# UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

# Title

The long-term effects of stress and kappa opioid receptor activation on conditioned place aversion in male and female California mice

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0t5309cd

# **Authors**

Laman-Maharg, Abigail R Copeland, Tiffany Sanchez, Evelyn Ordoñes <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2017-08-01

## DOI

10.1016/j.bbr.2017.06.015

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Behav Brain Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 14.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Behav Brain Res. 2017 August 14; 332: 299-307. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2017.06.015.

# The long-term effects of stress and kappa opioid receptor activation on conditioned place aversion in male and female California mice

Abigail R. Laman-Maharg<sup>a,b</sup>, Tiffany Copeland<sup>b</sup>, Evelyn Ordoñes Sanchez<sup>b</sup>, Katharine L. Campi<sup>b</sup>, and Brian C. Trainor<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Neuroscience Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA, 95616, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Psychology, University of California, Davis, CA, 95616, USA

#### Abstract

Psychosocial stress leads to the activation of kappa opioid receptors (KORs), which induce dysphoria and facilitate depression-like behaviors. However, less is known about the long-term effects of stress and KORs in females. We examined the long-term effects of social defeat stress on the aversive properties of KOR activation in male and female California mice (Peromyscus californicus) using a conditioned place aversion paradigm. Female California mice naïve to social defeat, formed a place aversion following treatment with 2.5 mg/kg of the KOR agonist U50,488, but females exposed to defeat did not form a place aversion to this dose. This supports the finding by others that social defeat weakens the aversive properties of KOR agonists. In contrast, both control and stressed males formed an aversion to 10 mg/kg of U50,488. We also examined EGR1 immunoreactivity, an indirect marker of neuronal activity, in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and found that stress and treatment with 10 mg/kg of U50,488 increased EGR1 immunoreactivity in the NAc core in females but reduced activation in males. The effects of stress and U50,488 on EGR1 were specific to the NAc, as we found no differences in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. In summary, our data indicate important sex differences in the long-term effects of stress and indicate the need for further study of the molecular mechanisms mediating the behavioral effects of KOR in both males and females.

#### Keywords

kappa opioid receptor; aversion; sex difference; nucleus accumbens; social defeat stress

#### 1. Introduction

Psychosocial stress induces the activation of kappa opioid receptors (KORs) and is an important risk factor for depression [1]. KORs are considered to be a promising target for

Correspondence to: Brian C. Trainor.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

new therapeutics to treat depression and anxiety because activation of KORs by agonists induces dysphoria [2-4]. The administration of KOR antagonists before psychosocial stress blocks behavioral phenotypes such as social withdrawal [5], behavioral despair [6-8], anxiety [9], and drug seeking behaviors [10-13]. A common theme in studies examining the therapeutic effects of KOR antagonists is that the behavioral experiments are conducted within 24 hours or less after stress. However, psychosocial stress may induce important long-term neuroadaptations that affect KOR signaling.

Previous reports observed that the KOR agonist U50,488 decreases social interaction behavior [14] and that administration of the KOR antagonist norBNI before social defeat prevents decreases in social interaction [5]. However, stress may have different long term effects that alter the role of KORs in social behavior. In male mice that repeatedly lost aggressive interactions, U50,488 increased social interaction, but the same dose of U50,488 decreased social interaction in male mice with no experience in aggressive interactions [15, 16]. In these studies, aggressive contests occurred over a 10-day period, a much longer time frame than studies examining effects of KOR antagonists in the context of stress. Similarly, administration of the long lasting KOR antagonist JDTic before 10 days of social defeat stress did not prevent the development of decreased social interaction or anhedonia in male mice [17]. These data suggest that, over time, neuroadaptations induced by stress may alter the function of KORs.

Place conditioning studies provide a useful measure of the aversive properties of KOR agonists, and these studies suggest that long-term exposure to stress may alter the aversive properties of KORs. In male mice, short-term activation of KORs induces aversion [4, 18] and restores drug-seeking behavior in the reinstatement model of stress-induced drug relapse [11, 19-21]. For example, a conditioned place preference (CPP) for cocaine was induced in male mice and then extinguished [20]. In male mice naïve to stress, treatment with U50,488 reinstated the CPP for cocaine, and a single episode of acute forced swim stress before U50,488 treatment potentiated this effect. However, U50,488 did not reinstate cocaine CPP in mice exposed to either 5 days of social defeat stress (completed the day before reinstatement testing) or 3 weeks of chronic mild stress (completed 10 days before reinstatement testing) [20]. These data suggest that stress has different short- and long-term effects on KOR activation.

Another gap in the literature is that most research on KOR function has been conducted on males. However, depression and anxiety are more common in women than men [22] and sex differences in physiological responses to stress are an important risk factor [23-25]. Shershen et al. [26] showed that the KOR agonist U62,066 potentiated cocaine-induced locomotor activity in female mice during the first 20 minutes of testing, but this difference dissipated over the next hour. However, Wang et al. [27] reported that the KOR agonist U50,488 decreased cocaine-induced locomotor activity in female but not male guinea pigs across 90 minutes. It is possible that KOR agonists affect locomotor activity differently in mice compared to guinea pigs. In contrast, female rats were less sensitive than males to the depressive-like effects of KOR agonist U50,488 in an intracranial self-stimulation paradigm [28]. Finally, no sex differences were observed in the effects of KOR facilitation of selective aggression toward novel conspecifics in male and female prairie voles [29]. To our

knowledge, no previous study has examined the long-term effects of stress on the function of KORs in both males and females.

We studied Peromyscus californicus (California mouse), a monogamous species of rodent, in which both the male and the female are aggressive towards conspecifics [30]. This allowed us to observe the effects of social defeat stress in males, as well as females. In California mice, three episodes of social defeat induced social aversion in females but not males [25, 31, 32]. We used a place aversion assay to quantify the aversive properties of the KOR agonist U50,488 and to determine whether social defeat stress has different effects on aversion in males and females. Previously, males formed a place aversion to 10 mg/kg of U50,488, whereas females showed aversion to 2.5 mg/kg [14]. We hypothesized that longterm effects of defeat stress would reduce the aversive properties of U50,488. Here, we tested the effects of social defeat stress and two doses of U50,488 on conditioned place aversion (CPA) in males and females. We then examined how stress and U50,488 affected immediate early gene induction in nucleus accumbens (NAc) core and shell regions. The NAc is an important site of KOR action that is very sensitive to defeat stress [25] and also an important site mediating KOR-induced aversion [18]. Specifically, in male C57Bl6 mice optogenetic stimulation of the ventral NAc shell induced aversion, whereas stimulation of the dorsal NAc shell induced preference, as measured by real time conditioned place preference [18]. We quantified early growth response 1 (EGR1, also known as Zif268), an immediate early gene that, like c-fos, can be used as an indirect marker of neuronal activity. EGR1 protein activation is rapid and transient, peaking between 1-2 hours after neuronal activation [33]. We also examined EGR1 activity in several subregions of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) because of its strong connections with the mesolimbic dopamine system [34] and involvement in reward and aversion [35]. We hypothesized that increased EGR1 expression would be observed in the ventral NAc of animals that formed stronger U50,488-induced aversion.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Animals and housing conditions

Adult male and female California mice (3-4 months old) were obtained from our breeding colony at UC Davis. They were group housed with 2-3 same-sex animals per cage. Animals were maintained in a temperature-controlled room (68-74°F) on a 16L-8D cycle (lights off at 1400) with *ad libitum* water and food (Harlan Teklad 2016, Madison, WI). Mice were housed in Polycarbonate plastic cages containing Sanichip bedding, nestlets, and envirodri. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and conformed to NIH guidelines. Social defeat stress was conducted during lights out (1400-1700) under dim red light (3 lux). Conditioned place aversion (CPA) testing was conducted during lights on (800-1400).

#### 2.2. Social Defeat Stress

Male and female California mice were randomly assigned to social defeat stress or control handling for three consecutive days [32, 36]. Mice assigned to social defeat were placed in the home cage of an aggressive, same-sex, sexually-experienced resident mouse. The

experimental mouse remained in the resident's cage for either 7 min or 7 attacks, whichever occurred first. Control mice were introduced to a clean, empty cage for 7 min. Each experimental mouse was exposed to a different resident for each of the three episodes of defeat stress.

#### 2.3. Place conditioning procedure

Two weeks after social defeat stress or control handling, conditioned place aversion (CPA) was conducted as described in Robles et al. [14] (Fig. 1). The apparatus consisted of three visually distinct interconnected standard sized mouse cages  $(28 \times 17.5 \times 11 \text{ cm})$ . The center cage (black and white horizontal stripe background) was connected to a left cage (black and white vertical stripe background) and a right cage (black dots on a white background and textured plastic floor). After each test the apparatus was cleaned with Quatricide (1:64, Quatricide PV in water, Pharmacal Research Labs, Inc). Clear polypropylene lids were used to cover the apparatus during experiments to allow for video recording.

Conditioned place aversion was conducted over 4 days during the light cycle. The protocol was designed so that the mice learn to associate vehicle or drug injection with a given chamber. On day 1 (pre-test) mice were placed in the apparatus and allowed to explore freely for 30 min while being tracked in real time with a visual tracking system (Any-maze Stoelting). For each mouse, initial place biases were corrected for by assigning drug conditioning to the preferred side chamber (Robles et al., 2014; McLaughlin et al., 2003).

Mice were randomly assigned to be conditioned with either vehicle (10% Tween 80 in sterile PBS), 2.5 mg/kg, or 10 mg/kg of (±)U50,488 (Tocris, Ellisville, MO, USA) administered i.p. On days 2 and 3, each mouse received two training sessions. In the morning, each mouse received an i.p. injection of vehicle and was placed in the unconditioned chamber for 30 min. Afterwards mice were returned to their home cages. Three hours later, each mouse received an injection of either vehicle, 2.5 mg/kg, or 10 mg/kg of U50,488 and was placed in the conditioned chamber for 30 min. During training sessions, the entrance to the center chamber was closed so mice were confined to a single chamber. On day 4, each mouse was given free access to the entire apparatus for 30 min (post-test) and was tracked with the video tracking system. The time spent in each cage and the total distance traveled were both recorded. On day 5 mice were injected i.p. with their assigned conditioned drug, and, 1 hour later, anesthetized with isoflurane and euthanized by decapitation. Brains were immediately collected and fixed in 5% acrolein in PBS overnight at 4°C. Brains were then transferred to 20% sucrose in PBS overnight at 4°C and then frozen and stored at  $-40^{\circ}$ C.

#### 2.4. Immunohistochemistry

Brains were sectioned on a cryostat at 40 $\mu$ m and stored in cryoprotectant (50% v/v phosphate buffer, 30% w/v sucrose, 1% w/v polyvinylprolidone, 30% v/v ethylene glycol) at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Every third section was processed for immunohistochemistry. Sections were washed three times in PBS followed by a 10-minute incubation in 1% sodium borohydride in PBS. Sections were then washed twice in PBS and endogenous peroxidases were quenched by submerging sections in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.3% in PBS) for 20 min. Sections were washed once in PBS and blocked in 10% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBS on an orbital shaker for 2 hours at

room temperature. Sections were washed once in PBS and then incubated in rabbit anti-EGR1 antibody (Cat. No. 4153S, Cell Signaling, 1:4000) diluted with 2% NGS in PBS with triton × (PBS-TX, 0.5%) for 24 h. Specificity of the EGR1 primary antibody for immunohistochemistry has been demonstrated with a blocking peptide [38]. On day 2 sections were washed three times in PBS and then incubated in biotinylated goat-anti-rabbit antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, 1:500) in 2% NGS with PBS-TX 0.5% for 2 hours at room temperature. Sections were washed three times in PBS and incubated in avidin-biotin complex (ABC Elite Kit, Vector Laboratories) for 30 min. Sections were then washed three times in PBS and developed in nickel enhanced diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories) for 10 min. Sections were washed twice in PBS and mounted onto plus slides (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA). Slides were dehydrated in ethanol followed by Histoclear (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA) and coverslipped with Permount (Fisher).

Images of the left and right side of each brain area were imaged with a Zeiss AxioImager based on a mouse brain atlas and previous descriptions of the California mouse NAc and BNST (Campi et al., 2013). The background for each image was normalized by adjusting the exposure time. The number of immunopositive cells in each brain region were counted using Image J (NIH, Bethesda, MD) by an observer unaware of treatment assignments. Different sized frames were used depending on the brain area so cell count data are presented as number of positive cells per mm<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 3).

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Time spent in the conditioned chamber during the pre-test and post-test was analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA testing for effects of test, sex, stress, and dose followed by paired t-tests to compare pre-test and post-test scores. For each animal and brain area, the EGR1 cell counts for the left and right sides of the brain were averaged. Due to heterogeneity of variance, EGR1 cell counts in the NAc were square root transformed. Cell counts were analyzed using a three-way ANOVA (sex, stress, and dose). Significant sex by stress interactions were examined further by analyzing males and females separately using a two-way ANOVA (stress and dose), and then planned comparisons were used to identify group differences when significant two-way interactions were detected.

Spearman correlations were used to correlate EGR1 counts in the NAc with aversion scores (time spent in conditioned chamber during the post-test minus time spent in the conditioned chamber during the pre-test) for females. The p-values for the correlation analyses were adjusted for multiple tests using the Benjamini-Hochberg approach with a false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment of 10%.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effects of U50,488 on place aversion formation

Repeated measures analysis showed that the effects of U50,488 on place aversion were different in male and female mice and that social defeat had important effects on the development of place aversion (Fig. 2; four-way interaction;  $F_{2,110}=2.911$ , p=0.059). Control females treated with 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 spent significantly less time in the conditioned cage

during the post-test compared to the pre-test (Fig. 2a; paired t-test;  $t_8$ =3.16, p=0.01). However, in stressed females, 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 did not induce place aversion in the conditioned cage (Fig. 2b). Neither control nor stressed males formed an aversion to 2.5 mg/kg of U5,488. Similar to our previous study [14], no evidence of place aversion was observed at the 10 mg/kg dose of U50,488 in either control or stressed females. These results suggest that defeat stress may reduce the aversive properties of U50,488 in females. There were no significant differences in distance traveled during either the pre-test or the post-test (all p's > 0.20).

Control males treated with 10 mg/kg U50,488 formed a place aversion in the conditioned chamber (Fig. 2c; paired t-test;  $t_{12}$ =2.27, p=0.046). Unlike females, stressed males also showed evidence of place aversion to 10 mg/kg U50,488 (Fig. 2d; paired t-test;  $t_8$ =2.30, p=. 050). Similar to our previous studies [14], no evidence of place aversion was observed at the 2.5 mg/kg dose of U50,488 in males. For the most part, there were no differences in time spent in the unconditioned or center cages during the pre- and post-tests. One exception was that stressed males treated with 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 spent more time in the unconditioned chamber during the post-test (Table 1; paired t-test;  $t_8$ =2.82, p=.023).

As expected in males and control females, vehicle injections had no effect on time spent in the conditioned cage. However, in stressed females, vehicle injections unexpectedly reduced time in the conditioned cage during the post-test (Fig. 2b; paired t-test; t<sub>9</sub>=2.84, p=0.019).

# 3.2. Effects of U50,488 on EGR1 immunoreactivity in the nucleus accumbens and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis

In the nucleus accumbens (NAc) core, a three-way ANOVA analysis indicated that the effect of U50,488 on EGR1 immunoreactivity depended on sex and stress (Fig. 4; three-way interaction;  $F_{1,75}$ =7.86, p<.01). This effect was primarily driven by sex differences at the 10 mg/kg U50,488 dose. Stressed females had more EGR1-postive cells than control females at the 10 mg/kg U50,488 dose (Fig. 4A, p<.01), while stressed males had fewer EGR1-postive cells than control males treated with 10 mg/kg U50,488 (Fig. 4D, p<.02). Additionally, 10 mg/kg U50,488 increased EGR1-postive cells in control males compared to vehicle (p<.02). These results suggest that defeat stress has sex-specific effects on the NAc core that alter its sensitivity to U50,488.

In the NAc ventral shell, a three-way ANOVA also indicated that the effect of U50,488 on EGR1 immunoreactivity depended on sex and stress (Fig. 4; three-way interaction; F1,75=4.17, p=.045). This interaction was driven primarily by sex differences at the 2.5 mg/kg dose. Control males treated with 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 had more EGR1 positive cells than stressed males treated with this dose (Fig. 4E, p<.01). In females there was a main effect of dose (Fig. 4B; main effect of dose;  $F_{2,35}$ =4.28, p=.022), which was driven by decreased EGR1 cell counts in females treated with 10 mg/kg U50,488. While there was no evidence for defeat stress to reduce EGR1 responses in females, defeat stress reduced the EGR1 response to the low dose of U50,488 in males.

Similarly, in the NAc dorsal shell, a three-way ANOVA indicated that the effect of U50,488 differed depended on sex and stress (Fig. 4C; three-way interaction; F1,<sub>75</sub>=6.77, p<.01). In

general, EGR1-positive cells in the dorsal shell were more abundant in stressed females than control females (Fig. 4C; main effect of stress;  $F_{1,35}$ =11.78, p<.01). Significant increases in EGR-1 positive cells were observed in females treated with vehicle (p<.05) and 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 (p<.05), but not at the 10 mg/kg dose. No significant differences were observed in males. Overall, defeat stress appears to increase EGR1 responses in the dorsal shell of females but not males.

In the posterior ventral lateral BNST, females had higher EGR1 positive counts compared to males (Table 2; main effect of sex;  $F1,_{76}=4.25$ , p=.043). In the posterior dorsal lateral BNST, overall, stressed mice had higher EGR1 positive counts compared to controls (Table 2, main effect of stress;  $F1,_{74}=5.89$ , p=.018). We found no significant differences in the anterior BNST, posterior ventral medial BNST, or the posterior dorsal medial BNST. These results suggest that EGR1 responses to U50,488 are stronger in females than males in the ventral lateral BNST, while in the dorsal lateral BNST, defeat stress has more important effects on EGR1 than sex.

#### 3.3. Correlations between place aversion behavior and EGR1 immunoreactivity

We correlated aversion score during CPA (time spent in conditioned chamber during posttest minus time spent in conditioned chamber during pre-test) with EGR1-positive cell counts. In the NAc ventral shell, control females treated with 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 showed a negative correlation between EGR1 and CPA score (Table 3, Fig. 5B, Spearman's  $\rho$ = -.943, FDR adjusted p=.045), whereas this correlation was not significant in stressed females. The only other significant correlation between CPA score and EGR1 cell counts was in the NAc core of vehicle treated control females (Table 3, Fig. 5A, Spearman's  $\rho$ = .964, FDR adjusted p<.001).

#### 4. Discussion

Accumulating evidence suggests that stressors induce long-term changes in brain function that alter the aversive properties of KOR. Here we showed that a low dose of U50,488 that induced place aversion in unstressed females failed to induce aversion in females exposed to defeat stress. Unexpectedly, U50,488 maintained its ability to induce aversion in males exposed to defeat stress, albeit at a higher dose. These results suggest that there are important sex differences in how defeat stress impacts KOR function. We also showed that in females, stress increased EGR1 immunoreactivity in the NAc core but reduced activation in males but not in males. In general, EGR-1 immunoreactivity did not closely track place aversion data, suggesting that different approaches are needed to identify neural circuits mediating KOR-induced aversion.

#### 4.1. Effects of stress and U50,488 on CPA

An important finding of the place aversion study was that defeat stress blocked the ability of the 2.5 mg/kg dose of U50,488 to induce place aversion in female California mice. This aligns with previous studies showing that over the long-term, stress can alter KOR-mediated behaviors. In male mice that repeatedly lost aggressive interactions over a 10-day period,

U50,488 increased social interaction, but the same dose of U50,488 decreased social interaction in male mice with no experience in aggressive interactions [15, 16]. Similarly, Al-Hasani *et al.*, [20] found that male mice exposed to either three weeks of chronic mild stress or five days of social defeat stress did not exhibit U50,488-induced reinstatement for cocaine CPP. One possible mechanism for reduced sensitivity to KOR agonists following stress is desensitization, which can occur after repeated activation of KOR, either by stress or a KOR agonist. For example, repeated treatment with U50,488 leads to prolonged KOR phosphorylation, as well as analgesic tolerance in male mice [39]. Recovery of KOR function following desensitization can take several weeks [39, 40]. Currently it is unclear whether defeat or chronic mild stress induces KOR desensitization.

Our study identified sex differences in dose-response curves for U50,488, replicating results from a previous place aversion study on California mice [14]. In both studies, unstressed female California mice formed an aversion to 2.5 mg/kg but not 10 mg/kg U50,488, while unstressed males formed a place aversion to 10 mg/kg but not 2.5 mg/kg U50,488. Russell et al. [28] also reported that male rats were more sensitive than females to 10 mg/kg of U50,488 in an intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) paradigm. However, males were also more sensitive to lower doses of U50,488 such as 2.5 mg/kg. It's not clear whether species differences (California mouse vs. rat) or different behavioral assays (CPA vs. ICSS) drive the different dose-response curves. Thus, while differences in the sensitivity of males and females to KOR agonists have been consistently reported, the direction of these differences is context dependent. One possible mechanism for context-dependent effects of defeat on KOR is allosteric activation of receptors. For the MOR, different signaling pathways can be activated by different exogenous or endogenous ligands [41]. Furthermore, allosteric modulators can change the affinity and/or efficacy of MOR ligands [42], as well as modify the second messenger systems used by MOR [43]. Interestingly, social defeat increased prodynorphin mRNA has stronger effects on mu opioid receptor (MOR) expression in male California mice than female California mice [44]. It is possible that context, experience, or sex may engage similar mechanisms to lead to sex differences in effects of KOR on behavior.

An unexpected finding in this study was that stressed females treated with vehicle spent less time in the conditioned chamber in the post-test compared to the pre-test. We hypothesize that vehicle injections led to release of endogenous dynorphin in stressed females, as exposure to repeated stress induces KOR activation [7], but further studies are needed to test this

#### 4.2. Effects of stress and U50,488 on EGR1 activation

Stress and U50,488 affected EGR1 counts in the NAc but not the BNST. Although the BNST may be an important source of dynorphin and KOR [45], our results indicate that EGR1 is more responsive to KOR stimulation in the NAc than the BNST. We originally predicted that mice that exhibited a CPA to U50,488 would show increased EGR1 immunoreactivity in the ventral shell of the NAc and that mice that did not show aversion would show no change in EGR1 immunoreactivity [18]. In contrast, stressed females treated with vehicle or 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 had more EGR1 positive cells in the dorsal shell of the

NAc than controls. One factor that may have prevented EGR1 from closely tracking with aversion is that mice were in their home cages at the time the brains were collected. The context may be an important variable affecting how the NAc and BNST respond to U50,488.

One question raised by our results is how social defeat stress alters endogenous KOR ligands or KOR activity. In California mice, real-time PCR analysis of prodynorphin mRNA in the NAc showed no effects of social defeat, although mean levels were lower in stressed males and females [46]. In male C57Bl6 mice, a single episode of defeat increased prodynorphin mRNA in the NAc but 10 days of defeat reduced prodynorphin mRNA [17]. Interestingly, social defeat also decreased in prodynorphin mRNA in the medial preoptic area of sexually experienced male California mice (Kowalcyzk and Trainor, in prep.). These results suggest that effects of defeat on prodynorphin transcription are anatomically specific. It's also possible that effects of defeat on dynorphin protein expression could differ from mRNA. The translation of prodynorphin is complex; several splice variations allow for anatomically specific expression of the full-length peptide [47]. Visualization of dynorphin protein in nuclei such as the NAc is a challenge (L.M. Coolen personal communication), and typically requires the use of colchicine treatment to reduce axon transport of dynorphin from the cell body [48]. In contrast, sex differences in KOR binding have been reported in guinea pigs. Quantitative autoradiography for KORs showed that males and females differed in KOR binding in several brain regions; males exhibited increased binding in areas of the cortex, caudate putamen, claustrum, medial geniculate nucleus, and the cerebellum, while females exhibited increased binding in the dentate gyrus and hypothalamus [27]. However, there were no sex differences found in the NAc or the BNST [27]. Similarly, autoradiography data in male and female prairie voles indicated no sex differences in KOR levels in the core or shell of the NAc [49]. Interestingly, infusion of norBNI into the NAc core of prairie voles increased aggression in females but not males [29], which could indicate sex differences in the downstream effects of KORs in the NAc core. Going forward, autoradiography analyses of KOR binding in males and females exposed to defeat stress could provide insights into changes in receptor expression. Based on our behavioral results, we expect social defeat to reduce KOR binding to a greater extent in females compared to males.

Correlational analyses in the NAc suggested that defeat induced subtle changes in KOR function. In control females, the 2.5 mg/kg dose of U50,488 induced aversion and higher EGR1 cell counts in the ventral shell were correlated with stronger aversion scores. This relationship was absent in stressed females that did not form aversion to U50,488. This aligns with previous data indicating the ventral shell of the NAc is important in regulating aversion [18] and suggests the possibility that KORs in ventral shell may be desensitized following defeat. However, both control and stressed males formed an aversion to 10 mg/kg U50,488, but we did not see a correlation between aversion score and EGR1 counts in any subregion of the NAc. Overall, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions relating EGR1 counts to aversion during CPA testing because we did not examine activation in the NAc during CPA testing. One possible way to address this question would be to tag neurons responding to U50,488 during CPA with H2B-GFP, a long lasting fluorescent protein [50]. For example, H2B-GFP driven by the c-fos promoter was used to tag hippocampal neurons during fear conditioning, enabling analysis and manipulation of these cells after learning

occurred [50]. Tagging neurons responding to U50,488 during CPA training with H2B-GFP would allow for quantification of aversion before histological analysis.

Our behavioral results in females are consistent with previous studies indicating that the aversive effects of KORs are weakened in animals exposed to stressors such as social defeat. Overall, these results indicate that there are important sex differences in the relationship between stress and KOR activation, which is important in light of evidence showing antidepressant effects of KOR antagonists in males. Continued studies on females and better tools for quantifying dynorphin, such as dynorphin reporter mice [18], are needed for future studies investigating the impact of stress on KOR function.

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, we observed that stress blocked the aversive effect of U50,488 in females but not males. These results highlight the importance of studying the long-term effects of stress in both males and females. Further investigation of sex differences in response to KOR activation is needed due to growing evidence that males and females respond differently to stress and KOR activation [14, 27, 28]. Effects of stress on the circuits mediating the behavioral effects of KORs [20, 51] could also impact the relationship between stress and the development of disorders such as depression [1]. A deeper understanding of the relationship between KORs, stress, and sex could aid in the development of new treatments for depression, addiction, and pain.

#### Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health [R01 MH103322].

The authors thank Cindy Clayton for animal care and Jill Silverman, Karen Bales, and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript.

#### References

- Knoll AT, Carlezon WA Jr. Dynorphin, stress, and depression. Brain research. 2010; 1314:56–73. [PubMed: 19782055]
- Pfeiffer A, Brantl V, Herz A, Emrich HM. Psychotomimesis mediated by kappa opiate receptors. Science. 1986; 233(4765):774–6. [PubMed: 3016896]
- Walsh SL, Geter-Douglas B, Strain EC, Bigelow GE. Enadoline and butorphanol: evaluation of kappa-agonists on cocaine pharmacodynamics and cocaine self-administration in humans. The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. 2001; 299(1):147–58. [PubMed: 11561074]
- 4. Land BB, Bruchas MR, Lemos JC, Xu M, Melief EJ, Chavkin C. The dysphoric component of stress is encoded by activation of the dynorphin kappa-opioid system. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2008; 28(2):407–14. [PubMed: 18184783]
- Bruchas MR, Schindler AG, Shankar H, Messinger DI, Miyatake M, Land BB, Lemos JC, Hagan CE, Neumaier JF, Quintana A, Palmiter RD, Chavkin C. Selective p38alpha MAPK deletion in serotonergic neurons produces stress resilience in models of depression and addiction. Neuron. 2011; 71(3):498–511. [PubMed: 21835346]
- Mague SD, Pliakas AM, Todtenkopf MS, Tomasiewicz HC, Zhang Y, Stevens WC Jr, Jones RM, Portoghese PS, Carlezon WA Jr. Antidepressant-like effects of kappa-opioid receptor antagonists in the forced swim test in rats. The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. 2003; 305(1):323–30. [PubMed: 12649385]

- McLaughlin JP, Marton-Popovici M, Chavkin C. Kappa opioid receptor antagonism and prodynorphin gene disruption block stress-induced behavioral responses. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2003; 23(13):5674–83. [PubMed: 12843270]
- Beardsley PM, Howard JL, Shelton KL, Carroll FI. Differential effects of the novel kappa opioid receptor antagonist, JDTic, on reinstatement of cocaine-seeking induced by footshock stressors vs cocaine primes and its antidepressant-like effects in rats. Psychopharmacology. 2005; 183(1):118– 26. [PubMed: 16184376]
- Knoll AT, Meloni EG, Thomas JB, Carroll FI, Carlezon WA Jr. Anxiolytic-like effects of kappaopioid receptor antagonists in models of unlearned and learned fear in rats. The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. 2007; 323(3):838–45. [PubMed: 17823306]
- McLaughlin JP, Li S, Valdez J, Chavkin TA, Chavkin C. Social defeat stress-induced behavioral responses are mediated by the endogenous kappa opioid system. Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31(6):1241–8. [PubMed: 16123746]
- 11. Redila VA, Chavkin C. Stress-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking is mediated by the kappa opioid system. Psychopharmacology. 2008; 200(1):59–70. [PubMed: 18575850]
- Jackson KJ, McLaughlin JP, Carroll FI, Damaj MI. Effects of the kappa opioid receptor antagonist, norbinaltorphimine, on stress and drug-induced reinstatement of nicotine-conditioned place preference in mice. Psychopharmacology. 2013; 226(4):763–8. [PubMed: 22526543]
- Sperling RE, Gomes SM, Sypek EI, Carey AN, McLaughlin JP. Endogenous kappa-opioid mediation of stress-induced potentiation of ethanol-conditioned place preference and selfadministration. Psychopharmacology. 2010; 210(2):199–209. [PubMed: 20401606]
- Robles CF, McMackin MZ, Campi KL, Doig IE, Takahashi EY, Pride MC, Trainor BC. Effects of kappa opioid receptors on conditioned place aversion and social interaction in males and females. Behavioural brain research. 2014; 262:84–93. [PubMed: 24445073]
- Kudryavtseva NN, Gerrits MA, Avgustinovich DF, Tenditnik MV, Van Ree JM. Modulation of anxiety-related behaviors by mu- and kappa-opioid receptor agonists depends on the social status of mice. Peptides. 2004; 25(8):1355–63. [PubMed: 15350704]
- Kudryavtseva N, Gerrits MA, Avgustinovich DF, Tenditnik MV, Van Ree JM. Anxiety and ethanol consumption in victorious and defeated mice; effect of kappa-opioid receptor activation. European neuropsychopharmacology: the journal of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 16(7):504–11. [PubMed: 16524701]
- Donahue RJ, Landino SM, Golden SA, Carroll FI, Russo SJ, Carlezon WA Jr. Effects of acute and chronic social defeat stress are differentially mediated by the dynorphin/kappa-opioid receptor system. Behavioural pharmacology. 2015; 26(7 Spec No):654–63. [PubMed: 26110224]
- Al-Hasani R, McCall JG, Shin G, Gomez AM, Schmitz GP, Bernardi JM, Pyo CO, Park SI, Marcinkiewcz CM, Crowley NA, Krashes MJ, Lowell BB, Kash TL, Rogers JA, Bruchas MR. Distinct Subpopulations of Nucleus Accumbens Dynorphin Neurons Drive Aversion and Reward. Neuron. 2015; 87(5):1063–77. [PubMed: 26335648]
- McLaughlin JP, Land BB, Li S, Pintar JE, Chavkin C. Prior activation of kappa opioid receptors by U50,488 mimics repeated forced swim stress to potentiate cocaine place preference conditioning. Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31(4):787–94. [PubMed: 16123754]
- Al-Hasani R, McCall JG, Bruchas MR. Exposure to chronic mild stress prevents kappa opioidmediated reinstatement of cocaine and nicotine place preference. Frontiers in pharmacology. 2013; 4:96. [PubMed: 23964239]
- 21. Al-Hasani R, McCall JG, Foshage AM, Bruchas MR. Locus coeruleus kappa-opioid receptors modulate reinstatement of cocaine place preference through a noradrenergic mechanism. Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology. 2013; 38(12):2484–97. [PubMed: 23787819]
- 22. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Koretz D, Merikangas KR, Rush AJ, Walters EE, Wang PS, R. National Comorbidity Survey. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association. 2003; 289(23):3095–105. [PubMed: 12813115]

- 23. Goel N, Bale TL. Examining the intersection of sex and stress in modelling neuropsychiatric disorders. Journal of neuroendocrinology. 2009; 21(4):415–20. [PubMed: 19187468]
- Bale TL, Epperson CN. Sex differences and stress across the lifespan. Nature neuroscience. 2015; 18(10):1413–20. [PubMed: 26404716]
- 25. Laman-Maharg A, Trainor BC. Stress, sex, and motivated behaviors. Journal of neuroscience research. 2017; 95(1-2):83–92. [PubMed: 27870436]
- Sershen H, Hashim A, Lajtha A. Gender differences in kappa-opioid modulation of cocaineinduced behavior and NMDA-evoked dopamine release. Brain research. 1998; 801(1-2):67–71. [PubMed: 9729284]
- 27. Wang YJ, Rasakham K, Huang P, Chudnovskaya D, Cowan A, Liu-Chen LY. Sex difference in kappa-opioid receptor (KOPR)-mediated behaviors, brain region KOPR level and KOPR-mediated guanosine 5'-O-(3-[35S]thiotriphosphate) binding in the guinea pig. The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics. 2011; 339(2):438–50. [PubMed: 21841040]
- Russell SE, Rachlin AB, Smith KL, Muschamp J, Berry L, Zhao Z, Chartoff EH. Sex differences in sensitivity to the depressive-like effects of the kappa opioid receptor agonist U-50488 in rats. Biological psychiatry. 2014; 76(3):213–22. [PubMed: 24090794]
- Resendez SL, Kuhnmuench M, Krzywosinski T, Aragona BJ. kappa-Opioid receptors within the nucleus accumbens shell mediate pair bond maintenance. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2012; 32(20):6771–84. [PubMed: 22593047]
- Silva AL, Fry WH, Sweeney C, Trainor BC. Effects of photoperiod and experience on aggressive behavior in female California mice. Behavioural brain research. 2010; 208(2):528–34. [PubMed: 20060017]
- Trainor BC, Pride MC, Villalon Landeros R, Knoblauch NW, Takahashi EY, Silva AL, Crean KK. Sex differences in social interaction behavior following social defeat stress in the monogamous California mouse (Peromyscus californicus). PloS one. 2011; 6(2):e17405. [PubMed: 21364768]
- 32. Trainor BC, Takahashi EY, Campi KL, Florez SA, Greenberg GD, Laman-Maharg A, Laredo SA, Orr VN, Silva AL, Steinman MQ. Sex differences in stress-induced social withdrawal: independence from adult gonadal hormones and inhibition of female phenotype by corncob bedding. Hormones and behavior. 2013; 63(3):543–50. [PubMed: 23384773]
- Zangenehpour S, Chaudhuri A. Differential induction and decay curves of c-fos and zif268 revealed through dual activity maps. Brain research Molecular brain research. 2002; 109(1-2):221– 5. [PubMed: 12531532]
- O'Connell LA, Hofmann HA. The vertebrate mesolimbic reward system and social behavior network: a comparative synthesis. The Journal of comparative neurology. 2011; 519(18):3599– 639. [PubMed: 21800319]
- Park J, Wheeler RA, Fontillas K, Keithley RB, Carelli RM, Wightman RM. Catecholamines in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis reciprocally respond to reward and aversion. Biological psychiatry. 2012; 71(4):327–34. [PubMed: 22115620]
- 36. Greenberg GD, Laman-Maharg A, Campi KL, Voigt H, Orr VN, Schaal L, Trainor BC. Sex differences in stress-induced social withdrawal: role of brain derived neurotrophic factor in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience. 2014; 7:223. [PubMed: 24409132]
- Peters MF, Zacco A, Gordon J, Maciag CM, Litwin LC, Thompson C, Schroeder P, Sygowski LA, Piser TM, Brugel TA. Identification of short-acting kappa-opioid receptor antagonists with anxiolytic-like activity. European journal of pharmacology. 2011; 661(1-3):27–34. [PubMed: 21539838]
- 38. Ponti D, Bellenchi GC, Puca R, Bastianelli D, Maroder M, Ragona G, Roussel P, Thiry M, Mercola D, Calogero A. The transcription factor EGR1 localizes to the nucleolus and is linked to suppression of ribosomal precursor synthesis. PloS one. 2014; 9(5):e96037. [PubMed: 24787739]
- McLaughlin JP, Myers LC, Zarek PE, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ, Czyzyk TA, Pintar JE, Chavkin C. Prolonged kappa opioid receptor phosphorylation mediated by G-protein receptor kinase underlies sustained analgesic tolerance. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2004; 279(3):1810–8. [PubMed: 14597630]

- Bruchas MR, Yang T, Schreiber S, Defino M, Kwan SC, Li S, Chavkin C. Long-acting kappa opioid antagonists disrupt receptor signaling and produce noncompetitive effects by activating c-Jun N-terminal kinase. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2007; 282(41):29803–11. [PubMed: 17702750]
- Thompson GL, Lane JR, Coudrat T, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A, Canals M. Biased Agonism of Endogenous Opioid Peptides at the mu-Opioid Receptor. Molecular pharmacology. 2015; 88(2): 335–46. [PubMed: 26013541]
- Burford NT, Clark MJ, Wehrman TS, Gerritz SW, Banks M, O'Connell J, Traynor JR, Alt A. Discovery of positive allosteric modulators and silent allosteric modulators of the mu-opioid receptor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110(26):10830–5. [PubMed: 23754417]
- 43. De Smet F, Christopoulos A, Carmeliet P. Allosteric targeting of receptor tyrosine kinases. Nature biotechnology. 2014; 32(11):1113–20.
- 44. Laredo SA, Steinman MQ, Robles CF, Ferrer E, Ragen BJ, Trainor BC. Effects of defeat stress on behavioral flexibility in males and females: modulation by the mu-opioid receptor. The European journal of neuroscience. 2015; 41(4):434–41. [PubMed: 25615538]
- Poulin JF, Arbour D, Laforest S, Drolet G. Neuroanatomical characterization of endogenous opioids in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry. 2009; 33(8):1356–65. [PubMed: 19583989]
- Campi KL, Greenberg GD, Kapoor A, Ziegler TE, Trainor BC. Sex differences in effects of dopamine D1 receptors on social withdrawal. Neuropharmacology. 2014; 77:208–16. [PubMed: 24120838]
- 47. Nikoshkov A, Hurd YL, Yakovleva T, Bazov I, Marinova Z, Cebers G, Pasikova N, Gharibyan A, Terenius L, Bakalkin G. Prodynorphin transcripts and proteins differentially expressed and regulated in the adult human brain. FASEB J. 2005; 19(11):1543–5. [PubMed: 16014400]
- Fallon JH, Leslie FM. Distribution of dynorphin and enkephalin peptides in the rat brain. The Journal of comparative neurology. 1986; 249(3):293–336. [PubMed: 2874159]
- Martin TJ, Sexton T, Kim SA, Severino AL, Peters CM, Young LJ, Childers SR. Regional differences in mu and kappa opioid receptor G-protein activation in brain in male and female prairie voles. Neuroscience. 2015; 311:422–9. [PubMed: 26523979]
- 50. Tanaka KZ, Pevzner A, Hamidi AB, Nakazawa Y, Graham J, Wiltgen BJ. Cortical representations are reinstated by the hippocampus during memory retrieval. Neuron. 2014; 84(2):347–54. [PubMed: 25308331]
- 51. Lemos JC, Roth CA, Messinger DI, Gill HK, Phillips PE, Chavkin C. Repeated stress dysregulates kappa-opioid receptor signaling in the dorsal raphe through a p38alpha MAPK-dependent mechanism. The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2012; 32(36):12325–36. [PubMed: 22956823]

### Highlights

- Low dose of kappa opioid receptor agonist induced aversion in control, but not stressed, females
- High dose of kappa opioid receptor agonist induced aversion in control and stressed males
- Stress and kappa agonist increased EGR1 activity in the nucleus accumbens in females



Figure 1.

Timeline for conditioned place aversion experiments.

Laman-Maharg et al.



#### Figure 2.

Time spent in conditioned chamber during pre-test and post-test for (A) control females, (B) stressed females, (C) control males, and (D) stressed males. \* p < 0.05, n=9-15 per group.



#### Figure 3.

(A) Representative photomicrograph of EGR1 staining in the NAc, (B) areas quantified in the NAc, (C) areas quantified in the anterior BNST, and (D) areas quantified in the posterior BNST. LV = lateral ventricle, ac = anterior commissure, core = NAc core, ShD = dorsal shell of NAc, ShV = ventral shell of NAc, BNSTam = anterior medial BNST, BNSTal = anterior lateral BNST, BNSTdl = dorsal lateral BNST, BNSTdm = dorsal medial BNST, BNSTvl = ventral lateral BNST, BNSTvm = ventral medial BNST, f = fornix. Scale bars =  $200 \,\mu m$ .



#### Figure 4.

Cell counts for EGR1 in the NAc. \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, † p < 0.05 vs. vehicle treated animals of same stress group, n=5-9 per group.



#### Figure 5.

Correlations between aversion score (post-test minus pre-test) and EGR1 counts in the NAc for (A) control females treated with vehicle (Spearman's  $\rho$ = .964, FDR adjusted p<.001), (B) control females treated with 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 (Spearman's  $\rho$ = -.943, FDR adjusted p=. 045), n=6-7 per group.

				Fen	nale		
		1	Unconditioned	I		Center	
		Vehicle	2.5 mg/kg	10mg/kg	Vehicle	2.5 mg/kg	10mg/kg
	pre-test	$500 \pm 31$	$440 \pm 72$	$456 \pm 33$	$489 \pm 63$	$545 \pm 84$	$588 \pm 53$
Control	post-test	$445 \pm 141$	$592 \pm 122$	$509 \pm 91$	$602 \pm 122$	$749 \pm 115$	$640\pm148$
F	pre-test	$417 \pm 59$	$422 \pm 27$	$349 \pm 48$	587 ± 58	$640 \pm 66$	$815 \pm 99$
Suressea	post-test	763 ± 176	$358\pm50$	$396 \pm 71$	$638\pm123$	$842\pm98$	$815\pm153$
				M	ale		
		1	Unconditioned	I		Center	
		Vehicle	2.5 mg/kg	10mg/kg	Vehicle	2.5 mg/kg	10mg/kg
	pre-test	$432 \pm 32$	$436 \pm 43$	$372 \pm 49$	493 ± 75	$583 \pm 51$	$504\pm 66$
COLLEG	post-test	$469\pm107$	$293 \pm 61$	$512 \pm 133$	$700 \pm 128$	$664 \pm 111$	$700 \pm 109$
	pre-test	$369 \pm 67$	$402 \pm 40$	$423\pm33$	$694 \pm 91$	729 ± 84	627 ± 72
Suressed	post-test	$331 \pm 48$	$566 \pm 75$ *	527 ± 153	813 ± 141	$725 \pm 80$	$838\pm117$

Time spent in unconditioned and center chambers during pre-test and post-test for control and stressed males and females. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM.

 $^{*}_{p}<0.05$  vs. pre-test, n=9-15 per group.

Author Manuscript Aut

Table 2

						Anter	ior BNS	F			
			Ń	ehicle		2.5 mg/	kg U50,4	881	10 mg/	/kg U50,4	88
			Mean	SEM	u	Mean	SEM	u	Mean	SEM	=
	-1-24	Control	562.7	90.1	6	703.4	70.6	7	536.0	48.8	7
	Male	Stress	500.8	66.7	8	532.7	48.4	6	736.0	170.8	7
Anterior Medial	- I	Control	547.9	92.6	L	664.8	92.8	7	629.4	158.9	5
	remale	Stress	707.8	92.0	9	651.5	79.4	5	581.0	95.3	10
	Mala	Control	655.3	50.9	6	755.6	94.8	7	780.6	69.4	7
	Male	Stress	721.1	64.5	8	766.5	9.65	6	669.2	57.6	7
Anterior ventral	- I	Control	724.6	87.2	L	758.9	84.3	7	823.4	170.8	5
	Female	Stress	827.0	45.4	9	672.8	34.1	5	842.1	72.7	10
						Poste	rior BNS	L			
			M	ehicle		2.5 mg/	kg U50,4	881	10 mg/	/kg U50,4	88
			Mean	SEM	u	Mean	SEM	u	Mean	SEM	u
	Malo	Control	659.1	73.7	9	799.1	83.3	7	677.3	104.7	7
Vontual latanal	INTRIC	Stress	750.6	83.9	8	734.4	87.3	6	802.9	113.1	7
	Tomolo	Control	721.9	57.7	7	837.8	79.3	9	901.1	155.1	5
	remare	Stress	914.9	77.6	7	902.5	76.1	9	792.2	78.4	10
	Molo	Control	652.4	88.9	6	683.8	49.4	7	720.3	58.4	7
Vontual Media	INTRIC	Stress	734.4	92.1	8	684.9	64.7	6	652.9	79.3	7
	Tomolo	Control	629.9	56.5	7	600.6	78.6	9	816.6	114.4	5
	remare	Stress	645.2	87.6	7	713.5	45.4	9	734.4	72.6	10
	U.S.L.	Control	666.7	74.8	6	653.5	65.0	7	626.3	73.2	9
Doucol L otourol	Male	Stress	830.1	143.1	8	762.2	73.5	6	673.6	129.9	9
DOFSAI LAUETAI	Tourse of	Control	663.9	75.4	7	552.2	87.2	9	493.1	136.5	5
	remare	Stress	786.6	101.1	7	738.1	61.8	9	698.6	97.0	10
	Molo	Control	783.8	96.1	6	703.9	81.0	7	738.7	100.9	9
Dorsal Medial	INTRIC	Stress	1000.2	170.8	8	781.8	145.8	6	578.1	123.3	9
	Female	Control	615.5	62.3	7	640.5	104.6	9	578.1	123.3	5

Autho
or Man
nuscript

10 ¤ 10 mg/kg U50,488 SEM 94.9 Mean 801.9 SEM n 9 2.5 mg/kg U50,488 Anterior BNST 83.6 Mean 853.3 u ~ SEM 85.3 Vehicle 747.2 Mean Stress

Laman-Maharg et al.

EGR1 counts in the anterior and posterior subregions of the BNST.

FemaleControlVehicleCore $0.954^{***}$ <00	Sex	Stress	Dose U50,488	NAc Subregion	Spearman's correlation	p-value	Benjamini-Hochberg P-value
FemaleStressedVehicleCore $-0.029$ $0.957$ $1$ FemaleControlVehicleVentral Shell $0.679$ $0.094$ $0.309$ FemaleStressedVehicleVentral Shell $0.679$ $0.957$ $0.030$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.643$ $0.977$ $1.000$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.029$ $0.977$ $0.0305$ FemaleControlVehicleDorsal Shell $0.643$ $0.197$ $0.305$ FemaleControl2.5 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.043$ $0.019$ $0.372$ FemaleControl2.5 mg/kgCore $0.043$ $0.019$ $0.0112$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043$ $0.019$ $0.012$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043$ $0.019$ $0.012$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043$ $0.043$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043$ $0.043$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/ggVentral Shell $0.043$ $0.043$ MaleStressed10 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.043$ $0.043$ MaleStressed10 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043$ $0.043$ MaleStressed10 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.343$ $0.260$ $0.435$ MaleStressed10 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.346$ $0.329$ </td <td>Female</td> <td>Control</td> <td>Vehicle</td> <td>Core</td> <td><math>0.964^{***}</math></td> <td>&lt;.001</td> <td>&lt;.001</td>	Female	Control	Vehicle	Core	$0.964^{***}$	<.001	<.001
FemaleControlVehicleVentral Shell $0.679$ $0.094$ $0.030$ FemaleStressedVehicleVentral Shell $0.029$ $0.957$ $0.030$ FemaleControlVehicleDorsal Shell $0.029$ $0.957$ $0.030$ FemaleControlVehicleDorsal Shell $0.028$ $0.119$ $0.305$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.043$ $0.019$ $0.036$ FemaleControl2.5 mg/kgCore $0.086$ $0.019$ $0.112$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgCore $0.043^*$ $0.009$ $0.112$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043^*$ $0.005$ $0.047$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.043^*$ $0.045$ $0.047$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.0536$ $0.012$ $0.047$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.043^*$ $0.045$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgVentral Shell $0.536$ $0.045$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.043^*$ $0.045$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed2.5 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.043^*$ $0.045$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed10 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.043^*$ $0.045$ $0.045$ MaleStressed10 mg/kgDorsal Shell $0.045$ $0.045$ $0.045$ <t< td=""><td>Female</td><td>Stressed</td><td>Vehicle</td><td>Core</td><td>-0.029</td><td>0.957</td><td>1</td></t<>	Female	Stressed	Vehicle	Core	-0.029	0.957	1
FemaleStressedVehicleVentral Shell $0.029$ $0.957$ $1.000$ FemaleControlVehicleDorsal Shell $0.643$ $0.119$ $0.305$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.643$ $0.119$ $0.305$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.086$ $0.872$ $0.305$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.043$ $0.019$ $0.314$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.0943$ $0.019$ $0.114$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.043^*$ $0.019$ $0.475$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.043^*$ $0.005$ $0.475$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.0736$ $0.215$ $0.475$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.043^*$ $0.045$ $0.475$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.0753$ $0.266$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.045$ $0.045$ $0.475$ MaleControl $10 mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.045$ $0.045$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.045$ $0.045$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.045$ $0.056$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.326$ <td>Female</td> <td>Control</td> <td>Vehicle</td> <td>Ventral Shell</td> <td>0.679</td> <td>0.094</td> <td>0.309</td>	Female	Control	Vehicle	Ventral Shell	0.679	0.094	0.309
FemaleControlVehicleDorsal Shell $0.643$ $0.119$ $0.300$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.643$ $0.872$ $0.372$ $1$ FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $0.086$ $0.019$ $0.114$ FemaleControl $2.5 mg/kg$ Core $0.086$ $0.019$ $0.114$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.114$ FemaleControl $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.065$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.065$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.065$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.042$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.045$ $0.042$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.366$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.366$ $0.376$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.329$ $0.367$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.307$ $0.307$ MaleStressed $10 mg/kg$ $0.310$ $0.329$	Female	Stressed	Vehicle	Ventral Shell	0.029	0.957	1.000
FemaleStressedVehicleDorsal Shell $-0.086$ $0.872$ $1$ FemaleControl $2.5  mg/kg$ Core $-0.886$ $0.019$ $0.114$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Core $0.0875$ $0.019$ $0.114$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.536$ $0.215$ $0.747$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $-0.829$ $0.042$ $0.475$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $-0.829$ $0.042$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $-0.452$ $0.260$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.466$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.366$ $0.376$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.476$ $0.376$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.476$ $0.376$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.476$ $0.376$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ $0.310$ $0$	Female	Control	Vehicle	Dorsal Shell	0.643	0.119	0.309
FemaleControl $2.5  mg kg$ Core $-0.886$ $0.019$ $0.014$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg kg$ Core $0$ $1$ $1$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleControl $2.5  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.536$ $0.215$ $0.047$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg kg$ Dorsal Shell $-0.329$ $0.042$ $0.18^{\circ}$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg kg$ Dorsal Shell $-0.452$ $0.042$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ Core $0.452$ $0.260$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.266$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.266$ $0.337$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ Ventral Shell $0.429$ $0.329$ $0.366$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.349$ $0.337$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.349$ $0.379$ MaleStressed $10  mg kg$ $0.349$ $0.379$ $0.367$	Female	Stressed	Vehicle	Dorsal Shell	-0.086	0.872	1
FemaleStressed $2.5  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Core011FemaleControl $2.5  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ ventral Shell $-0.943^{*}$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleControl $2.5  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ ventral Shell $-0.943^{*}$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ ventral Shell $-0.536$ $0.215$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ borsal Shell $-0.829$ $0.042$ $0.185$ FemaleStressed $2.5  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ borsal Shell $-0.107$ $0.819$ $0.136$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Core $-0.452$ $0.260$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Core $0.543$ $0.260$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.456$ $0.631$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.456$ $0.536$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Ventral Shell $0.595$ $0.120$ $0.536$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Dorsal Shell $0.595$ $0.120$ $0.306$	Female	Control	2.5 mg/kg	Core	-0.886	0.019	0.114
FemaleControl $2.5  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.943^*$ $0.005$ $0.045$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $-0.536$ $0.215$ $0.475$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ borsal Shell $-0.829$ $0.042$ $0.185$ FemaleControl $2.5  mg/kg$ borsal Shell $-0.107$ $0.819$ $0.135$ FemaleStressed $2.5  mg/kg$ borsal Shell $-0.107$ $0.819$ $0.135$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Core $0.452$ $0.260$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Core $0.543$ $0.266$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.456$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.466$ $0.536$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Ventral Shell $0.595$ $0.120$ $0.305$ MaleStressed $10  mg/kg$ Dorsal Shell $0.429$ $0.307$ $0.307$	Female	Stressed	2.5 mg/kg	Core	0	1	1
Female Stressed 2.5 mg/kg Ventral Shell -0.536 0.215 0.475   Female Control 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.829 0.042 0.185   Female Control 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.829 0.042 0.185   Female Stressed 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.107 0.819 0.185   Male Stressed 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.107 0.819 1   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Core 0.452 0.260 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Core 0.543 0.260 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.456 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.456 0.535   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.545 0.329 0.305   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell <t< td=""><td>Female</td><td>Control</td><td>2.5 mg/kg</td><td>Ventral Shell</td><td>-0.943</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.045</td></t<>	Female	Control	2.5 mg/kg	Ventral Shell	-0.943	0.005	0.045
Female Control 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.829 0.042 0.043   Female Stressed 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.107 0.819 0.187   Male Stressed 2.5 mg/kg Dorsal Shell -0.107 0.819 1   Male Control 10 mg/kg Core -0.452 0.260 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Core 0.543 0.266 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.510 0.456 0.535   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.486 0.329 0.535   Male Control 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.536   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.305	Female	Stressed	2.5 mg/kg	Ventral Shell	-0.536	0.215	0.479
FemaleStressed $2.5  \mathrm{mgkg}$ Dorsal Shell $-0.107$ $0.819$ $1$ MaleControl $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Core $-0.452$ $0.260$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Core $0.543$ $0.266$ $0.475$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Core $0.510$ $0.476$ $0.310$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.456$ $0.631$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Ventral Shell $0.310$ $0.456$ $0.536$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Ventral Shell $0.595$ $0.120$ $0.536$ MaleStressed $10  \mathrm{mg/kg}$ Dorsal Shell $0.595$ $0.120$ $0.306$	Female	Control	2.5 mg/kg	Dorsal Shell	-0.829	0.042	0.189
Male Control 10 mg/kg Core -0.452 0.260 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Core 0.543 0.266 0.475   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.466 0.475   Male Control 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.456 0.531   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.486 0.329 0.535   Male Control 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.536   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.305	Female	Stressed	2.5 mg/kg	Dorsal Shell	-0.107	0.819	1
Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Core 0.543 0.266 0.475   Male Control 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.456 0.631   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.456 0.631   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.486 0.329 0.535   Male Control 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.305   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.429 0.307 0.305	Male	Control	10 mg/kg	Core	-0.452	0.260	0.479
Male Control 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.310 0.456 0.631   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.486 0.329 0.538   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.305   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.429 0.370 0.305	Male	Stressed	10 mg/kg	Core	0.543	0.266	0.479
Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Ventral Shell 0.486 0.329 0.538   Male Control 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.305   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.429 0.397 0.595	Male	Control	10 mg/kg	Ventral Shell	0.310	0.456	0.631
Male Control 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.595 0.120 0.305   Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.429 0.397 0.597	Male	Stressed	10 mg/kg	Ventral Shell	0.486	0.329	0.538
Male Stressed 10 mg/kg Dorsal Shell 0.429 0.397 0.596	Male	Control	10 mg/kg	Dorsal Shell	0.595	0.120	0.309
	Male	Stressed	10 mg/kg	Dorsal Shell	0.429	0.397	0.596

Behav Brain Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 14.

Correlations between aversion score (post-test minus pre-test) and EGR1 counts in the NAc.

\* p < 0.05, p < 0.001, n=6-8 per group.