UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

The presence and role of the intermediary CO reservoir in heterogeneous electroreduction of CO2

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0v40t68z

Journal Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 119(18)

ISSN 0027-8424

Authors

Louisia, Sheena Kim, Dohyung Li, Yifan <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-05-03

DOI

10.1073/pnas.2201922119

Peer reviewed

The presence and role of the intermediary CO reservoir in heterogeneous electroreduction of CO₂

Sheena Louisia^{a,b,†}, Dohyung Kim^{a,b,c,†}, Yifan Li^{a,b}, Mengyu Gao^c, Sunmoon Yu^{b,c}, Inwhan Roh^{a,b}, Peidong Yang^{a,b,c,d*}

† denotes equal contribution

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

^bChemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA.

^oDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

^dKavli Energy NanoScience Institute, Berkeley, CA, USA.

Correspondence to: * Peidong Yang

Email: p_yang@berkeley.edu

Author Contributions: S.L. and D.K. designed the experiments and analyzed data with assistance from Y.L. and M.G.; S.L. performed the experiments with assistance from D.K., Y.L., and I.R.; S.L. carried out the multiphysics simulation with assistance from D.K.; S.L and M.G. designed and carried out the numerical model. P.Y. supervised the project and experimental design. All authors wrote the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement: Authors declare no competing interests.

Classification: Physical Sciences, Chemistry.

Keywords: heterogeneous catalysis, electrocatalysis, CO₂ reduction, analytical method.

This PDF file includes:

Main Text Figures 1 to 4

Abstract

Despite the importance of the microenvironment in heterogeneous electrocatalysis, its role remains unclear due to a lack of suitable characterization techniques. Multi-step reactions like the electroconversion of CO_2 to multicarbons (C_{2+}) are especially relevant considering the potential creation of a unique microenvironment as part of the reaction pathway. To elucidate the significance of the microenvironment during CO₂ reduction, we develop on-stream substitution of reactant isotope (OSRI), a new method which relies on the subsequent introduction of CO₂ isotopes. Combining electrolytic experiments with a numerical model, this method reveals the presence of a reservoir of CO molecules concentrated near the catalyst surface that influences C₂₊ formation. Application of OSRI on a Cu nanoparticle (NP) ensemble and an electropolished Cu foil demonstrates that a CO monolayer covering the surface does not provide the amount of CO intermediates necessary to facilitate C-C coupling. Specifically, the C2+ turnover increases only after reaching a density of ~100 CO molecules per surface Cu atom. The Cu NP ensemble satisfies this criterion at an overpotential 100 mV lower than the foil, making it a better candidate for efficient C_{2+} formation. Furthermore, given the same reservoir size, the ensemble's intrinsically higher C-C coupling ability is highlighted by the 4-fold higher C_{2+} turnover it achieves at a more positive potential. The OSRI method provides an improved understanding of how the presence of CO intermediates in the microenvironment impacts C_{2+} formation during the electroreduction of CO_2 on Cu surfaces.

Significance Statement

The electroconversion of CO_2 to value-added products is a promising path to sustainable fuels and chemicals. However, the microenvironment that is created during CO_2 electroreduction near the surface of heterogeneous Cu electrocatalysts remains unknown. Its understanding can lead to the development of ways to improve activity and selectivity towards multicarbon products. This work presents a new method called on-stream substitution of reactant isotope that provides quantitative information of the CO intermediate species present on Cu surfaces during electrolysis. An intermediary CO reservoir was identified for the first time which contains more CO molecules than typically expected in a surface adsorbed configuration. Its size was shown to be a factor closely associated with the formation of multicarbon products.

Main Text

Introduction

Powering the conversion of small molecules into value-added products using renewable electricity is a promising approach to achieve sustainable production of fuels and chemicals.¹⁻³ The field of electrocatalysis has been moving towards this goal but remains hampered by activity and selectivity challenges. A molecular-level understanding of reactions is required to overcome these difficulties. More importantly, it should involve a thorough consideration of all factors that influence electrochemical conversion at heterogeneous surfaces. Previous studies have suggested that environmental aspects beyond the surface active site may be as critical for facilitating electrocatalytic reactions.^{4,5}

Studies in heterogeneous electrocatalysis have largely focused on controlling the active sites and understanding how it influences reaction pathways through techniques such as surface spectroscopy (e.g., *in situ* Raman and IR spectroscopy) combined with theory.⁶⁻⁸ However, locally confined environments also play a critical role in mediating reactions as demonstrated in other fields of catalysis. For example, in biocatalysis and homogeneous catalysis, the role of the microenvironment and the secondary coordination sphere have been widely investigated, respectively.⁹⁻¹⁴ The high selectivity achieved by bio- and molecular catalysts has often been associated with the characteristics of such regimes. Likewise, understanding the influence of a microenvironment in the context of heterogeneous electrocatalysis is necessary to gain better control of reactions. It is expected that the microenvironment created near a catalytically active surface will exhibit specific physicochemical properties that differ from the bulk.

Microenvironment effects may be especially important for the CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR), particularly on Cu catalysts where a variety of value-added, higher-order products (e.g., ethylene, ethanol) are generated.¹⁵ Up to now, probing key surface intermediates using surface spectroscopy coupled with theoretical calculations has led to the consensus that *CO is necessary for the formation of multicarbons (C₂₊).¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Further investigation has revealed the importance of its binding mode (i.e., *CO_{atop} or *CO_{bridge}) in determining CO₂RR selectivity.¹⁹⁻²¹ Other studies have reported high *CO coverage leading to C-C coupling necessary for C₂₊ formation.^{22,23} However, beyond the surface bound *CO, recent findings suggest the presence of near-surface CO species during CO₂ electroreduction relevant to C₂₊ formation.²⁴⁻²⁷ Furthermore, structural modifications presumed to affect the environment surrounding catalysts have been shown to improve C₂₊ selectivity.^{20,28-30} Considering its critical influence, an in-depth characterization of the microenvironment under CO₂ electroreduction conditions is needed.

However, the characteristics of the microenvironment remain elusive due to the lack of suitable techniques. Despite the insights acquired into surface species present during the reaction, the use of surface spectroscopy has been limited to analytes present within a few nanometers from the surface.^{31,32} Vibrational spectroscopy methods, such as Raman or FTIR, are also constrained to rely on surface enhancing effects to overcome the signal loss associated with the electrolyte.^{33,34} Additionally, the enhancement decays rapidly away from the surface (inversely proportional to the cube of the distance), exclusively favoring surface bound species.³⁵⁻³⁷ At high catalytic rates, optical interference from product bubble formation limits the use of such techniques under catalytically relevant *operando* conditions.^{26,38} Overall, the limitations of surface vibrational spectroscopy prevent it from understanding the microenvironment during electrochemical reactions on heterogeneous surfaces.

In this work, we present on-stream substitution of reactant isotope (OSRI) as a new method that can provide insights into the microenvironment near Cu surfaces during CO₂RR. The OSRI method employs isotopic labeling of the reagent CO₂ in a specific sequence and monitors its transformation to various products. Through the analysis of product isotopic compositions, it reveals the presence of a reservoir of intermediary CO (CO_{int}) molecules necessary for C₂₊ formation. Applied to two different systems (i.e., Cu nanoparticle (NP) ensemble and polycrystalline Cu foil), a density reaching ~100 CO_{int} molecules per surface Cu atom is identified as a common characteristic of the reservoir linked to CO₂ conversion to multicarbons. Furthermore, the intrinsic

C-C coupling ability of a catalyst can be gauged independently from the availability of CO_{int} . Ultimately, we find that the higher C_{2+} turnover of the Cu nanoparticles compared against the Cu foil originates from both its ability to form a large CO_{int} reservoir at low overpotentials and its intrinsically higher C-C coupling rate. Through the OSRI method, we identify the importance of a CO_{int} reservoir in the formation of C_{2+} over Cu surfaces, which has remained inaccessible thus far from conventional spectroscopic techniques.

Results and Discussion

The OSRI method starts with the application of a cathodic bias under ¹²CO_{2(g)} at a constant flow in aqueous conditions for one hour, followed by a consecutive hour in which ¹²CO_{2(g)} feed is substituted with ¹³CO_{2(g)} under continued bias (Fig. 1a). The propagation of ¹³C can then be tracked across all products generated during electrolysis, using multiple modes of product analysis in both the gas and liquid phase that quantify the isotopic compositions of different products (Fig. 1b). Throughout the two hours, the outlet gas stream is sampled at regular intervals by gas chromatography (GC) for product quantification in real-time. Furthermore, since the products contain a mix of ¹²C and ¹³C after switching to ¹³CO_{2(g)}, the gas products during the second hour are collected in a gas bag (gas bag #1) to study their isotopic composition by gas chromatographymass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Fig. S1 and Supplementary Text 1). Finally, the liquid products accumulated in the catholyte, as well as the membrane during OSRI, are analyzed using ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Supplementary Texts 2-3). Consequently, both the production rate and the isotopic composition of all formed products can be determined (Methods). Throughout OSRI, the current density and product faradaic efficiencies remained stable (Fig. S9). All potentials are reported on the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale.

The first catalytic system studied by OSRI was an ensemble of 7 nm Cu nanoparticles (NPs) as reported in previous studies at -0.60 V with CO and HCOO⁻ as CO₂RR products.³⁹ Because the complete exchange of ¹³C for the ¹²CO_{2(aq)} is delayed by its equilibration with H¹²CO₃- $_{(aq)}$, the overall average of ${}^{12}CO_{2(aq)}$ available should be > 50% (Fig. 1a). As expected, while the ¹²C:¹³C ratio of CO_{2(g)} supplied was 1:1, all products were found with a consistently higher ¹²C content (Fig. 2a). The delay of $CO_{2(aq)}$ to complete the exchange was theoretically verified through a multiphysics simulation (Methods). Regardless of any CO_{2(aq)} consumption taking place at the electrode due to applied potentials, there remains a substantial amount of ¹²CO_{2(aq)} available in solution after an hour of purging ¹³CO_{2(g)} into a ¹²CO_{2(g)} saturated 0.1M KH¹²CO₃ electrolyte (Fig. S10). The multiple equilibria following CO_{2(g)} dissolution including HCO_{3⁻(aq)} and CO_{3²-(aq)} are responsible for the slow exchange of all ¹²C in solution (Supplementary Text 4 and Fig. S11). It should be noted that the colored segments for $CO_{2(aq)}$ and the products in Fig. 1a are simply divided to qualitatively depict their average composition during OSRI; however, the true isotopic shift from ¹²C to ¹³C takes place gradually throughout the two hours as shown in Figs. S10 and S11. Varying the flow rate of $CO_{2(g)}$ or the rate of $CO_{2(aq)}$ consumption results in changes in the isotopic composition of electrolytically available CO_{2(aq)} that are consistent with this analysis (Supplementary Text 5 and Fig. S12).

Although both CO and HCOO⁻ are enriched in ¹²C due to the electrolyte equilibration, CO is more enriched in ¹²C than HCOO⁻ (Fig. 2a). The difference in isotopic composition likely originates from the CO₂-to-HCOO⁻ mechanism which, unlike CO₂-to-CO conversion, is known for not involving CO as an intermediate (e.g., *CO).⁴⁰⁻⁴² Without an accumulation of intermediates involved in HCOO⁻ formation, its isotopic composition should closely track that of CO_{2(aq)} *available* during OSRI. On the other hand, a buildup of CO population on a Cu surface is expected for CO formation.^{40,43,44} In previous works, this buildup of CO has been suggested to result in a locally concentrated near-surface CO acting as an intermediate state for CO, and eventually, other higher-order product formation (e.g., C₂H₄).^{24,25,27} This hypothesis has inspired improved CO₂ reduction strategies by combining CO generating catalysts (e.g., Ag, Au) with Cu-based electrodes.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸ Furthermore, these studies infer that chemically adsorbed CO (i.e., *CO) may not be the only configuration of CO as an intermediate. Therefore, we refer to all CO species during CO₂

electroreduction, whether chemically bound or otherwise physically confined near the catalyst surface, as CO_{int} . The buildup and formation of a large reservoir of CO_{int} will lead to a lag between the available $CO_{2(aq)}$ (reagent) and CO (product) released at steady-state. Specifically, in an experiment that switches from one isotope of $CO_{2(aq)}$ (¹²C) to another (¹³C), CO produced is expected to be more enriched in ¹²C than the average isotopic composition of available $CO_{2(aq)}$ (Fig. 1a).

To understand this isotopic lag phenomenon quantitatively, we construct a mathematical model that describes a CO_{int} reservoir attached to a Cu surface during OSRI (Fig. 2b). The applicability of the model to the experimental observations was evaluated and confirmed based on its close reproduction of four key experimental results: 10 the average isotopic fraction of H¹²COO⁻ , 2 the average isotopic fraction of ¹²CO, 3 the density of the CO_{int} reservoir per surface Cu atom (Cu_{surf}), and ^(a) the isotopic fraction of ¹²CO_{int} at the CO_{int} reservoir by the end of OSRI (details available in Supplementary Text 6 and Figs. S13-14). Owing to the presence of the CO_{int} reservoir, the model reproduced the delayed exchange to ¹³C in the CO produced, leading to the trends shown in Figure 2b for the isotopic fraction of H12COO and 12CO over time. Values ① and ② are essentially the areas of each curve for H¹²COO⁻ and ¹²CO divided by the entire period (i.e., 120) min), respectively. Furthermore, the steady state 3 size of the CO_{int} reservoir predicted by the model can be compared to the experimental value measured after stopping the bias at the end of the second hour of OSRI. All CO present in the cell then is purged with Ar for one hour and collected in gas bag #2 (Fig. 1c). The amount of CO_{int} present at steady state near the Cu surface is determined after quantifying all the collected CO and subtracting the headspace and bulk electrolyte dissolved CO contributions (Methods and Fig. S15). The number of Cu_{surf} necessary to determine the CO_{int} density per Cu_{suff} atom can be derived from Pb underpotential deposition (Supplementary Text 7 and Fig. S16). Because of any possible structural changes that may take place as bias is applied, the electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) of the catalyst was determined post-electrolysis. ^{39,49} The model also predicts the trend of the isotopic fraction of ¹²CO_{int} throughout OSRI leading to value ④ at 120 min (Fig. S13d). The model-derived isotopic fraction of ¹²CO_{int} at this final time point can be compared to the experimental isotopic fraction, measured as 54% ¹²CO, for all CO remaining post-electrolysis and collected in gas bag #2 (Fig. 1c). Overall, the model closely reproduced the experimental values as summarized in Fig. 2c.

As stated earlier, the difference in isotopic composition between values ① and ② is a result of the CO_{int} reservoir being present during CO₂ electrolysis. Changing experimental conditions to accelerate the rate of CO_{2(aq)} exchange from ¹²C to ¹³C (e.g., by the use of a liquid flow cell simultaneously switching to a KH¹³CO₃ electrolyte) does not alter this difference (Fig. S17). The duration of operation upon substituting to ¹³CO_{2(g)} is another parameter that could be considered, and a longer electrolysis period is not desirable as the larger amount of total products formed obscures the relative difference between H¹²COO⁻ and ¹²CO (Fig. S18). Altogether, the chosen experimental conditions that define OSRI are adequate to assess the presence of the CO_{int} reservoir.

The results obtained from OSRI and reproduced by the model confirm the hypothesis of a CO_{int} reservoir present during CO₂RR. Furthermore, the size of the CO_{int} reservoir verified offers new insights into the local environment of catalytically active Cu surfaces during CO₂ electrolysis. We find that the CO_{int} density is around 78 CO_{int} per Cu_{surf}, which is much higher than monolayer coverage expected for *CO alone (*i.e.*, 1 CO_{int} per Cu_{surf}) (Fig. 2b). Assuming that this density of CO_{int} molecules is confined in a volume extending as far as ~100 µm from the electrode (i.e., up to the Nernst diffusion layer), then its concentration is approximately 18 mM (where typical CO solubility in water is 1 mM). Such a high concentration (20 to 100 times the solubility) has previously been verified near the surface of gas evolving electrodes and is associated with gas bubble nucleation and growth.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ Although not reported for CO specifically, its physical properties akin to H₂ or N₂ suggest it would behave similarly during electrolysis (Supplementary Text 8 and Table S7). Therefore, we expect the physical state of CO_{int} to approach a condensed gas phase on and near the electrode surface. Such a large accumulation of CO molecules is likely enabled by the physical properties of the electrode-gas-electrolyte interface formed during CO₂ electrolysis. In presence of a large production of CO molecules, the Cu electrode can facilitate heterogeneous

bubble nucleation on its surface by lowering the energy barrier of this process.⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ We hypothesize that these favorable conditions for bubble formation enable the retention of a high CO_{int} density close to the catalyst surface.

To understand the role of the CO_{int} reservoir in the formation of C_{2+} products, we investigated more negative potentials where CO₂-to-C₂₊ conversion takes place. Experimentally, not only were the C_{2+} products also higher in ¹²C content relative to HCOO⁻, but they shared a similar average isotopic composition with CO, highly suggesting that the CO_{int} reservoir acts both as a source of product CO and as an intermediate pool for C_{2+} (Fig. S19). The model was therefore expanded to reproduce the isotopic composition of C₂₊ products, as well as HCOO⁻ and CO, at more negative potentials. The conversion rate of the CO_{int} reservoir was adjusted to include the amount of CO_{int} consumed to C₂₊ products (Supplementary Text 9). Once more, the model was found to closely reproduce the experimental data obtained during OSRI supporting the CO_{int} reservoir as an intermediate to C_{2+} formation. For instance, the isotopic composition of gas products measured in real time by GC-MS for the CO-derived products, such as CO and C₂H₄, matched closely with the trend expected by the model (Fig. 3a and Fig. S21). Similarly, the isotopic composition of HCOO⁻ measured at different time intervals (e.g., 80 and 100 min) by ¹H NMR matched closely with the corresponding $H^{12}COO_{av}$ expected by the model (Fig. S22). Furthermore, the model closely replicated all the experimental values 1.4 (Fig. S20). Overall, instead of the traditional reaction pathway of CO_2 to surface-bound *CO and then to C_{2+} products, we posit that CO₂ first reduces to CO_{int} which accumulates to a concentrated reservoir near the catalyst surface before subsequent conversion to higher-order products (Fig. 3b).

Additionally, only a small fraction of the CO_{int} reservoir is converted per second to produce CO, C₂H₄, EtOH, and n-PrOH (Fig. 3c). The relative portion of the CO_{int} reservoir utilized per unit time (% s⁻¹) does not increase significantly and reaches only up to 1.05% per second as the potential is biased more negative. Instead, the distribution of products formed from the CO_{int} reservoir changes in favor of C₂₊ (Fig. 3d). Simultaneously, this shift in selectivity coincides with an increase of the CO_{int} density, roughly doubling from 78 to 147 molecules per Cu_{surf} (Fig. 3d). These observations suggest that C₂₊-formation correlates with a sizeable amount of CO_{int} per Cu_{surf} (~100 CO_{int}/Cu_{surf}). Interestingly, we note that a CO_{int} reservoir conversion of 1.05% per sec is equivalent to a conversion rate of ~1 CO_{int} monolayer per sec. This indicates that, unlike a monolayer of CO_{int}, a large CO_{int} reservoir can sustain the necessary conditions to attain a conversion rate at the scale of a monolayer of intermediates per sec.

Previous works have commented on the importance of obtaining high CO surface coverage to facilitate C-C coupling.^{22,25,55} The OSRI results suggest that this configuration extends to a CO_{int} reservoir present near the catalyst surface which may act as the source for C₂₊ formation downstream. Hence, the former notion of the traditional *CO monolayer is replaced with a locally concentrated large population of CO molecules as intermediates. Furthermore, OSRI experimentally verifies the often-speculated idea of CO-rich environments on the surface of Cu catalysts during CO₂ electrolysis.²⁴⁻²⁷

To verify that the CO_{int} reservoir is not unique to the Cu NP ensemble catalyst, the OSRI method was applied to an electropolished Cu foil, a well-known benchmark Cu catalyst for CO₂RR. The potential range selected to study the Cu foil was negatively shifted in comparison to the Cu NP ensemble to generate a similar product distribution (Fig. S23 and Table S8). Cu foil exhibits a similar gap in ¹²C isotopic fraction between HCOO⁻ and all CO_{int}-derived products (Fig. S24). Unlike the Cu NP ensemble, Cu foil displays a high FE towards CH₄ that allows for its isotopic distribution to be more thoroughly analyzed. The ¹²C fraction of CH₄ is consistent with all other CO_{int}-derived products which corroborates the importance of CO as an intermediate for CH₄ production during CO₂ electroreduction.^{15,56} However, a collection of gases (i.e., CO, CH₄, and C₂H₄) was detected when trying to identify the contents of the reservoir experimentally as described in Fig. 1c (Supplementary Text 10 and Fig. S25). The presence of products such as CH₄, together with CO concentrated near the surface is expected to result from the morphological features of the Cu surface promoting retention of gases.⁵⁷ Therefore, the model was used to fit the Cu foil data while taking into consideration the presence of gases beyond CO as part of a larger reservoir regulating product formation and release (Supplementary Text 11 and Fig. S26). Ultimately, the model closely

replicates the experimental data thus confirming the existence of a CO_{int} reservoir on Cu foil during CO₂RR (Fig. S27).

Interestingly, both catalysts appear to enable C-C coupling after reaching a sufficiently high density of CO_{int} per Cu_{surf}. Similar to the Cu NP ensemble, analysis of the Cu foil indicates a transition from C₁ to C₂₊ formation at a CO_{int} density ~100 CO_{int} per Cu_{surf} (Fig. 4a). The Cu foil further resembles the Cu NP ensemble with a CO_{int}-to-C₂₊ conversion rate of ~1 CO_{int} monolayer per sec which is achieved after reaching ~100 CO_{int} per Cu_{surf} (Fig. S28). These results suggest that a high surface coverage restricted to a monolayer does not provide the amount of CO_{int} necessary for C₂₊ formation. This principle is also in line with a recent finding showing that even a Ag catalyst can improve its otherwise negligible selectivity towards C₂₊ products given a high enough CO pressure.⁵⁸ Taken these data, a key consideration in the development of catalysts moving forward should be increasing the CO_{int} density at lower overpotentials. Accordingly, the Cu NP ensemble reaches an adequate CO_{int} density at an overpotential 100 mV lower than the foil (Fig. 4b).

In addition to producing a sufficiently concentrated CO_{int} reservoir, a catalyst's activity should also be determined by its innate ability to convert the CO_{int} reservoir to C₂₊. So far, Cubased electrocatalysts have been evaluated based on their C₂₊ faradaic efficiency and turnover at a fixed potential. However, such analysis does not account for the availability of CO_{int}, which is critical; without the sufficient formation of CO_{int}, a catalyst is unlikely to form C₂₊ efficiently. Therefore, considering both the applied potential and availability of CO_{int} is necessary to accurately assess a catalyst's C-C coupling (i.e., the intrinsic C₂₊ formation from C₁ intermediates) ability. This is readily apparent in the comparison of C2+ turnover between the Cu NP ensemble and the foil around -0.85 V where the former displays a CO_{int} reservoir three times as large as the latter (Fig. 4b). This difference in the size of the CO_{int} reservoir brings into question whether the Cu foil may yield a C2+ turnover comparable to the NP ensemble provided there is enough COint allowed at a lower overpotential. However, we find this unlikely given the trend in the C2+ TOF of the Cu foil (Fig. 4b). Despite reaching a CO_{int} density of ~100 CO_{int} per Cu_{suff}, its TOF does not rise steeply to reach comparable levels as the NP ensemble; a TOF of 0.1 C_{2+} per Cu_{surf} s⁻¹ at -0.92 V is 4-fold lower than the NP ensemble whose TOF is 0.4 C_{2+} per Cu_{suff} s⁻¹ at only -0.86 V. Furthermore, under CO reduction (CORR) conditions with identical CO availability, we find the NP ensemble to exhibit higher TOF_{C2+} than the Cu foil as well (Fig. S29). Hence, the formation of a concentrated CO_{int} reservoir is necessary but not sufficient for high C₂₊ turnover.

In addition, both catalysts reach noticeably higher levels of TOF_{C2+} during CO_2RR in comparison to CORR (Fig. S29). This likely results from the formation of the reservoir during the electroreduction of CO_2 , which sustains ~100 CO molecules per Cu_{surf} . In contrast, within the 100 µm layer away from the catalyst surface, only 5 CO molecules per Cu_{surf} would be available under CORR. The concentrated CO microenvironment formed during CO_2RR overcomes the constraint of low CO solubility (1 mM in water at 1 atm, room temperature) which limits CORR activity in aqueous conditions. Therefore, instead of the concentration of CO_2 , the size of the CO_{int} reservoir is a more accurate representation of the reactants necessary to the formation of multicarbons. We suggest that such considerations are also important for CO_2 reduction in gas-diffusion electrode (GDE) systems; recent works have reported the importance of optimizing both the CO_2 and CO availability to maximize C-C coupling.^{55,59,60}

The results from the OSRI method suggest that both the contribution of CO_{int} availability and intrinsic C-C coupling ability are necessary to a catalyst's CO₂-to-C₂₊ activity. Concretely, a good catalyst must possess the ability to form a large CO_{int} reservoir at low overpotentials as well as exhibit high intrinsic activity for the coupling of CO_{int}. The Cu foil's moderate C₂₊ intrinsic activity is ascribed not only to its low intrinsic C-C coupling capacity but also to the high overpotential required to form a large enough CO_{int} reservoir. Therefore, maximizing CO_{int} availability at low overpotentials emerges as an additional parameter in future catalyst design, in addition to the necessary structural traits that favor C-C coupling.^{39,61,62} Namely, CO_{int} availability can be enhanced through improved retention of CO upon its formation. A few approaches along this line have been considered, such as modifying surface morphologies of electrodes to impact product release or functionalizing the catalyst with surface additives that promote gas affinity through increased hydrophobicity.^{28,63-65} Beyond catalyst design implications, these results indicate that further investigations to determine the intrinsic C-C coupling of Cu-based catalysts under controlled (micro)environments are needed.

In summary, we present OSRI, a unique method that probes the microenvironment surrounding Cu surfaces during CO₂RR. It has led to the discovery of a concentrated reservoir of CO molecules near catalyst surfaces which is necessary for efficient C-C coupling. Instead of CO₂, this reservoir is identified as a more accurate representation of the reactants determining the rate of multicarbon formation during CO₂RR. Through these findings, the importance of the microenvironment formed during the reaction is better distinguished from the intrinsic activity of the catalyst surface. Furthermore, OSRI is a promising method to investigate the effects of the microenvironment in other catalytic reactions similar to CO₂RR. Specifically, the significance of intermediates residing near the surface during multi-step electrocatalytic reactions can be gauged, thus guiding future improvements in catalysts' selectivity and activity.

Materials and Methods

Nanoparticle synthesis and electrode fabrication

7 nm copper nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized as previously reported.³⁹ Nanoparticle concentrations by mass of copper were measured by inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer Optima 7000 DV), after which 68.9 μg of copper was deposited on 1 cm² area of carbon paper (Sigracet 29AA, Fuel Cell Store) to make working electrodes.

Cu foil (0.1mm thick, Puratronic, 99.999%) was electropolished before utilization in 85% phosphoric acid at 3V against a counter electrode for 1 min. Once treated, the Cu foil was thoroughly rinsed with deionized water and dried with N₂ gas. The electrode of 4 cm² geometric surface area was placed inside an electrochemical cell for further testing.

On-stream Substitution of Reactant Isotopes

All electrochemical measurements were carried in a custom-made H-cell consisting of two main compartments separated by a Selemion AMV anion exchange membrane (AEM). Ag/AgCI (WPI, 3M KCI) was used as a reference electrode and a platinum wire was used as a counter electrode. 0.1 M KHCO₃ electrolyte was prepared by purging a 0.05 M K₂CO₃ (99.997% trace metal basis) solution with CO_{2(g)} overnight. Both the working and counter chamber were filled with 15 mL of the electrolyte and vigorous stirring was maintained in the working chamber. The input stream of CO_{2(g)} was humidified by being bubbled through DI water before being introduced into the cell. Before each measurement, the 15 mL catholyte was purged with 20 sccm ¹²CO_{2(g)} for 15 - 20 min until saturated. Then, ¹²CO_{2(g)} was purged while applying a cathodic bias for 60 min and subsequently switched to ¹³CO_{2(g)} (¹³C dioxide, 99 atom % ¹³C, 99.93 atom % ¹⁶O) while maintaining the same potential for another 60 min. Depending on the operating conditions, the flow rate was adjusted to 20, 10, or 5 sccm. For instance, low flow rates were used for conditions that exhibit low current densities (e.g., at more positive potentials). This ensured higher concentrations of gas products to be measured in real-time using the gas chromatograph. All electrode potentials measured against 3M KCI Ag/AgCI reference were converted to the RHE scale using E (vs RHE) = E (vs Ag/AgCl) + 0.210 V + 0.0591 x pH. For all electrochemical experiments, 84% of ohmic loss was compensated by the potentiostat (Biologic) in real-time and the remaining 16% was manually post-corrected.

The concentration of gases produced throughout OSRI were measured regardless of their isotopic identity using a gas chromatograph (SRI GC) connected at the outlet of the cell. Gas chromatograph is equipped with a molecular sieve 13X (1/8" × 6') and hayesep D (1/8" × 6') column with Ar flowing as a carrier gas. Sample for gas chromatography was collected at 20 minute intervals and the separated gas products were analyzed by a thermal conductivity detector (for H₂) and a flame ionization detector (for CO and hydrocarbons). Quantification of the products was performed with conversion factors derived from the standard calibration gases and the concentration of gas measured was further converted to partial current density.

Upon substitution of the reactant isotope from ${}^{12}CO_{2(g)}$ to ${}^{13}CO_{2(g)}$, all produced gases were collected to determine their isotopic composition. 2L Supel-Inert Multi-Layer Foil gas bag (gas bag #1) was connected to the exhaust of the SRI GC sampling loop at the same time as the onstream substitution at 60 min. After another 60 min of electrolysis while purging ${}^{13}CO_{2(g)}$, application of bias was terminated, and the gas stream was substituted with Ar. Gas bag #1 was simultaneously sealed and substituted for a second bag (gas bag #2) to collect any leftover gases still present inside the cell to determine the size and composition of the CO_{int} reservoir (see Methods section "Determining the size and isotopic composition of the CO_{int} reservoir").

The isotopic composition of gas products in gas bag #1 was determined by GC-MS using an AutoSpec Premier mass spectrometer (Waters, Manchester, UK), equipped with an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph, and an electron impact ion source. The collected gases were sampled and manually injected using a gas-tight 1 mL syringe (Agilent PN 5190-1531). They were pushed through a Supelco, Carboxen- 1010 PLOT column with He as a carrier gas to separate CO, CH₄, and C₂H₄ from N₂, O₂ and CO₂ (Fig. S1). The mass spectrograms were analyzed to extract the isotopic composition of all CO₂RR gas products as described in Supplementary Text 1.

Meanwhile, the liquid products accumulated during OSRI were analyzed by qNMR (Bruker AV-600) using dimethyl sulfoxide as an internal standard. Solvent presaturation technique was implemented to suppress the water peak. The collection of all liquid products was ensured by analyzing the catholyte and the AEM. The latter was soaked in 15 mL blank electrolyte overnight to ensure all trapped products would diffuse back into the liquid (Supplementary Text 2). Further isotope analysis was carried on the liquid products combining ¹H with ¹³C NMR on the same instrument. ¹³C NMR required long acquisition time (>9h) to accumulate enough signal due to low concentrations. The isotopic composition of liquid products (e.g., HCOO⁻, EtOH) was determined by decoupling the signals from their multiple isotopologues as described in Supplementary Text 3. Faradaic efficiencies (FE) were calculated from the amount of charge passed to produce each product divided by the total charge passed at a specific time (gas) or during the overall run (liquid).

Determining the size and isotopic composition of the CO_{int} reservoir

After the second hour of electrolysis while purging ${}^{13}CO_{2(q)}$, the bias was terminated and all CO present in the cell was purged out with Ar for 60 min and collected in gas bag #2. Ar purge of 60 min ensured that there were no remaining gases in the working electrode chamber. Depending on the flow rate employed for each experiment, ranging from 5 to 20 sccm, gas bag #2 was filled with a total gas volume of 300 to 1200 mL, respectively. The low CO concentration collected in gas bag #2 was measured after injecting the bag content into another gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890B GC) setup for manual injection. This gas chromatograph is equipped with a molecular sieve SA (60/80" x 6'), hayesep Q (80/100" x 6'), and hayesep Q (80/100" x 1.5') column with Ar flowing as a carrier gas. The same detectors (i.e., FID and TCD) listed for the SRI GC are used. Using the measured CO concentration, the total amount of CO molecules was calculated considering the total volume of gas collected. Gas bag #2 contained CO collected from the headspace, dissolved in the bulk electrolyte, and locally concentrated near the catalyst surface (i.e., CO_{int} reservoir), all present upon stopping bias. CO present in the cell headspace was determined from the concentration of CO measured at steady state during electrolysis (SRI GC) and the headspace volume of 30 mL. Furthermore, Henry's law was applied to obtain the number of dissolved CO in equilibrium with that headspace. The size of the CO_{int} reservoir was estimated by subtracting both values from the total amount of CO collected in gas bag #2. The isotopic composition of the CO_{int} reservoir was determined following the GC-MS procedure (described for gas bag #1) applied to gas bag #2. The composition of the CO_{int} reservoir is assumed to be identical to the headspace and dissolved CO. The same method of quantification was employed at more negative potentials.

Electrochemically active surface area and Cu surface atoms determination

Lead underpotential deposition was conducted immediately post-electrolysis in a solution of 0.1 M NaClO₄, 10 mM HClO₄, and 3 mM Pb(II)(ClO₄)₂. Cyclic voltammetry in the Pb underpotential region was conducted at 10 mV/s six times, for which the cycles were confirmed consistent, and the fifth scan was reported. The potentials are referenced against a 1.0 M KCI Ag/AgCI electrode.

The number of Cu surface atoms was then determined using the assumption that each of the low index facets (100), (110), and (111) are equally likely to be present on the surface. We used the relationship between the number of Cu atoms and surface area for each facet as established in the work of Giri and Sakar to determine the number of surface Cu atoms from the measured Cu surface area by Pb UPD.⁶⁶

Planar density of Cu (100) plane = 15.3065×10^{14} $\frac{\text{atoms}}{\text{atoms}}$ Planar density of Cu (110) plane = 10.8371×10^{14} $\frac{\frac{\text{atoms}}{\text{atoms}}}{\frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{atoms}}}$ Planar density of Cu (111) plane = 17.7556×10^{14} $\frac{\text{atoms}}{\text{cm}^2}$

COMSOL® Multiphysics simulation

The change in isotopic composition taking place during the purge of 13 CO₂ gas into a 12 CO₂ equilibrated electrolyte was simulated using COMSOL® Multiphysics. The transport of species present was calculated for the bulk volume of the well-mixed electrolyte. "Bubbly Flow, Laminar Flow" module was used to solve for the velocity and mass transfer of CO₂ bubbles into the liquid electrolyte. Assuming only a small volume fraction is occupied by the bubbles, the Navier-Stokes equations were used only to solve for the flow of the liquid phase while the velocity of the bubbles was determined by a slip model. The gas mass flux was set to replicate the experimental flow rate employed during OSRI (e.g., 5 sccm) with a bubble size of 0.4 cm. The number of bubbles per unit volume is tracked over time within the electrolyte to calculate the total interfacial area *a* (m²). Mass transport *m_{al}* (kg.s⁻¹) taking place at this interface was calculated using two-film theory:

$$m_{gl} = k(c^* - c)Ma, \qquad c^* = \frac{p + p_{ref}}{H}$$

where k (m.s⁻¹) corresponds to the mass transfer coefficient, c (mol.m⁻³) the concentration of the gas dissolved in solution, M (kg.mol⁻¹) the gas molecular weight, p (Pa) the gas pressure, and H is Henry's constant (Pa.m³.mol⁻¹). Upon dissolution, the further equilibration of CO₂ at pH < 7 is described by the following equations:

$$CO_2 + H_2O \rightleftharpoons HCO_3^- + H^+ pKa_1 = 6.37$$

 $HCO_3^- \rightleftharpoons CO_3^{2-} + H^+ pKa_2 = 10.25$
prium is also included:

Water self-ionization equilibrium is also included:

 $H_2 0 \rightleftharpoons 0 H^- + H^+ \qquad p K_w = 14$

Using their respective equilibrium constants, the concentrations of all species present in the electrolyte were solved for, using the "Transport of Dilute Species" module. Dissolved $CO_{2(aq)}$, bicarbonate anions (HCO₃⁻), carbonate anions (CO₃²⁻), hydroxide anions (OH⁻), protons (H⁺), and potassium cations (K⁺) were all accounted for during the time dependent study. All ¹²C and ¹³C equivalents were considered for all carbon containing species. The initial concentration of all species was established assuming the 0.1 M KH¹²CO₃ electrolyte is equilibrated under ¹²CO₂ saturated atmosphere and respects the condition of electroneutrality:

$$\sum_{i} z_i c_i = 0$$

Following the introduction of ¹³CO_{2(g)}, the transport of all solvated species was set to respect mass conservation such as:

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{u} \cdot c_i = R_i, \quad \mathbf{J}_i = -D_i \nabla c_i - z_i u_{m,i} F c_i \nabla \mathbf{V}$$

where c_i the concentration of the species, D_i their diffusion coefficient, R_i their reaction rate expression, **u** the mass average velocity vector, **J**_i the mass flux diffusive flux vector, z_i the charge number of the ionic species, $u_{m,i}$ their ionic mobility, F is Faraday's constant, and V the electric potential. The velocity **u** describes the convective transport that results from the magnetic stirring in the cell as well as the bubbly flow solved in the previous module. All constants utilized for the simulation are summarized in Table S6.

OSRI kinetic model

Molecular mass flow taking place during OSRI was modeled to reproduce the composition of isotopes observed in the products. The model was built based on the kinetic relationship between supplied $CO_{2(g)}$, available $CO_{2(aq)}$, a CO_{int} reservoir, and all CO_2RR products. Once determined mathematically as described in the Supplementary Text 6, the model was run using MATLAB. *CO reduction measurements*

CO reduction experiments were conducted in a similar 3-electrode electrochemical H-cell constructed from PTFE, using 0.1 M KOH (Sigma, 99.99%) as electrolyte. CO (99.99%, Praxair) was purged through the electrolyte for at least 30 minutes before electrolysis and maintained at 15 sccm throughout electrolysis. Hg/HgO 1 M NaOH (0.13 V vs. SHE) reference electrolysis was conducted for 30 minutes to an hour before gas and liquid samples were collected. All gas and liquid products were analyzed using the same procedure described above for GC and NMR measurements, respectively.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, & Biosciences Division, of the US Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231, FWP CH030201 (Catalysis Research Program). ICP-OES was supported by the Microanalytical Facility, College of Chemistry, UC Berkeley. We thank College of Chemistry's NMR facility for resources provided and the staff for their assistance. Instruments in the CoC-NMR are supported in part by NIH S100D024998. We thank Z. Zhou at the QB3 Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility for her assistance with GC-MS measurements. Computational work using COMSOL® Multiphysics was done at the Molecular Graphics and Computation Facility, UC Berkeley, which is supported by NIH S100D023532. D.K. and S.Y. acknowledge support from the Samsung Scholarship.

References

- 1. De Luna, P. *et al.* What would it take for renewably powered electrosynthesis to displace petrochemical processes? *Science* 364 (2019).
- 2. Blanco, D. E. & Modestino, M. A. Organic electrosynthesis for sustainable chemical manufacturing. *Trends Chem.* 1, 8-10 (2019).
- 3. Na, J. *et al.* General technoeconomic analysis for electrochemical coproduction coupling carbon dioxide reduction with organic oxidation. *Nat. Comm.* 10, 1-13 (2019).
- 4. Kim, D. *et al.* Selective CO₂ electrocatalysis at the pseudocapacitive nanoparticle/orderedligand interlayer. *Nat. Energy*, 1-11 (2020).
- Ramaswamy, N. *et al.* Hydrogen oxidation reaction in alkaline media: Relationship between electrocatalysis and electrochemical double-layer structure. *Nano Energy* 41, 765-771 (2017).
- 6. Chen, W. *et al.* Probing complex eletrocatalytic reactions using electrochemical infrared spectroscopy. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 14, 113-123 (2019).
- 7. Zaera, F. Probing liquid/solid interfaces at the molecular level. *Chem. Rev.* 112, 2920-2986 (2012).
- 8. Zhu, Y., Wang, J., Chu, H., Chu, Y.-C. & Chen, H. M. In Situ/Operando Studies for Designing Next-Generation Electrocatalysts. *ACS Energy Lett.* 5, 1281-1291 (2020).
- 9. Lancaster, L., Abdallah, W., Banta, S. & Wheeldon, I. Engineering enzyme microenvironments for enhanced biocatalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 47, 5177-5186 (2018).

- 10. Colquhoun, H. M., Stoddart, J. F. & Williams, D. J. Second-Sphere Coordination–a Novel Rŏle for Molecular Receptors. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. in English* 25, 487-507 (1986).
- 11. Hale, L. V. & Szymczak, N. K. Hydrogen transfer catalysis beyond the primary coordination sphere. ACS Catal. 8, 6446-6461 (2018).
- Cao, Y., Li, X. & Ge, J. Enzyme Catalyst Engineering toward the Integration of Biocatalysis and Chemocatalysis. *Trends Biotechnol.* (2021).
- 13. Vázquez-González, M., Wang, C. & Willner, I. Biocatalytic cascades operating on macromolecular scaffolds and in confined environments. *Nat. Catal.* 3, 256-273 (2020).
- 14. Zhang, Y., Wang, Q. & Hess, H. Increasing enzyme cascade throughput by pH-engineering the microenvironment of individual enzymes. *ACS Catal.* 7, 2047-2051 (2017).
- 15. Nitopi, S. *et al.* Progress and perspectives of electrochemical CO₂ reduction on copper in aqueous electrolyte. *Chem. Rev.* 119, 7610-7672 (2019).
- 16. Kim, Y. *et al.* Time-resolved observation of C–C coupling intermediates on Cu electrodes for selective electrochemical CO₂ reduction. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 13, 4301-4311 (2020).
- Pérez-Gallent, E., Figueiredo, M. C., Calle-Vallejo, F. & Koper, M. T. Spectroscopic observation of a hydrogenated CO dimer intermediate during CO reduction on Cu (100) electrodes. *Angew. Chem.* 129, 3675-3678 (2017).
- Wuttig, A., Ryu, J. & Surendranath, Y. Electrolyte competition controls surface binding of CO intermediates to CO₂ reduction catalysts. *Preprint at https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv* 7929038, v2 (2019).
- 19. Li, F. et al. Molecular tuning of CO₂-to-ethylene conversion. Nature 577, 509-513 (2020).
- Chou, T.-C. *et al.* Controlling the oxidation state of the Cu electrode and reaction intermediates for electrochemical CO₂ reduction to ethylene. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 142, 2857-2867 (2020).
- Gunathunge, C. M., Ovalle, V. J., Li, Y., Janik, M. J. & Waegele, M. M. Existence of an electrochemically inert CO population on Cu electrodes in alkaline pH. ACS Catal. 8, 7507-7516 (2018).
- Huang, Y., Handoko, A. D., Hirunsit, P. & Yeo, B. S. Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ using copper single-crystal surfaces: effects of CO* coverage on the selective formation of ethylene. ACS Catal. 7, 1749-1756 (2017).
- Sandberg, R. B., Montoya, J. H., Chan, K. & Nørskov, J. K. CO-CO coupling on Cu facets: Coverage, strain and field effects. *Surf. Sci.* 654, 56-62 (2016).
- 24. Wuttig, A. *et al.* Tracking a common surface-bound intermediate during CO₂-to-fuels catalysis. *ACS Cent. Sci.* 2, 522-528 (2016).
- 25. Clark, E. L. & Bell, A. T. Direct observation of the local reaction environment during the electrochemical reduction of CO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 140, 7012-7020 (2018).
- Jiang, S., Klingan, K., Pasquini, C. & Dau, H. New aspects of operando Raman spectroscopy applied to electrochemical CO₂ reduction on Cu foams. *J. Chem. Phys.* 150, 041718 (2019).
- 27. Klingan, K. *et al.* Reactivity determinants in electrodeposited Cu foams for electrochemical CO₂ reduction. *ChemSusChem* 11, 3449-3459 (2018).
- Wakerley, D. et al. Bio-inspired hydrophobicity promotes CO₂ reduction on a Cu surface. Nat. Mater. 18, 1222-1227 (2019).
- 29. Baturina, O. *et al.* Effect of nanostructured carbon support on copper electrocatalytic activity toward CO₂ electroreduction to hydrocarbon fuels. *Catal. Today* 288, 2-10 (2017).
- O'Mara, P. B. *et al.* Cascade reactions in nanozymes: Spatially separated active sites inside Ag-Core–Porous-Cu-shell nanoparticles for multistep carbon dioxide reduction to higher organic molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141, 14093-14097 (2019).
- Dunwell, M. et al. Examination of near-electrode concentration gradients and kinetic impacts on the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ using surface-enhanced infrared spectroscopy. ACS Catal. 8, 3999-4008 (2018).
- 32. Heyes, J., Dunwell, M. & Xu, B. CO₂ reduction on Cu at low overpotentials with surfaceenhanced in situ spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 120, 17334-17341 (2016).

- Heidary, N., Ly, K. H. & Kornienko, N. Probing CO₂ conversion chemistry on nanostructured surfaces with Operando vibrational spectroscopy. *Nano Lett.* 19, 4817-4826 (2019).
- Handoko, A. D., Wei, F., Yeo, B. S. & Seh, Z. W. Understanding heterogeneous electrocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction through operando techniques. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 922-934 (2018).
- Osawa, M., Ataka, K.-I., Yoshii, K. & Nishikawa, Y. Surface-enhanced infrared spectroscopy: the origin of the absorption enhancement and band selection rule in the infrared spectra of molecules adsorbed on fine metal particles. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 47, 1497-1502 (1993).
- Schatz, G. C. Theoretical studies of surface enhanced Raman scattering. Acc. Chem. Res. 17, 370-376 (1984).
- Stiles, P. L., Dieringer, J. A., Shah, N. C. & Van Duyne, R. P. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. 1, 601-626 (2008).
- 38. Kas, R. *et al.* In-situ infrared spectroscopy applied to the study of the electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂: Theory, practice and challenges. *ChemPhysChem* (2019).
- Li, Y. et al. Electrochemically scrambled nanocrystals are catalytically active for CO₂-tomulticarbons. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 9194-9201 (2020).
- Peterson, A. A., Abild-Pedersen, F., Studt, F., Rossmeisl, J. & Nørskov, J. K. How copper catalyzes the electroreduction of carbon dioxide into hydrocarbon fuels. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 3, 1311-1315 (2010).
- 41. Hori, Y. i. in Modern aspects of electrochemistry 89-189 (Springer, 2008).
- 42. Chernyshova, I. V., Somasundaran, P. & Ponnurangam, S. On the origin of the elusive first intermediate of CO₂ electroreduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115, E9261-E9270 (2018).
- Hansen, H. A., Varley, J. B., Peterson, A. A. & Nørskov, J. K. Understanding Trends in the Electrocatalytic Activity of Metals and Enzymes for CO₂ Reduction to CO. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 4, 388-392 (2013).
- Gattrell, M., Gupta, N. & Co, A. A review of the aqueous electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons at copper. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 594, 1-19 (2006).
- 45. Gurudayal *et al.* Sequential cascade electrocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to C–C coupled products. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2, 4551-4559 (2019).
- Lum, Y. & Ager, J. W. Sequential catalysis controls selectivity in electrochemical CO₂ reduction on Cu. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 11, 2935-2944 (2018).
- Gao, J. *et al.* Selective C–C Coupling in Carbon Dioxide Electroreduction via Efficient Spillover of Intermediates As Supported by Operando Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 141, 18704-18714 (2019).
- 48. Morales-Guio, C. G. *et al.* Improved CO₂ reduction activity towards C₂₊ alcohols on a tandem gold on copper electrocatalyst. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 764-771 (2018).
- 49. Phan, T. H. *et al.* Emergence of Potential-Controlled Cu-Nanocuboids and Graphene-Covered Cu-Nanocuboids under Operando CO₂ Electroreduction. *Nano Lett.* 21, 2059-2065 (2021).
- 50. Vogt, H. On the supersaturation of gas in the concentration boundary layer of gas evolving electrodes. *Electrochim. Acta* 25, 527-531 (1980).
- German, S. R. *et al.* Electrochemistry of single nanobubbles. Estimating the critical size of bubble-forming nuclei for gas-evolving electrode reactions. *Faraday Discuss.* 193, 223-240 (2016).
- 52. Chen, Q., Wiedenroth, H. S., German, S. R. & White, H. S. Electrochemical nucleation of stable N₂ nanobubbles at Pt nanoelectrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137, 12064-12069 (2015).
- Ren, H., German, S. R., Edwards, M. A., Chen, Q. & White, H. S. Electrochemical generation of individual O₂ nanobubbles via H₂O₂ oxidation. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 8, 2450-2454 (2017).
- 54. Zhao, X., Ren, H., & Luo, L. Gas bubbles in electrochemical gas evolution reactions, *Langmuir.* 35, 5392-5408 (2019).

- Tan, Y. C., Lee, K. B., Song, H. & Oh, J. Modulating local CO₂ concentration as a general strategy for enhancing C– C coupling in CO₂ electroreduction. *Joule* 4, 1104-1120 (2020).
- Zhou, X. *et al.* Stabilizing Cu²⁺ Ions by Solid Solutions to Promote CO₂ Electroreduction to Methane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 144, 2079-2084, doi:10.1021/jacs.1c12212 (2022).
- 57. Xu, W., Lu, Z., Sun, X., Jiang, L. & Duan, X. Superwetting electrodes for gas-involving electrocatalysis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 51, 1590-1598 (2018).
- Raaijman, S. J., Schellekens, M. P., Corbett, P. J. & Koper, M. T. High-Pressure CO Electroreduction at Silver Produces Ethanol and Propanol. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 60, 21732-21736 (2021).
- 59. Wang, X. *et al.* Mechanistic reaction pathways of enhanced ethylene yields during electroreduction of CO₂–CO co-feeds on Cu and Cu-tandem electrocatalysts. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 14, 1063-1070 (2019).
- Xing, Z., Hu, L., Ripatti, D. S., Hu, X. & Feng, X. Enhancing carbon dioxide gas-diffusion electrolysis by creating a hydrophobic catalyst microenvironment. *Nat. Comm.* 12, 1-11 (2021).
- 61. Jung, H. *et al.* Electrochemical fragmentation of Cu₂O nanoparticles enhancing selective C–C coupling from CO₂ reduction reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141, 4624-4633 (2019).
- 62. Loiudice, A. *et al.* Tailoring copper nanocrystals towards C₂ products in electrochemical CO₂ reduction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 5789-5792 (2016).
- 63. Burdyny, T. *et al.* Nanomorphology-enhanced gas-evolution intensifies CO₂ reduction electrochemistry. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 5, 4031-4040 (2017).
- Khan, S., Hwang, J., Horn, Y.-S. & Varanasi, K. K. Catalyst-proximal plastrons enhance activity and selectivity of carbon dioxide electroreduction. *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.* 2, 100318 (2021).
- 65. Yue, P. *et al.* Triple-phase electrocatalysis for the enhanced CO₂ reduction to HCOOH on a hydrophobic surface. *Chem. Eng. J.* 405, 126975 (2021).
- 66. Giri, S.D. & Sarkar, A. Estimating surface area of copper powder: A comparison between electrochemical, microscopy and laser diffraction methods. *Adv. Powder Technol.* 29, 3520-3526 (2018).

Figures



Figure 1. Study of Cu for CO₂ electroreduction by OSRI. a, Schematic describing OSRI and the catalytic results of Cu. Cathodic bias is applied and maintained for 2 hours while the 0.1 M KH¹²CO₃ electrolyte is purged with ¹²CO_{2(g)} (green) for the first hour followed by ¹³CO_{2(g)} (purple) during the second hour. **b**, The experimental setup of OSRI. Products that contain ¹²C (green) and ¹³C (purple) accumulate in the gas (gas bag #1) and liquid phase (electrolyte) for isotopic analysis by GC-MS and NMR, respectively. All effluent gases are collected in gas bag #1 for the second hour only. Regular quantification of gas products is conducted by sampling the outlet stream at 20 minute intervals by GC. NMR spectroscopy is used to quantify and determine the isotopic composition of the liquid products accumulated after 2 hours in both the catholyte and the anionic membrane. Formate is the only product that accumulates in the membrane during OSRI. **c**, After 2 hours of electrolysis, the application of bias is terminated, and the electrolyte is purged with Ar into a second gas bag (gas bag #2) for another hour to collect all the gases remaining in the chamber. The contents of gas bag #2 are analyzed using GC (quantification) and GC-MS (isotopic composition).



Figure 2. Identification of the CO_{int} reservoir on the Cu NP ensemble. **a**, Isotopic composition of CO_{2(g)} supplied and the products of CO₂RR measured during OSRI at -0.6 V vs RHE. **b**, Modelderived isotopic composition of HCOO⁻ and CO produced overtime during OSRI considering the presence of a CO_{int} reservoir of constant size at steady state. The green areas under the H¹²COO⁻ (dark green) and ¹²CO (light green) curves divided by the total period (2 hrs) respectively indicate the average isotopic fraction of ① ¹²HCOO⁻ and ② ¹²CO for the entire run. ③ ¹²CO_{int} at the end of OSRI correspond to ¹²CO_{int}(t = 120 min). The ③ steady state density of CO_{int} per Cu_{surf} measured experimentally and reproduced by the model are illustrated and compared to the monolayer of adsorbed *CO. The number of Cu_{surf} was estimated by measuring the post-electrolysis electrochemically active surface area by Pb underpotential deposition. **c**, Comparison of model-derived and experimental values for the average isotopic fraction of ① H¹²COO⁻ and ③ ¹²CO during OSRI, ③ the steady state CO_{int} density, and ④ the isotopic fraction ¹²CO_{int}(t = 120 min). Error bars are one standard deviation of three independent measurements for the experimental data.



Figure 3. Utilization of the CO_{int} reservoir on the Cu NP ensemble. a. Comparison of the modelderived and experimental time-dependent change in the isotopic composition of CO and C₂H₄ over the course of OSRI at -0.85 V vs RHE. ¹²C₂H₄ and ¹³C₂H₄ refers to the ¹²C and ¹³C fraction of all of C₂H₄ isotopologues (¹²CH₂-¹²CH₂, ¹²CH₂-¹³CH₂, and ¹³CH₂-¹³CH₂), respectively. **b**, Schematic describing the formation and potential dependent utilization of the CO_{int} reservoir during CO₂RR. **c**, The fraction of the CO_{int} reservoir converted per second to generate CO_{int}-derived products (sum of CO_{int} consumed per second for all CO_{int}-derived products/total of CO_{int} in the reservoir) as a function of applied potential. The rate of CO_{int} consumption for each CO_{int}-derived product is derived from its partial density and the number of CO_{int} required. **d**, CO_{int} conversion yield (the number of CO_{int} consumed for one product/all CO_{int} consumed) partitioned across different CO_{int}-derived products and the CO_{int} density as a function of applied potential. The number of CO_{int} converted to each product is labeled as *n* x CO_{int}, where *n* corresponds to the number of CO_{int} required for its formation (e.g., 2 x CO_{int} for C₂H₄). Error bars are one standard deviation of three independent measurements.



Figure 4. Comparison between the Cu foil and the Cu NP ensemble. **a**, CO_{int} conversion yield (CO_{int} consumed for each product/all CO_{int} consumed) partitioned across different CO_{int}-derived products and CO_{int} density measured as a function of applied potential on the Cu foil. The number of CO_{int} converted to each product is labeled as $n \ge CO_{int}$, where n corresponds to the number of CO_{int} required for its formation (e.g., $2 \ge CO_{int}$ for C₂H₄). **b**, C₂₊ turnover (dashed line) of the Cu foil and Cu NP ensemble with their CO_{int} density (by the size of the bubble) as a function of applied potential. Bubbles are plotted to scale with respect to their diameter. Error bars are one standard deviation of three independent measurements.