

UCLA
The Docket

Title

The Pocket Docket Vol. 1 No. 9

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/09v7q1hp>

Journal

The Pocket Docket, 1(9)

Author

UCLA Law School

Publication Date

1977-04-18

the Pocket Docket

STUDENT BAR ASSOCIATION OF UCLA

APRIL 18, 1977

VOL I, ISSUE 9

DEADLINE THURSDAY

Articles for the Pocket Docket should be submitted to the information window by noon each Thursday.

SOME YEARBOOK PICTURES RUINED

Due to a light leak in the camera, some of the pictures taken April 5th in the Student lounge are not of high enough quality to be sold as color photographs. If you are interested in purchasing graduation pictures, go to the Campus Studio in Kerchkoff Hall and make arrangements there. If you do not like the pictures that they have of you, the studio will take additional poses.

THIRD YEAR STUDENTS

If you would like to make a speech at graduation, hand in a draft of the speech on May 9th in the S.B.A. Office. All third year students are invited to make a speech. The speech should be no longer than fifteen minutes.

.....
Congratulations to Professor Alison Anderson, Professor of the Year by vote of the third year class.

.....
People are urgently needed to work on the class yearbook. If you have some spare time before April 25, leave a note with your phone number in the S.B.A. Office. - from Mario Gonzalez

YEARBOOKS should be purchased from the persons sitting at the table that hopefully will be set up next week in the hallway.

PARTY/PICNIC?

Law Revue is scheduled for April 29. The third year party is scheduled for Friday the 13th of May. Russ Swartz and John Stephens are considering organizing a class (beach?) picnic on May 6th - cutting classes if you have any on Friday. Comments or suggestions to those gents or leave in the SBA box.

PHI ALPHA DELTA ELECTIONS for next year's officers will be on Thurs, April 21. Gary Fraley will be in the lounge from 11 - 12, and 1 - 2 to receive your vote.

PRE THIS SATURDAY

The Professional Responsibility Exam will be held this Saturday, April 23. Those involved might want to break a few minutes loose to study for it.

GSA SENATE MEETING

The GSA will meet today, April 18 at 6:30 p.m. in room 3517 Ackerman Union.

SBA ELECTIONS

Elections for the next SBA will be held during the next two weeks. Originally, nominations were to close on Friday, Apr 22, with elections held on April 27 or 28. However, the regular Docket wants to run an election issue similar to last year's issue. They are asking for ten days between the close of nominations and the elections which would cause several problems. We are in the process of negotiating with them - the results will be up on posters in a day or so. Anyway, nominations will close this week, and candidates wishing to have a short statement included in the Docket election issue should watch for a deadline (it may be earlier than Friday).

LAW REVUE

People can register their acts until Fri., Apr 22. Fliers and a box in lobby (or leave note to Timi Mason 933-8034 if you have questions)

People are needed to work on the Revue in other ways - organizing, setting up that night, selling tickets, etc. - can volunteer in same way as for acts.

Revue is Fri., Apr 29 - there will be booze, food - tickets go on sale Mon, Apr 18.

Any law school group can contact Jim Phelps about setting up a booth to sell food, etc., leave note or call 651 - 2937

ROOM REALLOCATION

A revitalized room reallocation committee is currently meeting with all law school groups who currently have rooms or who have requested rooms in an effort to apportion the available resources. If you have not been contacted, contact Dave Harrison or Gilbert Rodriguez or leave a note addressed to the committee in the SBA box or office.

LEOP TASK FORCE will meet Monday, April 18 at 3 p.m. in room 2415A.

GSA FUNDS

Current word is that GSA will cover all authorized expenditures including incoming Council money whether or not the Chancellor continues to illegally withhold our money.

THE: GSA

conclusion of an editorial by CCR

My votes on the GSA misappropriation issue are consistent with a philosophy that can be reduced to: "do something constructive or shut up."

Assume the worst: that there was a misappropriation. The program involved ended last summer and was not funded this year. The individual allegedly involved is no longer in school (and reportedly was suspended from school). Further, the GSA has lodged criminal charges against the individual in an effort to get at the truth. That would seem sufficient. At this late date I would be opposed to having the GSA senate attempt to finally "determine" whether there was a misappropriation. The atmosphere is now so politically charged that it would seem impossible to get an objective hearing; let the court decide. Besides, it would now be merely an academic exercise. If the GSA found no/insufficient evidence of wrongdoing, none of the present critics would accept it, and if GSA found that there was evidence of misappropriation, no further action against the individual seems necessary.

This does not mean that the GSA or a subcommittee thereof should not view the evidence to determine whether an accounting change is necessary. If anyone wants to do that, I'd support it and would even volunteer to help.

There is a view that Alex & Martin were simply doing a good job when they uncovered evidence of a fraud.; they were then frustrated in presenting this evidence by a group of persons centered around Pauline, who conspired to cover up the fraud. It's possible. No one has suggested that Pauline or anyone else had prior knowledge of or received benefit from the fraud. The most common reason given for a coverup was an attempt to preclude criticism of the entire Community Services program for one alleged misdeed. However it is uncontroverted that it was Alex and Martin, and not Pauline, who decided not to take the issue to the Senate. The information has yet to get to the senate, even though for an important portion of the time Alex and Martin ran the GSA (as budget commissioner and President), and controlled the GSA's flow of information. It is uncontroverted that Pauline has attempted to force the administration to release the information. Moreover, recently, Alex (& Martin - still a student but out of office) opposed GSA's attempt to discuss the matter in executive session and attempted to prevent an open vote on whether the GSA should press criminal charges. The coverup charge is simply unsupported.

It is true that Alex has not been treated kindly by the senate. This is partly because no one is treated very well by the Senate, partly because some people don't like Alex based on other incidents. It is also based on the fact that he has not shown the senate the courtesy of presenting whatever he has in an intelligent form that can be acted upon.

Finally there are a few persons who are overly protective of Pauline and attempt to counter what they perceive to be unfair attacks on the chair. It's true that some of the criticism is nonsense and some is motivated by racial prejudice (reference recent physical attacks on GSA, NOMMO, and the Black Student Alliance offices), but the oversensitivity and overreactions of some third world senators adds fuel to the bigot's everpresent flame, and may obscure important issues. (However, a number of persons feel that the whole misappropriation issue was a racially motivated bit of chicanery to discredit Pauline's presidency - and, considering the people involved, this view is as plausible as any other view of the incident.) In any event, Alex probably has a bruised ego, and if he wants an apology from the body, I, for one, am willing to say: "Alex, you were right. There may have been a fraud in the Audio Visual program" - if it would allow GSA to move on to other matters.

CONCLUSION. The individual allegedly involved in a misappropriation has been dumped on, including criminal charges, sufficient it would seem to cover most people's retributive instincts - even though it is not clear that there was any wrongdoing. the only coverup seems to involve the old officers - Alex and Martin, rather than Pauline and friends, and although I wouldn't rule it out in either case, the only coverup happening recently involves the administration and Alex.

Even if the receipt was a fake, the odds are that it was used to get "front" money for the project and was properly spent. GSA requires a person to spend his/her own funds on the promise that they will be reimbursed. When dealing with large sums of money (here a student needing a \$400 + purchase), most people would consider ways of getting the money up front; submitting a false receipt would not be an original way of doing that, with the justification? that the money would be spent for its intended purpose.

If anyone thinks that we ought to review our accounting procedure (the Chancellor excepted), great, I'll even help if you want me to - although I would probably loosen controls to allow reasonable front money. If you want any other intelligent action (& can describe it in 25 words or less), I'll support that too. But, if the GSA is to be treated to another Alex performance, 3 hours of talk with no aim, purpose, coherence, facts or proposed action, count my vote to close discussion and move to serious topics.

TAY-SACHS DISEASE is inherited from two perfectly healthy parents who carry the disease and probably know nothing about it. About 1 in 30 Jewish individuals are carriers of the gene as compared with one in 200 among the general population. The only time a recessive gene can create a problem is when two individuals carrying the same gene reproduce and their offsprings unfortunately inherits the mutant gene from each parent. It invariably kills the child by the age of 4 or 5. Tay-Sachs is completely preventable, and once informed, carriers are able to have healthy children. FREE information and testing available in the law school on Thursday, Apr. 21.