UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Adaptation to Low Temperature Exposure Increases Metabolic Rates Independently of Growth Rates

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0xd109vj

Journal Integrative and Comparative Biology, 56(1)

ISSN 1540-7063

Authors

Williams, Caroline M Szejner-Sigal, Andre Morgan, Theodore J <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2016-07-01

_ _ _ _ _

DOI

10.1093/icb/icw009

Peer reviewed

1 Adaptation to low temperature exposure increases metabolic rates
2 independently of growth rates
 Caroline M. Williams¹, Andre Szejner-Sigal², Theodore J. Morgan³, Arthur S. Edison⁴, David Allison⁵, Daniel A. Hahn².
5 ¹ University of California, Berkeley CA 94720
6 ² University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32601
7 ³ Kansas State University, Manhattan KS 66506
8⁴University of Georgia, Athens GA 30602
9 ⁵ University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham AL 35294
10
11Corresponding author: Caroline Williams, Email: <u>cmw@berkeley.edu</u> , Phone: (510) 643-9775,
12Fax: (510) 643-6264, Address: 3040 Valley Life Sciences Building #3140, University of
13California, Berkeley CA 94720-3140.
14Running title: Cold extremes drive metabolic adaptation
15Word count: 5681 (not including refs)
16

17Key words: cold, extremes, chill coma recovery time, insect, metabolic cold adaptation

18Abstract

19Metabolic cold adaptation is a pattern where ectotherms from cold, high-latitude or -altitudes 20habitats have higher metabolic rates than ectotherms from warmer habitats. When found, 21metabolic cold adaptation is often attributed to countergradient selection, wherein short, cool 22growing seasons select for a compensatory increase in growth rates and development times of 23ectotherms. Yet, ectotherms in high-latitude and –altitude environments face many challenges in 24addition to thermal and time constraints on lifecycles. In addition to short, cool growing seasons, 25high-latitude and –altitude environments are characterized by regular exposure to extreme low 26temperatures, which cause ectotherms to enter a transient state of immobility termed chill coma. 27The ability to resume activity quickly after chill coma increases with latitude and altitude in 28patterns consistent with local adaptation to cold conditions. We show that artificial selection for 29 fast and slow chill coma recovery among lines of the fly *Drosophila melanogaster* also affects 30rates of respiratory metabolism. Cold-hardy fly lines with fast recovery from chill coma had 31 higher respiratory metabolic rates than control lines, with cold-susceptible slow-recovering lines 32having the lowest metabolic rates. Fast chill coma recovery was also associated with higher 33respiratory metabolism in a set of lines derived from a natural population. Although their 34metabolic rates were higher than control lines, fast-recovering cold-hardy lines did not have 35 faster growth rates or development times than control lines. This suggests that raised metabolic 36 rates in high-latitude and altitude species may be driven by adaptation to extreme low 37temperatures, illustrating the importance of moving "Beyond the Mean".

38Introduction

39Metabolic cold adaptation describes a macrophysiological pattern whereby respiratory estimates 40of metabolic rates of ectotherms from cold environments, typically from high latitudes or 41altitudes, tend to be elevated relative to those from warm environments (Addo-Bediako and 42others 2002). Metabolic cold adaptation has been an important and hotly debated topic in 43ecological and evolutionary physiology for nearly a century (Bullock 1955; Clarke 1993; Fox 441936). Although there are numerous examples of clinal variation in metabolic rate both within 45and across species that are consistent with metabolic cold adaptation, particularly in terrestrial 46arthropods and fish (Addo-Bediako and others 2002; Ayres and Scriber 1994; Block and Young 471978; Chappell ; Terblanche and others 2009; Torres and Somero ; White and others 2012; 48Wohlschlag 1960), other studies find no association between habitat temperature and metabolic 49rates (Clarke 1993; Clarke and Johnston 1999; Lardies and others 2004; Steffensen 2002). Our 50purpose in this manuscript is not to assess whether metabolic cold adaptation is a general 51macrophysiological rule. Although it is not always found, the pattern is general enough that it 52merits consideration. Rather, our goal is to discuss some of the ultimate, selective mechanisms 53that may drive metabolic cold adaptation in the context of habitats with high thermal variation, 54such as those at high latitudes and altitudes (Sunday and others 2011).

55 When a pattern consistent with metabolic cold adaptation is found, it is most often 56ascribed to another macrophysiological rule, countergradient variation (Conover and others 572009). Countergradient variation describes a pattern of local adaptation in organismal life 58histories wherein geographic variation in genotypes counteracts environmental influences, 59reducing phenotypic variation along an environmental gradient (Conover and Schultz 1995; 60Levins 1968). In the context of metabolic cold adaptation, this implies that body size and

5

61generation time are preserved along latitudinal or altitudinal thermal gradients, through elevation 62of rates of growth and development to counter the slowing effects of cool temperatures and short 63seasons. Countergradient variation is well-enough supported by both intraspecific and 64interspecific comparisons in terrestrial arthropods, fish, and amphibians to be considered a 65general macrophysiological rule (Gaston and others 2009; Gotthard and others 2000; Laugen and 66others 2003; Schultz and others 1996).

67 Both within and between species, fast growth rates are often correlated with higher rates 68of respiratory metabolism, presumably due to greater rates of both anabolic and catabolic 69intermediary metabolism needed to support fast growth (Arendt 1997; Glazier 2015; Metcalfe 70and Monaghan 2001; Stoks and others 2006). Because of this correlation between metabolic 71rates and growth rates, latitudinal/altitudinal local adaptation studies that show a pattern 72consistent with metabolic cold adaptation tend to attribute that pattern to countergradient 73selection on growth rates and development time (Addo-Bediako and others 2002; Ayres and 74Scriber 1994; Conover and Schultz 1995; White and others 2012). However, local adaptation to 75high latitude and altitude sites with high seasonal and thermal variation could entail selection on 76the ability to be hardy to many stresses beyond time limitation for growth and reproduction. 77Organisms must frequently balance multiple abiotic and biotic challenges (Sokolova and Pörtner 782007; Todgham and Stillman 2013), thus we expect that local adaptation at high-latitudes and 79-altitudes will be the product of multifarious selection acting to mitigate both short, cool growing 80seasons and stressful thermal extremes; necessitating that our conceptual framework for 81metabolic cold adaptation "moves beyond the mean" to consider the ecological, evolutionary, 82and mechanistic consequences of thermal variability.

83 When challenged by temperatures below their critical thermal minimum for movement, 84many ectotherms lose neuromuscular coordination and enter into a state termed chill coma 85(David and others 2003; MacMillan and Sinclair 2011). If the duration of low temperature is 86sufficiently brief (usually less than 12 hours), organisms will typically recover fully coordinated 87movement shortly after returning to warmer temperatures and ultimately continue critical 88processes like growth and reproduction (MacMillan and Sinclair 2011). The time taken to 89recover neuromuscular coordination and resume activity after rewarming is termed the chill 90coma recovery time. Among ectotherms, chill coma and recovery from chill coma have been best 91studied in terrestrial insects. Chill coma recovery time is ecologically relevant because the time 92spent recovering from chill coma represents lost opportunities for foraging, dispersal, mating and 93 reproduction; and increased vulnerability to predation, parasitism, and environmental stress (due 94to loss of ability to behaviorally avoid inhospitable conditions) (David and others 2003; 95MacMillan and Sinclair 2011). Reinforcing this view, chill coma recovery time shows clear 96patterns of local adaptation in many terrestrial arthropods wherein populations or species from 97high-latitudes and -altitudes have faster chill coma recovery time than those from more thermally 98stable environments (David and others 2003; Sinclair and others 2012). The rationale for this 99pattern is that insects living in high-latitude and -altitude sites experience greater thermal 100variability and may frequently enter into chill coma when temperatures fall below critical limits 101 for activity either overnight or as cold fronts sweep in, and then recover from chill coma as 102temperatures warm again during daytime or as cold fronts dissipate.

103 A series of quantitative trait locus (QTL), experimental evolution, and genome-wide 104association studies (GWAS) have shown that chill coma recovery is a strongly heritable 105quantitative trait underlain by many genomic regions, each with a relatively small effect

9 10

106(MacKay and others 2012; Morgan and MacKay 2006; Norry and others 2007; Williams and 107others 2014). From a physiological perspective, insects lose ionic and osmotic homeostasis 108during chill coma and whole-organism recovery of coordinated movement is correlated with the 109restoration of ionic and osmotic gradients (Andersen and others 2013; Findsen and others 2013; 110MacMillan and others 2015; MacMillan and Sinclair 2011; MacMillan and others 2012). 111Reestablishing ionic and osmotic gradients, and eventually restoration of coordinated movement, 112is energetically intensive requiring both the availability of ATP and the ability of downstream 113 intermediary metabolic processes to use that ATP to recover homeostasis. Several studies have 114shown that cold exposure disrupts intermediary metabolism (Koštál and others 2011; Lalouette 115and others 2007; Michaud and Denlinger 2007) and that low-temperature acclimation can reduce 116the magnitude of cold-induced perturbations to intermediary metabolism (Colinet and others 1172012; Overgaard and others 2007). We have also recently shown that cold adaptation via 118artificial selection for fast chill coma recovery time in the fly Drosophila melanogaster increased 119the robustness of biochemical networks of intermediary metabolites, permitting enhanced 120maintenance of metabolic processes during cold exposure and recovery (Williams and others 1212014). Given that cold disrupts intermediary metabolism, and that plastic and evolutionary 122 responses to cold enhance the ability to maintain metabolic processes in the cold, could selection 123 for cold-hardiness in highly thermally variable habitats drive the evolution of respiratory 124metabolic rate in a pattern that is consistent with metabolic cold adaptation, independent of 125selection on growth rates and development time?

Here we tested whether fast recovery from chill coma was associated with higher
127respiratory metabolic rates across two complementary sets of lines of the fly *D. melanogaster*,
128both derived from a mid-latitude site in Raleigh, North Carolina USA that experiences

11 12

129substantial seasonal thermal variation. The first set was a series of isogenic lines that represent 130naturally segregating variation in chill coma recovery time (Drosophila melanogaster Genetic 131Reference Panel; MacKay and others 2012). The second was a set of replicated experimental 132evolution lines artificially selected for fast or slow chill coma recovery time, as well as 133unselected control lines. We tested whether chill-coma recovery time was associated with a shift 134in the relationship between temperature and metabolic rate by testing lines across a series of 135temperatures from very low (0 °C) to relatively high (25 °C). Across both sets of lines, fast chill 136coma recovery was associated with higher respiratory metabolism at temperatures above 15 °C. 137Using the artificial evolution lines, we further tested whether lines selected for fast chill coma 138 recovery time that had higher respiratory metabolic rates, also had higher growth rates and 139shorter development times. Although lines selected for fast chill coma recovery had higher 140 respiratory metabolism than either control or slow-recovering lines, cold-hardy fast-recovering 141lines did not have higher growth rates or development times than control lines. Because chill 142coma recovery time is a cold-hardiness trait expected to be a target of selection in habitats with 143high thermal variation, we propose that selection on cold-hardiness could drive patterns of 144 respiratory metabolism that are consistent with metabolic cold adaptation independent of 145countergradient variation in life history traits.

146**Methods**

147Fly stocks

We used two complementary genetic resources, both originating from a natural
149population in Raleigh, North Carolina, USA. The first was the *Drosophila melanogaster* genetic
150reference panel (MacKay and others 2012); a fully genotyped panel of inbred lines representing

13 14

151genetic variation segregating within the population at the time of founding the lines. We chose 6 152lines from either tail of the distribution of chill coma recovery times, representing naturally 153occurring combinations of alleles associated with extreme cold-hardiness and -susceptibility. The 154second genetic resource was a set of replicate experimental evolution lines derived from the 155same base population, and selected in the laboratory for fast versus slow recovery from chill 156coma (Williams and others 2014). The selection process generated substantial and genetically 157 fixed differences in chill coma recovery times, with hardy lines recovering after an average of 1586.1 and 5.8 min (replicate lines 1 and 2), compared to 12.4 and 23.7min for susceptible flies 159(Williams and others 2014). All flies were reared on standard cornmeal-agar-molasses medium 160under controlled density as previously described (Williams and others 2014).

161*Respirometry*

162 For respirometry experiments, >1-day-old female flies were sorted into groups of 20 163under light CO₂ anaesthesia and then left to recover for 2-4 days. All experiments were 164performed on 5-8 day old mated females, reared at 25 °C. We used a Sable Systems International 165(SSI) respirometry system (Las Vegas, NV, USA) with an Oxzilla II oxygen (O₂) analyzer (SSI) **166**and a LiCor 7000 carbon dioxide (CO₂) analyzer (Lincoln, NE, USA). We collected data using a 167UI2 interface (SSI) at a frequency of 1 Hz. Incurrent air was scrubbed of water vapor and CO₂ 168using a drierite-ascarite-drierite column, excurrent gas was scrubbed of water vapor before 169 entering the CO_2 analyzer using a magnesium perchlorate column, and CO_2 and water vapor were 170scrubbed before the O₂ analyzer using an ascarite-magnesium perchlorate column. We corrected 171data to a reading taken through an empty baseline chamber at the beginning and end of each 172 recording to correct for instrument drift.

173Thermal performance curves for metabolic rate

15

We used stop-flow respirometry to measure VCO₂ and VO₂ as estimates of metabolic rate 175in groups of 10 female flies at 0, 15, 20 and 25 °C, with each group of flies measured only once 176at one temperature (Supplementary Material). Respiratory exchange ratios were calculated as 177the ratio of VCO₂:VO₂. Differences in activity levels among lines could confound metabolic rate 178estimates, so we estimated minimum costs of transport for each line in separate experiments 179(Supplementary Material), and subtracted these costs from metabolic rate estimates to ensure that 180activity was not driving the patterns we saw. We did not measure activity at 0 °C because all flies 181are in chill coma (inactive) within a few minutes of exposure to 0 °C. After each measurement, 182groups of flies were frozen and weighed to 0.01 µg using a microbalance.

183All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.2.2 (R Core Team 2015). Preliminary data 184exploration was performed as recommended by Zuur *et al.* (2010). VO₂ was log₁₀-transformed to 185improve normality. We fit general linear mixed models describing VO₂ as a function of 186temperature, natural logarithm of temperature, and temperature as a 2nd or 3rd order polynomial, 187and compared the fit using AIC (where Δ AIC > 2 is justification for preferring a more complex 188model). After the most parsimonius form of temperature was determined, we added random 189effects of syringe, run, and replicate population nested within cold hardiness, plus nested 190permutations of these random effects. We ascertained which combination of random effects was 191most parsimonius using AIC as above. We then fit additional fixed effects of cold hardinessand 192the interaction between selection and temperature (assessing whether the thermal sensitivity of 193metabolic rate differed among lines), with mass as a covariate. We simplified the model 194(including pooling factor levels) using AIC as above to determine the minimal adequate model 195(Crawley 2007).

196Metabolic rate of individual flies during cold exposure and recovery

197We used open-flow respirometry to measure VCO₂ as an estimate of metabolic rates in 198individual *D. melanogaster* continuously during cold exposure and recovery using open-flow 199 respirometry (Supplementary material, Fig. S1). It is not possible to measure VO_2 in individual 200 flies due to the relatively low sensitivity of oxygen compared to carbon dioxide analyzers. From 201these data, we estimated metabolic rates at the following time points: 1) Before cold exposure 202(25 °C); 2) During cold exposure (0 °C); 3) Rewarming, the time taken for the temperature to 203completely equilibrate to 25 °C (~20 min); 4) Early Recovery, the first 1.5h after temperature had 204equilibrated; and 5) Late Recovery, the final 1.5h of 4h recovery. These time points are indicated 205on Fig. S1 (1-5 along top axis). For each time period, metabolic rates for each individual were 206averaged and analyzed using mixed general linear models as described above (Thermal 207*performance curves for metabolic rate*), except that size (thorax length; Supplementary Material) 208was measured using a dissecting microscope with eye piece reticule and used as a covariate 209 instead of mass. To characterize variability in metabolic rates over time, we calculated rolling 210standard deviations on 1500 second windows for each individual (zoo package; Zeileis and 211Grothendieck 2005). The size of the window is shown in the black box in Fig. S1. These rolling 212standard deviations were averaged over the early and late recovery time points as previously 213described, and analyzed using the same linear model framework.

214Growth rates and development time

215Eggs were collected from population cages of each line using grape juice agar plates with a thin 216coat of liquid yeast. Plates were left for two hours in the cages and then immediately submerged 217in 70% ethanol to stop egg development and stored in a 4°C chamber. Groups of 100 eggs were 218dried and weighed (Cahn C-35 microbalance ($\pm 1 \mu g$), Orion Research, Inc., Boston, MA) to 219obtain the average egg dry mass for each line, which was used as a starting mass to calculate 220growth rates. Groups of 30 eggs from each line of flies (collected from the growth medium) were 221each placed into 5 replicate vials for each temperature treatment, and were checked for pupation 222or adult emergence at 5pm each day. Date of pupation or adult emergence was recorded and used 223to calculate pupal and adult development time. Adult flies were collected the day they emerged 224and frozen at -20°C. After all flies had emerged, they were dried individually at 60°C for 48 225hours and a random subset of the population of six females per replicate was weighed. The use of 226the random subset was required due to the large population size of the experiment. Growth rates 227were obtained for each line by calculating the difference between adult and egg dry mass divided 228by the total development time. Growth rate data were analyzed using general linear mixed 229models as described above (*Thermal performance curves for metabolic rate*), with starting mass 230of eggs as a covariate.

231**Results**

232Thermal performance curves for metabolic rate

233Cold-hardy and cold-susceptible flies did not differ in mass when reared at 25 °C (normal rearing 234conditions for all flies used in respirometry experiments) (p>0.1, Fig. 1A-B). In flies from all 235lines, VO_2 increased with temperature ($F_{2,45}$ =371.7, p < 0.0001), with the relationship best 236described by a 2nd degree polynomial (Fig. 1C-D). In the experimental evolution lines, VO_2 was 237more thermally sensitive in cold-hardy flies, with higher VO_2 at warm temperatures but lower 238 VO_2 at 0 °C in cold-hardy compared to -susceptible flies, and control flies were intermediate 239(hardiness × temperature: $F_{4,96}$ =10.6, p < 0.0001, Fig. 1C). At 0 °C, metabolic rates were lowest 240in cold-hardy flies and highest in -susceptible, with controls intermediate (Fig. 1E). The mass-241scaling of metabolic rate varied as a function of temperature: respiration rates increased with 242mass at warm temperatures, but showed progressively shallower scaling exponents as

21 22

243temperature decreased, being completely mass-independent at 0 °C (mass × temperature: 244F_{2,36}=21.4, p < 0.0001; Fig. S2A). We replicated these patterns using lines of flies originating 245from the DGRP: hardy flies had higher thermal sensitivity of VO₂, with higher VO₂ than 246susceptible lines at warm temperatures, but both hardy and susceptible lines had similar VO₂ in 247the cold (hardiness × temperature: $F_{2,136}$ =3.0, p =0.05, Fig. 1D,F). Mass scaling exponents for 248metabolic rate again decreased as a function of temperature, parallel to the pattern seen in the 249experimental evolution lines (mass × temperature: $F_{2,136}$ =5.5, p = 0.005; Fig. S2B). Respiratory 250exchange ratios (RERs) were extremely variable at 0 °C, and ranged from 0.3 – 1.3 (Fig. S3A). 251Across the warmer temperatures (15-25 °C), RERs decreased with increasing temperature 252(Experimental Evolution lines: $F_{1,19}$ =7.9, p=0.01, Fig. S3B; DGRP: $F_{1,35}$ =12.1, p=0.001, Fig. 253S3C), with values centered around 1 at 25 °C but increasing to a mean of 1.1 by 15 °C. For the 254Experimental Evolution lines, hardy flies had lower RERs than control and susceptible (which 255were pooled in the best model; $F_{1,82}$ =8.9, p=0.004), while by contrast in the DGRP hardy flies 256had higher RER than susceptible flies ($F_{1,108}$ =6.9, p=0.001).

257To rule out differential activity as a potential driver of the observed differences in metabolic rate, 258we estimated the distance walked by each line of flies during a typical respirometry recording, 259and used these data to calculate the average metabolic costs of transport (Supplementary 260Material). In the experimental evolution lines, hardy flies had similar costs of transport to control 261flies, while susceptible flies had reduced costs of transport (mostly due to reduced activity levels, 262Fig. S4). In the DGRP, hardy and susceptible flies had similar costs of transport at 20-25 °C, but 263lower costs of transport at 15 °C. Thus, in neither set of lines was increased activity of hardy 264lines driving the increased metabolic rates of cold hardy flies. To confirm this, we subtracted the 265estimated costs of transport from measured metabolic rates to estimate metabolic costs that were 266independent of activity (dotted lines in Fig. 1C-D), and reanalyzed these activity-corrected data. 267Analysis of activity-corrected *V*O₂, or raw or activity-corrected *V*CO₂, gave identical conclusions 268for all analyses, confirming that the pattern of higher metabolic rates in cold hardy flies was not 269driven by higher activity levels in these lines (Supplementary material, Fig. S4).

270Metabolic rate during cold exposure and recovery

271Metabolic rates of all flies decreased abruptly during cold exposure, then gradually recovered 272back towards pre-cold rates, but in most cases did not fully recover to before cold levels (e.g. 273Fig. S1). Hardy flies had a distinct trajectory of metabolic rates during cold exposure and 274 recovery compared to susceptible flies: we replicated our finding of higher metabolic rates 275before cold, dropping to lower rates during cold, and recovering to higher levels than did 276susceptible flies during recovery (cold hardiness × time: $F_{8,101}$ = 2.3, p = 0.033; Fig. 2A). 277Standardizing metabolic rates of each individual to the average "Before cold" metabolic rate for 278that individual confirmed that hardy flies dropped their metabolic rates to a greater degree during 279cold exposure, and additionally revealed that although absolute metabolic rates were higher in 280hardy than susceptible flies during recovery, the metabolic rates of hardy flies remained 281suppressed relative to their "before cold" rates during recovery, while susceptible flies returned 282to close to their before cold rates by the late recovery phase (cold hardiness × time: $F_{6,80}$ = 3.0, p 283= 0.013; Fig. 2B). Many of the flies showed a distinctly cyclic pattern of respiration that started 284during either the early or late recovery phases (Fig. S5), and the onset of this pattern was 285independent of the onset of activity (for example see Fig. S1). There was no significant 286difference between hardy and susceptible flies in the variance of VCO₂ during the early or late 287 recovery period ($F_{2,40}$ = 3.3, p = 0.095), although it is possible that we lacked power to detect an 288effect were it there.

289Growth rates and development times

290Growth rates increased with increasing temperature, and were similar among lines at the normal 291laboratory rearing temperature (25 °C; Fig. 3). On either side of that temperature, chill-292susceptible flies had lower growth rates than hardy and control flies, which were statistically 293indistinguishable (rearing temperature × cold hardiness [hardy and control pooled]: $F_{3,678}$ = 8.6, p 294<0.0001, Fig. 3). The slower growth rates of susceptible flies resulted from longer development 295times, while development time did not differ between hardy and control lines (Supplementary 296material, Fig. S6).

297 Discussion

298Here, we show that lines of *Drosophila melanogaster* flies with faster recovery from chill coma, 299a common metric of cold hardiness, also have higher respiratory metabolism at warm 300temperatures permissive for activity (15-25 °C). We demonstrated this pattern in both a set of 301experimental evolution lines artificially selected for fast or slow recovery from chill coma 302(compared to unselected controls), and also a series of lines derived from the *Drosophila* 303*melanogaster* Genetic Reference Panel. Both sets of lines were originally derived from a mid-304latitude population that represent naturally segregating genetic variation for many traits, 305including cold hardiness (MacKay and others 2012). Chill coma recovery time shows clear 306patterns of local adaptation across terrestrial arthropod species, wherein populations from high 307latitude and high altitude sites show faster recovery from chill coma than populations from less 308cold and thermally variable habitats (David and others 2003; Sinclair and others 2012).

310altitudes may drive higher respiratory metabolism and thus contribute to the pattern of metabolic 311cold adaptation in terrestrial arthropods.

312 Interestingly, while cold-hardy, fast-recovering lines had higher respiratory metabolic 313 rates than control and susceptible lines at warm temperatures permissive for fly activity (15-25 314°C), respiratory metabolism dropped to a greater degree upon cold exposure in cold-hardy 315compared to control or susceptible lines. Artificial selection for cold-hardiness via fast chill coma 316 recovery thus altered the relationship between temperature and metabolic rate, wherein metabolic 317 rates increased more quickly with temperature in hardy lines than control lines, followed by 318cold-susceptible lines. Similarly, fast-recovering, cold-hardy lines from the Drosophila Genetic 319Reference Panel also had a higher thermal sensitivity of respiratory metabolism than cold-320susceptible lines. Although most studies of metabolic cold adaptation in insects only assess 321metabolism at one or two shared temperatures (Addo-Bediako and others 2002), our 322observations are consistent with studies that have found intraspecific and interspecific variation 323in metabolic rate-temperature relationships associated with living in cold habitats (Chappell 3241983; Terblanche and others 2009). Our work differs from other studies on metabolic rate-325temperature relationships because we include both metabolic rates at relatively high temperatures 326where flies can be active (15-25 °C) and a stressful low temperature that is below the threshold 327 for activity (0 °C). Despite the difficulty of quantifying metabolic rates of small insects at low 328temperatures, we included this measure at 0 °C specifically because we think it critical to 329understand how selection during the cold exposure may act on metabolic rate.

330 When considering patterns of respiratory metabolism during adaptation to cold stress, 331selection could alter metabolism at three different points: before cold exposure so that animals 332have been selected to be better prepared to resist cold stress, during cold exposure, and during

333recovery from cold so that animals are better able to tolerate perturbation from cold stress 334(Williams and others 2014). By following respiratory metabolism across each of these time 335points, we showed that cold-hardy lines have greater metabolic plasticity than control or 336susceptible lines. Specifically, cold-hardy lines have higher respiratory metabolism before the 337cold but they have lower respiratory metabolism at 0 °C than control or susceptible lines, 338suggesting greater metabolic plasticity in response to cold stress. During recovery from cold 339stress, the respiratory metabolic rates of cold-hardy lines increased again faster than control or 340susceptible lines, but even after 4 h of recovery hardy lines did not completely recover to their 341pre-cold respiratory metabolic rates whereas control and susceptible lines did return to near their 342pre-stress metabolic rates. This suggests that metabolic suppression was present during recovery 343from cold in hardy flies. This is in line with previous findings that plastic responses to cold 344exposure do not necessarily increase metabolic costs in chill-susceptible insects (Basson and 345others 2012; but see MacMillan and others 2012)

The potential benefits of increased metabolic plasticity during adaptation to cold stress 347become clear when considering the mechanisms that may underlie chill coma and recovery 348responses. During chill coma insects accumulate osmotic, ionic, and metabolic imbalances that 349increase in severity with duration spent in chill coma (Andersen and others 2013; Findsen and 350others 2013; MacMillan and others 2015; MacMillan and Sinclair 2011). Metabolic, ionic, and 351osmotic homeostasis must be reestablished during recovery from chill coma, along with repair of 352other types of cellular damage that may accrue with time at low temperature. Reestablishing 353homeostasis and repairing cold-induced damage is energetically expensive from both the 354perspectives of energetic currency to do work and anabolic substrates to effect repairs 355(MacMillan and others 2012). Given that respiratory rates reflect rates of intermediary

356metabolism, in this context higher metabolic rates before and after cold stress may support 357greater substrate flux through critical pathways of intermediary metabolism at warm 358temperatures to help individuals better resist cold-induced perturbations to metabolism and to 359recover metabolic homeostasis and repair damage more quickly after cold perturbation. 360Reduction of intermediary metabolism, and particularly aerobic catabolism, is a common 361response to many stressors beyond cold (Guppy and Withers 1999; Storey and Storey 1990). The 362ability of cold-hardy lines to reduce intermediary metabolism during cold stress to a greater 363degree than control or susceptible lines may help to reduce the magnitude of metabolic 364perturbation during cold exposure, thus leaving cold hardy flies better prepared to recover 365homeostasis. Indeed, allied work on these same experimental evolution lines has found that cold-366hardy lines suffer less cold-induced perturbations in their levels of metabolites than susceptible 367lines (Williams and others 2014), and that this is associated with higher rates of catabolism and 368anabolism (Williams and others submitted). Unfortunately, the literature on metabolic cold 369adaptation in terrestrial arthropods is currently lacking in studies that include metabolic 370responses at all three times (before, during, and after cold stress), although several studies 371measure metabolic rates either during or after cold stress (Basson and others 2012; Stevens and 372others 2010). We do not know whether the pattern of increased metabolic plasticity we observed 373here is a general aspect of metabolic cold adaptation, but we encourage other authors to include 374time series data of respiratory metabolism across a thermal perturbation when considering 375metabolic adaptation to cold stress.

376 Respiratory exchange ratios (RERs) were close to 1 at room temperature, suggesting that 377flies were primarily relying on carbohydrate metabolism (Lighton 2008). RERs increased with 378decreasing temperature in both sets of lines, which may indicate an increasing predominance of

33 34

379anabolic relative to catabolic processes as temperatures cool (Lighton 2008). At low 380temperatures, RERs were extremely variable. For these experiments we used stop-flow 381respirometry, which allows gas to build up and then be injected into the system in a bolus, thus 382low oxygen concentrations are not a possible explanation for this variability at 0 °C. Inspection 383of VCO₂ and VO₂ at 0 °C show similar levels of variation, further supporting that oxygen 384measurements had sufficient resolution. It is thus possible that the highly variable RERs at 0 °C 385reflect true biological differences in the response to low temperatures, but this requires further 386investigation. Differences in RER between hardy and susceptible flies were inconsistent across 387the Experimental Evolution lines and the DGRP, so we conclude that RER does not seem to be 388related to cold hardiness in these lines.

One of the caveats for using experimental evolution approaches in the laboratory to study 390mechanisms of adaptation in the field is that one may inadvertently artificially select for a trait 391that they did not intend (Gibbs 1999). Is it possible that we inadvertently artificially selected for 392high levels of activity that are driving the observed patterns of respiratory metabolism rather than 393cold tolerance? We quantified activity, distance walked, and walking speed in flies from each of 394the experimental evolution lines and the naturally derived lines and used these data to estimate 395costs of transport (Supplementary Material). These data rule out increased activity levels as a 396driver of increased metabolic rates in hardy flies. Among our experimental evolution lines, the 397cold-hardy lines did not differ from the control lines in costs of transport, although the 398susceptible lines did have reduced costs of transport due to lower activity level (Fig. S3). Thus, 399the higher respiratory metabolic rates we observed in hardy vs. control lines at temperatures 400permissive for movement were not driven by activity. In the DGRP, hardy flies had lower 401activity at cool temperatures; the opposite to the pattern we saw for metabolic rate. We are

402confident that our results represent a specific response of increased respiratory metabolism to 403selection for hardiness to cold stress that is consistent with patterns of metabolic cold adaptation.

Abundant nutrient availability in laboratory selection studies can also ameliorate life 405history trade-offs that may prevent the evolution of phenotypes in nature – therefore in the wild, 406hardy flies with increased metabolic demands may experience decreased ability to invest in other 407fitness components such as reproduction or somatic maintenance (Zera and Harshman 2001). In 408this sense, laboratory selection experiments indicate what can happen in response to a selective 409pressure, but not necessarily what will happen in the wild (Gibbs 1999). We did not assay other 410measures of fitness such as fecundity or viability – it is entirely possible that these fitness 411measures may be reduced in cold hardy flies, indicating a functional trade-off between cold 412hardiness and reproduction. Alternately, the cost may come in the form of increased nutrient 413requirements, requiring longer foraging periods or increased efficiency of digestion, absorption, 414or catabolism.

Because fast growth rates are correlated with higher rates of respiratory metabolism
416(Arendt 1997; Glazier 2015; Metcalfe and Monaghan 2001; Stoks and others 2006), higher rates
417of respiratory metabolism in terrestrial arthropods from high latitudes and altitudes are often
418attributed to countergradient selection for fast growth during short, cool growing seasons (Ayres
419and Scriber 1994; Gotthard and others 2000; Laugen and others 2003; Schultz and others 1996).
420We tested whether our selection regime for cold hardiness that increased respiratory metabolism
421also produced correlated changes in growth rates and development time. Neither growth rates nor
422development time differed between cold-hardy and control lines, although cold-susceptible lines
423had lower growth rates that were attributable to longer development times at all temperatures
424other than 25 °C (Fig. 3). Countergradient selection on life histories with latitude and altitude is a

425common enough pattern in ectotherms to be considered a general macroecological rule (Conover 426and others 2009; Gaston and others 2009). We agree that selection for fast growth rates via 427countergradient selection is a potentially important force that could drive patterns of metabolic 428cold adaptation in terrestrial arthropods (Addo-Bediako and others 2002; White and Kearney 4292013). However, our work shows that artificial selection for cold-hardiness via fast recovery 430from chill coma can also drive the evolution of higher rates of respiratory metabolism 431independent of either growth rates or activity. Because chill coma recovery time shows 432latitudinal clines consistent with local adaptation within and between *Drosophila* species and 433other widely distributed terrestrial arthropods (David and others 2003; Sinclair and others 2012), 434we propose that natural selection on rapid recovery from chill coma recovery, and perhaps other 435energetically costly aspects of cold hardiness, could also be an important force shaping patterns 436of metabolic cold adaptation. Acclimatization in response to low mean temperatures at high-437latitudes or -altitudes could augment this elevation of metabolic rate (e.g. Berrigan and Partridge 4381997; Terblanche and others 2005).

439Conclusions

440High-latitude and high-altitude environments are characterized by shorter, cooler growing 441seasons and greater thermal variability (Sunday and others 2011). Understanding patterns of 442local adaptation to these environments, and the potential for climate change to impact organisms 443in these environments will necessitate "moving beyond the mean" to consider how selection 444imposed by thermal variation may affect organisms. Here we show that selection imposed by 445exposure to and recovery from an extreme low temperature event can shape respiratory 446metabolism in a manner consistent with patterns of metabolic cold adaptation, without altering 447either activity or growth rates. This work suggests an alternative selective pressure that could 448lead to higher respiratory metabolism in high-latitude and high-altitude environments and 449emphasizes the importance of considering the multifarious nature of selection that can be 450experienced by organisms across the entire lifecycle.

451**Funding**

452This work was supported by the National Science Foundation [IOS-1051890 to DAH, ASE and 453DBA, IOS-1051770 to TJM]; the National Institutes of Health [P30DK056336 to DBA]; and the 454Florida Agricultural Experiment Station to DAH.

455Acknowledgements

456Laura Castellanos and Jennifer Kight helped with fly rearing and respirometry.

457Data availaibility

458Data will be deposited in Dryad upon acceptance.

460**References**

461Addo-Bediako A, Chown SL, Gaston KJ. 2002. Metabolic cold adaptation in insects: a largescale perspective. Functional Ecology 16(3):332-338. 462 463Andersen JL, Findsen A, Overgaard J. 2013. Feeding impairs chill coma recovery in the 464 migratory locust (Locusta migratoria). J Insect Physiol 59(10):1041-8. 465Arendt JD. 1997. Adaptive intrinsic growth rates: an integration across taxa. The Quarterly 466 Review of Biology 72(2):149-177. 467 Ayres MP, Scriber JM. 1994. Local adaptation to regional climates in *Papilio canadensis* (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). Ecological Monographs 64:465-482. 468 469Basson CH, Nyamukondiwa C, Terblanche JS. 2012. Fitness costs of rapid cold hardening in *Ceratitis capitata*. Evolution 66(1):296-304. 470 471Berrigan D, Partridge L. 1997. Influence of temperature and activity on the metabolic rate of 472 adult Drosophila melanoaaster. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology a-Molecular 473 & Integrative Physiology 118(4):1301-1307. 474Block W, Young SR. 1978. Metabolic adaptations of antarctic terrestrial micro-arthropods. 475 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology 61(2):363-368. 476Bullock TH. 1955. Compensation for temperature in the metabolism and activity of 477 poikilotherms. Biological Reviews 30(3):311-342. 478Chappell MA. 1983. Metabolism and thermoregulation in desert and montane grasshoppers. 479 Oecologia 56(1):126-131. 480Clarke A. 1993. Seasonal acclimatization and latitudinal compensation in metabolism: do they 481 exist? Functional Ecology 7(2):139-149. 482Clarke A, Johnston NM. 1999. Scaling of metabolic rate with body mass and temperature in teleost fish. Journal of Animal Ecology 68(5):893-905. 483 484Colinet H, Larvor V, Laparie M, Renault D. 2012. Exploring the plastic response to cold 485 acclimation through metabolomics. Functional Ecology 26(3):711-722. 486Conover DO, Duffy TA, Hice LA. 2009. The covariance between genetic and environmental 487 influences across ecological gradients. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 488 1168(1):100-129. 489Conover DO, Schultz ET. 1995. Phenotypic similarity and the evolutionary significance of 490 countergradient variation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 10(6):248-252. 491Crawley MJ. 2007. The R book. New York: Wiley. 492David JR, Gibert P, Moreteau B, Gilchrist GW, Huey RB. 2003. The fly that came in from the 493 cold: geographic variation of recovery time from low-temperature exposure in 494 Drosophila subobscura. Functional Ecology 17:425-430. 495Findsen A, Andersen JL, Calderon S, Overgaard J. 2013. Rapid cold hardening improves 496 recovery of ion homeostasis and chill coma recovery in the migratory locust Locusta 497 *migratoria*. The Journal of Experimental Biology 216:1630-1637. 498Fox HM. 1936. The activity and metabolism of poikilothermal animals in different latitudes.—I. 499 Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 106(4):945-955. 500Gaston KJ, Chown SL, Calosi P, Bernardo J, Bilton DT, Clarke A, Clusella-Trullas S, Ghalambor CK, Konarzewski M, Peck LS et al. . 2009. Macrophysiology: a conceptual reunification. 501 502 Am Nat 174(5):595-612. 503Gibbs AG. 1999. Laboratory selection for the comparative physiologist. J Exp Biol 202(Pt 504 20):2709-18.

505Glazier DS. 2015. Is metabolic rate a universal 'pacemaker' for biological processes? Biological 506 Reviews 90(2):377-407.

507Gotthard K, Nylin S, Wiklund C. 2000. Individual state controls temperature dependence in a 508 butterfly (*Lasionmata maera*). Proc Biol Sci 267(1443):589-93.

509Guppy M, Withers P. 1999. Metabolic depression in animals: physiological perspectives and 510 biochemical generalizations. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 74(1):1-40.

511Koštál V, Korbelova J, Rozsypal J, Zahradníčková H, Cimlova J, Tomčala A, Šimek P. 2011.

512 Long-term cold acclimation extends survival time at 0 degrees C and modifies the

metabolomic profiles of the larvae of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS ONE
6(9):e25025.

515Lalouette L, Koštál V, Colinet H, Gagneul D, Renault D. 2007. Cold exposure and associated

516 metabolic changes in adult tropical beetles exposed to fluctuating thermal regimes. Febs j517 274(7):1759-67.

518Lardies MA, Bacigalupe LD, Bozinovic F. 2004. Testing the metabolic cold adaptation

519 hypothesis: an intraspecific latitudinal comparison in the common woodlouse.

520 Evolutionary Ecology Research 6(567-578).

521Laugen AT, Laurila A, Räsänen K, Merilä J. 2003. Latitudinal countergradient variation in the common frog (*Rana temporaria*) development rates – evidence for local adaptation. J

523 Evol Biol 16(5):996-1005.

524Levins R. 1968. Evolution in Changing Environments: Some Theoretical Explorations.

525 Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.

526Lighton JRB. 2008. Measuring metabolic rates: a manual for scientists. . Oxford, UK: Oxford527 University Press.

528MacKay TF, Richards S, Stone EA, Barbadilla A, Ayroles JF, Zhu D, Casillas S, Han Y, Magwire 529 MM, Cridland JM et al. 2012. The *Drosophila melanogaster* Genetic Reference Panel.

530 Nature 482(7384):173-8.

531MacMillan HA, Andersen JL, Davies SA, Overgaard J. 2015. The capacity to maintain ion and
water homeostasis underlies interspecific variation in *Drosophila* cold tolerance.
Scientific Reports 5:18607.

534MacMillan HA, Sinclair BJ. 2011. Mechanisms underlying insect chill-coma. J Insect Physiol 57(1):12-20.

536MacMillan HA, Williams CM, Staples JF, Sinclair BJ. 2012. Reestablishment of ion homeostasis

during chill-coma recovery in the cricket *Gryllus pennsylvanicus*. Proceedings of theNational Academy of Sciences.

539Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P. 2001. Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? Trends 540 Ecol Evol 16(5):254-260.

541Michaud MR, Denlinger DL. 2007. Shifts in the carbohydrate, polyol, and amino acid pools during rapid cold-hardening and diapause-associated cold-hardening in flesh flies

543 (*Sarcophaga crassipalpis*): a metabolomic comparison. J Comp Physiol B 177(7):753-63.

544Morgan TJ, MacKay TF. 2006. Quantitative trait loci for thermotolerance phenotypes in 545 *Drosophila melanogaster*. Heredity 96(3):232-42.

546Norry FM, Gomez FH, Loeschcke V. 2007. Knockdown resistance to heat stress and slow

547 recovery from chill coma are genetically associated in a quantitative trait locus region of

548 chromosome 2 in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Molecular Ecology 16(15):3274-3284.

549Overgaard J, Malmendal A, Sørensen JG, Bundy JG, Loeschcke V, Nielsen NC, Holmstrup M. 550 2007. Metabolomic profiling of rapid cold hardening and cold shock in Drosophila melanogaster. J Insect Physiol 53(12):1218. 551 552Schultz ET, Reynolds KE, Conover DO. 1996. Countergradient variation in growth among newly hatched Fundulus heteroclitus: geographic differences revealed by common-environment 553 experiments. Functional Ecology 10(3):366-374. 554 555Sinclair BJ, Williams CM, Terblanche JS. 2012. Variation in thermal performance among insect 556 populations. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 85(6):594-606. 557Sokolova IM, Pörtner HO. 2007. The role of thermal environment in stress adaptation and tolerance: Integration of multiple stressors. Journal of Thermal Biology 32(3):117. 558 559Steffensen JF. 2002. Metabolic cold adaptation of polar fish based on measurements of aerobic oxygen consumption: fact or artefact? Artefact! Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr 560 561 Physiol 132(4):789-95. 562Stevens MM, Jackson S, Bester SA, Terblanche JS, Chown SL. 2010. Oxygen limitation and thermal tolerance in two terrestrial arthropod species. The Journal of Experimental 563 Biology 213(13):2209-2218. 564 565Stoks R, De Block M, McPeek MA. 2006. Physiological costs of compensatory growth in a damselfly. Ecology 87(6):1566-1574. 566 567Storey KB, Storey JM. 1990. Metabolic rate depression and biochemical adaptation in 568 anaerobiosis, hibernation and estivation. Q Rev Biol 65(2):145-74. 569Sunday JM, Bates AE, Dulvy NK. 2011. Global analysis of thermal tolerance and latitude in ectotherms. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 570 571 278(1713):1823-1830. 572Team RC. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 573 574Terblanche JS, Clusella-Trullas S, Deere JA, Van Vuuren BJ, Chown SL. 2009. Directional evolution of the slope of the metabolic rate-temperature relationship is correlated with 575 climate. Physiol Biochem Zool 82(5):495-503. 576 577Terblanche JS, Jaco Klok C, Chown SL. 2005. Temperature-dependence of metabolic rate in 578 Glossina morsitans morsitans (Diptera, Glossinidae) does not vary with gender, age, feeding, pregnancy or acclimation. Journal of Insect Physiology 51(8):861-870. 579 580Todgham AE, Stillman JH. 2013. Physiological responses to shifts in multiple environmental 581 stressors: relevance in a changing world. Integr Comp Biol 53(4):539-44. 582Torres JJ, Somero GN. Metabolism, enzymic activities and cold adaptation in Antarctic mesopelagic fishes. Marine Biology 98(2):169-180. 583 584White CR, Alton LA, Frappell PB. 2012. Metabolic cold adaptation in fishes occurs at the level of whole animal, mitochondria and enzyme. Proc Biol Sci 279(1734):1740-7. 585 586White CR, Kearney MR. 2013. Determinants of inter-specific variation in basal metabolic rate. J 587 Comp Physiol B 183(1):1-26. 588Williams CM, McCue MD, Sunny N, Morgan TJ, Allison DB, Hahn DA. submitted. Coldadaptation increases rates of nutrient flow and metabolic plasticity during cold exposure 589 590 in Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 591Williams CM, Watanabe M, Guarracino MR, Ferraro MB, Edison AS, Morgan TJ, Boroujerdi AFB, Hahn DA. 2014. Cold adaptation shapes the robustness of metabolic networks in 592 Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution 68(12):3505-3523. 593

594Wohlschlag DE. 1960. Metabolism of an antarctic fish and the phenomenon of cold adaptation.595 Ecology 41(2):287-292.

596Zeileis A, Grothendieck G. 2005. zoo: S3 infrastructure for regular and irregular time series.

597 Journal of Statistical Software 14(6):1-27.

598Zera AJ, Harshman LG. 2001. The physiology of life history trade-offs in animals. AnnualReview of Ecology and Systematics 32:95.

600Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1:3.

602Figures

603Figure 1 – Size and metabolic rates of female *Drosophila melanogaster* from Experimental Evolution lines (A,C,E) or the Drosophila 604melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP; B,D,F). For all panels, N = 5-7/line/temperature, with 6 (DGRP) or 2 (Experimental 605Evolution) replicate lines of flies for each level of cold hardiness. A-B) Boxplots showing mass of lines that vary in cold hardiness. C-606D) Oxygen consumption of cold-hardy (black triangles), control (dark grey circles) or cold-susceptible (light grey squares) female 607flies. Dotted lines indicate remaining oxygen consumption when the estimated cost of walking was removed. Values are mean ± SEM. 608E-F) Magnification of metabolic rates at 0 °C. . Significant effects are from general linear models described in results, main effects 609are not given where there is a significant higher-order interaction term.

610Figure 2 – CO₂ production (as a proxy for metabolic rate) of cold-hardy (white), control (light grey), or cold-susceptible (dark grey) or 611individual female *Drosophila melanogaster* during cold exposure and recovery. A) CO₂ production rates and B) change in CO₂ 612production rates compared to the same individual before cold exposure. All time points are relative to a 3h cold exposure at 0 °C; 613Early = first 2h of recovery period, Late = subsequent 2h of recovery period (see Fig. S1 for time periods). N= 8 for each box (two 614replicate selection lines pooled for display purposes, but accounted for in models, see text). Significant effects are from general linear 615models described in results, main effects are not given where there is a significant higher-order interaction term.

616Figure 3 – Growth rates of cold-hardy (black), -susceptible (light grey) and control (dark grey) female flies, from an experimental 617evolution experiment. N \ge 5 replicate vials of 30 eggs/vial/line, resulting in \ge 30 surviving adults for each line. Values are mean \pm

618SEM of two replicate experimental evolution lines for each level of cold hardiness (accounted for in models as a random effect).619Significant effects are from general linear models described in results, main effects are not given where there is a significant higher-620order interaction term.