Szymański, W.; Hou, L.; Browne, W. R.; Feringa, B. L. A Fast, Visible-Light-Sensitive Azobenzene for Bioorthogonal Ligation. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2014**, *20* (4), 946–951.

(58) Kobayashi, S.; Yokoyama, H.; Kamei, H. Substituent and Solvent Effects on Electronic Absorption Spectra and Thermal Isomerization of Push-Pull-Substituted Cis-Azobenzenes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1987**, *138* (4), *333–338*.

(59) Banghart, M. R.; Mourot, A.; Fortin, D. L.; Yao, J. Z.; Kramer, R. H.; Trauner, D. Photochromic Blockers of Voltage-Gated Potassium Channels. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2009**, 48 (48), 9097–9101.

(60) Beharry, A. A.; Woolley, G. A. Azobenzene Photoswitches for Biomolecules. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40 (8), 4422–4437.

(61) Waldeck, D. H. Photoisomerization Dynamics of Stilbenes. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91* (3), 415–436.

(62) Lachmann, D.; Lahmy, R.; König, B. Fulgimides as Light-Activated Tools in Biological Investigations. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 2019 (31–32), 5018–5024.

(63) Krueger, D.; Izquierdo, E.; Viswanathan, R.; Hartmann, J.; Pallares Cartes, C.; De Renzis, S. Principles and Applications of Optogenetics in Developmental Biology. *Development* **2019**, *146* (20), No. dev175067.

(64) Zhang, J.; Tian, H. The Endeavor of Diarylethenes: New Structures, High Performance, and Bright Future. *Advanced Optical Materials* **2018**, *6* (6), No. 1701278.

(65) Yao, X.; Rosen, M. K.; Gardner, K. H. Estimation of Available Free Energy in a LOV2-J α Photoswitch. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2008**, *4* (8), 491–497.

(66) Klajn, R. Spiropyran-Based Dynamic Materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, 43 (1), 148–184.

(67) Wiedbrauk, S.; Dube, H. Hemithioindigo—an Emerging Photoswitch. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56* (29), 4266–4274.

(68) Kienzler, M. A.; Reiner, A.; Trautman, E.; Yoo, S.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Y. A Red-Shifted, Fast-Relaxing Azobenzene Photoswitch for Visible Light Control of an Ionotropic Glutamate Receptor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135* (47), 17683–17686.

(69) Tschammer, N.; Dörfler, M.; Hübner, H.; Gmeiner, P. Engineering a GPCR-Ligand Pair That Simulates the Activation of D2L by Dopamine. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2010**, *1* (1), 25–35.

(70) Koschatzky, S.; Tschammer, N.; Gmeiner, P. Cross-Receptor Interactions between Dopamine D2L and Neurotensin NTS1 Receptors Modulate Binding Affinities of Dopaminergics. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 2011, 2 (6), 308–316.

(71) Los, G. V.; Encell, L. P.; McDougall, M. G.; Hartzell, D. D.; Karassina, N.; Zimprich, C.; Wood, M. G.; Learish, R.; Ohana, R. F.; Urh, M.; Simpson, D.; Mendez, J.; Zimmerman, K.; Otto, P.; Vidugiris, G.; Zhu, J.; Darzins, A.; Klaubert, D. H.; Bulleit, R. F.; Wood, K. V. HaloTag: A Novel Protein Labeling Technology for Cell Imaging and Protein Analysis. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2008**, *3* (6), 373–382. (72) *DropViz.* http://dropviz.org/ (accessed 2023-06-02). (73) Bubser, M.; Backstrom, J. R.; Sanders-Bush, E.; Roth, B. L.; Deutch, A. Y. Distribution of Serotonin 5-HT2A Receptors in Afferents of the Rat Striatum. *Synapse* **2001**, *39* (4), 297–304.

(74) Rommelfanger, K. S.; Mitrano, D. A.; Smith, Y.; Weinshenker, D. Light and Electron Microscopic Localization of Alpha-1 Adrenergic Receptor Immunoreactivity in the Rat Striatum and Ventral Midbrain. *Neuroscience* **2009**, *158* (4), 1530–1540.

(75) Scheinin, M.; Lomasney, J. W.; Hayden-Hixson, D. M.; Schambra, U. B.; Caron, M. G.; Lefkowitz, R. J.; Fremeau, R. T. Distribution of A2-Adrenergic Receptor Subtype Gene Expression in Rat Brain. *Mol. Brain Res.* **1994**, *21* (1), 133–149.

(76) DeLeon, A.; Patel, N. C.; Lynn Crismon, M. Aripiprazole: A Comprehensive Review of Its Pharmacology, Clinical Efficacy, and Tolerability. *Clinical Therapeutics* **2004**, *26* (5), 649–666.

(77) Broichhagen, J.; Levitz, J. Advances in Tethered Photopharmacology for Precise Optical Control of Signaling Proteins. *Curr. Opin Pharmacol* **2022**, *63*, No. 102196.

(78) Sengupta, R.; Mendenhall, A.; Sarkar, N.; Mukherjee, C.; Afshari, A.; Huang, J.; Lu, B. Viral Cre-LoxP Tools Aid Genome Engineering in Mammalian Cells. J. Biol. Eng. **2017**, 11, 45.

(79) Durieux, P. F.; Schiffmann, S. N.; de Kerchove d'Exaerde, A. Targeting Neuronal Populations of the Striatum. *Front Neuroanat* **2011**, *5*, 40.

(80) Lammel, S.; Steinberg, E. E.; Földy, C.; Wall, N. R.; Beier, K.; Luo, L.; Malenka, R. C. Diversity of Transgenic Mouse Models for Selective Targeting of Midbrain Dopamine Neurons. *Neuron* **2015**, *85* (2), 429–438.

(81) Donthamsetti, P.; Konrad, D. B.; Hetzler, B.; Fu, Z.; Trauner, D.; Isacoff, E. Y. Selective Photoswitchable Allosteric Agonist of a G Protein-Coupled Receptor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (24), 8951–8956.

(82) Dong, C.; Zheng, Y.; Long-Iyer, K.; Wright, E. C.; Li, Y.; Tian, L. Fluorescence Imaging of Neural Activity, Neurochemical Dynamics and Drug-Specific Receptor Conformation with Genetically-Encoded Sensors. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **2022**, *45*, 273–294.

(83) Wu, Z.; Lin, D.; Li, Y. Pushing the Frontiers: Tools for Monitoring Neurotransmitters and Neuromodulators. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci* 2022, 23 (5), 257–274.

(84) Connolly, B. S.; Lang, A. E. Pharmacological Treatment of Parkinson Disease: A Review. JAMA 2014, 311 (16), 1670–1683.

(85) Hisahara, S.; Shimohama, S. Dopamine Receptors and Parkinson's Disease. Int. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 2011, No. 403039.